

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

ONGARELLI DANIELA LUCIA

#### **email**

ongarellidaniela@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

41

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALVIGINI LORELLA

**email**

palvig@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the



profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FOGLINO PIERGUIDO

**email**

antozac6777@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRASSO VITTORIA

**email**

savogra@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VISCONTI SILVANA

**email**

visconti.silvana@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PECORELLI DOMENICO

**email**

dompec1@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults



**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COCO CALOGERO

**email**

calogero.coco@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

67

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIPITONE GIROLAMO

**email**

pipitoneganci@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johannes Leßner

**email**

johannes.lessner@gmx.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Trummer

#### **email**

Dietmar.Trummer@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

57

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PANEPINTO MARIA TERESA

**email**

lindalos@inwind.it

**country**

Italy

**age**



**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI FRANCO ALESSANDRA

**email**

caldarafabrizio@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
36

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI PRIMA ANNA

**email**

pasqualemaggio@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexandra Wenner

**email**

alexandrawenner@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sonia Sanchez Rico

**email**

soniasanchezrico@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONANNO NICOLO'

**email**

nicola.bonanno71@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FIANNACA PIETRO

**email**

fiannacapietro@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BURGIO ANTONINA

**email**

suami-86@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer Bäurle

**email**

Rainer.Baeurle@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**



No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MINIO PAOLA

**email**

pinominio@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kathrin Wagener

**email**

kathrin.wagener@rub.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

23

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

das Selbstbestimmungsrecht des Individuums würde untergraben werden

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

das Selbstbestimmungsrecht des Individuums würde untergraben werden

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

das Selbstbestimmungsrecht des Individuums würde untergraben werden

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

das Selbstbestimmungsrecht des Individuums würde untergraben werden

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

das Selbstbestimmungsrecht des Individuums würde untergraben werden

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

das Selbstbestimmungsrecht des Individuums würde untergraben werden

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

das Selbstbestimmungsrecht des Individuums würde untergraben werden

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MELI GRAZIELLA

**email**

meligra@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MISTRETTA VINCENZO

**email**

mistretta3000@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONELLI ANTONIETTA

**email**

bonelliant@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

lange margot

**email**

margot.lange@aon.at

**country**

österreich

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LA RUSSA VINCENZO

**email**

stefania.tuccio@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

79

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Bellaire

**email**

info@bellaire-reisen.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder sollte für sich selbst entscheiden können, ich bin gegen jede Bevormundung!

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Wenn jemand mit 18 Jahren reif genug ist, einen PKW zu fahren und beim Militär eine Waffe in die Hand zu nehmen, dann kann diese Person auch die Entscheidung Rauchen oder Nichtraucher selbst übernehmen mit Rücksichtnahme auf Nichtraucher!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Bei diesen und allen anderen wichtigen Fragen sollte man länderspezifisch die Kataloge in der jeweiligen Landessprache abfassen. Diese Art der Aufbereitung läßt vermutlich viele von Anfang an von einer Aussage Abstand nehmen. Aber das ist ja auch bewusst so gemacht worden, oder?



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MAGGIORE MARIA CONCETTA

#### **email**

tabacchimaggiore@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

43

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VIRONE PRESTI ANGELA

**email**

giupre78@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PALUMBO GIACOMA

**email**

tabaccheriaceresi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASTELLANO VINCENZO

**email**

vincastel22@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an



appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carolina Martin Deniz

**email**

calorinanamd@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LO RE FRANCESCO

**email**

francescolore1@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
43

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASSARO DIEGO

**email**

cassaro.dino@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CUMMO MARIA

**email**

tabacchicummo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCIASCIA CARMELA



**email**

sciascia05@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heine, Jörg

**email**

j-heine@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CENTINARO MARIA GIUSEPPA

**email**

centin10@centinaromgiuseppa.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIPIA PINO

**email**

pinopip@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Clemens Bachmeier

**email**

clemens.bachmeier@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

23

**gender**

male



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die einzelnen Länder sollen für die Gesundheit zuständig bleiben

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Legales Produkt darf nicht unverkäuflich gemacht werden!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Beibehaltung der Vielfalt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Selbstbestimmung für Konsumenten und Händler

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZAMMUTO PIETRO

**email**

PIETRO.ZAMMUTO@TIN.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IACONELLO ANGELA

**email**

Dott.sabrinalicata@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE VINCENZO ANGELA

**email**

pattiavv.francesco@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

69

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GENOVA FRANCESCO

**email**

CICCIO.GENOVA@TIN.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products



consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASULA GERLANDA

**email**

GERLANDA.CASULA@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MESSINA ROSANNA

**email**

rosanna.messina72@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johannes Kayenburg

**email**

joh\_kayenburg@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zu viel Reglementierung

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Mit dem grenzüberschreitenden Verkauf von Tabakerzeugnissen über das Internet werden nationale Bemühungen um Tabakkontrolle potentiell unterlaufen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tamara Gonzalez Alonso

**email**

tanglez@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Robert Eichinger

**email**  
eichinger@eichinger.net

**country**  
Austria

**age**  
33

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Härtel Norbert

#### **email**

norberthärtel@aol.com

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
MESSINA VITTORIO

**email**  
nuovaricevitoria@libero.it

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VOLA MARIA SETTIMA

**email**

mvola@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VERRO ANTONIO

**email**

lacontea@la-contea.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62



**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAPELLO ANGELO

**email**

danielecapello@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Jose Solorzano Hernandez

**email**

mariajose\_solorzano@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CERRATO GIUSEPPINA

**email**

luciano.colombano@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GABUTTI ROBERTO

**email**

roberto.gabutti@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAVAGNERO CARMEN

**email**

tab.cavagnero@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PINTO VINCENZO

**email**

28057.vincenzo.pinto@gestori.eni.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tania Reino Sanchez

**email**

tania.reino.sanchez@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLONNA ALESSANDRO

**email**

tabaccheria.colonna@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.



**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PERRONE GIANLUCA

**email**

perrone.gl@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Barbara Flaßkamp

**email**

barbara.flasskamp@online.de

**country**

germany

**age**

48

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONINO MARIO

**email**

bonino.mario@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

fobow Gisela

**email**

Giselafobow@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**  
Herdtle

**email**  
danketanke@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
32

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein bkommenntar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No



**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Maskos Liesbeth

**email**  
MaskosLiesbethaol@om

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mark Niehaus

**email**

markniehaus@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no proof that the suggested measures will benefit public health. Plain packaging or bigger health warning labels would effectively reduce, or even deprive trademark owners of their right to exploit their trademarks. Whilst these measures would constrain the legal tobacco business, they would further open the door for illicit trade and criminals who will not respect these rules.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Already today the individual member states can impose fees and sanctions. The enforcement of this part of the directive should remain a responsibility of the individual state.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The testing of ingredients should be based on scientific evidence, if these components cause additional harm for the individual health.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence that a display ban or vending machine ban would lead to a reduction of consumption and benefit public health. On the contrary, especially in many countries vending machines are the best controlled devices when it comes to youth protection. Therefore there is no reason to constrain the trade of a legal product; it would defy principles of the common market.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Margit Lein

**email**

officemargit@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**  
46

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
zu viele regelungen

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
schont die atemwege

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommmentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sollen die länder weiterhin selbst entscheiden

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

geschmacksvielfalt nicht verbieten

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

soll ländersache bleiben

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alicia Jacqueline Rodriguez Cabrera

**email**

jackie24600@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Desire Sanchez Atenea

**email**

dea\_dew@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Friedrich Lein

**email**

frimal@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zu viele Regelungen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comments

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zumutung für alle

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

soll den mitgliedsstaaten überlassen bleiben

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

beibehaltung der vielfalt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

soll ländersache bleiben

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Hans Walter Grössinger

#### **email**

hwg@nawon.at

#### **country**

Österreich

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Wehmeyer, Wolfgang

**email**  
info@reisebuero-wehmeyer.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
61

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Bauer

**email**

classictankstelle.bauer@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bürger soll selbst entscheiden

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Bürger darf nicht entmündigt werden. Wir haben schon genug Richtlinien und Gesetze

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Was soll das? Wir wollen frei entscheiden. Wir sind doch freie Bürger, oder?

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder weiß das es ungesund ist. Cola und Schokolade ist auch ungesund. Alkohol Ist wohl auch nicht gesund. Dann müssen auch alle Flaschen und Dosen einheitlich werden.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Will doch keiner wissen

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das vernichtet nur Arbeitsplätze und bringt nichts. Haben wir nicht ganz andere Probleme als so etwas. Müssen wir uns alles gefallen lassen. Leben wir in einer Diktatur oder in einer Demokratie?

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephanie Pohlmann

**email**

stephaniepohlmann@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

22

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es stellt kein Problem dar.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es stellt kein Problem dar, bis jetzt war doch alles gut so, wie es ist.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**



No

**explanations**

Es war doch bis jetzt alles gut.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir Raucher wissen doch selber, was schlecht ist, da müssen wir uns doch nicht ständig bevormunden lassen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bei uns in Deutschland ist rauchen bereits erst ab 18 Jahren erlaubt, das finde ich vollkommen ausreichend in Bezug auf das dargestellte Problem. Internetfirmen etc. würden pleite gehen, bei einer solchen Durchsetzung. Und das kann doch nicht das Ziel des Ganzen sein???

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Both, Walter

**email**

riwa.both@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

73

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claudia Derflinger

**email**

claudia.derflinger@yahoo.de

**country**

Austria

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MELLINI FABIANA

**email**

p.landeschi@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale counterfeited and smuggled.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Ingo Pratzer

#### **email**

info@pratzer.de

#### **country**

deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
FABBI LUCIA

**email**  
mgnassi@alice.it

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
58

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale counterfeited and smuggled.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Steinberger

**email**

gerhard\_steinberger@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roth Christian

**email**

cafe-roth@nexgo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kien Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POGGI ARTURA

**email**

dd@rr.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale counterfeited and smuggled.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Conrad

**email**

hansivero@kabelmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
63

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
no

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRAGA ERNESTO

**email**

aaa@bbb.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

86

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale counterfeited and smuggled.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Mauss

**email**

wolfgang.mauss@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

46

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

there is absolutely no need for further consumer information on the packages.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Härtel Petra

**email**  
norbert121154@web.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brandt

**email**

a.m.brandt@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nein

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Nein

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nein

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nein

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nein

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nein

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

LOZZI ADELE

#### **email**

dffd@tt.iy

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

87

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.



**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale counterfeited and smuggled.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Herrmann, Anita

**email**

umhoefer-gmbh@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Engelmann

**email**

jan\_a.engelmann@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Norbert Rennhofer

**email**  
tribon@gmx.at

**country**  
Österreich

**age**  
24

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

--

**additional comments**

--

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

noooo comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

noooo comment

**additional comments**

noooo comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rebecca Abdelnour

**email**

rebecca.abdelnour@ehl.ch

**country**

Switzerland

**age**

23

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Parallel market would be a high risk if there is a standardization

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Only the state members should have the right to decide

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

We don't face any problem. The only thing is to check and respect the age legislation

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

??

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sauerzapf Birgit

**email**

sb80@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

die einzelnen länder sollen für die gesundheit zuständig bleiben

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kann helfen mit dem rauchen aufzuhören

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zumutung für den konsumenten

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

soll in der kompetenz der einzelnen mitgliedstaaten bleiben

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

selbstbestimmung für konsumenten und händler

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Tselepidis Takis

**email**  
tatselgr@yahoo.gr

**country**  
greece

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Each country must have the versatility to adapt the health requirements according to its view and its social and political needs .

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Primary objective in any decision should be the principle of FREEDOM OF CHOICE

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

each member state should have options in applying law

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

freedom of choice should be our objective

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

freedom of choice should be our objective

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

freedom of choice should be our objective

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nülsen,Andrea

**email**

nuelsen@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARAMAZZA GIUSEPPA

**email**

tabacchi.caramazza@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale counterfeited and smuggled.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Franziska Bader

**email**

franziska\_bader@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Britta Sauer

**email**

pebbles@nord-com.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no kommend

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no kommend

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no kkommend

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no kkommend

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no kkommend

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no kkommend

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Olena Klein

**email**

yelenar1981@yahoo.com

**country**  
deutschland

**age**  
29

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Emser Astrid

**email**

ast.ems@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Klein

**email**

dklein67@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
43

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
because its to difficult this formular

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
there is no problem...people are old enough

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Gaa

**email**

peter.gaa@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I'm strictly against even more regulation on this topic. After all citizen have enough information to decide themselves if they want or want not to smoke. Prohibition of citizen is no solution!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

I would rather recommend more liberalisation.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Everything is already available,

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

As I know there are used the same, allowed ingredients as in our daily food.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There are enough regulations in place. A stricter regulation would mean just more difficulties for a allowed product for adults.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**



citizen

**name**

CIPOLLA CALOGERO

**email**

fabio.cipolla3@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale counterfeited and smuggled.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
nicolai, günter

**email**  
g.nicolai.xxxx

**country**  
deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Krassnitzer Hugo

**email**

hugo@gloednitz.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not necessary to change anything about tobacco. It would be necessary to think about alcohol in the European society.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The consumer information is clear enough to show the consumer the risks of the products.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't see any problem.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Umhöfer, Elmar

**email**

umhoefer-gmbh@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Umhöfer, Elmar

**email**

umhoefer-gmbh@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sascha Ziemann

**email**

s.ziemann@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

38

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fred Antl

**email**

fred-an@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

gefällt mir einfach nicht, Markenidentität sollte erhalten bleiben

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

dann müsste das für andere Erzeugnisse ebenfalls erschwert werden (Fast Food, Zuckerhaltige Getränke, Alkohol etc.)

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Annette Weißbecher

**email**

annettekdaw@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peck Ingrid

**email**

peck.ingrid@mx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In the moment there are too much regulation processes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

it could help to stop smoking, other people don't have a problem with the smoke of a cigarette

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

this is not a reason to stop smoking, cigarettes are legal products and it is not ok to discriminate it

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

each country should decide by himself

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I want to have much products to decide

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

open and free presentation of a legal product, each country should decide by himself

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maindl Reinhold

**email**

office@installateur-reiter.at

**country**  
Österreich

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kann helfen mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

selbstbestimmung für Konsumenten und Händler

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

null

**email**

dirk.reck@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

41

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TZOULIS XARIS

**email**

ctzoul@nbg.gr

**country**

GREECE

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GEORGE SIOUTIS

**email**

gsiout@nbg.gr

**country**

GREECE

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARILENA ZINELI

**email**

MZine@nbg.gr

**country**

greece

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

cossiva chrisiis

**email**

CKossy@nbg.gr

**country**  
GREECE

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

philip Morris international

**email**

Pavel.Trnka@pmintl.com

**country**

czech

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Rozsah Směrnice může být rozšířen tak, aby pokryl i tyto výrobky, nicméně nemělo by to zahrnovat jejich automatický zákaz. Veškeré zákazy musí být založeny na vědeckých poznatcích.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Neexistují žádné důkazy, že větší varování jsou efektivnější. Není žádný důkaz, že jednotná balení zlepší fungování vnitřního trhu nebo budou mít pozitivní zdravotní dopady. Naopak, pro nelegální výrobce bude daleko jednodušší kopírovat značky a povede to k nárůstu nelegálního prodeje cigaret.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Členské státy již mají možnost zavést poplatky a sankce. Dodržování těchto pravidel by mělo být i nadále ponecháno v zodpovědnosti každého státu.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Testování přísad by se mělo zaměřit na to, zda-li zvyšují toxicitu tabákového kouře

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Není zde jasně stanovený problém pokud jde o prodejní automaty nebo místa prodeje. Nejsou zde důkazy naznačující jak by zákazy mohly zlepšit fungování vnitřního trhu.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Marco Ibsch

#### **email**

marco.ibsch@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ASER

**email**

asgomez@gruposantander

**country**

ESPAÑA

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRANCISCO

**email**

farelos@terra.es

**country**

ESPAÑA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tomáš Tlustý

**email**

tomist@seznam.cz

**country**

Česká republika

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zvýší se pašování

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-----

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-----

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-----

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRANC

**email**

franeteg@gmail.com

**country**

ESPAÑA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
JORGE

**email**  
jclementep\_1@hotmail.com

**country**  
ESPAÑA

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
VICTOR

**email**  
victorgomez.bcn@gmail.com

**country**  
ESPAÑA

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Alfred Mössmer

**email**  
a.moessmer@utanet.at

**country**  
austria

**age**  
25

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es gibt schon zu viele Regelungen

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

legales produkt darf nicht unverkäuflich sein

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die vielfalt beibehalten

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ian Walker

**email**

walkertimmel@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The EU should handle the real problems that Europe has and stop treating Citizens as little children who don't know what's good for them.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see Page 1

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see Page 1

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see Page 1

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see Page 1

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Wendt

**email**

wendt.linden@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jasmin

#### **email**

minchenlinchen@yahoo.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

19

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Wir sind doch alle verschiedene Menschen und alle haben verschiedene geschmäcker also sollten wir doch auch alle verschiedene zigaretten rauchen oder kaufen dürfen!

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

warum sollen alle die gleichen zigaretten rauchen wir sind doch alle verschieden und genauso verschieden sind auch die geschmäcker deshalb sollte es so bleiben wie es ist alle sollenselbst entscheiden dürfen was für eine marke und welche iene sorte man rauchen möchte und nicht so ein schwachsinn

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kien kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniela Nicolai

**email**

daniela.nicolai@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

..

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ralph potowski

**email**

potowski.ralph@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

39

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
any banning of products always lead to more attraction and crime (p.e. alcohol-exhibition in u.s.).

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
as far as I noticed, in germany and on travelling around the world, the pictures on packages never lead to less smoking, but to competition on having the most shocking or latest picture. and the pictures made the packages even more interesting for minors.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
any kind of restriction, fees, sanctions and enforcement of the directive should remain in liability of the member states.

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

if ingredients are tested, the focus should be on whether they increase the toxicity of smoke or not.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it's an illusion to believe, that people would smoke less, only because the cigarettes are not visible in the shops. here we have the same situation, as in the u.s. during prohibition-era. and nobody starts smoking, because he comes into a shop and sees the cigarettes. nobody! no one ever says that is a liar. people start smoking, because of their friends and family, where people smoke and because of their own will. all my family smokes/-d, many of my friends smoke, but I never touched a cigarette. and my mom worked in a grocery store, so I saw cigarettes every day from the beginning of my memory. how comes?

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

i think the whole trouble about cigarettes is only opportunistic behaviour of politics who want to put blame on cigarettes rather than doing good work on things they know they can't change without getting in trouble with the electors. I never heard, that a smoker caused an car-accident, but how many drunken drivers did? I never heard, that a smoker killed or harmed or raped somebody, due to his smoking, but many drinkers did and got through with it, because "I was drunk and can't remember"! how many families broke because of smoking and how many because of drinking? how many little children up to 12 years have heart-problems and overweight-problem in general because of too much candy? how many children die in front of schools or on the way to it, because of missing traffic-lights? but all these problems would cause too much trouble, trying to solve them. banning cigarettes is much easier, because much more populist. but if the interest on health would be really true, why not taking the money the states make with cigarettes (direct and indirect) and put it on an account, not touching it for 10 years? answer: because the states would be in big financial trouble, because the costs of smoking are much less than the benefits. and all the talking about the many dead smokers? sorry, but what about all the dead, killed by drunken drivers, killed because of missing traffic-lights? all this shouting and pointing the fingers on cigarettes is merely a witch-hunting à la salem than a realistic discussion of the problem. and at least: all of this regulation of everyday living from the e.u. makes the acceptance of the e.u. by the people within the member states more and more sink. another example is the regulation on light bulbs. who pays for the rebuilding of electrical systems, which do not work with led's or energy saving lamps? that causes costs, that people are not taking willingly, but have to. I know, this is another theme, but it is the same problem: over-regulation by the e.u. and lying politics.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Silke Rauecker

**email**

sielkie@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Nufer

**email**

m.nufer@web.de

**country**

Germany, Bavaria

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Es ist genug Ausgebeutet worden!! Lasst die Raucher endlich in Ruhe und hört auf, andauernd eure Geldbeutel auf ihre Kosten zu füllen! Es gibt genügend andere Gelegenheiten für sowas! Manager-Boni zum Beispiel!! Versteuert die!

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

lieber Kinder in der Grundschule mit Bildern konfrontieren damit die nicht anfangen. Wer bereits raucht lässt deswegen nicht sein!

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gavriil

**email**

Kangabr@hotmail.com

**country**

Greece

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Οι καταναλωτές είναι ήδη εδώ και πολλά χρόνια ενήμεροι για τις βλαβερές συνέπειες των τσιγάρων και δεν χρειάζονται αποκρουστικές εικόνες πάνω στα πακέτα. Η σωστή και υπεύθυνη ενημέρωση και όχι ο φόβος είναι αυτό που χρειάζεται ο υπεύθυνος καταναλωτής.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Δεδομένου ότι η επιβολή τελών και ποινών για την αναφορά των συστατικών υπάρχει ήδη σε κράτη - μέλη, δεν πιστεύουμε ότι η εφαρμογή αυτών των ρυθμίσεων της Οδηγίας θα πρέπει να είναι σε επίπεδο Ε.Ε., αλλά σε επίπεδο κρατών - μελών.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Συμφωνούμε με την θέσπιση της ρύθμισης για τα συστατικά σε επίπεδο Ε.Ε αρκεί αυτή να βασίζεται σε έγκυρα επιστημονικά στοιχεία. Επειδή όμως στις υπόλοιπες επιλογές της ερώτησης 2 γίνεται αναφορά στη ρύθμιση των συστατικών με βάση την έννοια της "ελκυστικότητας" διατυπώνουμε την αντίθεση μα, αφού μια τέτοια έννοια δεν μπορεί να αξιολογηθεί με επιστημονικά δεδομένα.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

diener melanie

**email**

diener.melanie@gmx.net

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Hilbert

**email**

frillidillideli@web.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Ban of vending machines. Ban of all advertisement. Ban on production, sales and usage of all tobacco products.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional option**

Compulsory graphical images on packaging.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

It should not be allowed to make any profit on tobacco products for any company, vendor etc.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

No ingredients in tobacco products - only tobacco

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

Ban of indirect advertising (image-commercials) for tobacco and nicotine-products. Ban of selling of tobacco and nicotine-products to persons under the age of 21 years. A tax of 2 Euros for every (!) cigarette smoked by persons below 21, to be determined by established statistical methods. A deposit of 1 Euro per tobacco package and 10 Cent for cigarette stubs. Introduction of a voluntary (!) electronic smokers-passport for smokers, afterwards only selling to persons with the smokers-passport by reducing the allowed buying-quantity of tobacco-products within one year down to zero. In the first month 23 cigarettes per day, then 21 and so on. The daily possession is not allowed to be higher than the daily quantity of the

allowed buying quantity. Refunding the costs for treatment of smoke-induced illness and of the loss of working hours by the tobacco industry. Ban of sale and consumption of tobacco-products in EU would be the best solution!

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Roland Klug

##### **email**

klugrola@freenet.de

##### **country**

Germany

##### **age**

45

##### **gender**

male

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

Weil die Regelungsflut der EU, die Menschenrechte in der BRD verletzen. Mehr Gesetze und Regelungen braucht man nicht. Mehr Regelungen fördern den Schwarzmarkt und auch andere Produkte deren gesundheitsgefährdung bei weitem nicht eingeschätzt werden kann.

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **comments**

Weil nicht alles wissenschaftlich belegt ist.

##### **which option**

No change

##### **additional option**



snuf verbieten!!!!

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil nicht alles wissenschaftlich belegt ist.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nicht alles wissenschaftlich bewiesen

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nicht alles ist wissenschaftlich bewiesen, was von sogenannten Nichtraucherschützer vorgebracht wird.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sabine Holzmann-Behrens

**email**

holzmann-behrens@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oost Tina

**email**

master@doristextil.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Existenzbedrohung tausender von Arbeitsplätzen, jeder Mensch sollte sich frei entfalten können und über Produkte identifizieren dürfen, gerade im Hinblick auf die Zigarettenwahl... Haben wir sonst keine Probleme??? Die Politik schöpft Milliarden von € über die Tabaksteuer ab, bei einem Verkauf unter der Ladentheke oder Gleichheit der Zigarettenpackungen werden die Steuereinnahmen erheblich zurück gehen; Raucher werden Diskriminiert, was gegen das allgemeine Gleichstellungsgesetz verstößt!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Jeder Mensch sollte selber entscheiden dürfen was gut für ihn ist, McDonalds darf auch werbung machen!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Entscheidungsfreiheit!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jedem ist klar, das das Rauchen krank machen kann, andere Genussmittel aber auch!!!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Vielfalt ist positiv!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Exsistenzvernichtung der Händler!!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sarah Harris

**email**

sharris@bluewin.ch

**country**

Switzerland

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

De notre point de vue, cela peut il peut être raisonnable d'élargir le champ d'application de la directive à ces produits. Toutefois, cela ne peut pas amener à ce que les produits précités soient interdits sans examen scientifique préalable. En raison de ce danger, nous avons décidé de choisir l'option, « no change ».

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Actuellement, il manque les connaissances scientifiques qui prouveraient que des mises en gardes sanitaires plus importantes seraient plus efficaces. En outre, il n'est prouvé en aucune manière que la standardisation des paquets de cigarettes permettrait de protéger la santé. La standardisation augmenterait au contraire le danger des contrefaçons et avec cela on paverait la voie à la contrebande."

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Déjà avec le droit actuel, les Etats membres peuvent prévoir des taxes et d'autres sanctions. Dès ce fait, il n'existe aujourd'hui aucun motif suffisant qui permettrait à l'UE de revendiquer des compétences supplémentaires dans ce domaine. C'est pourquoi, la mise en œuvre des dispositions de la directive doit rester à l'avenir de la seule compétence des Etats membres.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le problème de l'enregistrement des ingrédients devrait se poser uniquement si le degré de toxicité de la fumée de tabac est augmenté par les ingrédients ou non.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Dans ce domaine, on ne rencontre aucun problème, que ce soit dans la manière de fonctionner du marché intérieur ou que se soit dans le domaine de la protection de santé. Dans ce

domaine, ce qui doit avant out être respecté se sont les limites d'âge. Dans ce domaine, les Etats membres sont bien plus au fait du problème que ne l'est l'Union européenne.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSINELLI ROBERTO

**email**

rromro@hotmail.com

**country**

SVIZZERA

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NON SI DISPONE PER IL MOMENTO DI PROVE SULL'EFFICACIA DEI MESSAGGI DI AVVERTIMENTO SULLA SALUTE , NIENTE CI DICE CHE METTERE TUTTI GLI

IMBALLAGGI UGUALI ABBIAMO EFFICACIA SULLA PROTEZIONE DELLA SALUTE. LE STANDARDIZZAZIONI E I PROIBIZIONISMI CONTRIBUISCONO A CONTRAFFARE, CREARE MERCATI PARALLELI E FAVORISCE IL CONTRABBANDO E NON DI MENO LE PROIBIZIONI INCENTIVANO A INFRANGERLE

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

GLI STATI MEMBRI PREVEDONO GIÀ DELLE TASSE E SANZIONI. SECONDO ME NON ESISTE UNA GIUSTIFICAZIONE SUFFICIENTE CHE L'UE SI ATTRIBUISCA ALTRE COMPETENZE SUPPLEMENTARI. LE PRESCRIZIONI E LE DIRETTIVE DEVONO CONTINUARE AD ESSERE LASCIATE AGLI STATI

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

PER LE PUBBLICAZIONI E ADDITIVI BISOGNEREBBE UNICAMENTE SPECIFICARE SE ACCRESCONO LA TOSSICITÀ O NO NEL FUMO DEL TABACCO

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IN MERITO AL MODO DI FUNZIONAMENTO DEL MERCATO INTERNO O IN RIGUARDO ALLA PROTEZIONE DELLA SALUTE È IMPORTANTE CHE LE PRESCRIZIONI ESISTENTI VENGANO RISPETTATE, SOPRATTUTTO NEI CONFRONTI DEI LIMITI DI ETÀ (GIOVANI NON MAGGIORENNI) A QUESTO PROPOSITO GLI STATI MEMBRI SONO IN POSIZIONE MIGLIORE CHE L'UE

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ramon Sabaté

**email**

r.sabate@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

andreas diener

**email**

diener.a@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Hernández

**email**

dhernandez3@vodafone.es

**country**

España

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

de forville

**email**

fdeforville@wanadoo.fr

**country**

Suisse

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Actuellement, il manque les connaissances scientifiques qui prouveraient que des mises en gardes sanitaires plus importantes seraient plus efficaces. En outre, il n'est prouvé en aucune manière que la standardisation des paquets de cigarettes permettrait de protéger la santé. La standardisation augmenterait au contraire le danger des contrefaçons et avec cela on paverait la voie à la contrebande."

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Déjà avec le droit actuel, les Etats membres peuvent prévoir des taxes et d'autres sanctions. Dès ce fait, il n'existe aujourd'hui aucun motif suffisant qui permettrait à l'UE de revendiquer des compétences supplémentaires dans ce domaine. C'est pourquoi, la mise en œuvre des dispositions de la directive doit rester à l'avenir de la seule compétence des Etats membres.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le problème de l'enregistrement des ingrédients devrait se poser uniquement si le degré de toxicité de la fumée de tabac est augmenté par les ingrédients ou non.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Dans ce domaine, on ne rencontre aucun problème, que ce soit dans la manière de fonctionner du marché intérieur ou que se soit dans le domaine de la protection de santé. Dans ce domaine, ce qui doit avant out être respecté se sont les limites d'âge. Dans ce domaine, les Etats membres sont bien plus au fait du problème que ne l'est l'Union européenne

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicole Galler

**email**

nicole.hoffmann@tankstelle.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

beeinflusst mich in meinen persönlichkeitsrechten, ich bin ein mündiger Bürger und möchte selbst bestimmen können

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**



Lothar Wallasch

**email**

tlwallasch@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manfred Osterrieder

**email**

brima@manost.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Rainer Eichner

**email**  
rainer.eichner@gmx.de

**country**  
Austria

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mercè Sabaté

**email**

m.sabate@hotmail.es

**country**

España

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

busse

**email**

budde-luebbecke.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Rosemarie Pietsch

**email**  
eyliner01@aol.com

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
63

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No



**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul August Grabner

**email**

paul.grabner@inode.at

**country**

Austria

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Emmi Klingler

**email**

anvoklingler@-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Silvia Eppert

**email**  
aivlis1964

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
46

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Volker Klingler

**email**

anvoklingler@-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

michael kuehne

**email**

michael\_kuehne@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

24

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein komentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein komentar

**additional comments**  
kein komentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein komentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein komentar

**additional comments**  
kein komentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein komentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein komentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Pasewaldt Janette

#### **email**

Jane-Coxqweb.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

30

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Ich bin kein Kleinkind sondern eine Mündige Bürgerin und brauche keine Richtlinie die mich vor mir selbst schützt

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

K.K

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claudia Gattow

**email**

claudiagattow@nord-com.net

**country**

Germany

**age**  
47

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Keine weitere Einschränkung meiner persönlichen Freiheit

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Keine weitere Einschränkung meiner persönlichen Freiheit

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Keine weitere Einschränkung meiner persönlichen Freiheit

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Keine weitere Einschränkung meiner persönlichen Freiheit

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Keine weitere Einschränkung meiner persönlichen Freiheit

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Keine weitere Einschränkung meiner persönlichen Freiheit

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Keine weitere Einschränkung meiner persönlichen Freiheit

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weitere Einschränkung meiner persönlichen Freiheit

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weitere Einschränkung meiner persönlichen Freiheit

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maurina Grabner

**email**

maurina.grabner@inode.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

23

**gender**

female

**questions scope**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment!

**additional comments**

no comment!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment!

**additional comments**

no comment!

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment!

**additional comments**

no comment!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment!

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
no comment!

**additional comments**  
no comment!

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment!

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
no comment!

**additional comments**  
no comment!

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment!

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no comment!

**additional comments**  
no comment!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Romagna Enrico

**email**

enrico.romagna@vol.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

48

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt schon zu viele Regelungen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Schützt vor Passivrauch

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zumutung und Bevormundung der Konsumenten

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zuviel Bürokratie

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Beibehaltung der Vielfalt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Selbstbestimmung für Konsument und Händler soll Ländersache bleiben

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Opgenoorth Frank

**email**

frank.opgenoorth@mg-hardt.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Tabakwaren sollten nur in Tabakfachgeschäften verkauft werden, und nicht in jedem Supermarkt an der Kasse.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jörg Gattow

**email**

joerg.gattow@nord-com.net

**country**

Germaby

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weitere Einschränkung meiner persönlichen Freiheit

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Keine weitere Einschränkung meiner persönlichen Freiheit

**additional comments**

Keine weitere Einschränkung meiner persönlichen Freiheit

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Keine weitere Einschränkung meiner persönlichen Freiheit

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ich habe als Raucher gute Erfahrungen gemacht durch Snus meinen Konsum einzuschränken

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weitere Einschränkung meiner persönlichen Freiheit

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keine weiteren Kommentare

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Keine weitere Einschränkung meiner persönlichen Freiheit

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weitere Einschränkung meiner persönlichen Freiheit

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freie Sichtbarkeit für legale Produkte!

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Lisa Grabner

#### **email**

lisa.grabner@inode.at

#### **country**

Austria

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment !

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

no comment !

#### **additional comments**

no comment !

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

no comment !

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional option**

no comment !

#### **additional comments**



no comment !

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment !

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment !

**additional comments**

no comment !

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment !

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment !

**additional comments**

no comment !

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment !

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment !

**additional comments**

no comment !

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment !

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment !

**additional comments**

no comment !

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brück Detlev

**email**

d-brueck@versanet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin gegen eine Erweiterung der Richtlinien, da die bisherige Regelung der EU völlig ausreichend ist. Es wird mit zweierlei Maß bemessen. Steuern ja -Raucher nein Das macht keinen Sinn. Entweder sollte man keine Tabakwaren mehr vertreiben und so auch keine Steuereinnahmen eintreiben, oder dem Raucher noch noch seine menschliche Würde lassen und den Nichtraucherchutz maßvoll umsetzen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Die rauchlosen Erzeugnisse sind nur eine Verlagerung des Problems und ist keine dauerhafte Lösung. Es könnten noch mehr Menschen in eine neuartige orale Abhängigkeit verfallen.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir leben in einer Marktwirtschaft und der Wegfall der Produktmerkmale würde nicht das eigentliche Problem lösen. Ganz im Gegenteil, hier würde ein neues Monopol aufgebaut und das Produkt an sich für den Konsumenten noch interessanter gemacht.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anita Klingler

**email**

anvoklingler@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lukas Grabner

**email**

luk85@web.de

**country**

Austria

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Margit Grabner

**email**

margit.grabner@inode.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar !

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar !

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar !

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No



**comments**

Kein Kommentar !

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar !

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar !

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar !

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar !

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar !

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar !

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar !

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar !

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar !

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar !

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar !

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar !

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar !

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar !

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ellen Grobecker

**email**

ellen0608@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jedem Raucher ist bewusst, dass rauchen schädlich ist und soll und kann selber entscheiden, was er tut.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Da nur noch volljährige Personen Tabakwaren erwerben können, kann jeder selber entscheiden, was er tut. Die Problematik (Gesundheit) ist bekannt. Wir sind mündige Bürger und ICH möchte diese Einschränkung nicht, ich fühle mich dadurch in meiner Persönlichkeit eingeschränkt.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe meine andren Antworten.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe meine vorherigen Antworten

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe meine vorherigen Antworten

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe meine vorhergehenden Antworten

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

erminio ferraioli

**email**

ermy@inwind.it

**country**

italy

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Förster

**email**

matthias.foerster@versanet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heidrun Weinmann

**email**

hw.1067@t-online

**country**

germany

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Aufhören mit dem Richtlinien-Wahnsinn !!!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Butz

**email**

butz.schriese@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Its my Problem, not yours, from Eu

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No



**comments**

What is the Problem? Let the Smoker smoke, smoke every tabaco !!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Its enough Eu over all

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Its not a Problem for Eu !

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not a problem for Eu. Smokers have enough rules !!!

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

It is not a problem for Eu. Smokers have enough rules !!! I hate the EU !!!

**additional comments**

It is not a problem for Eu. Smokers have enough rules !!! I hate the EU !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not a problem for Eu. Smokers have enough rules !!! I hate the EU !!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

It is not a problem for Eu. Smokers have enough rules !!!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Röbitza

**email**

hans.robirta@networld.at

**country**

Österreich

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Machens

**email**

j.machens@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

dr. schiffler

#### **email**

ludger.schiffler@fu-berlin.de

#### **country**

germany

#### **age**

73

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **recommend option**

horror-pictures on the packages

#### **additional comments**

political pression on EU-counries no advertisement no film with smoking actors films in TV on prime time with cancer reports and visual support showing patients. Let them speak too.

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

we must forbid shishas

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ismail renklitepe

**email**

iso\_renklitepe@hotmail.de

**country**

wiesbaden

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil wir sehen möchten was wir kaufen wollen!!!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

meh informationen für menschen,anstat ware verstecken!!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

information !!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

information

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

information

**which option**



No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

information!!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

information

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hildegard Klaholz

**email**

rKlaholz@unitybox.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Immer auf die Raucher

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

J.S.Kuijper

**email**

essomacor@planet.nl

**country**

Nederland

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wilfried Zimmermann

**email**

zim005@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cornelia Winkler

**email**

winki13@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
52

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrich Kaiser

**email**

uli.kai@me.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

romstadt,gudrun

**email**

Gudrun.Romstadt@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

nein

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke das bei Tabakwaren wie auch anderen Produkten die konsumiert werden jeder Erwachsene ein Recht hat sich nach seinem Geschmack frei entscheiden zu dürfen. Daher gehören die Angaben mit auf die Verpackung und da jeder eine andere Vorliebe hat sollte es auch jedem möglich sein diese auszuleben.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie soll ein kleines Unternehmen das sich vielleicht gerade noch so durchschlägt so etwas leisten können? Wo kommt dann ein neuer Wind her? Wer ist dann noch in der Lage neue Ideen umzusetzen? So werden Innovationen im Keim erstickt.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Seit Ihr noch zu retten? Jeder weiß das nicht alle Zusatzstoffe unbedenklich sind. Aber selbst in vielen Lebensmitteln werden jede Menge nicht ganz unbedenkliche Zutaten verwand. ( Süßstoffe, künstliche Aromen und so weiter) Tabakwaren kauft jedoch keine Familie um sie den Kindern mit zur Schule zu geben, bei vielen Fertigprodukten ist das jedoch der Fall. Tabakwaren kaufen Erwachsene. Und die wissen das sie nicht gesund sind. Erwachsene haben ein Recht sich einen Genuss zu können auf den sie Lust haben und nicht sich alles vorschreiben zu lassen

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finde es völlig in Ordnung das Tabakwaren nur an volljährige Personen verkauft werden dürfen. Aber ein Verbot der Präsentation wäre sehr, sehr traurig. Wie sehen denn dann unseren kleinen Buden aus? sollen sie statt dessen Schnapps und Bier präsentieren? Tabakwaren werben nicht mit rauchenden Personen sondern subtil, so das Kinder gar nicht auf den Gedanken kommen:" das möchte ich auch" Jeder weiß was alles aufgrund des Tabakkonsums passieren kann dazu braucht es keine Gruselbilder. Außerdem ist der Mensch ein Gewohnheitstier was schlechte Nachrichten angeht sie werden nach ein paar Wiederholungen einfach nicht mehr wahrgenommen. Auserdem sind alle Dinge die nicht offen verkauft werden für Heranwachsene sehr viel erstrebenswerter zu besitzen.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brigitte Lohmann

**email**

scorleo@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrich Nieken

**email**

ulrich.nieken@online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no more restrictions- we have more than plenty of it.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Huber

**email**

gerhard.huber1@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Keine Änerung

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Keine Änderung Erwachsene personen werden in ihren Entscheidungen eingeschränkt.

**additional comments**  
no

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
keine Änderungeine Notwendigkeit rauchlose Tabakprodukte zu verbieten besteht absolut nicht.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Aufhebung des Verkaufsverbots von Snus in Europa

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Keine Änderung. Dem Schmuggel und den Fälschern von Tabakprodukten wir Ihre Arbeit dadurch erleichtert. Ist das Sinn dieser Regelung?

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderung

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Einheitsgeschmack ist nicht erwünscht.

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderung

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

keine Änderung

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderung

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Entweder haben Sie den Mut den Tabakanbau und den Tabakgenuss in Europa zu verbieten, andernfalls hören Sie auf unsinnige Änderungen und populistische Verbote zu erlassen. Europa hat glaube ich wichtiger Probleme als mit einem jahrhundertalten Genussmittel in dieser Art und Weise zu beschäftigen



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Michaela Jesernik

#### **email**

simply.weird@yahoo.de

#### **country**

Austria

#### **gender**

female

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

### **which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

esch

**email**

e.esch@aon.at

**country**

austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zu viele regulierungen - einmischungen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

selbstbestimmung für konsument

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Miklós Bába

#### **email**

babamiklos@gmail.com

#### **country**

Hungary

#### **age**

25

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **additional comments**

The decision makers should also think about the fact, that this proposal, and the probable changes affect highly the farmers, who grow tobacco for a living. They should make up some optional support for changing the farm structure for these farmers as for example in the case of sugar beet in Hungary.

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

#### **which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ruth Lorey

**email**

nc-loreyru2@netcologne.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

56

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
Die Verteufelung von Rauchern ist unzumutbar. Wer denkt an die ganzen Alkoholiker ?????

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Es ist langsam genug mit der Verteufelung von Tabak

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
keine Verteufelung des Rauchens mehr

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Warum immer auf die Raucher

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Weinöhr

**email**

stefan.weinoehrl@mx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**



No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Florian Perger

**email**

flo.perger@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Nichtraucherschutz wird nicht durch die Richtlinienänderung verbessert. Die freie Wahl jedes Bürgers, zu rauchen oder es zu lassen sollte nicht durch Verordnungen gelenkt werden. Maßnahmen, die das Marketing und den Verkauf betreffen, zielen auf die falsche Zielgruppe. Kein Raucher wird deshalb aufhören und Jugendliche werden dadurch erst recht zur Zigarette greifen.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Ich empfehle absolute Wahlfreiheit ab einem gewissen Alter und breite Aufklärung.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das Problem liegt nicht im Verkauf, sondern im krankhaften Regulierungs- und Nichtraucherwahn. Mir ist bewußt, daß Rauchen gefährlich ist, das ist aber auch Autofahren, Sport und das Leben an sich. Ich will rauchen und das ist mein Recht!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Raucher raucht wegen Zusatzstoffen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zusatzstoffe sind nicht das Problem, es gibt kein Problem!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Corleis, Sandra

#### **email**

sandy.corleis@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

21

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Corleis, Andrea

**email**

andrea.corleis@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
48

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Hoves Roland

**email**  
roland.hoves@versanet.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
41

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aita Krumböck

**email**

Anita@aq.net

**country**

Österreich

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Keine Änderung

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
no

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Zu viel Bürokratie

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Soll Ländersache bleiben

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

schagl vera

**email**

aon.913316463@aon.at

**country**

österreich

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

keine änderung

**additional comments**

no command

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

hilft beim rauchen aufhören

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no command

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no command

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
viel bürokratie

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
erhalt der vielfalt

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
nein

**additional comments**  
no

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
freier zugang

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eva Florian

**email**

evaflorian@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MELLINI FABIANA

**email**

p.landeschi@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale counterfeited and smuggled.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Hofstödter

**email**

mhofstoedter@gmx.at

**country**

austria

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephanie Fischer

**email**

dooli@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist jedem selbst überlassen ob er raucht oder nicht

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

da ich mitarbeiterin einer Tankstelle bin denke ich das man dieses nicht verbieten sollte da der Tabakumsatz sonst total entallen würde was ein umsatzverlust für den Pächter ist

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie schon auf der seite zuvor geschrieben. wäre es ein massiver verlust wenn man den Tabakverkauf an Tankstellen verbieten würde

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

das es masenhafte geldeinbußungen mit sich bringen wird

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Günter Florian

**email**

evaflorian@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

65

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Georg König

**email**

georgkoenig@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Neifer, Hans-Joachim

**email**

achim@neifer.eu

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Brüssel reguliert mittlerweile genug und muss nicht dem Bürger nicht jede Entscheidung abnehmen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

siehe oben

**which option**

No change



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich halte die gegenwärtigen Warnhinweise für ausreichend.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**additional comments**

Eine Liste von Zusatzstoffen, die zugelassen sind sollte öffentlich zugänglich sein

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harald Maurer

**email**

harald.maurer@chello.at

**country**

Österreich

**age**

66

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommnetar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kieschnick Dieter

**email**

dieter.kieschnick@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

FABBI LUCIA

#### **email**

mgnassi@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

58

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale counterfeited and smuggled.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Prof Gustav Hanke BSc MSc PhD

**email**

ghanke@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

70

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is my choice how I live and I don't want any regulations of unnecessary things

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Laissez faire!

**additional comments**

not at all

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

not necessary

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no changes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Useless

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

we are adult not children!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephan Maschewski

**email**

smasche@gmx.de



**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Top-Mensing

**email**

nt.mensing@mx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Tabakshop St. Widera

**email**  
kubilei@arcor.de

**country**

Germany, Oberhausen

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Baur Nena Kolpingstrasse 88213 Oberzell

**email**

nenabaur@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keine wissenschaftlichen Erkenntnisse darüber ob diese Problemdarstellung überhaupt eine ist. Warum muss die EU alles regulieren.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Warum verbietet man was in der Union etwas, was in Schweden erlaubt ist? Wo blaubt da die Gleichberechtigung? Der Gebrauch von Snus gefährdet ja wohl nicht die Gesundheit Dritter.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Warnhinweise in Form von Bildern würde der Verbraucher nur abstoßend finden und wird sich dann Zigaretten-Etuis oder sogenannte Überzieher kaufen um diese nicht mehr zu sehen. Ein Raucher ist sich der Risiken sehr wohl bewußt und braucht nicht noch Bilder auf den Packungen. Was ist mit den Produktfälschern, denen wird durch neutrale Verpackungen doch die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wer soll das bezahlen, was da der Wirtschaft von der Kommission an zusätzlichen dauerhaften Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegt wird. Aber das scheint die Herren in Brüssel mal wieder nicht zu Interessieren.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Begriff attraktiv im Hinblick auf Zusatzstoffe ist doch ein sehr subjektiv Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Ziele des Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutzes sind auch durch andere, weniger einschneidende Maßnahmen gleichermaßen erreichbar. Weis die Union eigentlich wieviele Arbeitsplätze bei Abschaffung der Automaten auf dem Spiel stehen, ich glaube eher nicht. Obwohl es Ihr wahrscheinlich auch egal ist!!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ullrich Swoboda

**email**

mail@ullrich-swoboda.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

not EU's responsibility

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

free decision of the citizen as a fundamental right

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Health policy is not EU's responsibility but responsibility of each EU member

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POGGI ARTURA

**email**



dd@rr.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

73

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale counterfeited and smuggled.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jorge

**email**

jorsague@telefonica.net

**country**

Spain

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martina Niclas

**email**

niclasfam@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar, Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Ich denke, dass Snus weniger schädlich ist als Cigaretten. Warum kann man Snus nicht legal in Deutschland kaufen?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Frank Streicher

#### **email**

streicher-frank@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

53

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tobias Susewind

**email**

t.susewind@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
37

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No



**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ralph Schuldt

**email**  
ralph.schuldt@tobaccoland.com

**country**  
Deutschland

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Gmeiner

**email**  
k.gmeiner@online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Bauer

**email**

post@mb-bauer.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tas-Tankstelle Schwan

**email**

schwan-tas@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keim kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Leonardo De Araujo

**email**

leonardoaraujo@alice-dsl.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**



citizen

**name**

Max Schneider

**email**

max.schneider@o2online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Behlau

**email**  
claudia.behlau-hermkes@gmx.de

**country**  
germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein komemtar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lorena Perez Herrero

**email**

lorena.perez.herrero@hotmail.com

**country**

spain

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comments

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**additional option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hermkes

**email**

winfried.hermkes@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komemtar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Helmut Klünder

**email**

Helmut.kluender@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

67

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Giorgio Guerrieri

**email**

giorgio.guerrieri@me.com

**country**

italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I disagree , because i think smoking is a prerogative of a well informed adult people , for this reason i disagree any restrictive laws that limited , the freedom of a choice, i agree with respect for non smoker but i wish that smoker can satisfy their needs as much as possible without any frustrations.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

well controlled and efficient smoking access policy

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Jenauer

**email**

schreibwaren-jenauer@online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Günter Barner

**email**

guenter-barner@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jedynakowski Kazimir

**email**

kazik28@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΣΟΥΛΙΟΣ-ΠΑΤΑΠΗΣ ΚΥΡΙΑΚΟΣ

**email**

patapis1990@hotmail.com

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΠΑΤΑΠΗΣ ΘΑΝΟΣ

**email**

diablo\_thanos@hotmail.com

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Buder

**email**

brusat-buder@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

51

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
ΣΟΥΛΙΟΥ-ΠΑΤΑΠΗ ΖΩΗ-ΒΑΣΙΛΙΚΗ

**email**  
zoivasiliki@hotmail.com

**country**  
ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sterzer Michaela

**email**

zu-spo@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
50

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
wir leben nicht mehr im Mittelalter

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Schuldt

**email**  
dedo1965@live.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Ich finde wenn mann Rauchfreie erzeugnisse anbietet ,kann man auch gleich einen

kugelschreiber lutschen,denn rauchen muss man genissen

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es wird denn verkäufern unnötig das geschäft erschwert

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

das mit denn zusatzstoffen finde ich ok.weil tabak ohne Zs einfach besser schmeckt

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wie schon gesagt wird denn verkäufern das leben nur erschwert,und ich glaube fest daran das solche maßnahmen nur arbeitsplätze kostet

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ute Brusat

**email**  
u-brusat@gmx.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
43

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
ΣΟΥΛΙΟΣ-ΠΑΤΑΠΗΣ ΑΘΑΝΑΣΙΟΣ

**email**  
thanos.patapis@gmail.com

**country**  
ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pollier, Sybille

**email**

sybille.pollier@tobaccoland.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Eine Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. - Jede Form der Regulierung von Tabakerzeugnissen muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkte (Kräuterzigaretten). - Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. - Aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung(Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

### **comments**

- Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. - Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. - Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer Rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermischen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalyse aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

- Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. - Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich Überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. - Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. - Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. - Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. - Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. - Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen..) kontraproduktiv. - Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. - Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Das man nun daraus den Schluss zieht, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

### **which option**

No change

## **questions on reporting**

### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

- Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analysen der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. - - Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten ( jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. - Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Einen notwendigen Handlungsbedarf der Kommission spiegelt die Problemdarstellung nicht wieder.

### **which option**

No change

## **regulation of ingredients**

### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

- Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. - Der Begriff attraktiv ist ein subjektives Kriterium für eine Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. - Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden( Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. - Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. - Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

### **which option**

No Change

## **access to tobacco products**

### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

-Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. -Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „ Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. -Die Problembeschreibung Zigarettenautomat ist überflüssig, da die

Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht aus dem Nov.09 zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC festgehalten hat. - Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend. - Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen, sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Ein Handlungsbedarf wird daher nicht gegeben. -Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs aus Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. - Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten z.B.aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen. -Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat – diese Kompetenz ist in den EU- Verträgen festgelegt. Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzel- ermächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hin geprüft wurde ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. -Dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlichen Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automaten- Vertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise. -Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufsstellen verschwinden. -Die Problembeschreibung verschweigt wesentliche Sachverhalte, die für die abschließende Bewertung der Bürgern von Wichtigkeit sein können -- Beispielhaft führe ich hier eine Stellungnahme der Deutschen Bundesregierung vom 01.04.2010 im Rahmen einer kleinen Anfrage der Fraktion Bündnis90/Die Grünen im Hinblick auf ein generelles Automatenverbot an: „ Im Rahmen der Verhältnismäßigkeit sieht die Bundesregierung die bereits existierenden gesetzlichen Vorschriften und die in Vollzug dieser Vorschriften erfolgte Umsetzung der technischer Sicherung von Zigarettenautomaten durch Alterskontrolle per Geldkarte oder europäischem Führerschein als derzeit ausreichend und als die mildesten Mittel an, um das Abgabeverbot von Tabakwaren durch Automaten an Minderjährige wirksam zu regeln. -Eine Abschaffung der Automaten wird aus wettbewerbs- wie verfassungsrechtlichen Gründen als nicht durchsetzbar und darüber hinaus als unverhältnismäßig angesehen. Die Ziele des Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutzes sind durch andere, weniger einschneidende Maßnahmen wie die Altersverifikation an Automaten gleichermaßen erreichbar.

#### **which option**

No change

---

#### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jarocki Danuta

**email**

tz-shop@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**



Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tobias Winkler

**email**

lacuess@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

19

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mock Steffen

**email**

mogli.geo@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claus-Peter Bensch

**email**

cpbensch@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There's already warning signs on all products... I can read, so do most other people...

Information yes - your fanatic nonsmoking plans: NO!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I know what I'm doing when I light up my cigarette... I eat unhealthy food too. It's not your business. Try to improve your own life...

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Something like: Who cares? You don't regulate what they put in Vodka... Or beer. Or whatever. Let people live their lives!

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

Try to find some useful political subjects to pass the time. It's not the fault of the smokers that you earn too much money and now try to do as much as you can to get on my nerves with regulating everything you get in mind...

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

G. Meindl

**email**

georgqaikikai.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Demokratie ja, Faschismus in welchen Namen auch immer nein. Nichtrauchererschutz ja, aber auch Raucherschutz. Weltverbesserung, zeigt die Geschichte, endet nie in der Demokratie.

Stichwort: 3. Reich, Stalin, Pol Pot.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Technologie.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Wie vorher angegeben. Ich habe nicht für die EU abgestimmt um diese Gesetze zu bekommen. Jetzt darf ich, auch durch Rauchen, über meine Steuern Irland retten. Pleiten sind erlaubt, Rauchen nicht. Prohibition und Pleiten gehören scheinbar zusammen.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Krieg verbieten. Auch ich kann Scherze machen. Ich wünsche mir ein Reinheitsgebot für Tabak wie beim Bier.

**additional comments**

Gesundheitsfaschismus führt zum Dominoeffekt. Nach Ausrottung des ersten Feindbildes folgt da nächste. Auch Hitler wurde zugejubelt. Sind die Paralelen nicht sichtbar?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie vorher.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie vorher.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie vorher.

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schulz

**email**

csmschulz@googlemail.com

**country**

germany

**age**

44

**gender**



female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Florian Kraus

**email**

mojakeflo@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CS

**email**

you@wontgetme.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tobacco should be banned!

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**

Ban cigarettes!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heike Lichte

**email**

hlichte@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claudia Fabian

**email**

clsclueter@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Simon Andreas

#### **email**

andron300@gmx.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

29

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Kimpel

**email**

kimpel.michael@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sandy Schulz

**email**

sandy.schulz78@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Keine Änderung erforderlich, denn Kaugummis sind ein anderes Produkt wie Cigaretten.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Wissenschaftliches Beweismaterial fehlt, welches nachweist, dass Snus schädlicher sind als Cigaretten.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nur weil größere Warnhinweise auf den Verpackungen sind, werden Raucher nicht abgeschreckt!! Es besteht eher die Gefahr, das Kinder auf die bunten Bilder aufmerksam werden und somit früher mit dem Thema Cigaretten konfrontiert werden. Das Plain Packing hingegen führt zu einer unkontrollierbaren Flut an Schmuggelware und Plagiate, denn es werden ausführliche Test von nöten, um die Echtheit von Cigaretten und deren Verpackungen zu prüfen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es sollte ein einheitliches Meldeformat auf EU-Ebene geschaffen werden, wobei die Geheimnisse der einzelnen Hersteller gewahrt werden sollten.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Mensch der raucht sollte sich im klaren sein, welcher Gefahr er sich aussetzt. Es ist nicht zu beweisen, dass die Cigaretten mit Inhaltsstoffen "gesünder" sind als regulierte.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Bezug von Tabakprodukte über das Internet ist nicht kontrollierbar, somit können

Jugendliche unter 18 Jahre einfacher an Cigaretten gelangen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kügler Ulrich

**email**

ulrich.kuegler@hauser-augsburg.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Liszt

**email**

p.liszt@gmx.net

**country**

Austria

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Haeusler

**email**

gehard-haeusler@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**additional comments**

no additional regulations!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The illegal production and sales of cigarettes would increase dramatically. Will you create an additional drug scene?

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Germany has to decide that independent! Any member state should do that.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

v

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Stop, regulating everything!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Juergen Mielert

**email**

juergenmielert@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

philip morris international

**email**

Marek.Simunek@pmintl.com

**country**

czech republic

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Rozsah Směrnice může být rozšířen tak, aby pokryl i tyto výrobky, nicméně nemělo by to

zahrnovat jejich automatický zákaz. Veškeré zakazy musí být založeny na vědeckých poznatcích.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Neexistují žádné důkazy, že větší varování jsou efektivnější. Není žádný důkaz, že jednotná balení zlepší fungování vnitřního trhu nebo budou mít pozitivní zdravotní dopady. Naopak, pro nelegální výrobce bude daleko jednodušší kopírovat značky a povede to k nárůstu nelegálního prodeje cigaret.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Členské státy již mají možnost zavést poplatky a sankce. Dodržování těchto pravidel by mělo být i nadále ponecháno v zodpovědnosti každého státu

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Testování přísad by se mělo zaměřit na to, zda-li zvyšují toxicitu tabákového kouře

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Není zde jasně stanovený problém pokud jde o prodejní automaty nebo místa prodeje. Nejsou

zde důkazy naznačující jak by zákazy mohly zlepšit fungování vnitřního trhu.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heinz Jürgen Hellmann

**email**

h-j.hellmann@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich fühle mich in meiner persönlichen Entscheidungsfreiheit eingeengt.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Entscheidungsfreiheit

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte frei entscheiden

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freie Entscheidung

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freie Entscheidung

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fischer, Burkhard

**email**

b.fischer2001@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sie müssen sich nicht in die Entscheidungsfreiheit der Bürger einmischen. Verwenden Sie unser Geld bitte nutzbringender.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susanne Goeldi

**email**

susanmail@bluewin.ch

**country**

Switzerland

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

All regulation must be consistent with the principles of individual freedom of choice, subsidiarity and proportionality set out in the EU Treaties.

**additional comments**

Overregulation can be counterproductive



**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Snus is a legal product in Sweden. In a common market, we should have all access to products which are legally available in any member state.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Health warnings are already too big in size. As a smoker they have no impact on my consumption since I am fully aware of the sideeffects of smoking. Plain packaging would destroy legitimate brands and reduce the quality of products.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

I pay high enough sin taxes to the Government for my smoking habit but do not want any further interference related to the product I consume. What next ? Road accidents and drunk drivers on my wine bottles ?

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Enforcement should remain in the hands of Member States.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional comments**

As a smoker, if you start fiddling around with my product, I will start growing quality tobacco in my own garden and avoid paying excessive excise duties.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The ingredients tested should prove that those are harmful. But overall smoking is harmful and it can certainly not be broken down in components.

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

As a smoker, if you start fiddling around with my product, I will start growing quality tobacco in my own garden and avoid paying excessive excise duties.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Access restriction should apply to children not to adult smokers.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Education rather than ban and limitations.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tatjana Scharawin

**email**

t.scharawin@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

25

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Herbert Brockhoff

#### **email**

hue.brockhoff@t-online.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

74

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wohlfrieden, Dirk

**email**

tabak-wohlfrieden@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kristin Eidelmann

**email**

Feivel68@LIVE:DE

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bungard,Karl-Heinz

**email**

bungard@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephan Thümmler

**email**

tex01ste@hotmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

23

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Benjamin Schöpfer

**email**  
ben87-schoepper@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Yvonne Spitzner

**email**

yvonespitzner@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Birgit Gerritsen

**email**

Lottoelten@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Philipp S.

**email**

philipp.smartie@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment - but irreproducible for many - it's just enforcement of control

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

people should be free regarding deciding on anything

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Nicole Neumann

**email**

nicole@junotabak.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

gerhard sieker

**email**

gerhard.sieker@lekkerland.de

**country**

germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Gregor Keuchel

**email**

gregor.keuchel@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan-Paul Schöpfer

**email**

jan-paul.schoepper@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Nicolai Kosirog

#### **email**

kathodius@googlemail.com

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

49

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Nein, es muß meine Entscheidung bleiben, ob ich rauche oder nicht. Danach kommt die Flasche Bier und dann der Schweinebraten .ö

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional comments**

Ihr seid Spinner!!!

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Großler

**email**  
www.star.grossler@web.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**



No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Sven Gomber

#### **email**

sgomber@gmx.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

responsible citizens are able to decide by their own without any interferences by any GOV

### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

no comment

### **additional comments**

no comment

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

no comment

### **which option**

No change

### **additional option**

no comment

### **additional comments**

no comment

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Plain Packaging is a complete nonsens and won't reduce the amount of smokers. see finland.

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This is already arranged by national laws

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

stefanie follmann

**email**

volker\_presser@\_ arcor de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Kötte; Dietmar

**email**  
d-koette@onlinehome.de

**country**

D

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

deswegen wird es nicht besser.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

stefanie follmann

**email**

volker\_presser@\_ arcor de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

angelika assenmacher

**email**

info@abinurlaub.com

**country**

germany

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Harnisch

**email**

martinharnisch1@alice-dsl.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Stifter

**email**

gerhard@stifter-muenchen.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Alle reden davon, wie schädlich das Passiv Rauchen ist. Mit rauchfreien Produkten, wie z.B. dem SNUS könnte man dem doch super entgegenwirken, und trotzdem kämen die Konsumenten zu ihrem Nikotin. Warum ist man hier auf einmal so inkonsequent und will das nicht zulassen?

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Siehe Kommentar oben

**additional comments**

Siehe Kommentar oben

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Jeder Raucher weiss doch, worauf er sich einlässt, wenn er sich Zigaretten kauft. Da nützen auch abschreckende Bildchen oder weisse Verpackungen nichts.

**additional comments**

Siehe oben

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Informationen auf den Packungen sind absolut ausreichend.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Welchen Sinn soll es haben, die Tabakprodukte wegzusperren? Das ist doch wie bei kleinen Kindern - verbiete etwas, und es wird noch interessanter.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Siehe oben

**additional comments**

Siehe oben

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Günter Wehnert

**email**

guenter.wehnert@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

keinen Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petja Rose

**email**

petjka.rose@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**



No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mag. Wolfgang Böhm

**email**

alo@chello.at

**country**

Österreich

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Viele Millionen EU-Bürger zu bevormunden und Genussmittel wie die Zigarette durch eine neue EU-Richtlinie zu zerstören, führt nicht nur zur Unterbindung der Autonomie und Selbstverantwortung des Einzelnen, sondern auch dazu, dass sich die EU selber schadet, weil sich die daraus resultierende Frustration der Raucher bei anderen Wahlen und Abstimmungen negativ für die EU auswirken wird. In Österreich, wo rechtspopulistische Parteien großen Zulauf haben, wird jetzt schon von diesen Parteien für die Raucher Partei ergriffen. Wenn alle Zigaretten in etwa gleich schmecken, weil Zusatzstoffe zur Geschmacksvielfalt verboten sind, wird das jede(r) RaucherIn als Eingriff in die persönliche Privatsphäre empfinden und entsprechend mit Hass auf die EU reagieren. Eine solche Reaktion ist psychologisch plausibel und logisch. Denn warum Zigaretten zerstören, wenn Kinder wegen der Feinstaub-Belastung durch Autos an Krebs erkranken und schädliche Lebensmittel wie Schmalz und Extrawurst und Chips etc. nicht als schädlich gekennzeichnet sind. Konsequenterweise muss dann die EU auch dafür sorgen, dass alle Biersorten gleich schmecken und alle Weinsorten gleich schmecken und eventuell auch alle Kravatten gleich aussehen. Andernfalls muss man annehmen, dass viele EU-Politiker ein Alkoholproblem haben.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefanie Tripke

**email**

steffi-t88@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

22

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es würde den Menschen in ihrer Entscheidungsfreiheit eingrenzen!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Zigaretenschmuggel würde nur noch mehr ansteigen.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Läden würden langweilig aussehen, man würde keine Informationen über neue Produkte erhalten!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ewringmann Klaus

**email**

puk-ewringmann@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Gajda

**email**

chgajda@arcor.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
50

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
the design is very important and so it is necessary that the cigarette packaging stay like it already is

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katherina Göltzer

**email**

kgoeltzer@hotmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar, die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar, die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar, die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar, die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar, die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar, die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ing. Josef Klauber

**email**

Josef.Klauber@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The EU is the -roblem

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Fuck YOu

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Fuck you

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Fuck you

**additional comments**

Fuck you

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Fuck you and your sister

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Fuck you

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Fuck you

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Fuck you

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Fuck you

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Fuck you

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Fuck you

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

alferd guenther

**email**

guentheralfred@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommewntar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Christiana Maria Stifter

**email**  
christiana@stifter-muenchen.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
42

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Laubreiter

**email**

mlaubreiter@yahoo.com

**country**

Austria

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

strengthen the self-consciousness, give more information and give good alternatives to tobacco consumption

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information



**additional option**

Look at the results of neuromarketing studies (e.g. Buyology by Martin Lindstrom) and offer people alternatives to tobacco.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sabrina Schauer

**email**

sabrina.fischer83@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Mensch kann selbst entscheiden, ob er rauchen will oder nicht.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

da ich selbst an einer tankstelle tätig bin und mit tabakprodukten zu tun habe. denke ich, dass es ein massiver geldverlust wäre, wenn man tabak verbieten sollte!!

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

da ich selbst an einer tankstelle arbeite und mit tabakprodukten zu tun habe, denke ich , dass ein tabakverbot massive geldeinbußungen mit sich herbringen würde

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich denke, dass es massive geldeinbußungen mit sich bringen würde

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tinneke Gies

**email**

tinnekegies@yahoo.com

**country**

Austria

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christine Boock

**email**

jcnboock@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Erdmann

**email**

I-Punkt-badharzburg@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Laslo Cikos

**email**

Laslo.Cikos@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claudia Dobke

**email**

claudiadobke@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brekelmans

**email**

jack-lian@planet.nl

**country**

Nederland

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AGGELIKI FYNTANAKI

**email**

AFynta@nbg.gr

**country**

greece

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Bell

**email**

bell-koeln@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die richtlinien reichen zur zeit aus

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Freies Land und Freie Bürger

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Bürger werden zu stark eingeschränkt

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Raucher weiß sowieso das Rauchen schädlich ist möchte aber auf das gnußerlebniss nicht verzichten

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ilja Häntsch

**email**

ilja.haentsch@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es stehen viele Existenzen auf dem Spiel

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manfred Klar

**email**

manfredklar@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

57

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pia-Elise Hein

**email**

Pia-Elise.Hein@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erich Varga

**email**

a.e.varga@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt schon genug Regelungen, die einzelnen Länder sollen für die Gesundheit zuständig bleiben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Schützt vor Pasivrauchen

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zumutung für den Konsumenten Ein legales Produkt DARF nicht unverkaufbar gemacht werden

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zuviel Bürokratie Die Kompetenz soll bei den Mitgliedsstaaten bleiben

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Erhalt der Geschmacksvielfalt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zugang zu legalen Produkten! Selbstbestimmung der Konsumenten. Es muss Ländersache  
belieben!!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan-Henrik Hein

**email**

Jan-Henrik.Hein@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Edgar Wagner

**email**

Ed.Loki@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**  
43

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Horst Hinrich Jäckel

**email**

Planung2000@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Glasmacher

**email**

wtp@gmx.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Mündige Bürger sollten frei entscheiden können, ob sie rauchen oder nicht. Tabakwaren sind legale Produkte, konsequent wäre nur ein Konsumverbot, dies stünde allerdings in krassem Missverhältnis zur quasi Legalisierung von Mariuhana oder Ersatzdrogen wie Methadon und Alkohol. Wo fängt dies an wo hört dies auf?, die staatliche Bevormundung geht entschieden zu weit. Danach kommen Süßigkeiten, zuckerhaltige Getränke, Fast Food und übergewichtige Menschen dran etc. Unsere Politiker werden es schon richten? - Wasser predigen und Wein trinken. Pervers, kontraproduktiv, ja schizophran ist allerdings die Tatsache, dass alle EU-Staaten kräftig daran verdienen, durch Tabaksteuer und sonstige Verbrauchssteuern!!! Das gesamte angedachte Maßnahmenpaket ist also nur ein Feigenblatt der Politik, die eine absolute Konsequenz vermissen lässt (Rauchverbot!) und die Reaktion einer Prohibition in Wirklichkeit fürchtet.

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**

Konsequent wäre nur ein totales Rauchverbot (Prohibition), also Abschaffung der Legalität aller Tabakprodukte und damit Kriminalisierung der Konsumenten und Schaffung attraktiver mafïöser Absatzkanäle krimineller Vereinigungen!

**additional comments**

Die Zeiten der repräsentativen Politik (besonders innerhalb der EU) sind vorbei, es sollte mehr Selbstbestimmung für Bürger geben, durch Volksabstimmung und Bürgerentscheide: Das wäre wahre Demokratie! Statt sich mit Nebenkriegsschauplätzen zu beschäftigen, sollte sich das EU-Parlament um die wirklich essentiellen Probleme kümmern. Gemeinsame Amtssprache innerhalb der EU, Währungsstabilitätssicherung des EURO, Harmonisierung der Sozialversicherungen und der Stundenlöhne usw.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**

siehe meine vorhergehenden Kommentare. Alle Reglementierungen führen dazu, dass junge Leute es besonders reizt es zu tun.

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**

Alle Reglementierungen führen dazu, dass junge Leute es besonders reizt es zu tun.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es sollte also keine weiteren Restriktionen mehr erfolgen, genug ist genug!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Durch Abschaffung von Packungs und Markendesigns werden künftige Produktinnovationen erschwert bzw. sogar behindert und damit Werbung und Wiedererkennung von besseren Produkten nahezu verhindert.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Diese Maßnahmen treffen ins Leere.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Diese Maßnahmen treffen ins Leere.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Peter Barowsky

#### **email**

peter.barowsky@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

51

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Staatlicher Eingriff in meine Persönlichkeitsrechte sowie die aller mündigen Bürger

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Mündige Bürger müssen selbstbestimmt entscheiden können, zu welchem Zeitpunkt sie welche Produkte kaufen. Auf diese Produkte muss ein freier Zugriff möglich sein. Unsinnige Einschränkungen - wie beispielsweise in den USA - sind eine unzulässige Bevormundung durch den Staat.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Achmann

**email**

achmann@yellowspace.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anke Hein

**email**

Anke.Hein@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Hein

**email**

Hansemann24@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Wiedorfer Thomas

**email**  
Wiedorfer@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
42

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Its enouf

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es muss nicht noch mehr reguliert werden .Wir haben genug von der Rgulierungswut der EU

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es ist genug

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Genug

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Genug

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Romstadt

**email**

dirgudi@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zuerst gehen die kioske und die kleinen tabakwarengeschäfte pleite,darauf die kleinen grosshändler. arbeitslosigkeit bis ans ende des lebens. Dann wird geheult dass keine steuern mehr reinkommen,ach ich vergass die biersteuer die kann man ja auch anheben folglich gehen die kleinen getränkemärkte kaputt,noch mehr arbeitslosigkeit,noch weniger steuern. ach ich vergass die wassersteuer.....

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Gündhart

**email**

guendhart@email.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't want more regulation, I want less regulation. I am a free citizen, or at least that is what I am told. I want to make my own decisions

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

An additional option would be to treat the citizens as adult people who want to live their lives without anyone telling them what to do. I was always a friend of a united Europe, but this whole Tobacco business makes me realize that it is not about uniting people but about controlling them.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

This is not a problem the EU should concern. Keep out.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Educate people about the dangers, if any.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The EU should not be more strict about Tobacco, alcohol and drugs but more liberal!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Get rid of all the warning signs on packaging. The packaging is private or company matter. Educate people in schools!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

The consumer has a right to know what is inside the product.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is okay to make declaration of ingredients compulsory, but do not interfere with the combination of the ingredients. This is not your business. The producer will look after the product and the citizen/consumer will decide whether they want to buy it or not!

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

I don't want neither the EU nor my own local government to interfere with products for grown up people.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The access for adult people should not be limited!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Concentrate on limiting the access for underaged / young people / children...

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tobias Sulikowski

**email**

Tobias@sulikowski.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

....

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

”””

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

6

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

””

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

”””

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

”””

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

brigitte kühn

**email**

kuehn-brigitte@teleson-mail.de

**country**

germany

**age**

56



**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die kleinen Geschäfte werden untergehen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Strauß

**email**

markus.strauss@hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Because the people are of age and enlightened. Laws are principle for living together in peace and equality. But it is very important not to patronize people. Nothing else will happen, if this plans will be implementet.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Not patronize the people in every part of their living!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I did before...

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I did before.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I did before.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Böhlicke

**email**

klaus.boehlicke@reemtsma.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Josef Wiese

**email**  
josef.wiese@arnold-andre.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
44

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als freier Bürger möchte ich frei entscheiden.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johann Jäger

**email**

h.jaeger.fr@googlemail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt wichtigere Dinge zu entscheiden

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich will keine Bevormundung durch Gutmenschen

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt Wichtigeres zu entscheiden

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich will keine Bevormundung durch Gutmenschen

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Giesen Martina

#### **email**

MartinaGiesen@gmx.net

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

51

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TZITZHS JOHN

**email**

ITzitz@nbg.gr

**country**

GREECE

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENYS

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ascherl

**email**

H.Ascherl@Vauen.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Sölkner

**email**

thomas.sorlkner@aon.at

**country**

Österreich

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Ursula Steinacher

#### **email**

ursula.steinacher1@chello.at

#### **country**

Österreich

#### **age**

57

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

kein Kommentar

#### **additional comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**



kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAvel Hampl

**email**

pa.hampl@seznam.cz

**country**

Česká republika

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Rozsah směrnice může být rozšířen, nicméně by to nemělo zahrnovat jejich zákaz.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

zákaz snusu je neodůvodněný a měl by být zrušen

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Neexistuje důkaz, že větší varování pomůže

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Povědomí o škodlivosti kouření je značně rozšířené.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

členské státy mají možnost zavést poplatky a sankce

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

regulace musí vycházet z vědecky platných kritérií

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nedává smysl ho měnit, stačí stávající regulace

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Současná regulace je dostatečná

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Současná regulace omezuje prodej dostatečně.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Zákaz vystavování by zvýšil nelegální obchod

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Monika Fellendorf

**email**

monika.fellendorf@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrea Franken

**email**

andreafranken@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Günter Kerschbaumer

**email**  
kerschi.g@gmail.com

**country**  
Austria

**age**  
39

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt schon zu viele Regelungen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kann helfen mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Enteignung der Markenrechte

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

soll in der Kompetenz der einzelnen Mitgliedsstaaten bleiben

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Erhalt der Geschmacksvielfalt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freier Zugang zu legalen Produkten

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΔΗΜΟΥ ΝΙΚΟΛΑΟΣ

**email**

an.dimou@hotmail.com

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**



No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENAS SXOLIO

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΔEN EXΩ SXOLIA

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

OXI SXOLIA

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA SXOLIO

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Παναγιωτοπουλος Κωνσταντινος

**email**

kopanagi@hotmail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TAKAKHΣ EMMANOYHA

**email**

etakakis@hotmail.com

**country**

EΛΛΑΔΑ

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΔΕΝ ΕΧΩ ΣΧΟΛΙΑ

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΔΕΝ ΕΧΩ ΣΧΟΛΙΑ

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΠΑΣΣΑΛΗΣ ΠΑΝΑΓΙΩΤΗΣ

**email**

pan\_passalis@yahoo.gr

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**additional option**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΑ

**additional comments**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΑ

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**additional comments**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**additional comments**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**additional comments**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**additional comments**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΤΑΣΟΣ ΔΗΜΑΣ

**email**

tasos\_dimas@yahoo.com

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**additional comments**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**additional comments**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**regulation of ingredients**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**additional comments**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΗΡΑΚΛΗΣ ΜΑΜΑΝΤΟΣ

**email**

heraklis.mamantos@hotmail.com

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
ΔΕΝ ΕΧΩ ΝΑ ΠΡΟΣΘΕΣΩ ΚΑΤΙ

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
ΔΕΝ ΕΧΩ ΝΑ ΠΡΟΣΘΕΣΩ ΚΑΤΙ

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΔΕΝ ΕΧΩ ΝΑ ΠΡΟΣΘΕΣΩ ΚΑΤΙ

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GEORGE KATSOULAS

**email**

GKatso@nbg.gr

**country**

GREECE

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Fellendorf

**email**

nc-fellenmi@netcologne.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Doris Mandl-Schuller

**email**

mandl-schuller@speed.at

**country**

Austria

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ES gibt schon zu viele Regelungen. Die einzelnen Länder sollen für die Gesundheit zuständig

bleiben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kann helfen, mit den Rauchen aufzuhören. Schont die Atemwege des Rauchers, schützt vor Passivrauch,

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Enteignung der Markenrechte

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zuviel Bürokratie

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Erhalt der Geschmacksvielfalt,

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freier Zugang zu legalen Produkten

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrea Lommertz

**email**

sunndk@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change



**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ramona Hottas

**email**

tabakboerse-bilderdienst-lausitz.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommenatr

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alma Mekic

**email**

meskic@live.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steffen Jendrzeyewski

**email**

famjen@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gertrud Seifried

**email**

gertrud.seifried@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tautter Mario

**email**

tabaktrafik.tautter@tele2.at

**country**

Austria

**age**  
46

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar



**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALEKOS PAPANDREOU

**email**

APAPAN@NBG.GR

**country**

GREECE

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Volker Knittelfelder

**email**

cknittelfelder67@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Abschaffung der EU Kommission

**additional comments**

Willkommen in der Diktatur ! DDR lässt grüßen! Sind wir denn im Kindergarten? Zum Steuern zahlen sind wir gut,aber wir sollen uns in unserer Menschenwürde beschneiden lassen !

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gernot Schwemer

**email**

g.jonczik@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kei Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
PENELOPE YIANNOPOULOU

**email**  
PGIANN@NBG.GR

**country**  
GREECE

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Thorsten Binder

#### **email**

thorsten.binder@lekkerland.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hinrich Ehlers Dorfstr. 32 27624 Elmlohe

**email**

info@ferienwohnung-elmlohe.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

roland wagner

**email**

wagner-rmk@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marion Wagener

**email**

wagener-rmk@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weil die Bürger durch immer neue Vorschriften entmündigt werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Entmündigung der Bürger.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Entmündigung der Bürger

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Entmündigung der Bürger.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Alfs

**email**

alfsreich@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Igor Potocar

**email**

igor.potocar@zoznam.sk

**country**

Czech Republic

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence that bigger warnings are more effective. Easier for criminals to copy legitimate brands

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

member states can already introduce fees and sanctions.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**



The focus on ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence provided as to why bans would improve the functioning of the internal market or advance any public health objectives

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mike Thome

**email**

mike.thome@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

19

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jasmin Dietzler

#### **email**

temp.tation@gmx.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

20

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Miguel Angel Carrasco

**email**

miguelangel.carrasco@telefonica.net

**country**

España

**age**  
44

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comentarios

**which option**  
No change; Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

P. Pabst

#### **email**

ppabst@addcom.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

49

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Ein mündiger Bürger der Europäischen Gemeinschaft sollte für sich selbst persönlich entscheiden dürfen, für welche Genußmittel (i.S.a.v. Tabakgenußmitteln u.a.) er sich entscheiden möchte oder nicht. Dieses Recht gebührt jedem von Geburt an als zu respektierendes Individuum einer Gemeinschaft, sei es einer demokratischen Gemeinschaft eines Landes oder dem gemeinschaftlichen Zusammenschluß mehrerer Länder im Geiste und Sinn und Zweck einer Europäischen Union! Und nicht zuletzt haben die Gremien der EU ihr Bestehen genau all diesen Individuen zu verdanken, die nicht zuletzt über ihre Wählerstimme wie auch über ihre finanziellen, gemeinschaftlichen Abgaben im Sinne der entsprechenden Beiträge der einzelnen EU-Mitgliedstaaten grundsätzlich und per se deren Bestehen erst ermöglichen und finanzieren!

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Jedes EU-Mitgliedsland sollte auch in Zukunft selbst die Regularien bestimmen können.

#### **which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ein mündiger Bürger der Europäischen Gemeinschaft sollte für sich selbst persönlich entscheiden dürfen, für welche Genußmittel (i.S.a.v. Tabakgenußmitteln u.a.) er sich entscheiden möchte oder nicht. Dieses Recht gebührt jedem von Geburt an als zu respektierendes Individuum einer Gemeinschaft, sei es einer demokratischen Gemeinschaft eines Landes oder dem gemeinschaftlichen Zusammenschluß mehrerer Länder im Geiste und Sinn und Zweck einer Europäischen Union! Und nicht zuletzt haben die Gremien der EU ihr Bestehen genau all diesen Individuen zu verdanken, die nicht zuletzt über ihre Wählerstimme wie auch über ihre finanziellen, gemeinschaftlichen Abgaben im Sinne der entsprechenden Beiträge der einzelnen EU-Mitgliedstaaten grundsätzlich und per se deren Bestehen erst ermöglichen und finanzieren!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ein mündiger Bürger der Europäischen Gemeinschaft sollte für sich selbst persönlich entscheiden dürfen, für welche Genußmittel (i.S.a.v. Tabakgenußmitteln u.a.) er sich entscheiden möchte oder nicht. Dieses Recht gebührt jedem von Geburt an als zu respektierendes Individuum einer Gemeinschaft, sei es einer demokratischen Gemeinschaft

eines Landes oder dem gemeinschaftlichen Zusammenschluß mehrerer Länder im Geiste und Sinn und Zweck einer Europäischen Union! Und nicht zuletzt haben die Gremien der EU ihr Bestehen genau all diesen Individuen zu verdanken, die nicht zuletzt über ihre Wählerstimme wie auch über ihre finanziellen, gemeinschaftlichen Abgaben im Sinne der entsprechenden Beiträge der einzelnen EU-Mitgliedstaaten grundsätzlich und per se deren Bestehen erst ermöglichen und finanzieren!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raimund Belski

**email**

railin@online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Stephan Lys

**email**  
s.lys@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fiege, Manfred

**email**

fiege.manfred@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich fühle mich in meiner persönlichen Entscheidungsfreiheit eingeengt

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich fühle mich in meiner persönlichen Freiheit eingeschränkt

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bevormundung durch die Politik ist nicht mehr hinnehmbar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Persönlichkeitsrechte werden eingeschränkt

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine weiteren Einschränkungen der Persönlichkeitsrechte

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Persönlichkeitsrechte werden eingeschränkt

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rupprecht Daniel

**email**

MasterDesaster90@aol.com

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
20

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BEATRIZ GONZALEZ NAVARRO

**email**

BETYGONA78@HOTMAIL.COM

**country**

ESPAÑA

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMENTS

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMENTS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMENTS

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANUEL GALLEGO SANTOS

**email**

MANUELJESUSGALLEGO@HOTMAIL.COM

**country**

ESPAÑA

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMENTS



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMENTS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMENTS

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Marinova

**email**

Maria.Marinova@abv.bg

**country**

Bulgaria

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Directive on tobacco products should cover products containing tobacco. Electronic devices that deliver nicotine and other nicotine products should be reviewed as medicines

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Snus is no different from other smokeless tobacco products, so all should be treated in the same way. I do not understand why snus is banned in Bulgaria, but chewing tobacco is not banned.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

All EU countries should have the same signs for the health.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

All EU companies producing tobacco products will have to give the same reports

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not understand the problem definition.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Smokers will continue looking and finding tobacco no matter if they buy on the streets contraband. Vending machines are not a problem - only should not have access to under 18 years.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jutta Heitkamp

**email**

info@reisebuero-heitkamp.de

**country**

Kirchlengern

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Its all fine like it is

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Hofmann

**email**

Tomschin@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erik Lidén

**email**

jerker5@msn.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

15

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not agree with it's, since its quite obvisly healthier to snus than to smoke. So if it got illegal to use snus people would go over to ciggarettts wich would result in that more people would get cancer. Statitics shows That fewer people are dying in cancer from tobacco because many of them are using snus.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

It would be good to allow snus in other countries to reduce deaths in cancer and also to remove the Tobacco tax rate

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Because snus hasnt got the cancer rate that smoke has and that results in fewer people getting cancer and if snus got banned people would go over to smoking and that would result in more people dying in cancer.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Allow snus in other countries to reduce the deaths of cancer and remove the snus tax rate

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**

Add more information to cigarettes and how large risk you get in getting cancer but leave snus alone since it doesnt got the same risks at all.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I think cigarettes should be for 18 years and up and snus for 16 and up, to get people use that it would have an huge impact on cancer deaths per year and make fewer people die in cancer.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Baum

**email**

g\_baum@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar



**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vanessa

**email**

v.nuesser@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ist alles streng genug

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

keine Änderung

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sandra J Barrows

**email**

sandrabarrows@btinternet.com

**country**

United Kingdom

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

We in the Uk manage our Tobacco effectively

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Contro, of cross border smuggling

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

We do not need EU directives to manage our smoling habits

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Display does not make people smoke

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

white packs will cause down trading and increase problems for retailers

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

We have mandatory laws for retail sales in the UK which are reducing younger smoking numbers

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Baum

**email**

g\_baum@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

61

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bräutigam

**email**

mailtoandreas@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bürger sind selbst mündig zu entscheiden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No Comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eckert Günter

**email**

GEckert@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**  
49

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schill Dominik

**email**

ds.guitarman@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

19

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Volker Illner

#### **email**

volker.illner@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland Germany

#### **age**

54

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Bullshit.Let it all be as it is !!!!!!!

#### **additional comments**

zero

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alex Michaelis

**email**

collect-abc@t-online.de

**country**

GERMANY

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich will keine Überregulierung , "Plain packaging" bedeutet noch mehr gefälschte und geschmuggelte Ware !!!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

ich will keine Überregulierung , "Plain packaging" bedeutet noch mehr gefälschte und geschmuggelte Ware !!!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich will keine Überregulierung , "Plain packaging" bedeutet noch mehr gefälschte und geschmuggelte Ware !!!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich will keine Überregulierung , "Plain packaging" bedeutet noch mehr gefälschte und geschmuggelte Ware !!!!!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich will keine Überregulierung , "Plain packaging" bedeutet noch mehr gefälschte und geschmuggelte Ware !!!!!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich will keine Überregulierung , "Plain packaging" bedeutet noch mehr gefälschte und geschmuggelte Ware !!!!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ernst Salamon

**email**

lasnoma@tele2.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

-

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

-

**additional comments**

-

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Xanthopoulou Galateia

**email**

gksanthopoulou@yahoo.gr

**country**

Greece

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pamela Micchaelis



**email**

a-sammler@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich will keine Überregulierung , "Plain packaging" bedeutet noch mehr gefälschte und geschmuggelte Ware !!!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

ich will keine Überregulierung , "Plain packaging" bedeutet noch mehr gefälschte und geschmuggelte Ware !!!!!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich will keine Überregulierung , "Plain packaging" bedeutet noch mehr gefälschte und geschmuggelte Ware !!!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich will keine Überregulierung , "Plain packaging" bedeutet noch mehr gefälschte und geschmuggelte Ware !!!!!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich will keine Überregulierung , "Plain packaging" bedeutet noch mehr gefälschte und geschmuggelte Ware !!!!!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich will keine Überregulierung , "Plain packaging" bedeutet noch mehr gefälschte und geschmuggelte Ware !!!!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bakastamos Spyros

**email**

spyros\_bakas@yahoo.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

29

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Josef Semmelmeier

**email**

semmy@gmx.at

**country**

Österreich

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

schützt Pasivraucher,schont die Atemwege des Rauchers

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Enteignung der Markenrechte

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

soll jedes Land selbst entscheiden

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Erhalt der Geschmacksvielfalt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freier Zugang zu legalen Produkten

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

vanessa

**email**

vanessa.lunga@yahoo.de

**country**  
deutschland

**age**  
18

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

guido hämmelmann

#### **email**

shop-haemmelmann@web.de

#### **country**

deutschland

#### **age**

41

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

jeder muss selbst entscheiden

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

jeder muss selbst entscheiden

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

jeder muss selber entscheiden

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

totale freiheitsberaubung

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

schwachsinn

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Isabella Balunovic

**email**

ibalunovic@web.de

**country**

germany



**age**  
20

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es reicht so wie es ist

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Reicht vollkommen aus wie es momentan ist

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

soll bleiben wie es ist

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

stefan freiberger

**email**

Tabaktrafik-Freiberger@gmx.at

**country**

Österreich

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Gunther Grossmann

#### **email**

gunther.grossmann@mac.com

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

46

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Additional labeling of products will not contribute to an increased awareness of smokers regarding the negative effects of smoking. As a common experience, the consumption of smoking, especially a possible reduction of smoking cannot be correlated to the package labeling. As a matter of fact, additional labeling would create additional efforts and costs with no direct impact on smoking habits.

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Markets serve the basic principle to supply demands. This is equally true for cigarettes. Furthermore, cigarettes directly contribute to member states income via taxes. To serve markets, products need to be accessible to consumers. Banning vending machines will not reduce the tobacco consumptions and will not reduce the possibilities for minors to get access to tobacco products. With special regard to vending machines, access restrictions in form of personal identification requirements as practiced in Germany at this point is considered fully adequate.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Walter Bertagnolli

**email**

huenns\_co@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Marktfreiheit

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wettbewerb und Unternehmer freie Marktwirtschaft

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

balunovic miroslav

**email**

miki\_M@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil es völlig ausreichend ist wie es ist und nicht weitere bevormundung durch eu brauchen

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

dem is nicht hinzu zufügen

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

is vollkommen ausreichend wie es ist

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

reicht voll aus wie es ist

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

reicht voll aus wie es ist



**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ist auch so schon überreguliert

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

keinkomentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dennis Brenner

**email**

FKBrenner@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

21

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alisa Lemke

**email**

a.lemke88@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Günter Eberding

**email**  
guentereberding@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
53

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sabine Rheinbacj

**email**

srheinbach@unitybox.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weil wir erwachsene Menschen sind und es wohl Jeder selber entscheiden kann.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Erwachsene Leute sollten selber entscheiden was sie tun und was nicht.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es hat bis heute so funktioniert, warum sollte es jetzt geändert werden? Ich denke es gibt wichtigere Angelegenheiten, wo man sich drum kümmern sollte

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schuster Herbert

**email**

h\_schuster@gmx.net

**country**

Austria

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no komment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick Friedhofen

**email**

Witten86@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland/Germany

**age**



24

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

„kein Kommentar“

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Κωστας Αλεξόπουλος

**email**

alexokostantinos@yahoo.gr

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KAENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Silke Kretschmann

**email**  
Silkes.Kiosk@arcor.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
42

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Trostberger Margit

#### **email**

margit.trostberger@telfs.com

#### **country**

Austria

#### **age**

33

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Keine Änderung

#### **additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sabine Lindenzweig

**email**

sabine.lindenzweig@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no command

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no command

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Dann muss mit Alkohol genauso verfahren werden! Ist genauso gesundheitsschädlich oder ist hier die Lobby zu groß??? Leben wir eigentlich noch in einer Demokratie? Ich glaube nicht! Wir wissen alle, wo das enden kann... Aber wenn die sogenannten "hohen Herren und Damen" keine Ahnung mehr von der sogenannten Basis haben, wird die Basis einbrechen und dann bricht alles... Geschichte wiederholt sich eben immer und immer wieder.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no command

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no command

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no command

**which option**

No change



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reischl Werner

**email**

wreischl@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
No Comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Comment

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Gingter Udo

**email**  
www.georgi5555@aol.com

**country**  
deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Müller

**email**

klaus.mueller02@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Das Kautabakverbot sollte aufgehoben und nicht ausgeweitet werden

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Viviane Milan

**email**

viviane.milan@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

18

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erhard Förster

**email**

erhard.foerster@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Pascal Matlé



**email**

Pascal@Matle.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

19

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

The Use of smoking discussion in public places like schools etc

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
paul

**email**  
sapau75@web.de

**country**  
deutschland

**age**  
32

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**

Kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Komentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Komentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein KOMENTAR

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein KOMENTAR

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Renate Wust

**email**

renate.wust@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Nothdurft

**email**

nothmannqweb.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Edith Tille

**email**

Ronnytille@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finde das doof was sich die EU einfallen läßt, denn davon hängen ja auch Arbeitsplätze ab. Bitte mal überlegen wenn man sich soetwas einfallen läßt. Man soll sich lieber um undere wichtigere Dinge kümmern, z. B. das Internet was da so mit den Kindern gemacht wird. Ich sage nur die Serie "Tatort Internet". Soetwas ist viel wichtiger.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich bin auf keinen Fall damit einverstanden wegen meines und anderer Arbeitsplätze.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin auf keinen Fall einverstanden weil es meinen und anderen Ihren Arbeitsplatz früher

oder später kosten wird.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weil es meinen und vieler anderer Ihr Arbeitsplatz in Gefahr ist.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weil es mir und vielen Anderen den Arbeitsplatz kostet und das möchte ich nicht.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weil es mir und Anderen den Arbeitsplatz raubt, wer denkt sich soetwas überhaupt aus?

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ilona ingenhaag

**email**

ilona.fiebritzingen Haag@yahoo.de

**country**



germany

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

JOSE LUIS ECHEVARRIA

**email**

TABACOSJOSELUIS@GMAIL.COM

**country**

SPAIN

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful

substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thorsten Ebert

**email**

tu.ebert@teleos-web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
kein Kommetar

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Die Regulierungswut der EU geht mir zu weit!!! Mit Ihren Verboten fördern Sie nur Kriminalität und vernichten Arbeitsplätze legaler Unternehmen, die mit Sicherung von Arbeitsplätzen und Steuergeldern die EU Staaten und die Europäische Union finanzieren!!!! Seit Jahrhunderten gibt es Tabakwaren und der Genuß dieser steht jedem freien Bürger zu!!! Die bisherigen Gesetze zum Schutz der Nichtraucher sind völlig ausreichend, stellenweise schon voll überzogen. Die EU will nur regulieren und Ihren eigenen Egoismus befriedigen, als der Bevölkerung eigene Toleranz einzuräumen!!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans-Christian Hein

**email**

Hans-Christian.Hein@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lena Becker

**email**

Becker-Lena@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

21

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't support a system in which the governments are trying to dictate to the inhabitants, what to do and what not. I think that it is each persons own decision what to consume and what not and the governments should not intervene.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

There is no need for the EU to intervene. Nothing has to be or should be changed, so no options are necessary.

**additional comments**

There are already more than enough regulations.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

There is no need for the government to intervene in the consumption behaviour of the citizens of the EU.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No need for further restrictions.



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Again, the government should not try to change the consumption behavior. There is no need for it.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

The sale of legal consumption products would be hindered by bureaucratic conditions.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

People are able to decide on their own if they want to consume such products or not. There is no need for any kind of warnings (may it be in writing or in pictures) for the people.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Again, no need to change anything. The burdens/conditions are already high enough.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I am against intervening in the trade with free products and also there is no need to regulate ingredients as consumers are aware of the products and their components.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No need to change anything at all.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No need for the EU to intervene in any way.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No need for any (further) restrictions.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIANNIS PAPAGIANNIS

**email**

zio72@hotmail.com

**country**

GREECE

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't see any problem

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wittkowski, Peter

**email**

peter-wittkowski@kabelmail.de

**country**

37154 Northeim

**age**  
51

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrich Höbbel

**email**

E-TEC@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jörg Voßkamp

**email**  
vosskam@gmx.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
26

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ute Langer

**email**

langvett@web-de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar



**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dietmar Vetter

**email**

langvett@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

keine Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sofoklis Polyzois

**email**

sofosfirenze@hotmail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Rainer Weikmann

**email**  
rainer@weikmann.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Åke Rosenlind

**email**

rosenlind@bredband.net

**country**

Sweden

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus-Peter Doerr

**email**

pet.doerr@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
55

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
verstoss gegen geltendes recht in Deutschland

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
information reicht aus

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Friederike Seul

**email**  
f.seul@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zmieszkol, Uwe

**email**

lealevi-eu.zm@online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommetar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

kerstin decker

**email**

easyliving99@gmx.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine angabe

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

keine angabe

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine angabe

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine angabe

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine angabe

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

keine angabe

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine angabe

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

keine angabe

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

paraskevopoulos panagiotis

**email**

giotis2010@hotmail.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

44

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar



**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heidi Müller

**email**

heidi@daskleeblatt.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
68

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
weil es diskriminierend ist, Kriminalität fördert

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**  
Jugendschutz Aufklärung

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
weil es die Verbraucher entmündigt

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
weil es Verbraucher entmündigt - Kriminalität fördert -

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil wir selbst frei entscheiden wollen

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Aufklärung

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Feldmann, Renate

**email**

renate-feldmann@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommenta

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

hatzidimitriadou eleni

**email**

hatzidimitriadoueleni@hotmail.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

40

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Dr. Wolfgang Beyer

**email**  
wbeyer@muenster.de

**country**  
germany

**age**  
65

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Gehlhorn

**email**

j.gehlhorn@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Behrens Elke

**email**  
lego.behrensq@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
47

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schumacher Ralph

**email**

rs@derkaffeemann.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar - alles viel zu erhoben und streng! Ideen von phanatistischen Menschen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Lixfeld

**email**

ThomasLixfeld@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Warnhinweise sind absolut ausreichend, jeder Raucher kennt die Gefahr, Nichtraucher sehen diese Hinweise auch nicht, da sie keine Packungen kaufen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Kriminalisierung der Raucher durch "Verkauf unterm Ladentisch" oder Unterstützung des Schwarzhandels auf der Strasse

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Władysław Dziadkowiec

**email**

wdziadko@op.pl

**country**

Poland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tobacco products as any other goods should be differentiated. This is the basic for customer to have choice.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is normal that states may have different approach to tobacco products. This is the freedom of choice.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The ingredients make a difference in cigarette. I propose to make the same list for e.g. car, or bread.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The freedom of buying and selling is basic.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andre Voss

**email**

schreibwaren-appelhans@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Evelyn Pecenka

#### **email**

pecenka@aon.at

#### **country**

Österreich

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

kein Kommentar

### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Sachs Regina

**email**  
R.Sachs@kabelmail.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
62

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Theodora Athanasopoulou

**email**

theodora\_athan@hotmail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alic Nina

**email**

nikolina1981@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eleni Santogidou

**email**

elensadoyan@gmail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

23

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

sebastian Hannelore

**email**

hannelore-sebastian@t-online.deD

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tanja Ziemann

**email**

ziemanntanja@web.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
35

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Kalaschek Johannes

**email**  
jack1@speed.at

**country**  
Austria

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**  
SARA ORTE

**email**  
saritaorte@yahoo.es

**country**  
SPAIN

**age**  
36

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMENT

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMENT

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMENT

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMENT

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrea Sträßer

**email**

ed@tankstelle-schmelz.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Verbraucher müssen nicht weiter bevormundet werden.



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Vorschriften sind schon streng genug.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bürger wissen über gesundheitliche Risiken Bescheid.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Einheitspackungen führen zu Insolvenzen von kleinen Tabakläden und Tankstellen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Angabe

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zusatzstoffe machen nicht zusätzlich süchtig.

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

Ein Verbot würde den Einzelhandel und die Steuereinnahmen des Staates schwächen.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Handel würde stark behindert werden.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Der Schmuggel wird zunehmen.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUIS SANCHEZ

**email**

sito.sanchez@hotmail.com

**country**

SPAIN

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Göllner

**email**

jebirog@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl Muellner

**email**

kamuellner@chello.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

M.Popiel

#### **email**

m-popiel@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

40

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heinz Neher

**email**

neher.ehingen@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sachs Nico

**email**

Motoraver@kabelmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fernando Rui Martins Antunes

**email**

antunes.f@mail.pt

**country**

Spain

**age**  
28

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comments

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no additional comments

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comments

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Flieger

**email**

Christian.Flieger@live.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sandra Fenker

**email**

s.kallmeyer@t-online.de

**country**

deutsch

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Meyer

**email**

der.ralf@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zigarettenverpackungen und deren Aussehen sind meiner Meinung nach nicht ausschlaggebend für den Kauf von Zigaretten. Insofern würde eine Einheitsverpackung keinerlei Effekt auf den Konsum machen, womit dieses Vorhaben, den Konsumenten durch Vereinheitlichung der Verpackung den Kaufanreiz zu nehmen, ad absurdum geführt ist.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Heike Schaller

**email**  
schaller-heike@gmx.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Fenker

**email**

Th.Fenker@t-online.de

**country**

Deutsch

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

K.-W. Basarke

**email**

kwb@nefkom.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

72

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kallmeyer Alfred

**email**

Alfred-Kallmeyer@t-online.de

**country**

Deutsch

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Helga Stadler-Maly

**email**  
helga.stadler-maly@chello.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Give the owners of gastronomy businesses the option to decide what they think best for their purpose of being in business: make money, create jobs

**additional comments**

Smokers are also citizens with rights and obligations like the rest, but they, together with car drivers and drinkers of alcoholic beverages, usually bear the biggest part of the tax burden - and now seem to have less rights to live their own lives.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**additional option**

Why don't you leave some room for EU citizens to use their own brains for a change. Surprisingly enough, they've each got one, but with constantly issuing directives they are using less and less of it.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Once we know exactly what's inside the tobacco we smoke, we can make up our minds if we really want it or not.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Youngsters very often do not really decide on their own, but are often under peer pressure. This will effectively restrict their access to a time when they've learned to make decisions.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

dieter golombek

**email**

hallogolo@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar – liest ja leider doch keiner!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mario Konrad

**email**

mario.konrad@speed.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zuviele Regelungen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Schützt vor Passivrauch.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Enteignung der Markenrechte. Wettbewerbswidriger vorgang!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zuviel extra - Bürokratie, welche von den Bürgern bzw. auch Rauchern nutzloserweise bezahlt werden müssen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Beibehaltung der Vielfalt. Regulation von Geschmack wird schließlich auch nicht beim Eistee vollzogen.

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

Kondome mit Kirscheschmack sind böse! (Sarkastische Aussage.)

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Erwachsene sind voll Haftbar da sie ihre die Konsequenzen ihrer Handlungen kennen sollen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KAPSIOCHAS ANASTASIOS

**email**

KAPSIOCHAS@YAHOO.GR

**country**

GREECE

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heike Dietrich

**email**

Dietrichheike@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Peter Adelt

**email**  
wolf-adelt@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
51

**gender**



male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt bereits genug Regularien

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Es gibt keine Grund für weitere Einschränkungen!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es sind keine weitergehenden Regulierungen notwendig!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es sind keine weitergehenden Regulierungen durch die EU notwendig!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es sind keine weitergehenden Regulierungen durch die EU notwendig!

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Es sind keine weitergehenden Regulierungen durch die EU notwendig!

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es sind keine weitergehenden Regulierungen durch die EU notwendig!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Reineking

**email**

thomas@reineking.net

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dan Björkgren

**email**

dan.bjorkgren@luukku.com

**country**

Finland

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Several studies (to which I unfortunately cannot provide links within the timeframe of 90 minutes) have shown that smokeless tobacco is less harmful than smoked tobacco, and have also shown that the risk of cancer is not elevated by the use of smokeless tobacco.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

If there should be a ban on any tobacco product then ALL forms of tobacco should be banned.

**additional comments**

The ban on snus is irrational since the contents of snus is mere tobacco. How is it possible to ban a plant when it is cut and grinded and mixed with water and salt and packed in plastic cans while at the same time allowing the same plant to be sold when cut and dried and rolled into paper cigarettes? That fact is beyond my comprehension. To me this is a trade hindrance since the same product (the tobacco itself) can be freely sold in one form but not in the other. Furthermore similar products like nose snuff and chewing tobacco are to my understanding still allowed and sold for example in Germany. The ban on snus here in Finland may also be seen as a direct attack on the swedish speaking minority since they are the most frequent users of snus in Finland. The ban on snus was an easy way to "do something" that would look like a health initiative since the majority of the Union citizens never would care, since they don't use snus anyway and the users of traditional swedish snus is a small group of people compared to the Union as a whole. Either ban ALL tobacco or take the ban off snus.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefano Brugnaro

**email**

stefano.brugnaro@hotmail.com

**country**

Italia

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non credo che le immagine fotografiche siano una corretta modalità di informazione ma bensì possono creare solo shock. Il pacchetto bianco o generico è lesivo dei diritti di proprietà intellettuale e del marchio: applicarlo andrebbe a generare una forte limitazione delle attività a discapito di tutti gli operatori e lavoratori del settore. Inoltre rischia di favorire il contrabbando e la contraffazione.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Lasciamo agli stati di stabilire proprie regole amministrative al riguardo.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Avere la possibilità di scegliere tra gusti diversi è un elemento essenziale di qualsiasi scelta che un consumatore può fare. Obbligare a pubblicare gli ingredienti usati va a ledere i diritti di proprietà industriale dei produttori ed inoltre non vedo tale elemento come qualcosa che possa salvaguardare la salute dei cittadini. Tutte le sigarette fanno male al medesimo modo, che utilizzino o meno ingredienti.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Impedire la visibilità a punto vendita del prodotto o la commercializzazione tramite distributori automatici sembrano essere delle misure normative che non tengono conto delle già numerose limitazioni presenti nelle legislazioni nazionali. Ad oggi il settore del tabacco è già all'avanguardia per impedire pubblicità e vietare l'acquisto non controllato ai minori. Misure di eccessiva chiusura ledono le scelte dei consumatori e possono solo generare crisi del settore e taglio di posti di lavoro su tutta la filiera; dai produttori di tabacco alle aziende dell'indotto.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Koller, Carolin

**email**

phoebe-somerville@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Einheitspackungen sind meiner Meinung nach quatsch, da jeder Markenbewußte Verwender seiner Marke treu bleiben wird. Die Warnhinweise konnte damals auch mit sogenannten Wrappys umhüllt werden und waren dann nicht mehr sichtbar. Letztendlich wird weitergeraucht, ob mit Design oder ohne.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Vielleicht sollte dann auch über ein Werbeverbot für Alkohol, Fast Food und Süßwaren nachgedacht werden. Denn letztendlich sind diese Produkte doch alle schädlich für den Menschen und Alkohol macht sogar noch abhängig. Sollte ein Werbeverbot für Tabakwaren kommen, müssten diese Produkte auch aus der Kassenzone verschwinden und im Fernsehen verboten werden. Wie viele Menschen werden durch Alkohol aggressiv und gefährden dadurch andere Leute. Fettleibige Menschen sind öfters krankheitsanfällig und liegen dem Staat auf der Tasche. All diese Überlegungen sollten in Ihre Diskussionen ebenfalls mit einfließen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Breibeck, Günther

**email**

guenther-karin.breibeck@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Emig Andre

**email**

andre.emig@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Irene Braun

**email**

braunirene@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Hietl

**email**

astridhietl@inode.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Greiner

**email**

greiner-henstedt-ulzburg@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Astrid Hietl

#### **email**

astridhietl@hotmail.com

#### **country**

Austria

#### **age**

35

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

die bestehenden Regelungen sind ausreichend!

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

kein Kommentar

#### **additional comments**

keine Kommentar

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Schutz für die Nichtraucher

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

dies ist für den Konsument unzumutbar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

dies sollen die Mitgliedsstaaten selber entscheiden können

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir möchten auch weiterhin verschiedene Geschmacks-Richtungen

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Legale Produkte sollen weiterhin frei zugänglich sein!!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Ich finde es eine Frechheit, dass diese Befragung nur in Englisch durchgeführt wird und nur eine bestimmte Zeit zur Verfügung steht. Zusätzlich verfügt nicht jeder EU-Bürger über einen Internet-Anschluss. Wir sind erwachsene und mündige Bürger der EU und wollen unsere eigenen Entscheidungen treffen, was wir konsumieren möchten und was nicht!!!!!!!!!!!!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kresse, Jens

**email**

j.Kresse@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

jürgen winner

#### **email**

juergen.winner1@googlemail.com

#### **country**

deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

"kein Kommentar"

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

"kein Kommentar"

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

"kein Kommentar"

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

"kein Kommentar"

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

"kein Kommentar"

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

"kein kommentar"

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schleehauf, Rainer

**email**

Fam.Schleehauf@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Einheitspackungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Plain Packaging spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände. Ich halte es für äußerst unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**



No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde im Geschäft auch sehen können. Ich als Raucher möchte das komplette Sortiment sehen und mir meine Marke aussuchen können.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Brüser

**email**

kiosk@buyandsnap.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich rauche seit 32 Jahren und möchte mich in dieser Beziehung nicht von irgendwelchen Bürokraten durch Verbote und Diktatur in meiner Persönlichen Freiheit einschränken lassen. Welche Steuer soll dann als Ersatz für die fehlenden Tabaksteuereinnahmen eingeführt werden? Was bitte sollen die kleinen Einzelhändler machen, die sowieso schon Ihr Dasein am Existenzminimum fristen? Was soll mit dem Heer an Arbeitslosen geschehen? Müssen zig-

Tausende an Existenzen mit Gewalt kaputtgemacht werden?

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe meine Meinung auf der vorangegangenen Seite schon dargestellt.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Elvira Grudzielski

**email**

egrudzielski@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kusch,Andrea

**email**

a.kusch@kabelmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kmmmentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karin Höll

**email**

karin.immobilien@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no komand

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no kommand

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no kommand

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no command

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no kommand

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no kommand

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no kommand

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no kommand

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no kommand

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no kommand

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no kommand

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no kommand

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Lechner

**email**

lechnerjan@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

21

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cornelia Sesselmann

**email**

conny2601@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

maike bienias

**email**

just-me1987@freenet.de

**country**

germany

**age**

23

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Huchler, Christoph

**email**

c.huchler@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bodo Schmidt

**email**

eight.of.nine@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

da wissenschaftliche Beweise fehlen braucht auch nichts verändert werden

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

einfach neue produkte ohne vorherige Prüfung dieser ist unprofessionell

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen Beweis, dass große Warnhinweise besser wirken. Wahrscheinlich gibt es bei Verwendung der Bilder wieder Sammler für die schlimmsten. Weiße Packungen ohne Verwendung von Marken kommt einer intellektuellen Enteignung gleich. Fälschern wird das Leben dadurch leichter gemacht. Die Leute werden trotzdem rauchen. Die Bürger werden wie unmündige behandelt.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Was heute an Warnhinweisen und Restriktionen vorhanden ist, reicht völlig!

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Mitgliedsstaaten können bereits Sanktionen verhängen - das soll den Ländern überlassen sein.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Geschmäcker der Menschen sind unterschiedlich - die bloße Veränderung bzw. Verbot von Inhaltsstoffen ändert doch nichts an der Rauchgewohnheiten! Immer diese Zwangsmaßnahmen gegen den mündigen Bürger, der doch selbst entscheiden kann was er raucht!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jugendschutz ist notwendig! In Deutschland ist es für eine Person unter 18 Jahren nicht möglich, Zigaretten am Automat zu kaufen. Im Zweifel ist der Automat sicherer als der traditionelle Handel.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klappstein, Klaus

**email**

kfh.klappstein@t-online.de

**country**



Deutschland

**age**

73

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Gefahren des Rauchens sind durch Aufklärungscampagnen hinreichend bekannt. Ich möchte die Marken die ich kaufe sofort erkennen können. Ich verabscheue die ständige Bevormundung durch den Gesetzgeber.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finde es gut schon auf der Verpackung den Unterschied der einzelnen Marken zu erkennen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die angestrebten Angaben würden mit Sicherheit so verklausuliert ausfallen, dass man mit den Bezeichnungen als Laie nichts anfangen kann (siehe Lebensmittelbranche)

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finde es unverschämt, dass ich mich jedesmal wie ein Aussätziger fühlen muss, wenn mir die Ware verkauft wird,

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mederer

**email**

markus.mederer@tankstelle.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar!

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar!

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar!

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar!

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar!

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Sara Julia Schwaja

#### **email**

s.schwaja@gmx.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

Kein Kommentar!

### **additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Kein Kommentar!

### **which option**

No change

### **additional option**

Kein Kommentar!

### **additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!

### **questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sirko Grudzielski

**email**

sirkog@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Brocks

**email**

jens-bente@versanet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Ich habe die Erfahrung gemacht, dass durch Snus Konsum der Cigaretten Konsum reduziert werden kann.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**



Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich halte es für unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde im Geschäft auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ursula Helfert

**email**

ursula.helfert@chello.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weil ich mündiger Bürger bin und nicht einsehe warum ich meine Zigaretten wie ein Drogensüchtiger im Geschäft bestellen muß. Ich möchte sehen was ich kaufe!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Das Problem hat nur die EU. Es gibt kein Problem dass zu behandeln wäre.

**additional comments**

kümmert euch um härtere Strafen für Sextäter oder Kinderschänder. Laßt Erwachsene ihr Leben leben wie sie wollen, wir bezahlen genug Steuern dafür und jeder, auch die EU lebt gut von unserem Geld

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

weils ein Witz ist!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernhardt

**email**

bernhardt\_marcus@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Bettenhausen

**email**

daniel\_bettenhausen@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Merkle

**email**

mmerkle@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nationales Recht soll weiter bestehen bleiben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Pietätlos. Text als Warnhinweis reicht. Keine weitere Heuchelei erwünscht, i.V.m. Stueereinnahmen und Gesundheitsfürsorge.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nationales Recht soll weiter bestehen bleiben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nationales Recht soll weiter bestehen bleiben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nationales Recht soll weiter bestehen bleiben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jörg Lebenhagen

**email**

mslebenhagen@t-online.de



**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Soweit ich weiß, ist Snus weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

weiße Einheitspackungen sind viel leichter zu fälschen. Plain Packing spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde im Geschäft auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Diana Langenhan

**email**

diana1501@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Verna

**email**

ave5042@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Habnicht Hugo

**email**

hugo.habnicht@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reichensperger Ioana

**email**

ioana.reichensperger@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reichensperger Erich

**email**

erich.reichensperger@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manfred Otte

**email**

m.otte-harburg@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich halte es für unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde im Geschäft auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Timo Anders

**email**

timoanders@t-online.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich möchte frei in meiner entscheidung sein, welche produkte ich kaufe, daher möchte ich eine ansprechende äußere gestaltung nicht vermissen. der freie wettbewerb darf nicht eingeschränkt werden. welches produkt wird als nächstes auf die "abschussliste" gesetzt...? als freier, mündiger bürger möchte ich nicht ständig in allem und jedem bevormundet werden,

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Schroeder

**email**

misch@mse-wilster.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

none

**additional comments**

none

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

none

**additional comments**

none

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

birgit kissel

**email**

birgit.kissel@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No



**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

hans walter degen

**email**

hu.degen@freenet.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

66

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
andreas degen

**email**  
andreas-degen@web.de

**country**  
deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Rainer Becker

#### **email**

rainer.becker@tankstelle.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

48

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comments

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

.

#### **additional comments**

.

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

no coments

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coments

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

-

**additional comments**

-

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coments

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Dohrmann

**email**

Dohrmann-Frank@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jessica Wolf

**email**

bienchen112@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven Urban

**email**

svenurban1@alice-dsl.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Ich als Raucher habe gute Erfahrungen damit gemacht, durch Snus meinen Cigarettenkonsum zu reduzieren

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Einheitspackungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Plain Packing spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Als Raucher möchte ich das komplette Sortiment sehen und mir meine Marke aussuchen können.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Doris Knott

**email**

DKnott@T-Online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Silvia Keilholz

#### **email**

k.silvi@gmx.net

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

28

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christopher Axel Maurer

**email**

c.maurer@tele2.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jochen Heine

**email**

tabak-heine-dessau@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

63

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

würde den Schwarzhandel und Schmuggel extrem befördern.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

erzeugt nur teure Bürokratie

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Existenz wird vernichtet. Arbeitsplätze werden vernichtet.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Helge Rabitz

**email**

Helge.Rabitz@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

71

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tina Hirte

**email**

tinahirte@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heine

**email**

jochenh-wb@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das ist ein Angriff auf demokratisch Grundrechte. Sie zerstören damit Existenzen und vernichten Arbeitsplätze !!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lipp, R.

**email**

r.lipp@gmx.de

**country**

R.Lipp

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wenn Sie das Ladensterben vorantreiben wollen dann machen Sie weiter so

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Das ist eine Frechheit was die EU da machen will.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar



**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein K.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein K.

**additional comments**

kein K.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein K.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein K.

**additional comments**

Kein K.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Neumann, Jürgen

**email**

juergen@junotabak.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Yanira Maria Ojeda Taverro

**email**

yanira%ojeda@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Yanira Trujillo Diaz

**email**

Yaniratu@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Mayr Sonja

**email**  
s.schmittner@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
38

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
keine antwort

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
keine komentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ylenia Santana Tejera

**email**

yleyle% @hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Anti

**email**

ralf.anti@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Fehlende Steuereinnahmen; ist genau so unnötig, wie alle Alkoholhaltigen Getränkeflaschen gleich aussehen zu lassen; lieber die Steuern für Taback erhöhen, das reduziert eher die Raucher, weil den süchtigen egal ist, wie eine Schachtel aussieht...

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

see uper coment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no coment

**additional comments**

no coment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dörfler, Nadja

**email**

Nadja-Doerfler@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Mahmut Ercan Eker

**email**  
ercan.eker@hotmail.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
19

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Timo Kusch

**email**

timokusch85@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

25

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir sind immer gut ausgekommen. Warum soll jetzt dies auf einmal geändert werden?  
Wissen Sie eigentlich wie vielen Menschen Sie Unrecht tun würden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte meine Bedürfnisse, Tabakerzeugnisse zu konsumieren, nicht einschränken müssen. Warum soll ich meine Tabakwaren nicht am Automaten kaufen?

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heisterhagen, Karl-Heinz

**email**

info@reiseagentur-heisterhagen.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

69

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl

**email**

maerchenprinz932@msn.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Virginia Clara Villalba Wiese

**email**

villalba91@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Mayr Georg

**email**  
georg.mayr@tankstelle.de

**country**  
DEUTSCHLAND

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Wolfgang Kurth

**email**

kurwol@online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

58

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Warum müssen erwachsene Menschen wie Kleinkinder behandelt werden, soll heie, warum sollen sie nicht selbst ber sich entscheiden drfen.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Erwachsene Menschen brauchen keine Vorschriften wie Kleinkinder

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sara Garcia Lujan

**email**

sarita--88@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Martin Schemel

#### **email**

raucherdienst-schemel@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

- Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf einen mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. - Jede Form der Regulierung von "Tabakerzeugnissen" muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkte (Kräuterzigaretten). - Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU27 treffen.

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

- Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. - Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (AUSNAHME Schweden) stellt eine

massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht- die Bilder wirken lediglich abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. - Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. - Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. - Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- Einzelhandel in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. - Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedsstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr ) auferlegen würde.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff attraktiv ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierung. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche "Verkauf" von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten und "Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren" sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Die Problembeschreibung "Zigarettenautomat" ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC aus November 2009 festgehalten hat: Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend. Die betrifft insbesondere die VGpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslagen bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten - z.B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes - den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen und ihn nicht erleichtern. Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat - diese Kompetenz ist in den EU-Verträgen festgelegt. Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzelmächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hin geprüft wurde, ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels

fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen.

### **additional comments**

Dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluß auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlicher Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automatenvertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugenschutz jedoch in keiner Weise. Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs- bzw. Bezugstellen verschwinden. Die Problembeschreibung verschweigt wesentliche Sachverhalte, die für die abschließende Bewertung von Bürgerinnen und Bürgern von Wichtigkeit sein können. Beispielhaft sei hier eine Stellungnahme der Deutschen Bundesregierung vom 01.04.10 im Rahmen einer kleinen Anfrage der Fraktion Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen im Hinblick auf ein generelles Automatenverbot genannt: Im Rahmen der Verhältnismäßigkeit sieht die Bundesregierung die bereits existierenden gesetzlichen Vorschriften und die in Vollzug dieser Vorschriften erfolgte Umsetzung der technischen Sicherung von Zigarettenautomaten durch Alterskontrolle per Geldkarte oder europäischem Führerschein als derzeit ausreichend und als die mildesten Mittel an, um das Abgabeverbot von Tabakwaren durch Automaten an Minderjährige wirksam zu regeln.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Samuel Guerrero Suarez

##### **email**

samuelgs20@hotmail.com

##### **country**

España

##### **gender**

male

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter F. Derst

**email**

peterfderst@derst-cigarren.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

56

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dylla Peter

**email**

sunset1979@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

31

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ruben Santiago Diaz Almeida

**email**

rubendiazlp@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rebeca Casillas Vega

**email**

Rebeca\_15@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Randl B.

**email**

www.Tabak-Preisinger@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anna Mair

**email**

annamair@telering.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt schon zu viele Regelungen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Preisinger A.

**email**

www.Tabak-Preisinger@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ralf Schmiedeknecht

**email**  
dearalf@gmx.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
40

**gender**  
male



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Herbst, Jochen

**email**

herbst-esslingen@tele2.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Meyer, Monika

**email**

reisebuero-meyer@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alfred Sternecker

**email**

alfred@sternecker.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Andreas Schütz

**email**

andreas.schuetz@xxl-truckwash.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir sind FREIE Bürger, in einem FREIEN Land! und benötigen kein ALIBI GEHABE der Politik!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin erwachsen und entscheide selbst! Zum Melken sind wir Bürger gut... aber es gibt keine Kasten mehr!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ist absolut nicht notwendig! Kümmert Euch um die Umsetzung des vorhandenen!!!! Und

schafft die überflüssigen, überbezahlten Stellen in Brüssel ab - kommt zurück zur Basisarbeit und lasst die Menschen in freien Ländern, frei und selbst entscheiden...

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Konsument kauft das was ihm schmeckt und nicht das, was ihr glaubt, dass schmecken könnte...

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Denkt über die Zigarettensautomaten nach - hier könnte Handlungsbedarf sein! Aber lasst den Einzelhandel leben

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kümmerling, Matthias

**email**

MatthiasKuemmerling@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

male



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Die sinnlose Regulierungswut unserer EU Beamten überschreiten langsam aber sicher die Grenze des Zumutbaren.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bettina Beukenberg

**email**

Bettina\_Beukenberg@hotmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Guido Kylau

**email**

nc-kylaugu@netcologne.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reink, Jörg

**email**

joerg-reink@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

57

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Eine Änderung der Gesetzgebung würde zu einer immensen Schwarzmarkttätigkeit führen und der organisierten Kriminalität ein weiteres großes Betätigungsfeld bieten. Eins ist klar ein Raucher wird in jedem Fall nicht von der geplanten Änderung abgeschreckt!

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
So lange wie man den Zusatz Krebs erregender Stoffe in Lebensmitteln zulässt, wird auch der Raucher kein Verständnis für Einschränkungen haben

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
bessere Aufklärung schon im Schulunterricht

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Narcis Mihai

**email**  
narcis.mihai@lekkerland.ro

**country**  
Romania

**age**  
42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oesterheld, Bernd

**email**

lotto-oesterheld@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ruth Köster

**email**

Ruth.Koester@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Nein, ich bin nicht einverstanden, dass die Richtlinien des Geltungsbereiches erweitert werden.

**additional comments**

Nur nach sachgerechten wissenschaftlichen Grundlagen sollten Regulierungen eingeführt/erweitert werden.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Das Snus-Verbot ist aus wissenschaftlichen Gründen ganz und garnicht gerechtfertigt. Es sollte aufgehoben werden! Informierte Erwachsene müssen die Möglichkeit zum Kauf von Snus haben. Aufgrund wissenschaftlichem Beweismaterial ist Snus weit weniger schädlich als Zigaretten, außerdem wurde nachgewiesen, dass die Verfügbarkeit von Snus in Schweden zu einer Reduzierung des durch Tabak verursachten Schadens geführt hat.

**additional comments**

Das Snus-Verbot ist aus wissenschaftlichen Gründen ganz und garnicht gerechtfertigt. Es sollte aufgehoben werden! Informierte Erwachsene müssen die Möglichkeit zum Kauf von

Snus haben. Aufgrund wissenschaftlichem Beweismaterial ist Snus weit weniger schädlich als Zigaretten, außerdem wurde nachgewiesen, dass die Verfügbarkeit von Snus in Schweden zu einer Reduzierung des durch Tabak verursachten Schadens geführt hat.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Längst existiert ein ausgeprägtes Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens, das nicht erhöht werden kann durch Hinzufügen von Bildwarnhinweisen. Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen und die Realität beweisen, dass eine Vergrößerung der Warnhinweise NICHT eine Aufgabe des Rauchens mit sich zieht - siehe Belgien, Großbritannien etc.. Es gibt keinen Beweis, dass die Größe oder gar die Platzwahl des Warnhinweises Einfluss auf die Wirksamkeit hat.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Ich unterstütze es nicht, dass die Zahlen vollständig entfernt werden, bin jedoch der Auffassung, dass die gegenwärtigen ISO-Zahlen durch eine Auswahl an Zahlen ergänzt werden könnten. Die Ermittlung der Zahlen könnte durch das Health-Canada-Messverfahren ermittelt werden. TNCO-Zahlen liefern tatsächliche Informationen für mich als Verbraucher und geben mir einen Hinweis auf die Geschmacksintensität. Es gibt keine Beweise, dass qualitative Informationen von Verbrauchern verstanden würden oder wirksamer wären als die bereits vorhandenen Warnhinweise.

**additional comments**

Eine Telefon-Hotline wäre denkbar.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin gegen eine Entfernung der Zahlen, sie geben mir Hinweise auf Geschmacksintensität und Stärke des Tabaks.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Zusatzstoffe sind wichtig!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Problemdarstellung beschreibt wirklich nicht das Problem! Zwar unterstütze ich Einschränkung bei Verkaufsautomaten, allerdings gibt es keinen Nachweis, dass Maßnahmen in diesem Bereich wirklich erforderlich sind

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Nein. Problemdarstellung beschreibt kein Problem. Ein Verbot aller Verkaufsautomaten würde nicht den Handel zwischen EU-Mitgliedsstaaten erleichtern. Auch eine Förderung von Gesundheitszielen würde nicht erreicht werden. Es gibt keinen Beweis, dass ein Verbot etwas erreichen würde.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alberto Santana Sosa

**email**

alberto\_santanasosa@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Birgit Heckmann

**email**

biggistockert@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change



**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patricia Noelia Martin Hernandez

**email**

patri\_tripa@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Stockert

**email**

catwiesel02@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Noemi Belon Villarreal

**email**

noemi\_lp90@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Noelia Moyano Curbelo

**email**  
noe\_pequitas@hotmail.com

**country**  
España

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reulecke Monika

**email**

uwereulecke@online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Oortgiese

**email**

oortgiese@email.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Dirk Denker

#### **email**

delta.dirk@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

47

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Die Entscheidungsfreiheit wird eingegrenzt.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

bekannt

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Reulecke Simon

**email**  
uwereulecke@online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Alexander Glasschröder

#### **email**

alexander@glasschroeder.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

34

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Die Einbeziehung von weiteren Produkten erfolgt offensichtlich willkürlich und nicht auf Basis wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse. Nur weil etwas neuartig ist, ist es nicht per se gefährlich bzw. schädlich.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Rauchloser Tabak schädigt keine Mitmenschen, da hier kein Tabakrauch oder ähnliche Einflüsse auf die Mitmenschen entstehen. Zudem sind rauchlose Tabakwaren i.d.R. mit einem Abgabeverbot an Minderjährige belegt und somit nur für Erwachsene erhältlich. Der Erwachsene soll seine Konsumententscheidung frei treffen können.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das Wissen über die Gefahren des Rauchens sind in der gesamten EU bekannt. Die aktuellen Warnhinweise machen dies zudem ausreichend deutliche. Ausufernde Hinweise bzw. Abbildungen würden das vorhandene Wissen nicht verändern.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die aktuellen Regelungen sind ausreichend. Geschmackliche Unterschiede müssen auch bei Tabakwaren erlaubt sein.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Auch bei Tabakwaren sollten Geschmacksunterschiede möglich sein. Außerdem scheinen die EU-Staaten sehr unterschiedliche Ansichten bei der Bewertung der verschiedenen Zusatzstoffe zu haben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die aktuellen Regelungen (Abgabeverbot) in Deutschland sind sehr effektiv. Zudem würden Eingriffe in die Vertriebsstrukturen nur der organisierten Kriminalität in die Hände spielen!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Kuth

**email**

stefankuth@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar



**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carina Steinmann

**email**

carinchen6586@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

24

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bevor eine Regulierung von Tabakerzeugnissen erfolgen kann, müssen wissenschaftliche Erkenntnisse zugrunde liegen. Dies ist nicht der Fall.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Das Verbot von Snus stellt eine Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Jeder erwachsene Verbraucher sollte für sich selbst entscheiden können.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist nicht bewiesen, dass die Größe des Warnhinweises die Wirksamkeit unterstützt. Ferner ist die Allgemeinheit sich bereits über Risiken des Rauchens im Klaren. Eine Einheitspackung wäre eine Entmündigung der Bürger, da die Packung der Zigarette die Herkunft aufzeigt. Sollte eine Einheitspackung eingeführt werden konzentriert sich der Markt nur noch auf die Preise. Diese würden fallen und somit den nationalen Einzel- und Großhandel um ihre Existenzen bringen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern in denen überwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusätze verkauft werden ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern in denen vorwiegend

Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen verkauft werden. Ferner handelt es sich bei der Attraktivität um ein subjektives Kriterium.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich stimme der Problembeschreibung nicht zu. Die Bereiche Verkauf von Tabakwaren und Mindestaltergrenzen sollten eindeutig von den Mitgliedsstaaten selbst entschieden werden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Priska Hartmann

**email**

tthartmann@aon.at

**country**

austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reulecke Uwe

**email**

uwereulecke@online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrich Warnecke

**email**

uli.warnecke@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marta Lopez Perez

**email**

aidyn041@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**



Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Hartmann

**email**

tthartmann@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Günter Behr 28832 Achim Paulsbergstr. 21

**email**

günter.behr@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

58

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wir werden von der EU nur noch bevormundet

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Lassen Sie den Bürger selbst entscheiden

**additional comments**

Aufklärung JA Verbote Nein

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
René Karmann

**email**  
two\_karmanns@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
31

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Moneiva Perez Santana

**email**

moneivapsantana@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Sobkowiak Arkadius

**email**  
arek1977@gmx.de

**country**  
deutschland

**age**  
34

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No



**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Bueno Ventura

**email**

terremoto299@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Malbrich, Kathrin

**email**

Malbiene65@web.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marta Zaray Lopete Riobo

**email**

mar\_tota2@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

anita klingler

**email**

anfoklingler@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Milena Suarez Mahugo

**email**  
mile\_smahugo@hotmail.com



**country**  
España

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria del Carmen Garcia Ramos

**email**

carmen\_gr84@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Eduarda Chaves Pacheco

**email**

mariaeduarda.chaves91@gmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kevin Nieswandt

**email**

Kevinnieswandt@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die politik hat wohl keine größeren probleme als solche banalen dinge anzugehen!! wie endmündigt sollen wir noch werden ! konzentriert euch auf wichtigere probleme um die politik lobby!!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

jeder mensch und jeder bürger hat seine entscheidungsbefugnis wenn solch ein gesetz kommt muss man jegliche werbung in europa verbieten aber das schlimmste darüber denkt die politik nicht nach jeder mensch zerstört sich selber aber das die menscheit durch großindustrie diesen planeten zerstört das interessiert niemanden

**additional comments**

geht liebe mal an die banken und holt euch da das geld wieviel will die EU mit den irsinnigen beschlüssen noch kaputt machen rationalisiert besser mal die politiker in Brüssel weg die sind überflüssiger wie eine zigarette die kann man genießen ihr seit nur zum würgen!! aber das wird wohl nie geschehen weil die politiker in brüssel ja viel geld verdienen plus die flüge die kostenlos sind plus die wohnung plus die sekretärin alles überflüssig aber das volk wird ja nicht gefragt !! euch sollte man einsperren damit ihr mal seht in was für einem land ( Gefängnis man lebt ) was für rechte haben wir noch die DDR war Gold im gegensatz was die EU ist

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

jeder mensch kann selbst entscheiden was er kauft oder auch nicht und die farben oder das verschwinden der zigaretten wird sicher niemand verbieten lassen aber der schwarzmarkt freut sich sehr vielleicht dann eine neue geschäftslücke

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

laßt es einfach so wie es ist und rationalisiert denn staat nicht selbst weg ihr tickt doch nicht merh richtig was für mühen das mit sich bringt komplette lladeneinrichtungen müüsen umgestalltet werden wer übernimmt diese kosten die EU

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

alles so lassen wie es ist

**additional comments**

ändert nichts kümmert euch um wesentliche probleme als über zigaretten wir menschen sind doch eigene individien mit eintscheidungsfreiheit

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

alles so lassen

**additional comments**

alles so lassen

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Monica Perez Trujillo

**email**

persefone\_selene@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Kunze

**email**

kunze@pfennings.net

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Monica Del Carmen Santana Naranajo

**email**

monika\_glm@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Malbrich,Kathrin

**email**

Malbiene65@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephan Knüpfer

**email**

s.knuepfer@presseweigelt.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem is not solved by the envisaged guidelines. Smuggling is promoted heavily. Tobacco is a cultural asset. The enlighten the people's right. However, paternalism is a bad and not in the interests of society. In addition, a whole industry will be destroyed without the alleged problem is solved. Smoking will be forever!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

The problem is not solved by the envisaged guidelines. Smuggling is promoted heavily. Tobacco is a cultural asset. The enlighten the people's right. However, paternalism is a bad and not in the interests of society. In addition, a whole industry will be destroyed without the alleged problem is solved. Smoking will be forever!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem is not solved by the envisaged guidelines. Smuggling is promoted heavily. Tobacco is a cultural asset. The enlighten the people's right. However, paternalism is a bad and not in the interests of society. In addition, a whole industry will be destroyed without the alleged problem is solved. Smoking will be forever!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem is not solved by the envisaged guidelines. Smuggling is promoted heavily. Tobacco is a cultural asset. The enlighten the people's right. However, paternalism is a bad and not in the interests of society. In addition, a whole industry will be destroyed without the alleged problem is solved. Smoking will be forever!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem is not solved by the envisaged guidelines. Smuggling is promoted heavily. Tobacco is a cultural asset. The enlighten the people's right. However, paternalism is a bad and not in the interests of society. In addition, a whole industry will be destroyed without the alleged problem is solved. Smoking will be forever!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem is not solved by the envisaged guidelines. Smuggling is promoted heavily. Tobacco is a cultural asset. The enlighten the people's right. However, paternalism is a bad and not in the interests of society. In addition, a whole industry will be destroyed without the alleged problem is solved. Smoking will be forever!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gisselle de Jesus Prieto Belett

**email**

gbprieto@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios



**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Siegfried Weißschädel

**email**

siegfried.weisschaedel@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
58

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
--

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
--

**additional comments**  
--

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
--

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
--

**additional comments**  
--

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
--

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**

--

**additional comments**

--

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

--

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

--

**additional comments**

--

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

--

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

--

**additional comments**

--

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

--

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

--

**additional comments**

--

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marco Ganassi

**email**

mganassi@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless products consumption

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

the knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no added value by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it's not possible to prove in advance the impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation, with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption reduction. In other countries- see Canada for example -important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers and an increase of illegal trade of tobacco products

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mahlke Friedhelm

#### **email**

rofima@gmx.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Gloria Esther Falcon Gonzalez

**email**  
GLOEFG@HOTMAIL.COM

**country**  
España

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

andreas deußen

#### **email**

adeussen@arcor.de

#### **country**

deutschland

#### **age**

36

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eva Vera Larena=Avellaneda

**email**

evitavela@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Laura Muñoz Falcon

**email**  
l%a%u%r%a%m%f@hotmail.com

**country**  
España

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lucia Padilla Medina

**email**

lulu%galdar%88@hotmail.es

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

iris deußen-monreal

**email**

irisdeussen-monreal@arcor.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Goetze

**email**

aral.goetze@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**



53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

----

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

---

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

---

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

--

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

--

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

----

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Holtraefe

**email**

Zobel10@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Leticia Alonso Guzman

**email**

aicitel%a%g@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
haberkamp georg

**email**  
haberkamp-koeln@t-online.de

**country**  
deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
00000

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
000000

**additional comments**  
00000

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

000000000000000000

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

000000000000000000

**additional comments**

000000000000000000

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

000000000000000000

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

000000000000000000

**additional comments**

000000000000000000

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

0000000000000000

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

0000000000000000



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**



citizen

**name**

Peter Moess

**email**

peter.moess@stb-moess.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Staat oder die EU haben sich nicht um alle Belange der Bürger zu kümmern, bzw. dürfen nicht alles reklementieren. Wie brauchen kein Gesetz, wie Tabakwaren verpackt werden müssen. Es gibt echte Probleme, mit der sich eine europäische " Regierung " beschäftigen sollte. Eine solche Regelung würde ich nur als Gängelerei betrachten. Aktionismus. Eine Kommission muss nicht überall handeln, wo sie darf. Oder kann sie nicht mehr ?

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Ich sehe kein Problem in den Verpackungen der Tabekwaren.

**additional comments**

Ich möchte es nicht falsch verstanden wissen. Was ist wenn nicht mehr geraucht wird ? Was wird als nächstes verboten ? Es müssen alle Menschen sterben. Einige, weil sie geraucht haben. Wenn sie nicht geraucht haben, dann sterben sie an etwas anderem. Wenn es um die Kosten geht, dann ist das nur ein Teil. Was ist mit Alkohol ? Wann werden andere " Rauschmittel " erlaubt ? Was wird als nächstes " Verboten " ?, bzw. eingeschränkt. Es wird ein Fass ohne Boden, nur damit die Kommission beschäftigt ist ? Dafür sollte sie nicht eingesetzt werden. Da ist die Kommission zu teuer für uns Bürger.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Die Kommission muss sich heraushalten aus diesem Thema.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Die Kommission darf sich mit anderen Fragen beschäftigen. Dieses kann von keiner Kommission oder Regierung umfassend bearbeitet werden. Selbst wenn man das Rauchen verbieten würde, dann werden die Menschen weiter rauchen. Es gibt genügend Länder in den der Alkohol verboten ist und er wird trotzdem getrunken. Was meint die Kommission, welchen Einfluss sie auf die Bürger hat ? Wenn sie hier ihre Stärke zeigen will, dann verliert sie an respekt bei den betroffenen Bürgern.

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jennifer Alvarez Suarez

**email**

J.ennias@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Isabel Villalba Wiese

**email**

Isabel%0290@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Marcela Valencia Marin

**email**  
dianita15fv@hotmail.com

**country**  
España

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Brito Mesa

**email**

dabrime@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anke Fuchs

**email**

Anke.Fuchs@pmintl.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

The scope of the Directive can be extended to cover these other products, but this should not include an automatic ban on one category of product (novel forms of oral tobacco). Any bans should be based on scientific assessments.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence that bigger warnings are more effective. There is no evidence that plain packaging would improve the functioning of the internal market or have any public health benefits. It would make it easier for criminals to copy legitimate brands and would lead to an increase in illegal sales of cigarettes.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Member States can already introduce fees and sanctions. Enforcement of the provisions of the Directive should remain the responsibility of the member states.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus on ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no clear problem stated as regards either vending machines or point of sale display. There is no evidence provided as to why bans would improve the functioning of the internal market or advance any public health objectives.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ana Herrera Gonzalez

**email**

annie\_hg90@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Alicia Jorge Larena-Avellaneda

**email**  
alicia9\_89@hotmail.com

**country**  
España

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hennecken Helen

**email**

HEHOF @t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Arminda Ramirez Perera

**email**

armindarp@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hennecken, Rolf

**email**

HEHOFqt-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Wengert

**email**

Jens.Wengert@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freiheitsrechte der Bürger !!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Freiheitsrechte der Bürger, Verlust tausender Arbeitsplätze, Existenzen werden gefährdet, usw....

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Europa muss ein freies Land bleiben und nicht nur von Gesetzen gegen jegliche Freiheit überzogen werden !!! Der Schwarzmarkt wird überhand nehmen !!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Verschärfung der Richtlinien, im Internet sind heute schon alle Inhaltsstoffe aufgeführt.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wenn man keine Zusatzstoffe verwenden darf, schmeckt alles gleich !!! Stellen Sie sich vor, jede Schokolade würde gleich schmecken !!!!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Frei Verfügbarkeit

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aida Andreu Verona

**email**

rainbow\_1001@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lars Knappe

**email**

gk-rba@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Der Verkauf von Tabakwaren (Zigarette, Feinschnitt, Zubehör) sollte auf den Facheinzelhandel, der seit Jahrzehnten besteht, beschränkt werden! Tankstellen und Supermärkte sind nicht in der Lage den Jugendschutz und Nichtraucherchutz gerecht zu werden!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
David Doyle

**email**  
david.doyle@humbrophy.com

**country**

Ireland

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ejessie Alfonso

**email**

ejessie.alfonso@gmail.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
No comments

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comments

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comments

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Christoph Pauly

**email**  
justus12345@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

da dude

**email**

dadude77@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

smoking is anyway up to 18+ / 21+ years so no scary pic needed

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

set the smoking age up to 21

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no klue

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no klue

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
yes

**additional comments**  
yes

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

harry sedlaczek

#### **email**

charry@aon.at

#### **country**

2251 Ebenthal Austria

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

soll in der Kompetenz der einzelnen Mitgliedstaaten bleiben

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

kein kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

### **which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Krönig, Prof.Dr.med.

**email**

bkroenig@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

71

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional comments**

No ingredients in tobacco products - only tobacco.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional comments**

No ingredients in tobacco products - only tobacco.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

Ban of indirect advertising (image-commercials) for tobacco and nicotine-products. Ban of



selling of tobacco and nicotine-products to persons under the age of 21 years. A tax of 2 Euros for every (!) cigarette smoked by a person below 21 years of age, to be determined by established statistical methods. A deposit of 1 Euro per tobacco package and 10 cent per cigarette stubs.

**additional comments**

Refunding the costs for treatment of smoke-induced illness and of the loss of working hours by the tobacco industry. Banning the sale and consumption of tobacco products in the EU would be the best strategy.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steffen Baumgärtner

**email**

djstepi@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Regulierung dieser Produkte sollte Ländersache bleiben, da die jeweiligen Marktgegebenheiten mit berücksichtigt werden sollen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich bin der Meinung das alle Bürger ab dem 18 Lebensjahr für sich selbst entscheiden

können, ob Sie ein Produkt zu sich nehmen oder nicht. Es ist hierbei auch keine Gefährdung anderer gegeben, also warum lässt man nicht jeden selbst entscheiden. Es gibt sovielen Lebensmittel die auch nicht Gesund sind, diese werden ja auch nicht verboten.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin der Meinung das jeder Raucher sich bewußt ist, dass das Rauchen nicht Gesund ist. Es gibt jede Menge andere Gesundheitgefährdende Dinge (z.B. im Sport, Lebensmittel etc.) auf denen ist kein Warnhinweis oder Bild zu Abschreckung. Ich als EU-Bürger möchte nicht bevormundet werden. Auch die Idee von einer neutralen Verpackung empfinde ich als Bevormundung, wo bleibt die Freiheit?

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Dies sollte auch wieder Ländersache bleiben, eine gemeinschaftliche Erfassung wäre nur mit hohen Kosten und ohne Nutzen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Warum benötigen wir hier wieder eine Eu Weite Regelung, ich denke jedes Land hat momentan eine ausreichende Regelung. Jegliche Art der Änderung wäre wieder mit Kosten verbunden.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Soll mir schon wieder die Freiheit genommen werden? Im Internet kann ich eigentlich alles

bestellen, warum sollten hierbei wieder Einschränkungen gemacht werden, sonst kann hier so ziemlich alles Verboten werden (den es ist viel Gesundheitsgefährdend was dort angeboten wird). Auch das Verbot von Zigarettenautomaten sehe ich als Einschränkung meiner Freiheit, mittlerweile muss ich mich ja schon am Zigarettenautomat als über 18 jähriger durch meine EC-Karte legitimieren. Deshalb besteht keine Gefährdung der Jugend sondern nur eine weitere Option mich als Eu Bürger einzuschränken und zu bevormunden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl-Heinz Gysler

**email**

karl-heinz.gysler@ki.tng.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerlach Jürgen

**email**

gerlach-js@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tamara

**email**

tamara\_ehlert@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Felipe Baez

**email**

felipe.baez.k@gmail.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oliver Stolle

**email**

stolle@lotto-bremen.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich will selbst entscheiden!!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

die menschen sind nicht dumm

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mareike Forke

#### **email**

carasao@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

29

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Es ist unmöglich, das die Bürger immer mehr in ihren "Freiheiten" eingeschränkt werden. Diese "ART" der "Problemlösung" schafft nur neue Probleme und verlagert es nur.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Die Verbaucher müssen informiert werden, welche Marke sich hinter welchem Produkt verbirgt. Es kann nicht sein das "clean" Schachteln in den Verkauf kommen, die Verbaucher

haben ein recht darauf selbst zu entscheiden welche Marke sie rauchen. Und dies sollte auch erkennbar sein.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

das ist unglaublich... eine bodenlose Frechheit. Denkt auch irgendjemand an die Arbeitsplätze die durch solche Maßnahmen wegfallen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Schreiner

**email**

2m.schreiner@web.de

**country**  
deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Handwerker, Stefan

**email**  
info@Questron.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
47

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Jeder Erwachsene kann für sich entscheiden, was er möchte und was nicht. Eine staatliche Reglementierung schränkt die eigenen Entscheidungsmöglichkeiten ein!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie zur ersten Frage

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maik Jordan

**email**

psycho007@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Friesl

**email**  
tanschgal55@gmx.net

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul Gruber

**email**

Tabakstube-Gruber@t-online

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Johannes Braun

**email**  
braun.johannes@web.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
23

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martina, Schreiner

**email**

2m.schreiner@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Taeube-Bree

**email**

Wolfgang-Taeube@TKS-Dretzel.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schreiner, Manfred

**email**

service@scan-markt.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

"Schockbilder" und "weiße Schachteln" halten nicht vom Rauchen ab. Es macht alles nur komplizierter .

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

keine Abgaben

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kunde währe orientierungslos

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heinz Strehl

**email**

hstrehl@foni.net

**country**

deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sascha Spang

**email**

sascha@tooeasy.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrea Ziebandt-Kuntke

**email**

truemmerlotterie@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Unsel, Cornelia

**email**

unselttank@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Warum ist diese Seite nicht in Deutsch? 80% der Bürger Können diese nicht Lesen und beantworten. Dies ist Bürgerverdummung und stellt die EU in Frage. (Mitbestimmung der Bürger ist nicht gewährleistet, Volksentscheide in den Mitgliedsländern wären der richtige Weg.) Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**



Nicolai, Günter

**email**

g.nicolai

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mierzwa

**email**

Shop\_am\_Uhrturm@Web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

christian kobold

**email**

christian.kobold@chello.at

**country**

vienna

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

to much rules

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment needed

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment needed!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment needed!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment needed!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Bueble

**email**

thomas.bueble@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Rauchen ist gefährlich. Ich bin trotzdem gegen eine noch weitergehende Diskriminierung. Wir erwägen doch keine Aufkleber mit Unfallopfern auf Sportwagen oder solche mit ruinierten Obdachlosen auf Luxusprodukten.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Dreimüller

**email**

a-s-d1@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Peschel

**email**

wolfgang.peschel@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

59

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bürger muss selbst entscheiden dürfen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No



**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Larsson, The Moderate Party Örebro

**email**

maria.larsson@moderat.se

**country**

Sweden

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No further comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Snus is not different from other smokeless tobacco and should therefore not be discriminated in the EU.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Legal products should be able to display their trademarks - an introduction of plain packaging would be a serious violation of trademark rights.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No further comments.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is important to make it clear that if a regulation on ingredients should be considered it should only be on ingredients that clearly makes the product more dangerous.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Legal products should be legal to display in stores.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jochen Lehner

**email**

jochen.lehner@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problematik ist ausreichend definiert. Länderspezifische Vorgaben sind ausreichend. Hierzu sind die jeweiligen Marktgegebenheiten zu berücksichtigen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tabakwaren werden nur an Bürger über Jahre verkauft. Diese sind mündig und sind sich durchaus im Klaren, welche Entscheidung sie treffen und welche Risiken sie gegebenenfalls eingehen. Hierzu benötigt man keinerlei zusätzliche bildhafte Darstellungen zur Abschreckung. Die Bevormundung des Bürgers wird von der Politik vorangetrieben. Diese Bevormundeten sollen aber bei Wahlen eigenständig an die Wahlurne gehen und die politischen Vertreter wählen. Diese Form von Politik ist entwürdigend und freiheitsberaubend. Es gibt tausende gesundheitsgefährdende Dinge im Sport, bei der Ernährung und in der alltäglichen Fortbewegung. Sollen überall Warntafeln mit abschreckenden Bildern aufgestellt werden oder glaubt man noch an die Eigenverantwortung eines jeden?

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

mit dem Hintergrund eines einheitlichen Meldewesens wird der bürokratische Aufwand gemindert. Deshalb sollten keinesfalls Gebühren erhoben werden. Die Gesetzgebung macht dies erforderlich und erfordert somit auch einen Aufwand bei den Herstellern

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Geschmacksstoffe gehören zum Genuss von Tabakwaren dazu oder essen sie Fleisch stets ohne Soße oder liegen im Sommer nie in der Sonne?

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Verkauf von Tabakwaren ist gesetzlich mit dem Mindestalter geregelt. Dieser Regelung kommen die Automatenaufsteller über die Altersprüfung am Zigarettenautomat nach. Im Handel findet diese Prüfung ebenfalls statt. Tabakwaren sind Handelswaren und deshalb sichtbar zu verkaufen. Auch die Unterscheidbarkeit und klare Zuordenbarkeit der Marken über ihr Logo muss dem Konsumenten weiter gewährt werden. Mit Ihren Vorschlägen diskriminieren Sie eine ganze Branche und alle Raucher und eine über Generationen bestehende Rauchkultur. Die Freiheit, die in einer Demokratie bestehen sollte, wird durch diese beabsichtigten Maßnahmen schwerwiegend in Frage gestellt. Der Europäische Gedanke geht durch solch eine Politik vollständig verloren. Freiheit, Selbständigkeit, Bildung und Fortschritt stehen im Mittelpunkt unseres gemeinsamen Strebens. Pisa-Studien bekunden den hohen Bildungsstand unserer Gesellschaft - dieser stellen Sie kontraproduktiv Verbote in den Weg und nehmen ihr die freie Entscheidung.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

helmut großmann

**email**

netzgaenger@live.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Reichel

**email**

shneeezin@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harald Knott

**email**

H.Knott@T-Online.de



**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lidia

**email**

litwenty@hotmail.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sonya

**email**

sonia.d.a@hotmail.com

**country**

spain

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sonia

**email**

croqe@msn.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Serge

**email**

smartinb@hotmail.es

**country**

Spain

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sergio

**email**

sergio\_martin2001@hotmail.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Philip Class

**email**

p1.class@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zu viel Regulierung durch die EU die ich nicht haben wollte.... genausowenig wie den EURO, auf den ich sooo gerne verzichten kann!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ever seen an car-crash caused by an driver who smoked a view cigarettes before driving???? Go on to alkoholism.... feed them!!! Let me alone with all that regulation. just kick half of that stupid members of your parlament. Money for nothing, an i had to pay for all that fu+++ shit made by so bad, ugly people....

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Hate regulation by boring people like the EU Parlements

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

tbc

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karola Boden

**email**

odeus@nexgo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

alles ist gut

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

alles gut

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

alles kann bleiben

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

unsinn

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ist so gut

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ronny Berndt

**email**

presseshop@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nein, \*kopfschüttelnd\*, Zusatzstoffe wurden doch schon veröffentlicht...

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte doch auch nicht, das jeder O-Saft/Tee/Kaffee gleich schmeckt...

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Melanie Class-Ertel

**email**

melanie\_ertel@hotmail.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
31

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Bevor man über sowas nach denkt sollte man sich klar vor Augen halten wieviele Alkoholiker es gibt und darüber redet keiner.....Oder gibt es auch Statistiken darüber wie oft Unfälle passieren durch Zigaretten.....Wer verdient den an der Zigarette das ist der Staat die EU und jetzt sowas....Drogen sind auch verboten und wieviele Menschen nehmen Drogen???? Also was die EU vor hat ist meiner Meinung nach sinnlos und kostet uns Steuerzahler nur wieder Geld nein Danke.... Was auf der Packung ist-ist doch egal es wird trotzdem geraucht....

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

STELLA FOUSIA

**email**

stella\_fousia@gmail.com

**country**

GREECE

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kraft Leopold

**email**

leopold.kraft@chello.at

**country**

Österreich

**age**

61

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentat

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KONSTANTINOS ZDRALIS

**email**

k\_zdralis@yahoo.com

**country**

GREECE

**age**  
30

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
ADONIS AVDELAS

**email**  
adonis.avdelas.gmail.com

**country**  
GREECE

**age**  
30

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

IOANNIS KOURKOUTAS

#### **email**

jgkourkoutas@yahoo.gr

#### **country**

GREECE

#### **age**

31

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

THEODOROS LIOTSOS

**email**

th\_liotsos@yahoo.gr

**country**

GREECE

**age**



30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ingrid Klee

**email**

Ingrid.Klee@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mr peter jarvis

**email**

petejarvis@fsmail.net

**country**

uk england

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A full investigation into the use of electronic cigarettts is needed before further action is taken Electronic cig use has helped me improve my health , now im breathing easier and able to walk further without problems etc Banning these products is a step back in improving peoples health

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**

having used an E-CIG for the last 6 months ive been feeling much fitter and healthier , not neede to see my doctor for the age old bronchial problems ive suffered due to smoking tobacco. Thus it makes more sense to let the nicotine liquid used in e-cigs to be kept on the open market.Allowing this will let people choose to use whatever product they wish . ive found that the majority of people using e-cigs have found they are feeling much healthier and thus not needing to burden the health service , saving major costs .

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nicotine liquid as used in e-cigs is far less harmful in comparison to smoking tobacco, should therefore NOT be included in the ban

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Allowing free trade in nicotine liquid as used snus and ecigs throughout the EU

**additional comments**

banning the ecigs and nicotine products used in them is a breach of human rights , and would result in a black market arising for these products giving more work for the authorities to keep the products out of the EU

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the document is full of mis-information and further studies are needed to asses the affects to health from using snus as oppsed to smoking tobacco

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see previous comments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

as previous

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

as in food all ingredients have to be listed therefore tobacco should be treated in the same way with a full comprehensive list of all chemicals and compounds found within. Stating the possible health risks undertaken by smoking tobacco

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katharina Keßner

**email**

Dark-angel1992@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

18

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**

die "normale" zigarette reicht doch vollkommen aus. außerdem höre ich gerade zum ersten mal von all diesen sachen.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wozu denn bitte das ganze? so lange es zigaretten gibt, so lange gibt es auch unterschiedliche marken, mit unterschiedlichen designs. das macht die zigarettenmarke eben aus. Abgesehen davon, von sagen wir jetzt mal 1.000 Rauchern was glauben sie wie viele von denen mit dem rauchen aufhören werden, weil da abschreckende bilder drauf sind? ich meine jeder weiß doch nun was er seinem körper mit dem rauchen antut, dank der netten sprüche die ja nun schon eine ganze weile auf den packungen drauf stehen. Aber rauchen ist eben auch eine sucht. wenn man diese bilder dann sieht macht man sich im ersten moment vielleicht gedanken darüber. aber letzten endes wird in den meisten fällen dann doch die sucht siegen.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

mich würde es wirklich mal interessieren wer sich solche schwachsinnigen ideen einfallen lässt. ich meine jetzt mal ganz im ernst: meint ihr nicht auch dass es wichtigere dinge auf der welt gibt die verändert werden müssen?

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich stimme in den punkten mit dem zigarettenautomaten-verbot und mit der untersagung der präsentation von tabakwaren nicht zu.

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kirchner

**email**

hkmk10@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Birgitta mahler

**email**  
stefanmahler@ewetel.net

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
40

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nerea Eguskizaga Beaskoetxea

**email**

elenerodriguez@yahoo.es

**country**

Spain

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rebecca Ina Brück

**email**

rebecca-brueck@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

37

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
man sollte Erwachsene wie Erwachsene behandeln wir sind keine Kinder

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
-

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Rainer M Jelinek

**email**  
rjelinek@ccc.at

**country**  
AT

**age**  
63

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**  
It is important to restrict the access and use of tobacco for health and economy in europe!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

Ban on all tobacco products, not only smokeless products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gabriele Olvitz

**email**

gabi@olvitz.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar nötig

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tabakprodukte enthalten meiner Meinung nach genügend Informationen.

**which option**

No change



**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die gegebenen Informationen sind klar und deutlich genug.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir benötigen keine weiteren Einschränkungen. Es gibt bereits genug Alternativen ohne Zusatzstoffe.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Miguel Jesus Rodriguez Echebarria

**email**

rodriguez.mikel@yahoo.com

**country**

Spain

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Pechwitz Gunther

#### **email**

pechwitz@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

"kein Kommentar"

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

"kein Kommentar"

### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

"kein Kommentar"

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

"kein Kommentar"

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

"kein Kommentar"

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

"kein Kommentar"

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Walter Hummel

**email**

WHummtabak@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderung

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hessler Willi

**email**

willi\_hessler@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dominik Hoffstadt

**email**

mrd2007@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

18

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change



**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Alexandra,Johanson

**email**  
AlexandraJohanson@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manuel Glück

**email**

damanufs@live.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Firmen sollen sich also Markenrechtlich schützen lassen, dann aber ihr teures Logo nicht herzeigen dürfen?

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans-Georg Metelmann

**email**

HGMetelmann@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die gesetzliche Regelungen reichen aus.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Die gesetzliche Regelungen reichen aus.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Steller

**email**

j-steller@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerd Bednorz

**email**

g.bednorz@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Komentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ute Schauz

**email**  
ute.schauz@srupprec.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Weit Carmen

**email**

weit@kabelmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerdi Bednorz

**email**

g.bednorz@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Ich wünsche keine Änderung

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Ich wünsche keine Änderung

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anke Wilde

**email**

wilde1986@gmx.de

**country**

Fehrbellin

**age**

24

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

sollen lieber den Alkohol abschaffen der ist schlimmer

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TOPOUZIS PETROS

**email**

petros.topouzis@gmail.comq

**country**

Greece

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**



citizen

**name**

Dietmar Ferlemann

**email**

eu-info@dietmarferlemann.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AZUCENA MOSQUERA RODRIGUEZ

**email**

azucena.mosquera44@gmail.com

**country**

ESPAÑA

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMENT

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMENT

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMENT

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMENT

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PABLO PARDO SILVA

**email**

pardo.registro@gmail.com

**country**

España

**age**  
37

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no coments

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no coments at all

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
not coments

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Chris Weber

**email**

koettelsack-lottofb@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no.

**additional comments**

no comment.

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

I do not want to.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no.

**additional comments**

no comment.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Better take care of alcoholics.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Better take care of alcoholics.

**additional comments**

Better take care of alcoholics.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Better take care of alcoholics.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Mueller

**email**

moses\_mue@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**



Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mueller Walter

**email**

Mueller\_Liane1@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hadasch Rajnald

**email**

hadasch.r@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Horst Petersen

**email**

tabak-petersen@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kornelia Bertermann

**email**

cornelia.bertermann@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar



**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change; Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mueller Liane

**email**

Liane\_Mueller1@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Horst Lauer

**email**

h.r.lauer@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

68

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fernando Pascual

**email**

fernando.pascual@hotmail.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Apoyamos un formato de notificaci, n com,, n para toda la UE. Cualquier nuevo formato debe proteger los secretos comerciales de los fabricantes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Apoyo la regulacion europea de ingredientes siempre que esta fundada en criterios cientificamente probados

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Apoyo las restricciones de la venta por Internet, entre ellas la verificaci, n de edad. No est, a justificado ni es necesario que la UE legisle en este sentido ya que la mayor, a de paises han tratado este tema y este canal de venta es muy poco utilizado

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Angela Lorenz

**email**

Angela-lorenz@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wenn alles verboten wird werden neue Kanäle entwickelt ... warum soll das "normale Rauchen" das seit Jahren existiert illegal werden ... der Bürger soll frei entscheiden können  
!!!!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Aufklärung für die Junge Generation.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Jeder soll selber entscheiden können ! Meinungs- und Handlungsfreiheit !

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es steht schon drauf, jeder ist schon informiert ! Wenn ein Produkt kaputt gemacht wird werden millionen von Arbeitsplätze und existenzen vernichtet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist genug auf der Packung !

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es wurde nur den Schwarzmarkt stärken !!!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Dann wurde das rauchen fast illegal werden und für unsere Kinder noch interessanter (etwas geheimvolles).

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

jürgen backhaus

**email**

backihb55@yahoo.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lisa

**email**

lwiktorsson@hotmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

health promotion and clear guidelines for every hospital how to work with health promotion regarding smoking and tobacco

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

you have no evidence that snus damage your health more than for example alcohol or fast food

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

I think everyone should have in mind that it is because of snus many people in Sweden have managed to stop smoking and because of that the number of patients with cardiovascular diseases have been reduced in Sweden, particularly among men which are those who use snus the most.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ludger Koppers

**email**

Edeltraud-koppers@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Paller

**email**

stefan.paller@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
BROSCH Andrea

**email**  
abrosch@gmx.at

**country**  
Austria

**age**  
23

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nc

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nc

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nc

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nc

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nc

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nc

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**



SCHMIDT Thomas

**email**

Knoppers1@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

n.c.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nc

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nc

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nc

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ASUNCION GORTAZAR

**email**

Marabuntagort@gmail.com

**country**

SPAIN

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

WÖHR Hannelore

#### **email**

hannelore.woehr@chello.at

#### **country**

Austria

#### **age**

50

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Es ist keine Änderung notwendig ich stimme für Option 1

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **recommend option**

Wir brauchen keine Änderung

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Ich finde es gibt wichtigere Dinge um die sich die EU kümmern sollte. Man kann keinen mündigen Bürger alles vorschreiben und nur mit Verboten bombardieren.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Wir brauchen keine Änderung

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Petra Prangenberg

**email**  
christian.prangenberg@t-online.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
55

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Heiko Horning

**email**  
kioskquerum@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Arbeitsplatzabbau, Ladensterben

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
muss doch jeder selbst entscheiden dürfen!!!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

völliger Blödsinn

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

der Kunde wäre orientierungslos

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**



citizen

**name**

Böhr

**email**

vboehr@web.de

**country**

D

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Günther Hubel

**email**

basschecker@hotmail.com

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt schon zu viele Regelungen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Schützt vor Passivrauch

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Enteignung des Markenrechtes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zuviel Bürokratie

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Erhalt der Geschmacksvielfalt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freier Zugang zz legalen Produkten

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hannes Hubel

**email**

hannes.hubel@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt schon zu viele Regelungen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

schont die Atemwege des Rauchers

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Enteignung des Markenrechtes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zuviel Bürokratie

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Erhalt der Geschmacksvielfalt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

soll Ländersache bleiben

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Beckert , Gerrit

**email**

Beckert.Gerrit@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Hier findet meiner Meinung nach eine Überregulierung statt. Mündige und Erwachsene Bürger wissen um die Bedeutung und die Risiken beim Rauchen. Auf jeder Packung wird dies in Landessprache abgedruckt. Wie sieht es überhaupt mit der Landessprache bei dieser Umfrage aus? Viele Mitglieder der EU sind automatisch von der Umfrage ausgegrenzt. Aber weiter zum Thema Verbraucherinformation. Plain Packungen sind ebenfalls abzulehnen da hier Kunde noch weniger informiert wird, im Gegensatz zum heutigen Standard. Zum anderen öffnet es für Fälscher, Tür und Tor, ihrem Geschäft besser nachzukommen. Eins muss klar werden, den Raucher werden diese Massnahmen nicht abschrecken, er wird für seinen Genuss Mittel und Wege finden sich diese legale Produkt zu beschaffen. Verbote, Einschränkungen bringen da kaum Erfolg, das hat in den 20- 30er Jahren in den USA die Prohibition gezeigt. Der Mensch muss überzeugt werden vom Rauchen zu lassen. Diese Aktionen sind dafür keine provaten Mittel diese Ziel zu erreichen. Es kostet viel Geld, ruft Kopfschütteln hervor und ist so überflüssig wie ein Kropf.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marie

**email**

dj\_meryen@centrum.cz

**country**

czech republic

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

bez komentare

**additional comments**

bez komentare

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

bez komentáře

**additional comments**

bez komentáře

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

pokud-li krabičky budou jednotné bílé s velkým nápisem, bude to ráj pro padělatele....naroste černý trh s cigaretami.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

každý stát by si dodržování svých pravidel měl řešit sám

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

na přísadách by se měla testovat především toxicita a ne chuť

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nevím, čím by zákaz vystavení pomohl. Spíše by poté vzrostal chuť zakázaného ovoce. Kupříkladu porno se nevystavuje a myslíte, že díky toho zaniká chuť na něj koukat? Určitě ne!



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manhart Leo

**email**

Leo-Manhart@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Nein

**additional comments**

The best for all people will be: man läßt alles so wie gehabt - jeder Staat soll für sich selbst entscheiden !

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Was soll eigentlich mit den tausenden Tabakfachgeschäften sein, die sehr viel Geld in die Wirtschaft gepumpt haben- jetzt sollen sie für den Verkauf Ihrer Ware durch die EU behindert werden- alle schweigen dazu!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tabak-u.Nikotinerzeugnisse, die nicht den EU- Rechtsvorschriften unterworfen sind, sollen weiterhin von der Gesetzgebung der Mitgliedsstaaten erfasst werden !

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Wechsel der bisherigen selbstständigen gesetzgebung der EU-Mitgliedsstaaten!

**additional comments**

Jeder Staat muß das Tabakproblem selbst im Griff haben und beherrschen - die EU darf nicht alles beherrschen und diktieren. Die EU soll sich um Mitgliedstaaten kümmern, damit die nicht Pleite gehen- an die Arbeit! Ihr bekommt Geld dafür!!!

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mats Cronheim

**email**

mats@mcronheim.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

every human being has a right to decide for himself

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Every human being can decide for himself, we don't need more EU regulation

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Everybody can decide for himself

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There's no need for this regulation.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Friederike Luchterhand

**email**

fcl\_1978@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

N/A

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rosa Bonleitner

**email**

rosa\_bonleitner@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach ist die Directive absolut ausreichend zum Schutz von Rauchern und Nichtrauchern.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Da rauchfreie Tabakprodukte keine schädigenden Nebenwirkungen auf Dritte haben, das Passivrauchen also vermieden wird, sollte man doch diesen Produkten den Weg öffnen und sie im Gegenteil eher fördern. Gerade Snus, der in Schweden sehr häufig konsumiert wird, könnte das Problem des Passivrauchens und die Schädigung der Nichtraucher mindern.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Siehe oben

**additional comments**

Siehe oben

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Informationen, die auf den Packungen und den speziellen Internetseiten zum Thema Zusatzstoffe etc. gegeben werden, sind ausreichend, zumal sowieso jeder Raucher weiss, worauf er sich einlässt, wenn er zur Zigarette greift. Der Einsatz von grafischen Warnhinweisen macht in Ländern mit einer hohen Analphabetenrate vielleicht Sinn (was ich

jedoch bezweifle), Untersuchungen in Kanada und anderen Ländern hat jedoch gezeigt, dass im Gegenteil die grafischen Packungen gerade bei den jungen Rauchern noch begehrt waren. Diese Umsetzung wäre mit Sicherheit kontraproduktiv. Auch Einheitspackungen helfen hier nicht, da sich die Fälscher in China und Russland bereits jetzt erfreut die Hände reiben, denn dann wird Fälschen quasi kinderleicht.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Siehe vorherige Antwort - der Umfang der Informationen ist absolut ausreichend,

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ein Verkauf von Tabakprodukten unter dem Ladentisch oder aus zugesperrten Schränken heraus würde keine Änderung im Rauchverhalten bewirken, lediglich eine Wettbewerbsverzerrung, da die bestehenden großen Marken weiter geraucht würden, aber Neueinführungen keine Chance hätten und dadurch die Vielfalt für den Konsumenten stark eingeschränkt wäre.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Becker, Angela

**email**

becker\_angela@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change



**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Colmsee, Florian

**email**

TBColmsee@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Athina Skylitsi-Nikolaou

**email**  
athina.skilitsi@gmail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

namik demirayak

**email**

namik.d@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

46

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Pierre-Alain MICHEL

#### **email**

palain.michel@gmail.com

#### **country**

FRANCE

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **additional comments**

This directive should include all tobacco product, including the futur one. Any decision (specialy bans) and should explained with scientific facts.

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **additional comments**

By reading several articles it seems that snus is less armfull. You should anyway base your decision on scientific facts

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Their is no evidence that health warnings (messages and photos) have an impact on consumption. Their is no evidence either that a "generic" pack will have an impact on consumption. But I can imagine that "generic" packaging will help the illicit trade and conterfactors !

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Currently it is already possible to introduce fees and sanctions. This responsibility should remain at the EU country members level.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

By evaluating the ingredients, the only important concern is "does a change in the ingredient increase or decrease the toxicity of the smoke".

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem of the automatic distributors / Vending machines as well as the product visibility in the point of sale is not correctly stated. There is no evidence that those Bans will solve the public health issues' objectives. I also believe that it will support counterfeiters and develop the black market.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johann Bonleitner

**email**

holzerhof@web.de



**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
43

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch einen eingeschränkten Zugang zu Tabakwaren würden diese Produkte mehr und mehr in die Illegalität abgedrängt werden und dadurch gerade für die jüngeren Raucher sehr hip und angesagt, weil "verboten". Was die Prohibition in den USA der 1920er Jahre angerichtet hat, ist historisch belegt, deshalb muss man mit Tabakprodukten keinen zweiten Präzedenzfall schaffen.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Spiecker,Michael

**email**

Michael-Spiecker@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir in Deutschland wollen uns nicht von der EU bevormunden lassen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die jetzigen Gesetze sind völlig ausreichend.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

alles in Ordnung

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

alles in Ordnung

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tabakwaren nur noch im Fachgeschäft Verbot für den Lebensmittelhandel keine Tabakwaren bei ALDI und Co

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marion Gallinat

**email**

Marion\_Gallinat@Saueressig.de

**country**  
Germany

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claudia Olvitz

**email**

claudsn@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bilder oder andere Warnungen auf Zigaretten oder anderen Tabakprodukten haben nicht den gewünschten Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten der Konsumenten. Im Gegenteil, durch die

Wahrnehmung der Hinweise wird im Gehirn eine Region angesprochen, die nur noch mehr Lust/Verlangen nach einer Zigarette oder ähnlichem auslöst. Martin Lindstrom hat genau dies in seinem Buch "buyology" auf S. 14 und 15. beschrieben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Mit der jetzigen Regelung erhält der Konsument genug Informationen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Zigarette wird ohne Inhaltsstoffe nicht "weniger schädlich". Für die Konsumenten, die lieber ohne Zusatzstoffe rauchen wollen, gibt es ein großes Portfolio solcher Zigaretten oder Tabake.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Einschränkung der persönlichen Freiheit eines Rauchers.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ertel. Marianne

**email**

peer.ertel@-online

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---



## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Marion Teufelhart-Hengsberger

#### **email**

trafik.hengsberger@speed.at

#### **country**

Austria

#### **age**

39

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

LASST DEN RAUCHERN IHRE FREIHEIT!!!!!! Zumutung für Konsumenten

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional option**

no comment

#### **additional comments**

no

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Selbstbestimmung der Konsumenten!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zuviel Bürokratie!!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Beibehaltung der Vielfalt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Selbstbestimmung durch Konsumenten

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PANAGIOTIS KOURKOUTAS

**email**

pankourk@yahoo.gr

**country**

Greece

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
M<sup>a</sup> Isabel Sanchez Martin

**email**  
Misabel.Sanchez@hotmail.com

**country**  
Spain

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Tony Fischer

#### **email**

tony\_fischer@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

veninga jan

**email**

j.veninga@yahoo.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jana Modes

**email**

joerg-jana-modes@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

35

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
ΜΑΡΙΑ ΠΑΤΣΙΛΑ

**email**

patsila.m@gmail.com

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΔΕΝ ΛΕΙΤΟΥΡΓΟΥΝ ΣΩΣΤΑ ΟΙ ΑΠΟΚΡΟΥΣΤΙΚΕΣ ΕΙΚΟΝΕΣ

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΕΙΣΑΓΩΓΗ ΚΟΙΝΟΥ ΤΥΠΟΥ ΣΥΣΤΑΤΙΚΩΝ ΣΕ ΕΠΙΠΕΔΟ Ε.Ε.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΑΠΟΔΕΙΞΗ ΤΗΣ ΕΛΚΥΣΤΙΚΟΤΗΤΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΕΘΙΣΤΙΚΟΤΗΤΑΣ ΜΕ ΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΟΝΙΚΕΣ ΜΕΛΕΤΕΣ

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tabak Trafik Ernst Stuppnic, 9813 Möllbrücke, Hauptstrasse 14 Ernst Stuppnic

**email**

stuppnictrafik@gmail.com

**country**

Austria

**age**

59

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ines Promptzer

**email**

ines1331@sms.at

**country**

Österreich

**age**  
24

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schmidhuber Thomas

**email**

89thomas@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
I find it a cheek to question on this questionnaire and therefore decisions for Germany into English language and to take the possibility with it from a big majority to express itself likewise on this subject.

**additional comments**  
I find it a cheek to question on this questionnaire and therefore decisions for Germany into English language and to take the possibility with it from a big majority to express itself likewise on this subject.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Ewert

**email**

m.ewert@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nasif Yüksel

**email**

ynasif@hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

19

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

claudia mühlbach

**email**

c-muehlbach@hotmail.de

**country**

germany

**age**

43

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aral Tankstelle Blieskastel

**email**

aral@tankstelle-blieskastel.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Verbraucher wissen über Gesundheitsrisiken Bescheid. Weitere Warnhinweise sind nicht nötig.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Arbeitsplatzverluste drohen Weniger Steuereinnahmen und weniger Margen für Unternehmen Schwarzhandel nimmt zu

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Zusatzstoffe haben keine sucherzeugende Wirkung.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Eine Einschränkung der Marken bedeutet eine Schwächung der Einzelhändler. Der illegale Markt wird zunehmen.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Präsentation stellt kein Problem dar, da es sich um legale Produkte handelt. Der Zugang Jugendlicher ist so schon genug beschränkt.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Verbraucher haben es schwer, ihre Marke zu finden. Neuer Hass gegen die Politik würde drohen. Sinkende Preise sind schädlich für Handel und Staat

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zusatzstoffe erhöhen nicht die Sucht

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Eine Einschränkung schwächt die Einzelhändler. Der illegale Markt wird zunehmen. Es gibt weniger Steuereinnahmen.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zusatzstoffe machen nicht süchtig.

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

Durch ein Verbot verringert sich die Nachfrage, es kommt zu erheblichen Umsatzeinbußen für den gesamten Markt.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Produktpräsentation ist kein Problem, da es sich um legele Produkte handelt. Jugendliche haben auch so keinen Zugang zu Tabakprodukten.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Für Verbraucher wird es schwierig seine Marke ui finden. Weniger Wettbewerb gleich weniger Einnahmen für Handel und Staat. Illegaler Handel mit Zigaretten steigt.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephanie Rettiek

**email**

sunnywater2001@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

körner dieter

**email**

genuss-koerner@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

krin kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar



**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fuchs Dagmar

**email**

dfu@tt-fuchs.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die einzelnen Länder sollen für die Gesundheit zuständig bleiben, es gibt schon seitens der EU zuviele Einmischungen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Es kann helfen, mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören , Schützt vor Passivrauch

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zigaretten und Tabakwaren sind legale Produkte und dürfen nicht unverkäuflich gemacht werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zuviel Bürokratie. Die Entscheidung soll in der Kompetenz der einzelnen Mitgliedsstaaten bleiben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Erhalt der Geschmacksvielfalt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freier Zugang zu legalen Produkten

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lotto Tabakwaren Zeitschriften

**email**

lottolipp@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wenn das kommt können wir alle zu machen. Man kommt sich vor wie früher in der DDR. Was dürfen wir den noch machen außer dem Staat Geld zu Verdienen. Das ist eine große Frechheit was die EU mit uns vor hat. So nicht!!!!!!!!!! Müssen wir denn erst alle auf die Straße gehen bevor die da oben merken was sie machen.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

So nicht mit uns

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Wir sind Deutsche und keine EU Bürger

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

So geht das nicht

**additional comments**

Das kann nicht sein

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

So nicht mit uns

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

So nicht

**additional comments**

So nicht

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

So nicht

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

So nicht

**additional comments**

das kann nicht sein

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

so nicht

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

so nicht

**additional comments**

so nicht

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Hoffmann

**email**

THNHaag@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not believe that bigger warning or plain packaging would have an positive effect on reduce smoking. The only impact in my opinion would be more illegal sales, smuggling, counterfeit and criminality

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This should be ruled by the Member States.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The important point should be if ingredients result in more toxicity when they are smoked

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not believe that bans would at the end really support any health objectives. This is not proven, the history rather proves the opposite. Why banning a legal (!) product?

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Achim Waller

**email**

achim.waller@capgemini.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Krug, Andrea

#### **email**

andreakrug@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
marcel engel

**email**  
marcellohonda@hotmail.com

**country**  
germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ilona Antons

**email**

bikesandmore3@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick Boelsen

**email**

bstreetfighter@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
19

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sebastian Neckel

**email**

sn23de@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is still a decision of my own to buy or smoke tobacco. Controlling the age of the people buying tobacco is more effective than prohibiting different brands. In the end, one big brand sells all of the tobacco, having a monopoly on the prices, the taste etc. That doesn't work with the capitalistic market which lives of different brands, prices and brand competitions.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Prohibiting the vending-machines on public streets could solve the problem.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Beate Kunz

**email**

jbkunz@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**



Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meines Erachtens reichen die Warnhinweise und die Aufklärung durch die Gesundheitsorganisationen aus, um jedem Raucher ausreichend Aufklärung über sein Tun zu geben. Entscheidet er sich trotzdem für das Rauchen, so ist das seine persönliche Entscheidung und Freiheit. Ich bin sogar der Auffassung, dass ein Wegsperrern der Tabakprodukte gerade bei den "jungen" Rauchern zwischen 18 und 24 Jahren eine erhöhte Aufmerksamkeit und ein gesteigertes Verlangen nach den "verbotenen Dingen" zur Folge haben würde.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kim Ehms

**email**

m.reyne@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Michael Boelsen

#### **email**

bikesandmore@gmx.net

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

41

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mario Reyne

**email**

reynemario@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

patrick gentzen

**email**

pagebln@aol.com

**country**

germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Boll

**email**

andy.mit.y@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51



**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die Maßnahmen werden wirkunglos sein und greifen zu sehr in die privaten und Geschäftsinteressen ein.

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
nein

**additional comments**  
nein

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Legales Produkt!

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
nein

**additional comments**  
nein

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Zu viele Regulierungen

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
nein

**additional comments**

nein

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Geschäftsgeheimnis

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Geschäftsgeheimnis

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die aktuellen Bestimmungen reichen aus.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Silvia Reyne

#### **email**

m.reyne@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mark, Lacher

**email**

mark.lacher@arnold-andre.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

marco brunnert

#### **email**

marcobrunnert@yahoo.de

#### **country**

germany

#### **age**

43

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Sturm

**email**

msturm@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Human right to choose wether to smoke or not

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

People quit Smoking with These products

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Fiona Kovac

#### **email**

Fiona.Kovac@kabelmail.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

46

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephanie Kovac

**email**

Steffi.Kovac@kabelmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
25

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Schlegel

**email**  
r-schlegel@web.de

**country**  
germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Siegfried Kovac

**email**

Siegfried.Kovac@kabelmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Siegfried Kovac

**email**  
Nedderndorfer Weg 32

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Blödsinn !!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Blödsinn !!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Blödsinn !!!! aus Malta

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Quatsch

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefanie Pankratz

**email**

Steffi\_333@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

21

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pankratz

**email**

herbert.pankratz@tankstelle.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

marion unglaub

**email**

silvio1965@gmx.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brandner

**email**

b\_brandner@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Scope of Directive could be extended to cover other products, but this should not include an automatic ban on one product categorie. Any bans should be based upon scientific assessments.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence and prove that bigger warnings are more effective! There is no evidence that plain packaging would improve functioning of the internal market or have any public health benefits. Criminals could easier copy and distribute legitimate brands and thus illegal sales of cigarettes would increase!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Member states can already introduce sanctions and charges. Enforcement of the provisions of the directive should remain responsibility of the Member States.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no clear problem satted as regards either vending machines or point of sale display. There is no evidence provided as to why bans would improve the functioning of the internal market or advance any public health objectives.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Mohr

**email**

ctfman@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Meike Heuer

**email**  
hmeike@web.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Ohne wissenschaftliche Beweise sollten keine weiteren automatischen Verbote verhängt werden.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen Beweis dafür, dass größere Warnhinweise mehr bringen. Es ist auch nicht nachgewiesen, dass Plain Packaging irgendeine Wirkung auf die öffentliche Gesundheit oder einen nationalen Markt hat. Ganz im Gegenteil: der Produktfälschung und dem Schwarzmarkt wären Tür und Tor geöffnet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In den Mitgliedsstaaten gibt es bereits Möglichkeiten der Saktionierung. Die Durchsetzung einer solchen Richtlinie sollte in der Hand der Mitgliedsstaaten bleiben. Das ist völlig ausreichend.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bei den Tests der Zutaten sollte man das Hauptaugenmerk darauf legen, ob sie den Tabakrauch giftiger machen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keine Beweise dafür, dass das Vorhandensein von Zigarettensautomaten oder Werbung im Geschäft schadet. Also sollte es euch kein Verbot geben, denn das würde nicht zur öffentlichen Gesundheit beitragen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Renner

**email**

sape08@freenet.de

**country**

BRD

**age**

46

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist bereits genug auf dem Rücken der Raucher ausgetragen worden. Ein Raucher schadet inzwischen niemandem mehr außer, wenn er es übertreibt, sich selber.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Wie wäre es denn wenn sich die EU mal schärfer mit dem Umgang von Alkohol beschäftigen würde. Diese Leute sind krank nicht die Raucher

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Raucher ist erwachsen und weiß was er tut.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Diese Bilder schrecken einen Raucher nicht ab so wie es der weiße Warnhinweis auch nicht tut.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Schikane

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

christoph mathis

**email**

baeck.mathis@gmx.net

**country**

austria

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nothelfer, Ralf

**email**

RAN-AUTO@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt kein Problem

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Es gibt kein Problem

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt kein Problem

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt kein Problem

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt kein Problem

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt kein Problem

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Joerg Demtschuk

#### **email**

demme\_2000@yahoo.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **regulation of ingredients**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**



No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Schöppler

**email**

r-schoeppler@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sandra Frey

**email**

sape08@freenet.de

**country**

BRD

**age**

43

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Für den Jugenschutz und den Nichtraucherchutz ist bereits genug getan worden

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dorn, Dagmar

**email**

Tabak.Point@googlemail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Schwenk

**email**

schwenk.selm@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jessica Charbon

**email**

charbon83@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Georg Wintz

**email**

geo911@ewetel.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin alt genug, selbst entscheiden zu können, was ich kaufe und ich will sehen, was ich kaufe.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christoph Eggers

**email**

christopheggers@hotmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

26

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Behrmann Brömmerkamp 8 29331 Lachendorf Deutschland

**email**

Jotabeh@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich (Nichtraucher) bin der Überzeugung, daß die geplanten Gesetze und Verordnungen als Motiv in keiner Weise den Gesundheitsschutz haben. Wäre dem so, dann müßten Sie alle bislang legalen Drogen (auch Bier, Sekt Wein und Spirituosen) komplett verboten werden. Was die "Luftverpestung" angeht, so müßten alle PKWs einheitlich aussehen, damit nicht durch Farben oder Formen ein Fahrzeug gewählt würde, daß mehr krebserregende Abgase oder CO<sub>2</sub> an die Umwelt abgibt. Bekanntlicherweise sehen Nichtraucherlungen in Großstädten schlimmer aus als Raucherlungen auf dem Lande. Also eigentlich PKW Verbot. Europaweit. Da bislang aber weder auf Alkohol noch auf PKWs Warnhinweise versehen sind, sind aus meiner Sicht all diese Maßnahmen nichts als blanke Verordnungswut. Die Selbstbestimmung der Bürger ist eines unserer höchsten Güter. Die Informationspflicht an alle Bürger ist schon gegeben. An öffentlichen Orten wie Restaurants gibt es keinerlei Belästigung mehr. Die Bildung im Gesundheitsbereich sollte intensiviert werden. Inklusive der Gefahren durch Fast-Food, aller illegalen Drogen usw. Gerade in Schulen.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

s.o.

**additional comments**

entweder 100% Prohibition aller Gesundheitsgefährdenden Stoffe und Lebensmittel (auch Fast Food), d.h. Entmündigung aller Bürger oder aber wie bisher Alterskontrollen durch geschultes Personal (Automaten sind in der Tag problematisch) und deutlich mehr Aufklärung über all diese Gefahren in allen Bildungseinrichtungen.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

s.o.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich wiederhole mic

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

d

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

cvcbv

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Florian Balzer

**email**

florianbalzer@gmx.de

**country**

DEUTSCHLAND GERMANY

**age**

23

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jessica

**email**

je.bayer@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

23

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

fühle mich in meiner freiheit ausgegrenzt diskriminierung nenn ich sowas gleichberechtigung für alle

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**



No

**comments**

die produktpräsentation stellt kein problem dar. es handelt sich um legale produkte  
behinderung des handels ohne dass es nachweislich den anteil der raucher verringert oder den  
zugang von jugendlicher zu tabakprodukte einschränken würden

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

er wird schwieriger für den verbraucher seine bevorzugte marke zu finden erhebliche  
finanzielle belastung für den Einzelhandel um tabakprodukte sich sichtbar am verkaufsort zu  
lagern oftmals nicht möglich dann ausschluss vom markt illegaler handel mit zigaretten wird  
stark vereinfacht mit finanziellen folgen für wirtschaft und staat weniger markenvielfalt  
wettbewerb nur noch über ein preis sinkende preise schädlich für handel staat und gesundheit  
sinkende werbeeinnahmen dadurch weitere finanzielle belastung

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

verbraucher sind bereits hinreichend über gesundheitsrisiken aufgeklärt keine hinweise dass  
weitergehende warnhinweise deren wahrnehmung steigert

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

einheitsverpackungen zerstören markenstrukturen und führen zu einer reinen  
preisorientierung fallende preise führen zu sinkende handelsspanne folgen a)entzug der  
kommensbasis für viele tabakumsatzabhängige unternehmen dadurch gefahr erhöhter  
stellestreichungen b)leichter zugang für jugendliche durch niedrige preise neutrale  
verpackung lassen sich leichter fälschen

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zusatzstoffe erhöhen unsere erachtens weder die toxizität noch haben sie eine für sich  
genommen suchterzeugende wirkung

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

ein verbot verringert die markenvielfalt ohne einfluss auf das konsumverhalten zu haben eine  
einschränkung der markenvielfalt bedeutet gleichzeitig eine schwächung von einzelhändlern

insbesonderen deren mit großem sortiment durch ein verbot verringert sich nicht die nachfrage diese wird dann durch den illegalen markt verdient verbunden mit schäden auf den handel und steuerminereinnahmen für den staat tabak fällt unter das lebensmittelrecht zigaretten und ihre zusatzstoffe sind also streng kontrolliert

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

behinderung des handels ohne dass es nachweislich anteil der raucher verringert oder den zugang jungdlicher zu tabakprodukten einschränken wird

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

sinkende werbeeinnahmen dadurch weitere finanzielle belastung illegaler zigarettenhandel finanzielle folgen für wirtschaft und staat

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

produktpräsentation stellt ein problem dar es handelt sich um legale produkte

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

es wird schwieriger für den verbraucher seine bevorzugte marke zu finden

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl-Heinz Müller

**email**

khcd.mueller@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marisleidy Salgueiro Nuñez

**email**

drmalula@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Octavio Trujillo Calderon

**email**

teletavi1978@msn.com

**country**

España

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brent Harrison

**email**

brent.j.harrison@hotmail.com

**country**

British citizen, resident in Germany

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

The scope of the directive could be extended to cover these other products, but without any automatic bans on particular product categories.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence that plain packaging would decrease smoking rates, and it would make it easier for counterfeit product to be produced and sold.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Enforcement of the directive should remain the responsibility of the member states.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of the tobacco smoke.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no clear problem stated with regards to either vending machines or point of sale display. There is no evidence provided as to why bans would advance public health objectives.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Adrian Trujillo Calderon

**email**

aytc785@hotmail.es

**country**

España

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Schultze

**email**  
georgia.schultze@servustv.at

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich bin gegen jegliche Bevormundung und die freiheitliche Grundordnung. Als Erwachsener möchte ich entscheiden können, was ich konsumiere. Die o. g. Pläne unterstützen nur, das Kinder und Jugendliche noch neugieriger auf Geächtetes und Verbotenes werden.

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Anstatt sinnloser Verbote unterstütze ich Aufklärung und Prävention, besonders bei Jugendlichen.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich bin gegen eine Häufung von Verboten. Siehe Kommentar vorher.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin gegen verpflichtende Bildwarnhinweise. Sie führen nicht zum Ziel einer freien und gesünderen Gesellschaft.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I am against regulations.

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin gegen Bevormundung.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Breuer, Axel

#### **email**

axel.breuer@arcor.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

48

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Einheitspackungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Plain Packaging spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde im Geschäft auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Monica Ramon Quintana

**email**

merq\_@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Dinglinger

**email**

mobiletom@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

n c

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

n c

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

n c

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cristina Artiles Garcia

**email**

cris\_mar\_14@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Loewe

**email**

christian\_loewe@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

"kein Kommentar"

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

"kein Kommentar"

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

"kein Kommentar"

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

"kein Kommentar"

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

"kein Kommentar"

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

"kein Kommentar"

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ernst Gartzke

**email**

e.gartzke@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

77

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

XOYΣEIN XΑΣAN

**email**

agrotopos@yahoo.gr

**country**

GREECE

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Οι πολίτες της Ε.Ε. θα πρέπει να είναι σε θέση να λαμβάνουν από μόνοι τους αποφάσεις και όχι υπό την μορφή εξαναγκασμού και φόβου. Τότε δεν θα είχε νόημα η δημοκρατία.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Η εφαρμογή αυτών των ρυθμίσεων της οδηγίας πρέπει να γίνεται σε επίπεδο κρατούμενων και όχι Ε.Ε.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Εισαγωγή κοινού τύπου συστατικών σε επίπεδο Ε.Ε.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

HUBERTUS NOLTE

**email**

hrnolteb@-online.de

**country**

DEUTSCHLAND

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**



No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cristina Batista Suarez

**email**

cristina\_bs601227@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bauer, Sabine

**email**

a.c.grote@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Einheitspackungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Plain Packaging spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Zigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde im Geschäft auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALPER DINCEL

**email**

ALPER:DINCEL@GMX:DE

**country**

DEUTSCHLAND

**age**

25

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Lorena Aleman Hernandez

**email**  
chiki\_lore92@hotmail.com

**country**  
España

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Grunwald, Martin

#### **email**

martingrunwald2003@yahoo.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

47

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

#### **questions consumer**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Weiße Einheitspackungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Plain Packaging spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind legale Produkte, die sollte der Kunde im Geschäft auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lorena Hernandez Fernandez

**email**

lorelike@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DOUGALIS IOANNIS

**email**

DOUGALISJ@HOTMAIL.COM

**country**

GREECE

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Monique Hübner

**email**  
HotLady2210@web.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
20

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Minerva Pitti Santana

**email**

min\_pitti@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Michael Frey

**email**  
m.frey@versanet.de

**country**  
Berlin

**age**  
48

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Arminda Ramirez Perera

**email**

armindarp@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uta Larsen

**email**

Uta.Larsen@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Hartwig

**email**

hartwig-herzberg@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ich habe als Raucher gute Erfahrungen damit gemacht, durch Snus meinen Cigarettenkonsum zu reduzieren.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

ich halte es für unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Cigaretten sind legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde im Geschäft auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Muellner

**email**

wolfm72@gmail.com

**country**

Austria

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In our time and age people know all about the health problems caused by smoking and it is still the choice of every single grown up person if she or he smokes. But es long as Brussels has no other things to worry about how a banana should be curved it was clear to me that sooner or later some s+#t like that will come up. What´s next going to be regulated or prohibited wine, spirits, religion, sex etc. Welcome back to the Third Reich, only in these days the capitol is no longer Berlin, it´s Brussels.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

See first entry

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

See first entry

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

See first entry

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

See first entry

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Delia Molina Perez



**email**

deli\_boli\_088@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ivan Moreno Martin

**email**

yocanarioyo@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Daniel Bartzschke

**email**  
daniel.bartzschke@gmx.net

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
32

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
JEDER MENSCH HAT SEINEN EIGENEN FREIEN WILLEN ZU ENTSCHEIDEN!!!!

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
JEDER MENSCH HAT SEINEN EIGENEN FREIEN WILLEN ZU ENTSCHEIDEN!!!!

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
JEDER MENSCH HAT SEINEN EIGENEN FREIEN WILLEN ZU ENTSCHEIDEN!!!!

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
JEDER MENSCH HAT SEINEN EIGENEN FREIEN WILLEN ZU ENTSCHEIDEN!!!!

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

JEDER MENSCH HAT SEINEN EIGENEN FREIEN WILLEN ZU ENTSCHEIDEN!!!!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

JEDER MENSCH HAT SEINEN EIGENEN FREIEN WILLEN ZU ENTSCHEIDEN!!!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hildebrandt

**email**

ohildebrandt@freenet.de

**country**

asdfasdf

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

afaf

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar kkkkkkkk asdf aaaaaaaaaa aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa aaaaaaa

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Arantzazu Alfonso Bejarano

**email**

arantxa\_a\_b@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eva Maria Santana Lazaro

**email**

evasantana\_1986@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Flügel

**email**

anfluegel@aol.com

**country**

BRD

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ich habe als Raucher gute Erfahrung damit gemacht, durch Snus meinen Cigarettenkonsum zu reduzieren.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Einheitspackungen sind viel leichter zu fälschen. Plain Packing spielt also Kaminellen in die Hände.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind legale Produkte, die der Kunde im Geschäft auch sehen können muß

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerald Bartels

**email**

gerald.bartels@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Klaus Böhlicke

**email**  
klaus.boehlicke@reemtsma.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
58

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BOULENT SALICH

#### **email**

bs95111464@hotmail.com

#### **country**

greece

#### **age**

35

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

οι πολιτες της Ε.Ε. θα πρεπει να ειναι σε θεση να λαμβανουν απο μονοι τους αποφασεις και οχι θπο την μορφη εξαναγκασμου και φοβου.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

η εφαρμογη αυτων των ρυθμισεων της οδηγιας πρεπει να γινεται σε επιπεδο κρατος-μελους και οχι Ε.Ε.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΕΙΣΑΓΩΓΗ ΚΟΙΝΟΥ ΤΥΠΟΥ ΣΥΣΤΑΤΙΚΩΝ ΣΕ ΕΠΙΠΕΔΟ Ε.Ε.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patricia Valido Cabrera

**email**

patrivalido@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfram Glück

**email**

wolfram.glueck@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Achim Huppelsberg

**email**

gmsux@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ada Gonzalez Faro

**email**

ady\_sugus@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Schmieding

**email**

RoberSchmieding@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in

meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich halte es für äußerst unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich als Raucher möchte das ganze Sortiment sehen und mir meine Marke aussuchen können

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Beatriz Gonzalez Aleman

**email**

morenita\_linda69@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios



**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carolina Gazquez Navarro

**email**

carolina.gazquez@truccoazafatas.com

**country**

España

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wiljo J.A. van Maren

**email**

wiljo-petra@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Geen commentaar

**additional comments**

Het is te belachelijk voor woorden, dat deze 'online consultation' alleen in het Engels wordt gevoerd. De EU-commissie staat verder weg van de burgers dan ooit tevoren. Bij een thema wat dermate polariseerd en waar de EU pretendeerd alle burgers bij te betrekken is het des te belangrijker dat iedere EU burger in staat gesteld wordt zich in zijn eigen taal te laten informeren waarom het precies gaat. Mondige burgers én mondige ondernemers zijn zelf in staat voor zich te bepalen wat goed en wat slecht voor ze is. Op deze manier worden echter alle burgers die niet de Engelse taal beheersen monddood gemaakt. Is dit democratie of dictatuur?

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Geen commentaar

**additional comments**

Geen commentaar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Waarom zijn grotere waarschuwingsteksten noodzakelijk, om nog maar niet te spreken over 'pictorials'? Als moderate, volwassen en goed geïnformeerde sigarenroker, bovendien een sigarenroker die bewust ervoor kiest van sigaren te genieten op momenten wanneer het mij past en waar ik geen andere mensen stoort, ben ik nu al heel goed op de hoogte over de mogelijke gevaren. Volkomen onzin om hier nog meer actief te worden. Een verspilling van tijd en belastinggeld.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Geen commentaar

**additional comments**

Geen commentaar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

De EU-Lidstaten kunnen ook nu al kosten hiervoor in rekening stellen en sancties opleggen. Het is volkomen onzinnig om hiervoor nieuwe richtlijnen te ontwikkelen. Het wiel hoeft niet opnieuw te worden uitgevonden.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Geen commentaar

**additional comments**

Geen commentaar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

De nadruk bij ingrediententests zou moeten liggen op het feit of bepaalde ingrediënten schadelijker zijn voor de gezondheid en verder niets.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Geen commentaar

**additional comments**

Geen commentaar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ik ben van mening dat elke verkoopbeperkende maatregel ten bate is van de marktleider (vaak multinationals die niets doen voor de werkgelegenheid in Europa) en ten koste gaat van kleinere gespecialiseerde merken. Aangezien de sigarenmarkt uit vele gespecialiseerde merken bestaat, merken die al eeuwen lang in kleine familiebedrijven in Europa geproduceerd worden, zou dit alleen maar de kleine firmas treffen. Het leidt tot absolute concurrentievervalsing en vernietiging van arbeidsplaatsen die al jarenlang voor de werkgelegenheid in deze traditionele bedrijfstak vechten.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Geen commentaar

**additional comments**

Geen commentaar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Müller, Sebastian

**email**

wlds664@yahoo.de

**country**

germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Als Raucher habe ich gute Erfahrung damit gemacht, durch Snus meinen Cigarettenkonsum zu reduzieren.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Packungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Plain Packing spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Als Raucher möchte ich das komplette Sortiment sehen und mir meine Marke aussuchen können.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mete Yeliz

**email**

yelizmete@hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Bauer, Peter

**email**  
Peter\_Bauer@bat.com

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
29

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pedro de la Hoz

**email**

volador91@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrea Baiesi

**email**

abaiesi@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
37

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**  
L'applicazione dei divieti dovrebbe fondarsi su evidenze scientifiche

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Veres Attila

**email**

atti.veres@vipmail.hu

**country**

Magyar

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ellentmondásos a tervezet, mert már a hatáskör definiálásakor tiltásokat fogalmaz meg bizonyos termékkörre, anélkül, hogy erre vonatkozó részletekkel szolgálna - legyen szó akár a bevezetőről, akár a konzultációs anyag egyéb fejezeteiről.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Ennek a kérdéskörnek a szabályozását tagállami hatáskörben lenne érdemes meghagyni.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Véleményem szerint az egészségvédő feliratok méretének eltúlzása nincs arányban az elérni kívánt céllal.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tagállami szinten a kérdéskör megoldott és a tagállamok szuverenitásának fenntartása szükséges, mivel a jelenlegi direktíva megfelelő alapot biztosít ehhez.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A tiltó jellegű szabályozásnak megfelelő tudományos alapokon kell nyugodnia, nem pedig szubjektív értékelésen. Az FCTC 9-10 cikkelyeinek vitái alapján is látszik, hogy egy nem átgondolt tiltó szabályozás dohánytermelők munkahelyeit veszélyezteti, így Magyarországon 10,000 ember megélhetését tervezi ellehetetleníteni.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A túlzott szabályozás a feketekereskedelem kapuit nyitja tágabbra, és így a legális értékesítés csökkenésén keresztül a termelői érdekeket hátrányosan befolyásolja.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Wilken

**email**

ddcrwilken@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pietsch, Michael

**email**

Michael\_Pietsch@bat.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Als Raucher habe ich gute Erfahrung damit gemacht, durch Snus meinen Cigarettenkonsum zu reduzieren.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich halte es für äußerst unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Diese sollte der Kunde im Geschäft auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrea Petscher

**email**

trafik.petscher@aon.at

**country**

Österreich

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist nicht produktiv

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Enteignung der Markenrechte, Zumutung der Kosumenten

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Zuviel bürokratie

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
freier zugang

**additional comments**  
alles soll ländersache bleiben

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Tillessen Jörg

**email**  
info@office-schroeder.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weil das absoluter Unsinn ist - der derzeitige Jugend-Schutz ist ausreichend. Erwachsene können und müssen selber entscheiden !

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Grünbeck,Sonja

**email**

s.gruenbeck@ugede.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aaron Vieira Moran

**email**

aaronvieiramoran@gmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Leila Denise Santos Montel

**email**

leilinhadenise@live.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Flügel, Birgit

**email**

fluegel-birgit@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die o.g. Produkte werden nicht von der Richtlinie erfasst und bleiben erlaubt

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Das Snus-Verbot soll aufgehoben werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

ich habe gute Erfahrungen damit gemacht, meinen Cigarettenkonsum durch Snus zu reduzieren

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung soll bestehen bleiben

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich halte es für unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung soll bestehen bleiben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde im Geschäft auch sehen können.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Nicoletta Marcolin

#### **email**

nicoletta.marcolin@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

45

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **recommend option**

Le valutazioni debbono essere basate su evidenze scientifiche comprovate

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

karampeths Theodoros

**email**

kara1414@otenet.gr

**country**

Greece

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

diez romero, jose maria

**email**

exp818@eresmas.com

**country**

spain

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Yvonne Holst

**email**

holst-bargteheide@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Kästle Knut

#### **email**

gluecksladen@t-online.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

44

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Broschei, Peter

**email**

peterbroschei@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die oben genannten Produkte werden nicht von der Richtlinie erfasst und bleiben erlaubt.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Das Snus Verbot soll aufgehoben werden

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung soll bestehen bleiben

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Einheitspackungen sind viel leichter zu fälschen. Plain Packing spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung soll bestehen bleiben

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die gültige Regelung soll bestehen bleiben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung soll bestehen bleiben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Volker Presser

**email**

volker\_presser@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Topkauf Alexander Bremer

**email**

lebensmittelbremer@Hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

would you eat food that all looks same and got the same taste ?

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comend

**additional comments**

the last what ya did with tobakkoes was horrible all long

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

breathing alone is dangerous ... will you stop breathing ?

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

everyone should be able to do what he thinks is right for him-/herself if it is ethical

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

distinction

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tradition Tabak/cigarette sorts

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

secret recipes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the last adjustment was lousy for the taste

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

presentation

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

it is like it is

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Diana Carolina Guerrero Giannattasio

**email**

princesita\_taekey@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PEKOS IOANNIS

**email**

IPEK63@OTENET.GR

**country**

GREECE

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Nauzet Ortega Rodriguez

**email**  
nau\_or@hotmail.com

**country**  
España

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ELSA PEKOU

**email**

ELSAPEKOU@HOTMAIL.COM

**country**

GREECE

**age**

36



**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Haberland

**email**

A.Haberland@Kielnet.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Harthun

**email**

bernd\_harthun@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kmentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kurt Schroll

**email**

kurt.schroll@tankstelle.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die neuen Vorschläge gehen eindeutig zu weit, die EU greift in den freien Wettbewerb ein, vernichtet Arbeitsplätze. Es wird zu viel Zentral reguliert, wo bleibt das recht eine jeden Einzelnen, selbst zu entscheiden ob er raucht. Das ist Bevormundung der Bürger.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Holler

**email**

holler.andreas@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Belicza Jánosné

**email**

beliczane@vipmail.hu

**country**

Magyar

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ellentmondásos a tervezet, mert már a hatáskör definiálásakor tiltásokat fogalmaz meg bizonyos termékkörre, anélkül, hogy erre vonatkozó részletekkel szolgálna - legyen szó akár a bevezetőről, akár a konzultációs anyag egyéb fejezeteiről.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Ennek a kérdéskörnek a szabályozását tagállami hatáskörben lenne érdemes meghagyni. Mindezek mellett úgy gondoljuk, hogy a snüsszel kapcsolatos svéd példa alapján a füstmentes dohánytermékeket tiltás helyett inkább reklámozni kellene.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Véleményünk szerint az egészségvédő feliratok méretének eltúlzása nincs arányban az elérni kívánt céllal.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tagállami szinten a kérdéskör megoldott és a tagállamok szuverenitásának fenntartása szükséges, mivel a jelenlegi direktíva megfelelő alapot biztosít ehhez.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A tiltó jellegű szabályozásnak megfelelő tudományos alapokon kell nyugodnia, nem pedig szubjektív értékelésen. Az FCTC 9-10 cikkelyeinek vitái alapján is látszik, hogy egy nem átgondolt tiltó szabályozás dohánytermelők munkahelyeit veszélyezteti, így Magyarországon 10,000 ember megélhetését tervezi ellehetetleníteni.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A túlzott szabályozás a feketekereskedelem kapuit nyitja tágabbra, és így a legális értékesítés csökkenésén keresztül a termelői érdekeket hátrányosan befolyásolja.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Májér Ferenc

**email**

majerferi@gmail.com

**country**

Magyar

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ellentmondásos a tervezet, mert már a hatáskör definiálásakor tiltásokat fogalmaz meg bizonyos termékkörre, anélkül, hogy erre vonatkozó részletekkel szolgálna - legyen szó akár a bevezetőről, akár a konzultációs anyag egyéb fejezeteiről.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Ennek a kérdéskörnek a szabályozását tagállami hatáskörben lenne érdemes meghagyni.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Szerintem az egészségvédő feliratok méretének eltúlzása nincs arányban az elérni kívánt céllal. Az egyencsomagolás bevezetése egyértelműen utat nyit a feketekereskedelem növekedésének.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tagállami szinten a kérdéskör megoldott és a tagállamok szuverenitásának fenntartása szükséges, mivel a jelenlegi direktíva megfelelő alapot biztosít ehhez.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A tiltó jellegű szabályozásnak megfelelő tudományos alapokon kell nyugodnia, nem pedig szubjektív értékelésen. Az FCTC 9-10 cikkelyeinek vitái alapján is látszik, hogy egy nem átgondolt tiltó szabályozás dohánytermelők munkahelyeit veszélyezteti, így Magyarországon 10,000 ember megélhetését tervezi ellehetetleníteni.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A túlzott szabályozás a feketekereskedelem kapuit nyitja tágabbra, és így a legális értékesítés csökkenésén keresztül a termelői érdekeket hátrányosan befolyásolja.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tewes

**email**

skyhyper@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michalis Petropoulos

**email**

petmichalis@yahoo.gr

**country**

Greece

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KAYMAZ ALZO

**email**

alzokaymaz@hotmail.com

**country**

DEUTSCHLAND

**age**

40

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kösters Thomas

**email**

koesters\_thomas@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Bürger kann selbst entscheiden, ob er raucht oder nicht. Alkohol ist auch eine Droge, wird aber auch ab 18 verkauft.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Ich meine, dass schon genug gemacht wird. Aufklärung ist sehr wichtig, jedoch sind Verbote auch ein gewisser Reiz es doch zu tun und diese zu umgehen.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Die derzeitigen Gesetze und Vorschriften sind ausreichend.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Informationen sind ausreichend.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ausreichend vorhanden.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderung

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderung

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrea Heiler

**email**

andreaheiler@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Anja Franke

**email**  
anja-franke@online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Ellies

**email**

ralfellies@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank rausch

**email**

frank.rausch@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martina Gregusch

**email**

martinagregusch@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

dr werner mueller

#### **email**

mueller@fafo.at

#### **country**

austria

#### **age**

53

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

educational measures for minors no uniform packaging no further restrictions in restaurants  
no deprivation of the right of decision

#### **additional comments**

educational measures for minors no uniform packaging no further restrictions in restaurants  
no deprivation of the right of decision

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional option**

educational measures for minors no uniform packaging no further restrictions in restaurants  
no deprivation of the right of decision

#### **additional comments**

educational measures for minors no uniform packaging no further restrictions in restaurants

no deprivation of the right of decision

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

educational measures for minors no uniform packaging no further restrictions in restaurants  
no deprivation of the right of decision

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

educational measures for minors no uniform packaging no further restrictions in restaurants  
no deprivation of the right of decision

**additional comments**

educational measures for minors no uniform packaging no further restrictions in restaurants  
no deprivation of the right of decision

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

educational measures for minors no uniform packaging no further restrictions in restaurants  
no deprivation of the right of decision

**additional comments**

educational measures for minors no uniform packaging no further restrictions in restaurants  
no deprivation of the right of decision

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Walter Armbrecht

#### **email**

walterarmbrecht@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michaela Zerfowski

**email**

mzerf@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alois Kemmer

**email**

kemmer@apfellandbrot.at

**country**

Österreich

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO!!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO111

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO!!!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO!!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

alois huber

**email**

alois.huber@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Binder

**email**

gerhard.binder2@liwest.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
andreas gloger

**email**  
andreas@grafiketc.com

**country**  
austria

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
it's inhuman

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Eggeling, philip

#### **email**

Mc\_pille@web.de

#### **country**

Germany, dortmund

#### **age**

24

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

ther is no problem

#### **additional comments**

you have to think about real problems in the EU...

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional option**

poeple die by wepons and usser politik shit....

#### **additional comments**

tell again, why the banana is not straight....

#### **questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

holländisches Gemüse ist schädlich, abgase, tabletten, zu viel fernsehen und vorallem sich unterdrücken zu lassen

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

kümmert euch um wesentliche dinge!!!

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it`s bullshitt

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

there is no problem

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es sollte wieder eine transparente politik geben

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

jeder kan für sich selbst entscheiden. verbietet die Nachrichten, den da fängt die lügerrei an.

**additional comments**

jeder raucher kann selbst entscheiden ob er es möchte oder nicht. geld macht viel süchtiger als alles andere

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

eigene entscheidung

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kevin Struwe

**email**

international-food@mx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kathrin Wunderlich

**email**

Kathrin\_1509@hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keinkommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentaqr

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gabriele Struwe

**email**

GabyStruwe@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Carsten Hallmann

#### **email**

carsten.hallmann@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Kein Kommentar!

### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrea Prantner

**email**

a.prantner@gmail.com

**country**

Austria

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Extend the minimal age for possession, use and purchase of Tobacco to 18 years

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

Raise minimum age for possession, use and purchase to 18 years all across the EU!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcel Dulisch

**email**

marcel.dulisch@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**  
Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**



Gabriele Metzdorf

**email**

metzdorf@schlau.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommmentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Metzdorf

**email**

metzdorf@schlau.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jose martinez olivas

**email**

pisjmo@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**age**

52

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Haberl

**email**

andy.haberl@gmail.com

**country**

Austria

**age**  
28

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hella Meise

**email**

h.meise@t-online.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no change

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no change

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no change

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no change

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
no change

**additional comments**  
no change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no change

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no change



**additional comments**

no change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pilar Isabel Sevilla

**email**

pisjmo@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zinndorf, Alexandra

**email**

zinndorf.alexandra@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

yyy

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

yyy

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xxx

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ccc

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

vvv

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

vvvv

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brost, Christel

**email**

brosttabakwaren@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

bbb

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

mmm

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xxx

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xxx

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ccc

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xxx

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klein, Wolfgang

**email**

wolfgangwinfried@web.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xxx

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

xxx

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xxx

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xxx

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xxx

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ccc

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Melanie Eckerstorfer

**email**

mohammed@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

16

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sdgs

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**



citizen

**name**

Eva-Marie Schlobohm

**email**

e.schlobohm@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Gasser Barbara

**email**  
babsi.g@ainet.at

**country**  
Austria

**age**  
50

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Diese Vorschriften entmündigen den Verbraucher

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Aufklärung ist gut, Bevormundung nicht.

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Einheitszigaretten sind nicht die Lösung. Wahlfreiheit wäre angebracht

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Bilder und noch mehr Warnungen werden einen Raucher auch nicht daran hindern.

**additional comments**  
Zigaretten sind Genussmittel, man darf den Konsum nicht übertreiben, bei Alkohol wäre dies dasselbe.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Wettbewerbsfreiheit ist angesagt!

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Schmugglern wird ihr illegales Handeln erleichtert.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Einheitsgeschmack soll es nicht geben, es gibt keine Beweise, dass Zusatzstoffe die Risiken verstärken.

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Markenrechte werden missachtet.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mala, Claus

#### **email**

clajoma@gmx.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

49

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

maesele koen

**email**

koen.m@scarlet.be

**country**

Belgium

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Gerlinde Possiel

#### **email**

ghpossiel@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

46

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dominik S.

**email**

dom.stone@gmx.at

**country**

austira

**age**  
22

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fazilet Niemeyer

**email**

fazi.n@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Nico Gibson

**email**  
nicogibsondesign.com

**country**

Spain

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I am commenting only on the plain packaging proposal which I find misguided

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I believe this proposal is misguided. It attacks the intellectual property rights of a legal product. It confuses consumers and does not give them choice, despite being a dangerous product. It interferes with competition and the right to compete between brands. It will increase counterfeit tobacco products in the EU resulting in less tax revenues and cheaper products available to the youth.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Kofler

**email**

martin.kofler@live.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

people are not stupid, they know what they do

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

everything forbidden and dangerous is interesting for young people - so this doesn't help, I think ...

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

tobacco should not be treated like an illegal drug. Illegal drugs are getting closer to tobacco and get more interesting for young people.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

it makes sense, that young people with an age lower than 16 don't get access to tobacco. but this age shouldn't be raised - the american example with alcohol shows, that they find ways to get it anyway - in an illegal market, where they also get harder drugs.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eike-Alexander Thode

**email**

EThode@t-online.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
41

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Change

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No



**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steffi Arndt

**email**

steffiarndt@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weiße Einheitspackungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Da gefälschte Produkte qualitativ schlechter sind, erhöht dies das Gesundheitsrisiko drastisch. Außerdem werden dadurch sicherlich nicht weniger Menschen rauchen. Allerdings beeinträchtigt es den freien Wettbewerb.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Zigaretten sind legale Produkte und sollten als solche auch sichtbar im Laden verfügbar sein. Wenn sich der Raucher seine Marke nicht aussuchen kann, beeinträchtigt das den freien Wettbewerb.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Bolz

**email**

thomas\_bolz@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin ein überzeugter Europäer. Aber was mir die letzte Zeit an Gängelung durch EU-Rechtsakte untergekommen ist, schokiert mich. Es ist nichts gegen Gesundheitsschutz einzuwenden. Aber es irritiert mich, wenn z. B. Zigarrenkisten, die ich kaufen möchte und auch bezahle, durch Aufkleber vereschandelt werden. Ebenso halte ich die von der EU forsierten Nichtraucherregelungen für überzogen und einem freien Bürgersinn widersprechend.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie ich oben schon ausgeführt habe, wende ich mich gegen Bevormundungen, an beim Käufer des Tabakprodukts ansetzen. Denn dieser will ja gerade das Produkt kaufen. Und in diesem Falle benötige ich keine Hilfestellungen durch EU-Verordnungen, warum etwas nicht gut sein sollte. Das führt alles einfach zu weit. Das stelle ich mir nicht unter einem freien und toleranten Europa vor. Man muss US-Amerika nicht alles nachmachen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

annette carney

**email**

a.carney@web.de

**country**  
germany

**age**  
43

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernadette Pirson

**email**

gibsonpirson@aol.com

**country**

Belgium

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

While I believe that the DG Sanco is doing its best in helping EU citizens with health, I feel that there are several aspects of this amendments and proposals that are misguided. I am very concerned on some of the research used to support some of the proposals. There are some major errors in the research and regardless that I am not for tobacco I feel that the DG Sanco should not be seen as totally biased and using wrong research methodologies just to try to support its own agenda. I am particularly concerned with plain packaging and the attack on intellectual property rights. It reminds me of the old communist system we used to have in Europe. It is an attack on a legal product, on marketing of brands and as such on competition. I also cannot understand how you do not realize that counterfeit producers will benefit from this. The counterfeit market will grow geometrically with this and we will have more illegal tobacco sold at a lower price to younger kids, which goes completely against your principals.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

I believe that more education is needed. More prevention and youth campaigns. Require all tobacco manufacturers to develop advertising campaigns approved by you to support this.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Personally I believe that adults should have the opportunity to choose for themselves what legal product they can and cannot have. Smokeless tobacco is sold in other EU markets and in other countries. It is a legal product there, and even though it is dangerous, adults should be allowed to make that choice with the right health warnings on the packs.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Educating people on the risks of tobacco rather than mandating what needs to be done. Use advertising agencies and tobacco manufacturers to produce and fund tobacco prevention campaigns that are first approved by DG Sanco.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Branding is not advertising. The research you have that deduces that less attractive packaging will reduce smoking and stop youth smokers is incredibly wrong. The EU Commission can never be seen to use research in such an incorrect way. I have applauded many of your legislation based on sound facts. Here though, and despite that I am not for the tobacco manufacturers I feel you are using wrong research methodologies to come up with your conclusions. By proposing plain packaging you are attacking the very heart of what the EU market should be. You are attacking the intellectual property rights that the EU Commission has so strongly defended. You attack the right of consumers to choose, even for a dangerous legal product like tobacco. You are encouraging counterfeit products that will be sold at a lower price and with no control over age.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Yes. Education is the only solution rather than antidemocratic legislation. Use the tobacco companies to fund campaigns. It has worked in other countries.

**additional comments**

Again I am outraged at how you are using your research results that are flawed. I am also outraged how you can require a legal product to lose its branding. I do not support the tobacco companies but I do believe all legal products should have the right to keep their brands and their fundamental property rights.



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jörg Ritter

**email**  
joergritter@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Harald Bernhardt

#### **email**

anke\_harald.bernhardt@unitybox.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

41

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Jeder Bürger sollte diesbezüglich seine Entscheidung selbst treffen können.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Der Jugendschutz ist ausreichend. Insbesondere am Automat.

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Eva Rack-Kohlsdorf

**email**  
eva.rack@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
56

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Manuela Lipp

#### **email**

Lala83@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **gender**

female

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Schwachsinn auf die EU zu hören. Es gibt wichtigere Probleme als uns Raucher.

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Wenn jemand rauchen will oder an Zigaretten kommen will dann schafft man das auch. Egal wie aber es wird immer einen Zigarettenmarkt geben. Wenn diese Verordnung durchgesetzt wird fließen weniger Steuern in die Staatskassen weil die Zigaretten auf dem schwarzmarkt verkauft werden und dann jammert der Staat wieder das es nicht genug Steuereinnahmen gibt.

### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Es gibt wichtigere Probleme als das wir raucher die ja eh immer mehr aus dem öffentlichen Leben verbannt werden. Soll sich die EU mal mit wichtigen Themen auseinander setzen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Siehe vorherige Stellungnahmen

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Siehe vorherige Stellungnahmen

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Siehe vorherige Stellungnahmen

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lipp

**email**

familie.lipp@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir leben in einem freien Land und können doch nicht alles was hier passieren soll mit den Herrschaften in der EU absprechen. Das ist der totale Irrsinn

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Die DDR wurde abgeschafft weil es nicht funktioniert hat. Wieso soll man jetzt auf die Herren in der EU hören und sich Sachen vorschreiben lassen. Das ist Hirnrissig

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Definition des Problems ist nicht das man die Tabakwaren aus den Läden verbannen soll oder nicht sichtbar hinstellen soll. Wenn jemand Tabakwaren haben will bekommt er diese auch.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wilfried Schlichtenhorst

**email**

rw-schlichtenhorst@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte für mich selbst entscheiden!!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kwein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Jedem Erwachsenen Menschen sollte die Entscheidungsfreiheit gelassen werden

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

die Existenz durch die Produktvielfalt überlebenden Tabakhandels ist stark gefährdet

**additional comments**

Ob Bildhinweise abgedruckt werden, sollte Jeder EU-Mitgliedsstaat selbst entscheiden. Auf Produkte für Wasserpfeifen sollte kein Warnhinweis erscheinen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentat

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kostenintensität bedeutet die Insolvenz und damit das Aus kleinerer Hersteller.Dadurch auch Vernichtung von Arbeitsplätzen.

**additional comments**

Es würden sich dann ausschliesslich große Anbieter etablieren

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Es soll jedem Mitgliedsstaat selbst obliegen, über Zusatzstoffe zu entscheiden und diese zu regulieren.

**additional comments**

Die Attraktivität des Fachhandels wird durch entstehenden Einheitsgeschmack gefährdet.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Die EU sollte keine Kompetenz zu Zwangsbeschränkungen von Tabakprodukten haben.

**additional comments**

keine sichtbare Produktplatzierung und Werbung im Geschäft bedeutet Enteignung der Meinungsfreiheit und das Aus meinen Arbeitsplatz nach über 10 Jahren

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer Lipp

**email**

familie.lipp@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finde es eine Frechheit wie wir raucher behandelt werden. Wir dürfen immer mehr bezahlen und der Staat verdient eine Menge Geld damit. Aber wir werden bahndelt wie verbrecher.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Die EU soll die Finger davon lassen.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Bevormundung des Staates gab es bereits in der DDR. Und das hat auch nichts gebracht. Wenn Jugendliche / junge Menschen rauchen wollen kommen diese an Zigaretten ob diese nun in einem Regal stehen oder unter der Theke liegen.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir Raucher dürfen immer mehr Steuern bezahlen und werden nur bevormundet. Das kann nicht angehen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weil wir nicht in der DDR leben sondern in Deutschland. Bevormundung vom Staat funktioniert einfach nicht.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Björn Stenzel

**email**

bjst@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hannes Wolf

**email**

cybear@reflex.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Wolfgang Kiendl

#### **email**

wolfgang.kiendl@chello.at

#### **country**

Austria

#### **age**

45

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

I see no problem with those products and also no need for a EU regulation. If regulations are necessary countries can cover them on their own-

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Again there is no problem for the EU

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Pictorial warnings are completely unnecessary. Everyone with half a brain knows that



smoking is unhealthy and if he still does it, that's his decision as a free citizen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**additional comments**

Basic criteria should ONLY be not adding substances purely to increase addictiveness. Toxicity should only be a factor if substantially greater than the unavoidable toxicity of nicotine and smoking.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional comments**

I am missing a personal statistics section in this questionnaire, so I supply something important here: No, I do not smoke or use tobacco in any way. I never did and probably never will. However as a free citizen it is my choice if I want to consume something that is not really healthy like tobacco. It is in no way a concern of the state and even less a concern of the EU. I would even be willing to pay some part of the health-care costs others cause by using tobacco. However considering the very high taxes on tobacco products I very much doubt I have to. I suspect instead they are paying more than their share of budget deficit of the state by those taxes.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Tork

**email**

tork@erkmann.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

rauchloser Tabakgenuss gefährdet nicht die Gesundheit Dritter und liegt in der Eigenverantwortung erwachsener Menschen.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Raucher kennen die Gefahren des Rauchens - durch die Bilder wird dieses nicht verstärkt. Da die Bilder generell "abstoßend" wirken werden von den Rauchern schnell eigene Verpackungen genutzt. Es gibt auch keinen Beleg dafür, dass die Bilder wirklich zu einer Verminderung des Rauchens führt. Einheitspackungen würden verstärkt dazu führen, dass der Raucher nur noch die Billigsten kauft und somit vielleicht noch mehr raucht. Gefälschte Produkte können dann sehr einfach produziert und in den Markt gebracht werden. Das Markenrecht wird unterlaufen und die Herkunft der Produkte ist nicht mehr ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Dieses ist langfristig zu aufwendig und zu teuer.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die gesetzlichen Auflagen sind in den meisten Mitgliedsstaaten schon sehr gut geregelt. Die Zuständigkeit liegt hier generell bei den Mitgliedsstaaten.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ottmann Friedrich

**email**

info@ottmann-sulzbach.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lars-Olle Arnesson

**email**

l.o.a@home.se

**country**

Sweden

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Axel Siegert

**email**

AxelSiegert@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Bevormundung mündiger Bürger

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

keine Bevormundung mündiger Bürger

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Bevormundung mündiger Bürger

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Bevormundung mündiger Bürger

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Bevormundung mündiger Bürger

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Bevormundung mündiger Bürger

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karina Bauer

**email**

karinabauer1@gmx.at

**country**

Österreich

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die einzelnen Länder sollen für die Gesundheit zuständig bleiben

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar



**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kann helfen mit dem rauchen aufzuhören, schützt vor Passivrauch

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zumutung für den Konsumenten, ein legales Produkt darf nicht unverkäuflich gemacht werden

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

soll in der Kompetenz der einzelnen Mitgliedsstaaten bleiben

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Erhalt der Geschmacksvielfalt

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Selbstbestimmung für Konsumenten und Händler, freier Zugang zu legalen Produkten

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcel Niemeyer

**email**

marcel.n@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommetar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Timotheus Hell

**email**  
timhell@gmail.com

**country**  
Austria

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Peter Schuhwerk

**email**

schuhwerk@hotmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Helmut Loebel

**email**

andre.loebel@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**



citizen

**name**

Andreas Scheuringer

**email**

andreas.scheuringer@hotmail.com

**country**

Austria

**age**

18

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Everything is right with the current law

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is everybody's decision to take it or leave it.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Droese

**email**

droese-thomas@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lisa Peuker

**email**

lisa\_223@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul Broeckx

**email**

p.broeckx@telenet.be

**country**

Belgium

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

The explanation is not very clear.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Snus according to various studies is less harmful.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The explanation is too simplistic. Why not ban waterpipes now that they are not yet very popular?

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't agree with the way this presented.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

See previous

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Very simplistic presentation of reality of life.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Olaf Dahms

**email**

sam.dahms@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maren Meyer

**email**

marenmeyer71@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Martin Wiemers

**email**

martin@wiemers1.de

**country**

Austria

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

philip Morris international

**email**

Martin.Krska@pmintl.com

**country**

czech republic

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Rozsah Směrnice může být rozšířen tak, aby pokryl i tyto výrobky, nicméně nemělo by to zahrnovat jejich automatický zákaz. Veškeré zákazy musí být založeny na vědeckých poznatcích.

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Neexistují žádné důkazy, že větší varování jsou efektivnější. Není žádný důkaz, že jednotná balení zlepší fungování vnitřního trhu nebo budou mít pozitivní zdravotní dopady. Naopak, pro nelegální výrobce bude daleko jednodušší kopírovat značky a povede to k nárůstu nelegálního prodeje cigaret.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Členské státy již mají možnost zavést poplatky a sankce. Dodržování těchto pravidel by mělo být i nadále ponecháno v zodpovědnosti každého státu.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Testování přísad by se mělo zaměřit na to, zda-li zvyšují toxicitu tabákového kouře

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Není zde jasně stanovený problém pokud jde o prodejní automaty nebo místa prodeje. Nejsou zde důkazy naznačující jak by zakazy mohly zlepšit fungování vnitřního trhu.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Friedrich Kuessner

**email**

Friedrich.Kuessner@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

EU should not try to rule everything!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Georg Hofferek

**email**

georg.hofferek@gmx.net

**country**

Austria

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

The European Union should force member states to create and broadcast/print advertisements in TV, radio, and newspapers. This advertisements should explain the risks and consequences of smoking, encourage people to quit, provide information on how to quit and how to get help. Furthermore, the public image of smoking should be changed. The long-term goal should be that society views smoking (especially in public) as an unacceptable behaviour.

**additional comments**

The biggest problem with smoking is that it still it is still viewed as something positive. Adolescents start smoking, because they want to be "cool" and "grown-up". They are under massive pressure from their peers. One who does not smoke does not belong to the group.

This public image of smoking needs to be changed. The European Union should encourage and finance campaigns in primary schools, to educate children about the risks and consequences of smoking, and in particular, such campaigns should try to establish smoking as socially unacceptable behaviour.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Philip Morris international



**email**

Petr. Trpisovsky@pmintl.com

**country**

Czech republic

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Rozsah Směrnice může být rozšířen tak, aby pokryl i tyto výrobky, nicméně nemělo by to zahrnovat jejich automatický zákaz. Veškeré zakazy musí být založeny na vědeckých poznatcích.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Neexistují žádné důkazy, že větší varování jsou efektivnější. Není žádný důkaz, že jednotná balení zlepší fungování vnitřního trhu nebo budou mít pozitivní zdravotní dopady. Naopak, pro nelegální výrobce bude daleko jednodušší kopírovat značky a povede to k nárůstu nelegálního prodeje cigaret.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Členské státy již mají možnost zavést poplatky a sankce. Dodržování těchto pravidel by mělo

být i nadále ponecháno v zodpovědnosti každého státu.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Testování přísad by se mělo zaměřit na to, zda-li zvyšují toxicitu tabákového kouře

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Není zde jasně stanovený problém pokud jde o prodejní automaty nebo místa prodeje. Nejsou zde důkazy naznačující jak by zákazy mohly zlepšit fungování vnitřního trhu.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gavin Quinn

**email**

gavquinn@gmail.com

**country**

Eire

**age**

23

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Perform proper health tests on snus, outside of Scandinavia, by independent medical experts.

**additional comments**

It is illogical to ban a harmless tobacco product, but still allow one that gives cancer.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

rodrigo

**email**

llanagarcia@yahoo.es

**country**

spain

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

son comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Philip Morris International

**email**

Oldrich.Opocensky@pmintl.com

**country**

Czech republic

**age**  
53

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Rozsah směrnice může být rozšířen tak , aby pokryl i tyto výrobky , nicméně nemělo by to zahrnovat jejich automatický zákaz . Veškeré zakazy musí být založeny na vědeckých poznatcích .

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Neexistují žádné důkazy , že větší varování jsou efektivnější . Není žádný důkaz, že jednotná balení ylepší fungování vnitřního trhu nebo budou mít pozitivní zdravotní dopady . Naopak , pro nelegální výrobce bude daleko jednodušší kopírovat značky a povede to k nárůstu nelegálního prodeje cigaret .

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Členské státy již mají možnost zavést poplatky a sankce .Dodržování těchto pravidel by mělo být i nadále ponecháno v zodpovědnosti každého státu .

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Testování přísad by se mělo zaměřit na to , zda-li zvyšují toxicitu tabakového kouře .

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Není zde jasně stanovený problém pokud jde o prodejní automaty nebo místa prodeje . Nejsou zde důkazy naznačující jak by zákazy mohly zlepšit fungování vnitřního trhu .

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΕΥΡΥΠΠΙΔΗΣ ΧΡΗΣΤΙΔΗΣ

**email**

exristidis@yahoo.com

**country**

GREECE

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

ΝΟΜΙΖΩ ΟΤΙ ΠΡΕΠΕΙ ΝΑ ΑΦΗΣΟΥΝ ΕΛΕΥΘΕΡΗ ΤΗΝ ΚΥΚΛΟΦΟΡΙΑ ΤΩΝ SNUS, ΠΡΑΓΜΑ ΠΟΥ ΕΙΝΑΙ ΗΔΗ ΔΟΚΙΜΑΣΜΕΝΟ ΣΕ ΑΜΕΡΙΚΗ ΚΑΙ ΣΟΥΗΔΙΑ, ΜΙΑ ΚΑΙ ΟΛΕΣ ΟΙ ΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΟΝΙΚΕΣ ΑΝΑΛΥΣΕΙΣ ΕΧΟΥΝ ΔΕΙΞΕΙ ΤΗΝ ΛΙΓΟΤΕΡΗ ΒΛΑΠΤΙΚΟΤΗΤΑ ΤΟΥ ΣΕ ΣΧΕΣΗ ΜΕ ΤΑ ΣΥΜΒΑΤΙΚΑ ΤΣΙΓΑΡΑ ΠΟΥ ΚΥΚΛΟΦΟΡΟΥΝ

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΟΧΙ ΓΙΑΤΙ Ο ΚΟΣΜΟΣ ΕΙΝΑΙ ΠΟΛΥ ΚΑΛΑ ΕΝΗΜΕΡΩΜΕΝΟΣ ΓΙΑ ΤΙΣ ΒΛΑΒΕΡΕΣ ΣΥΝΕΠΕΙΕΣ ΤΟΥ ΚΑΠΝΙΣΜΑΤΟΣ ΕΔΩ ΚΑΙ ΔΕΚΑΕΤΙΕΣ

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Η ΣΩΣΤΗ ΕΝΗΜΕΡΩΣΗ, ΚΑΙ ΟΧΙ Ο ΓΕΝΙΚΕΥΜΕΝΟΣ ΦΟΒΟΣ, ΜΟΝΟ ΜΠΟΡΕΙ ΝΑ ΕΧΕΙ ΣΩΣΤΗ ΕΠΙΔΡΑΣΗ ΣΤΟΝ ΚΑΤΑΝΑΛΩΤΗ

**additional comments**

ΤΟ PLAIN PACKAGING ΘΕΩΡΩ ΟΤΙ ΘΑ ΕΧΕΙ ΑΡΝΗΤΙΚΕΣ ΕΠΙΠΤΩΣΕΙΣ ΣΤΗΝ ΒΙΜΗΧΑΝΙΑ ΚΑΙ ΤΟ ΕΜΠΟΡΙΟ, ΚΑΙ ΣΙΓΟΥΡΑ ΘΑ ΔΩΣΕΙ ΩΘΗΣΗ ΣΤΟ ΠΑΡΑΝΟΜΟ ΕΜΠΟΡΙΟ ΚΑΠΙΚΩΝ ΠΡΟΙΟΝΤΩΝ, ΑΡΑ ΚΑΙ ΣΤΑ ΚΡΑΤΙΚΑ ΕΣΟΔΑ ΛΟΓΩ ΜΕΙΩΣΗΣ ΦΟΡΩΝ. ΕΠΙΣΗΣ ΘΑ ΕΝΤΑΘΕΙ Ο ΑΝΤΑΓΩΝΙΣΜΟΣ ΜΕΤΑΞΥ ΤΩΝ ΒΙΟΜΗΧΑΝΩΝ ΚΑΙ Ο ΜΟΝΟΣ ΔΡΟΜΟΣ ΓΙΑ ΑΥΤΟΥΣ ΘΑ ΕΙΝΑΙ Η ΟΛΟΕΝΑ ΚΑΙ ΦΘΗΝΟΤΕΡΗ ΤΙΜΗ ΤΟΥ ΠΑΚΕΤΟΥ ΓΙΑ ΤΗΝ ΠΡΟΣΕΛΚΥΣΗ ΠΕΛΑΤΩΝ. ΑΥΤΟ ΘΑ ΣΗΜΑΝΕΙ ΧΕΙΡΟΤΕΡΗΣ ΠΟΙΟΤΗΤΑΣ ΠΡΩΤΗ ΥΛΗ ΚΑΙ ΒΕΒΑΙΑ ΕΥΚΟΛΟΤΕΡΗ ΑΓΟΡΑΣΤΙΚΗ ΙΚΑΝΟΤΗΤΑ ΑΠΟ ΤΟΥΣ ΝΕΟΥΣ ΚΑΤΑΝΑΛΩΤΕΣ, ΠΡΑΓΜΑ ΠΟΥ ΣΗΜΕΡΑ ΟΛΟΙ ΠΡΟΣΠΑΘΟΥΝ ΝΑ ΑΠΟΦΥΓΟΥΝ

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΜΙΑ ΚΑΙ ΗΔΗ ΥΠΑΡΧΟΥΝ ΠΟΙΝΕΣ ΘΕΣΜΟΘΕΤΗΜΕΝΕΣ ΣΕ ΚΑΘΕ ΚΡΑΤΟΣ-ΜΕΛΟΣ ΔΕΝ ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙ ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΤΗΤΑ ΡΥΘΜΙΣΤΙΚΗΣ ΟΔΗΓΙΑΣ ΣΕ ΟΛΗ ΤΗΝ ΕΕ

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format



**additional options**

ΑΥΤΟ ΠΟΥ ΘΑ ΕΠΡΕΠΕ ΝΑ ΕΦΑΡΜΟΣΤΕΙ ΕΙΝΑΙ ΜΙΑ ΚΟΙΝΗ ΗΛΕΚΤΡΟΝΙΚΗ ΒΑΣΗ ΓΙΑ ΟΛΗ ΤΗΝ ΕΠΙΚΡΑΤΕΙΑ ΤΗΣ ΕΕ, ΣΤΗΝ ΟΠΟΙΑ ΟΛΟΙ ΟΙ ΒΙΟΜΗΧΑΝΟΙ ΘΑ ΑΝΑΦΕΡΟΥΝ ΟΛΑ ΤΑ ΣΥΣΤΑΤΙΚΑ ΠΟΥ ΧΡΗΣΙΜΟΠΟΙΟΥΝ

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΑΝ ΑΠΟΔΕΙΧΘΕΙ ΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΟΝΙΚΑ ΟΤΙ ΤΑ ΠΡΟΣΘΕΤΑ ΕΙΝΑΙ ΒΛΑΒΕΡΑ ΤΟΤΕ ΝΑΙ, ΑΛΛΑ ΤΩΡΑ ΔΕΝ ΠΡΟΣΘΕΤΕΙ ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΑΠΟΛΥΤΩΣ ΟΦΕΛΟΣ ΣΤΗΝ ΔΗΜΟΣΙΑ ΥΓΕΙΑ

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Η ΕΝΙΑΙΑ ΡΥΘΜΙΣΗ ΣΕ ΟΛΗ ΤΗΝ ΕΕ ΠΡΕΠΕΙ ΝΑ ΓΙΝΕΙ ΜΕ ΑΚΡΩΣ ΕΓΚΥΡΑ ΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΟΝΙΚΑ ΚΡΙΤΗΡΙΑ, ΚΑΙ Η ΕΛΚΥΣΤΙΚΟΤΗΤΑ ΠΟΥ ΑΝΑΦΕΡΕΤΑΙ ΕΙΝΑΙ ΚΑΤΙ ΠΟΥ ΔΕΝ ΜΠΟΡΕΙ ΝΑ ΟΡΙΘΘΕΤΗΘΕΙ ΚΑΙ ΝΑ ΑΞΙΟΛΟΓΗΘΕΙ ΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΟΝΙΚΑ

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

ΠΡΕΠΕΙ ΝΑ ΥΠΑΡΧΟΥΝ ΣΑΦΕΙΣ ΚΑΝΟΝΕΣ ΓΙΑ ΤΙΣ ΔΙΑΣΥΝΟΡΙΑΚΕΣ ΠΩΛΗΣΕΙΣ ΠΡΟΙΟΝΤΩΝ ΚΑΠΝΟΥ, ΟΠΩΣ ΚΑΙ ΓΙΑ ΤΙΣ ΠΩΛΗΣΕΙΣ ΣΕ ΑΝΗΛΙΚΟΥΣ. ΤΟ ΝΑ ΑΠΑΓΟΡΕΥΕΤΑΙ ΟΜΩΣ Η ΕΚΘΕΣΗ ΤΩΝ ΠΡΟΙΟΝΤΩΝ ΚΑΠΝΟΥ ΣΤΑ ΣΗΜΕΙΑ ΠΩΛΗΣΗΣ ΤΟΥ ΕΙΝΑΙ ΑΝΕΔΑΦΙΚΟ ΚΑΙ ΔΕΝ ΦΕΡΝΕΙ ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΟΦΕΛΟΣ ΓΙΑ ΤΗΝ ΔΗΜΟΣΙΑ ΥΓΕΙΑ

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Annette Krotsch

**email**

annette\_krotsch@at.ibm.com

**country**

Austria

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heinz Grünbeck

**email**

h.grueni@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Bracsevits

**email**

bracsevits@net-trainings.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There ist no problem, just the EU constructed one. Smoking should be a right, like drinking, driving, loving and everything else what a human being wants to do. The power of europe was the pleasure, the consumption, the search for a good life.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Cancel the whole directive and all others like that one. Extend it in the that way, that you give back the right to the member states of the EU.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Like as I said before, there is no problem, so you don't need a definition

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Like before

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Like before

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Like before

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Like before

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tobias Ruether

**email**

true@gmx.org

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

perfect

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kristin Dabers

**email**

k.dabers@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sascha Doil

**email**

s.doil@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

verlust der eigenen Entscheidungsfreiheit

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Entzug der Selbstbestimmung

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

aktuelle Zugänglichkeit mit Alterskontrolle ist ausreichend

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Dietrich

**email**

dierich@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Es herrscht in Europa ein allgemeiner Wettbewerb und dieser sollte nicht verzerrt werden .

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Zigaretten sind legale Suchtmittel und sollten auch als solche behandelt werden. Durch eine kriminalisierung eines Berufszweiges ist keinem geholfen. Es sollen in Europa keine zentralistischen Marktwirtschaften oder marxistischen Strukturen eingeführt werden

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maximilian Bauer

**email**

max.bauer85@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I think everyone must do what he think is right!!!! No one should have the right to rule over a whole nation, or patronize about a single individual! I am old enough to know what happens if

i smoke, i am old enoug to think about it . There is an age limit and this is far enough to handle with!!!!!!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

nothing!!!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Notton Birgit

**email**

Birgit.Notton@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Semsey Attila

**email**

semseyattila@t-online.hu

**country**

Magyar

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

A tervezet ellentmondásos, mert már a hatáskör definiálásakor tiltásokat fogalmaz meg bizonyos termékköre, anélkül, hogy erre vonatkozó részletekkel szolgálna, akár a bevezetőről, akár a konzultációs anyag egy fejezeteiről van szó.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Ennek a kérdéskörnek a szabályozását tagállami hatáskörben kellene hagyni. A svéd példa alapján a füstmentes dohánytermékeket inkább reklámozni kellene a tiltás helyett.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Az egyen-csomagolás bevezetése utat nyit a feketekereskedelem növekedésének. Az egészségvédő feliratok méretének eltúlzása nincs arányban az elérni kívánt céllal.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tagállami szinten a kérdéskör megoldott és a tagállamok szuverenitásának fenntartása szükséges, mivel a jelenlegi direktíva megfelelő alapot biztosít ehhez.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A tiltó jellegű szabályozásnak megfelelő tudományos alapokon kell nyugodnia, nem pedig szubjektív véleményen. Az FCTC 9-10 cikkelyeinek vitái alapján is látszik, hogy egy nem átgondolt tiltó szabályozás dohánytermelők munkahelyeit veszélyezteti, így Magyarországon 10000 ember megélhetését tervezi ellehetetleníteni.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A túlzott szabályozás a feketekereskedelem kapuit nyitja tágabbra, és így a legális értékesítés csökkenésén keresztül a termelői érdekeket hátrányosan befolyásolja.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Genoveva Uhlik

**email**

veviU@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe kein Verständnis dafür, dass eine Institution gleich welcher Art, die Menschen maßregelt und entmündigt. Falls dies der Sinn der EU sein soll - zu derer Gründung ich nicht befragt worden bin, mit gutem Grund, wie es scheint - dann kann ich nur annehmen, dass wieder einmal Funktionsstellen geschaffen werden sollten, die keine Daseinsberechtigung haben. Die wirklichen Probleme, z.B. der Schutz der Kinder vor Mißbrauch, Prostitution und dergl. mehr, werden nicht bekämpft.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe kein Verständnis dafür, dass eine Institution gleich welcher Art, die Menschen maßregelt und entmündigt. Falls dies der Sinn der EU sein soll - zu derer Gründung ich nicht befragt worden bin, mit gutem Grund, wie es scheint - dann kann ich nur annehmen, dass wieder einmal Funktionsstellen geschaffen werden sollten, die keine Daseinsberechtigung haben. Die wirklichen Probleme, z.B. der Schutz der Kinder vor Mißbrauch, Prostitution und dergl. mehr, werden nicht bekämpft.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe kein Verständnis dafür, dass eine Institution gleich welcher Art, die Menschen maßregelt und entmündigt. Falls dies der Sinn der EU sein soll - zu derer Gründung ich nicht

befragt worden bin, mit gutem Grund, wie es scheint - dann kann ich nur annehmen, dass wieder einmal Funktionärsstellen geschaffen werden sollten, die keine Daseinsberechtigung haben. Die wirklichen Probleme, z.B. der Schutz der Kinder vor Mißbrauch, Prostitution und dergl. mehr, werden nicht bekämpft.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe kein Verständnis dafür, dass eine Institution gleich welcher Art, die Menschen maßregelt und entmündigt. Falls dies der Sinn der EU sein soll - zu derer Gründung ich nicht befragt worden bin, mit gutem Grund, wie es scheint - dann kann ich nur annehmen, dass wieder einmal Funktionärsstellen geschaffen werden sollten, die keine Daseinsberechtigung haben. Die wirklichen Probleme, z.B. der Schutz der Kinder vor Mißbrauch, Prostitution und dergl. mehr, werden nicht bekämpft.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe kein Verständnis dafür, dass eine Institution gleich welcher Art, die Menschen maßregelt und entmündigt. Falls dies der Sinn der EU sein soll - zu derer Gründung ich nicht befragt worden bin, mit gutem Grund, wie es scheint - dann kann ich nur annehmen, dass wieder einmal Funktionärsstellen geschaffen werden sollten, die keine Daseinsberechtigung haben. Die wirklichen Probleme, z.B. der Schutz der Kinder vor Mißbrauch, Prostitution und dergl. mehr, werden nicht bekämpft.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe kein Verständnis dafür, dass eine Institution gleich welcher Art, die Menschen maßregelt und entmündigt. Falls dies der Sinn der EU sein soll - zu derer Gründung ich nicht befragt worden bin, mit gutem Grund, wie es scheint - dann kann ich nur annehmen, dass wieder einmal Funktionärsstellen geschaffen werden sollten, die keine Daseinsberechtigung haben. Die wirklichen Probleme, z.B. der Schutz der Kinder vor Mißbrauch, Prostitution und dergl. mehr, werden nicht bekämpft.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hannelore Ehlert

**email**

hanneloreehlert@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Angelika Marcks

**email**

angelikamarcks@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Reiner Ehlert

**email**  
reinerboss@freenet.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Wolfgang Grabmer

**email**

wolfgang.grabmer@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**  
36

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Baumgärtner

**email**

UhBaum@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Golak

**email**

blacksoul3103@hotmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

thomas joachim

**email**

thomas.joachim@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die bestehenden gesetze zum nichtraucher- und jugenschutz sind absolut ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

rauchlose tabakerzeugnisse sind gut für das miteinander von rauchern und nichtrauchern

(keine geruchsbelästigung). rauchlose tabakerzeugnisse sind eine alternative zu zigaretten, können helfen die suchtbefürfnisse einzuschränken, bzw. zu beenden

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nehmen wir brasi8lien als beispiel: dort sind auf allen verpackungen von tabakerzeugnissen abschreckende bilder angebracht - es werden witze darüber gemacht- " lieber ein raucherbein oder impotenz?". jeder konsument weiß, das rauchen schädlich ist. der Konsument ist alt genug um über sich und seinen körper bestimmen zu können. es besteht in baden württemberg (deutschland) ein sehr guter kompromiss zwischen nichtraucherschutz und selbstbestimmung. dieses gesetz ist absolut ausreichend.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wird mit ausnahme der stärke der zigarette von niemand beachtet

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe vorherige antwort

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

das jugend- und nichtraucherschutzgesetz ist in deutschland absolut ausreichend. die jugend wird durch jedes neues "gut gemeintes?" gesetz in ihren rechten beschnitten/bevormundet/reguliert. sie darf/muss erbeiten, am bruttosozialprodukt beitragen., sich aber nicht entfalten - wie soll sie erfahrungen fürs leben machen? wo will die EU hin?

georg orwell läßt grüßen....amerikanische verhältnisse? gott steh uns bei! schon dieses formular ist nur in englisch verfasst, wo bleibt die deutsche sprache? wir sind mit die größten beitragszahler der eu....

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

josef kropf

**email**

jedi99@gmx.net

**country**

austria

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

as a strong smoker who stoped after 20 years of massive abuse, i would recommend withdrawing tobacco from marked and forbid it.

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

albin armin

**email**

albin.armin@vtx.ch

**country**

darvella 7166 trun

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

aus meiner sichtsicht ist es sinnvoll richtlinien auf diese produkte auszudehnen.es darf aber nicht sein dsa bestimmte produkte ohne wissenschaftliche erkenntnisse verboten werden

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

derzeit fehlt es an wissenschaftlichen gesicherten erkenntnissen.das grössere warnhinweise wirksamer sind.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

die bestimmung der merdepflicht vom mai 2007 ist genug

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die durchsetzung der bestimmungen der richtlinien soll sache der mitgliedländer sein

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

der fokus hinsichtlich der bekkantgabe und registrierung von zusatzstoffen sollte allaein darauf liegen ob durch zusatzstoffe die toxizität von tabakrauch erhöht wird oder nicht

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tanja Braun

**email**

meriamun@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gisela Krämer

**email**

kraemer-koeln@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin erwachsen und selbst verantwortlich

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Selbstverantwortung ;eigene Entscheidung

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Selbstverantwortung

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe oben, eigene Entscheidung mündiger Bürger

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

s.o. eigene Entscheidung

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wie oben

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bianca Jakob

**email**

s.untersberger@aon.at

**country**  
Österreich

**age**  
30

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Es besteht keine Notwendigkeit die EU-Regelkompetenz auszuweiten, und die Befugnis der Mitgliedstaaten einzuschränken,...

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse sollen ohne die bisherigen nicht nachvollziehbaren Unterschiede unter dem Vorbehalt geeigneter Verbraucherinformationen in der EU angeboten werden können.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Das Recht der Konsumenten auf Sortimentsvielfalt und freie Produktauswahl soll durch die EU nicht beschränkt werden,....

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**

Bei einer EU-einheitlichen Regelung der Meldung und Registrierung von Zusatzstoffen besteht die Gefahr der Verteuerung der Zigaretten und der Einschränkung der bisherigen Markenvielfalt,...Den Mitgliedstaaten soll die Möglichkeit erhalten bleiben die Beigabe gesundheitlich bedenklicher Zusatzstoffe zu verbieten, gleichzeitig aber national unterschiedliche Geschmacksrichtungen zuzulassen, andernfalls droht eine nicht unterscheidbare Einheitszigarette,...

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Bei einer EU-einheitlichen Regelung der Meldung und Registrierung von Zusatzstoffen besteht die Gefahr der Verteuerung der Zigaretten und der Einschränkung der bisherigen Markenvielfalt,...Den Mitgliedstaaten soll die Möglichkeit erhalten bleiben die Beigabe gesundheitlich bedenklicher Zusatzstoffe zu verbieten, gleichzeitig aber national unterschiedliche Geschmacksrichtungen zuzulassen, andernfalls droht eine nicht unterscheidbare Einheitszigarette,...

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

In Österreich bestehen mit den Jugendschutzbestimmungen bereits sinnvolle Beschränkungen bei der Abgabe von Tabakprodukten, von der EU ins Auge Gefasste darüberhinausgehende Einschränkungen wie etwa das Verbot von Zigarettenautomaten oder ein Präsentationsverbot von Tabakerzeugnissen sind daher nicht erforderlich

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lotte Kalchgruber

**email**

lotte.kalchgruber@gmx.at



**country**  
Österreich

**age**  
67

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Es besteht keine Notwendigkeit die EU-Regelkompetenz auszuweiten, und die Befugnis der Mitgliedstaaten einzuschränken,...

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse sollen ohne die bisherigen nicht nachvollziehbaren Unterschiede unter dem Vorbehalt geeigneter Verbraucherinformationen in der EU angeboten werden können.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Das Recht der Konsumenten auf Sortimentsvielfalt und freie Produktauswahl soll durch die EU nicht beschränkt werden,....

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**

Bei einer EU-einheitlichen Regelung der Meldung und Registrierung von Zusatzstoffen besteht die Gefahr der Verteuerung der Zigaretten und der Einschränkung der bisherigen Markenvielfalt,...Den Mitgliedstaaten soll die Möglichkeit erhalten bleiben die Beigabe gesundheitlich bedenklicher Zusatzstoffe zu verbieten, gleichzeitig aber national unterschiedliche Geschmacksrichtungen zuzulassen, andernfalls droht eine nicht unterscheidbare Einheitszigarette,...

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Bei einer EU-einheitlichen Regelung der Meldung und Registrierung von Zusatzstoffen besteht die Gefahr der Verteuerung der Zigaretten und der Einschränkung der bisherigen Markenvielfalt,...Den Mitgliedstaaten soll die Möglichkeit erhalten bleiben die Beigabe gesundheitlich bedenklicher Zusatzstoffe zu verbieten, gleichzeitig aber national unterschiedliche Geschmacksrichtungen zuzulassen, andernfalls droht eine nicht unterscheidbare Einheitszigarette,...

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

In Österreich bestehen mit den Jugendschutzbestimmungen bereits sinnvolle Beschränkungen bei der Abgabe von Tabakprodukten, von der EU ins Auge Gefasste darüberhinausgehende Einschränkungen wie etwa das Verbot von Zigarettenautomaten oder ein Präsentationsverbot von Tabakerzeugnissen sind daher nicht erforderlich.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reiner Kalchgruber

**email**

reiner.kalchgruber@gmx.at

**country**  
Österreich

**age**  
68

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Es besteht keine Notwendigkeit die EU-Regelkompetenz auszuweiten, und die Befugnis der Mitgliedstaaten einzuschränken,...

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse sollen ohne die bisherigen nicht nachvollziehbaren Unterschiede unter dem Vorbehalt geeigneter Verbraucherinformationen in der EU angeboten werden können

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Das Recht der Konsumenten auf Sortimentsvielfalt und freie Produktauswahl soll durch die EU nicht beschränkt werden,....

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**

Bei einer EU-einheitlichen Regelung der Meldung und Registrierung von Zusatzstoffen besteht die Gefahr der Verteuerung der Zigaretten und der Einschränkung der bisherigen Markenvielfalt,...Den Mitgliedstaaten soll die Möglichkeit erhalten bleiben die Beigabe gesundheitlich bedenklicher Zusatzstoffe zu verbieten, gleichzeitig aber national unterschiedliche Geschmacksrichtungen zuzulassen, andernfalls droht eine nicht unterscheidbare Einheitszigarette,...

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Bei einer EU-einheitlichen Regelung der Meldung und Registrierung von Zusatzstoffen besteht die Gefahr der Verteuerung der Zigaretten und der Einschränkung der bisherigen Markenvielfalt,...Den Mitgliedstaaten soll die Möglichkeit erhalten bleiben die Beigabe gesundheitlich bedenklicher Zusatzstoffe zu verbieten, gleichzeitig aber national unterschiedliche Geschmacksrichtungen zuzulassen, andernfalls droht eine nicht unterscheidbare Einheitszigarette,...

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

In Österreich bestehen mit den Jugendschutzbestimmungen bereits sinnvolle Beschränkungen bei der Abgabe von Tabakprodukten, von der EU ins Auge Gefasste darüberhinausgehende Einschränkungen wie etwa das Verbot von Zigarettenautomaten oder ein Präsentationsverbot von Tabakerzeugnissen sind daher nicht erforderlich.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Engelbert Mayr

**email**

steinmaurer@aon.at

**country**  
Österreich

**age**  
62

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Es besteht keine Notwendigkeit die EU-Regelkompetenz auszuweiten, und die Befugnis der Mitgliedstaaten einzuschränken,...

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse sollen ohne die bisherigen nicht nachvollziehbaren Unterschiede unter dem Vorbehalt geeigneter Verbraucherinformationen in der EU angeboten werden können

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Das Recht der Konsumenten auf Sortimentsvielfalt und freie Produktauswahl soll durch die EU nicht beschränkt werden,....

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**

Bei einer EU-einheitlichen Regelung der Meldung und Registrierung von Zusatzstoffen besteht die Gefahr der Verteuerung der Zigaretten und der Einschränkung der bisherigen Markenvielfalt,...Den Mitgliedstaaten soll die Möglichkeit erhalten bleiben die Beigabe gesundheitlich bedenklicher Zusatzstoffe zu verbieten, gleichzeitig aber national unterschiedliche Geschmacksrichtungen zuzulassen, andernfalls droht eine nicht unterscheidbare Einheitszigarette,...

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Bei einer EU-einheitlichen Regelung der Meldung und Registrierung von Zusatzstoffen besteht die Gefahr der Verteuerung der Zigaretten und der Einschränkung der bisherigen Markenvielfalt,...Den Mitgliedstaaten soll die Möglichkeit erhalten bleiben die Beigabe gesundheitlich bedenklicher Zusatzstoffe zu verbieten, gleichzeitig aber national unterschiedliche Geschmacksrichtungen zuzulassen, andernfalls droht eine nicht unterscheidbare Einheitszigarette,...

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

In Österreich bestehen mit den Jugendschutzbestimmungen bereits sinnvolle Beschränkungen bei der Abgabe von Tabakprodukten, von der EU ins Auge Gefasste darüberhinausgehende Einschränkungen wie etwa das Verbot von Zigarettenautomaten oder ein Präsentationsverbot von Tabakerzeugnissen sind daher nicht erforderlich.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manuel Fousek

**email**

manuel.fousek@gmx.at

**country**  
Österreich

**age**  
29

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Es besteht keine Notwendigkeit die EU-Regelkompetenz auszuweiten, und die Befugnis der Mitgliedstaaten einzuschränken,...

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse sollen ohne die bisherigen nicht nachvollziehbaren Unterschiede unter dem Vorbehalt geeigneter Verbraucherinformationen in der EU angeboten werden können.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Das Recht der Konsumenten auf Sortimentsvielfalt und freie Produktauswahl soll durch die EU nicht beschränkt werden,....

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**

Bei einer EU-einheitlichen Regelung der Meldung und Registrierung von Zusatzstoffen besteht die Gefahr der Verteuerung der Zigaretten und der Einschränkung der bisherigen Markenvielfalt,...Den Mitgliedstaaten soll die Möglichkeit erhalten bleiben die Beigabe gesundheitlich bedenklicher Zusatzstoffe zu verbieten, gleichzeitig aber national unterschiedliche Geschmacksrichtungen zuzulassen, andernfalls droht eine nicht unterscheidbare Einheitszigarette,...

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Bei einer EU-einheitlichen Regelung der Meldung und Registrierung von Zusatzstoffen besteht die Gefahr der Verteuerung der Zigaretten und der Einschränkung der bisherigen Markenvielfalt,...Den Mitgliedstaaten soll die Möglichkeit erhalten bleiben die Beigabe gesundheitlich bedenklicher Zusatzstoffe zu verbieten, gleichzeitig aber national unterschiedliche Geschmacksrichtungen zuzulassen, andernfalls droht eine nicht unterscheidbare Einheitszigarette,...

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

In Österreich bestehen mit den Jugendschutzbestimmungen bereits sinnvolle Beschränkungen bei der Abgabe von Tabakprodukten, von der EU ins Auge Gefasste darüberhinausgehende Einschränkungen wie etwa das Verbot von Zigarettenautomaten oder ein Präsentationsverbot von Tabakerzeugnissen sind daher nicht erforderlich.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Thomas

**email**

awthomas@liverpoolfc.net



**country**

Austria

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The EU should concentrate on other matters than invading and further limiting the freedoms and liberties of it's citizens.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Let people make their own choices, as they should in a FREE nation. If I don't like the atmosphere in a company, I can choose not to work there, and so does any non-smoker if he doesn't like the freedoms a company grants it's employees.

**additional comments**

The EU is ruining it's (already poor) image among citizens by further limiting freedoms, and it thereby will also create more hostility towards itself (which will result in even more far-right-winged votes). With foolish ideas like this, the EU is annoying me to a degree where even I (as a liberal democrat) am considering to vote for a far-right or anti-EU party in EU elections.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

People can make their own choices, and don't need further limitations of their liberties!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Giving people the freedom of choice!

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Experiences in other countries (eg USA, Canada) have proven the ineffectiveness of "shocking images", and the increased stigmatization of smokers and tobacco has counterproductive effects on young people. The average European lived much better 20 years ago (long before anti-smoking campaigns), so we obviously have much bigger problems than people CHOOSING to smoke.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Consumer information directed at YOUNG people in Schools, on TV/Radio, etc., instead of giving smoking a "cool" outlaw image by introducing prohibition. PROHIBITION DOESN'T WORK!! The USA have the toughest anti-drug policy in the world, and therefore the most/biggest drug problems (with the "war on drugs" killing more people than drugs do themselves, thanks to the Mexican drug cartels which are indirectly, but massively funded by prohibition). Holland has the least drug overdoses in the EU, thanks to consumer information and "safer use" instead of "zero tolerance".

**additional comments**

Give people the freedom to choose, the industry the freedom to market and youths the best information (in schools etc.) instead of limiting liberty for everyone!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

Require publication of ingredients on company/brand websites, as well as mandatory web address on every cigarette package leading consumer to that information (along with other information such as risks of smoking, the brand, etc). For example, every package of Marlboro should display the address of Philip Morris Inc. (or every pack of JPS address of Imperial Tobacco Ltd. online, etc.) online, and the company website should be required to show warnings on health risks along with ingredient and other information (but company should have right to have background info on their business, history, brands, etc. on that address).

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ingredients should be published (including risks/negative effects of those), but not regulated. The limitation of cigarette strength (nicotine and tar levels) a few years ago has already had severe counterproductive effects, since it's proven to harm smokers more if they switch to "lighter" cigarettes than if they continue smoking their accustomed strength, as they automatically drag harder and inhale deeper when a cigarette is "lighter" than usual.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

The ban on the terms "light" or "mild" was a good idea, but everything else goes too far (and mostly is counterproductive).

**additional comments**

People are not stupid and are able to make their own choices, it's called freedom.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

If brands are not on display at the shop, it gives no chance to newly established brands, and therefore ends the free market/creates monopolies on long-term. If the warning language is an issue regarding internet distribution, put a "shock image" on one side of the package and an English warning on the other, and that will solve this problem.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Require "shock image" on one side of the package and bilingual warning (local language plus English) on the other, and introduce internet distribution as well as fair laws/amounts for bringing packages from one EU country to another (Austria for example only allows 1 package with foreign language warning even if understood by consumer, simply for protectionism against other EU countries). Start prevention programmes in schools instead of taking away people's rights.

**additional comments**

I hope the EU doesn't make the mistake of creating even more EU-haters by robbing citizens of their freedoms.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Achim Gayer

**email**

gayer.achim@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cars kill 5000 people / year in Europe. Nobody intervenes. Alcohol is a drug. No restrictions either. Work load (and job loss) drives people into psychological problems. Nobody intervenes. German government promotes weapon sales to push the economy. Wonderful! When it comes to social security charges, the government calls for individual responsibility. Meaning: fuck off, take care of yourself! Why not with drugs? As long as there are people living in this world they consumed what we call drugs. Prohibition should teach you the lesson.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No vending machines. ID required for buying.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Cars and trucks and industry contribute as well to the emissions. They are allowed as well.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Ban on all advertising, if any. In a saturated market, high profits can only be made by fraud. Advertisements contain more and more lies or additions that can be deciphered by lawyers only. Food is an existential product. No advertisement on food!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**additional option**

Ban vending machines. Keeps Jobs in Sales.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christa Leitenbauer

**email**

christa.leitenbauer@eduhi.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ngenzi Damien Cishahayo

**email**

enzi\_85@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change



**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus F. Rothbauer

**email**

markus.rothbauer@rothbauer.co.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Already now there are too many restrictions concerning tobacco in the EU.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Already now too many restrictions. The citizen is not so silly that he needs all those nanny-like advice.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no specific comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The ingredients are necessary to provide multiple tastes etc.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no specific comment.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Linas Brazdauskis

#### **email**

vanilinas@gmail.com

#### **country**

Lithuania

#### **age**

37

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **recommend option**

All tobacco products are hazardous to health – there is no safe level of usage. Therefore we recommend that the scope of the Directive includes the regulation of any new smoked tobacco products (such as low emission or nicotine-free cigarettes), while the prohibition of any novel forms of oral tobacco, including snus, should be maintained. Herbal cigarettes are also harmful because it is the combustion and inhalation of smoke that causes most of the harm from smoking. Therefore, herbal cigarettes should be included in the scope Tobacco Products Directive and be also subject to health warnings requirements. Finally, all forms of nicotine based products (that is, products containing nicotine but not tobacco product such as electronic cigarettes, nicotine gel, drinks, and sweets) are often marketed as alternatives to cigarettes and in some cases as an aid to quitting smoking. Therefore, they should only be sold as quitting aids and comply with specific safety and quality requirements under the same regulatory framework as pharmaceutical products rather than under the scope of the Tobacco Products Directive.

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

The ban on snus should definitely be maintained. This is high priority. Indeed, there is no legitimate reason to introduce a dangerous product on the market, particularly as from an internal market point of view, we have no idea how snus would be perceived or used in other countries. A small study conducted by the University of Nottingham by Professor Ann McNeil showed that, in the UK, people could not see the point of snus unless it was as a cessation aid. For internal market purposes, it really does not make sense to lift the ban. Furthermore, if the ban on snus were to be lifted, it could encourage dual use of cigarettes and smokeless tobacco and discourage total abstinence from tobacco, thus weakening any potential health gains. The only concession we would support is if the EC sanctioned the use of snus in randomised controlled trials (RCTs), i.e. provided a special license to overcome the illegality of snus for research purposes only. Indeed, it is a matter of public health interest that RCTs on snus as a cessation aid are undertaken. Cancer Research UK was considering such a trial but due to the dual difficulties of snus a) being a tobacco product and b) illegal, the trial proposal has not as yet gone to peer review. Finally, we would like to stress that there are already available effective treatments for nicotine addiction, including medicinal or 'clean' nicotine (NRT), that do not carry the risks associated with the use of snus. These should be promoted first and foremost. It is clear that public health interest can be served even more by encouraging a) greater use of these treatments and b) the development of more effective cessation aids, especially those that mimic more closely the nicotine 'hit' delivered by cigarettes. The SFP supports the ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products in principle, since there is evidence that such products are addictive and can cause cancer, as stated in the Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks (SCENIHR). The SFP also recognises that the use of smokeless tobacco products has not been proved to be an effective quitting aid. Therefore the SFP supports a ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products, provided that such ban would be practical in its implementation and that the European Commission can establish that it has the legal competence to do so from an internal market perspective.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I agree with the Commission that the current situation, whereby some Member States have made graphic warnings compulsory and others not, has led to a disparity in labelling throughout the EU. Consequently, this has an impact on the functioning of the internal market, on consumers' awareness and as a result, on their smoking behaviour.

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

Crucial importance of Graphic health warnings: Evidence clearly shows that text only warning messages are not very effective because many consumers don't bother to read them. Pictures, especially fear inducing pictures, are proven to be effective in getting consumers more engaged with the warning message. Pictures are able to immediately provoke a reaction and prompt people to read the associated text message . The need for graphic health warnings on both sides of the pack: There is also evidence that pictures on both sides of the packaging would have a greater impact than on one side only . Considering the proven weak effects of written health warnings only, it is essential that pictorial warnings are displayed on both sides of the pack. The need for LARGE pictorial health warnings (80%): Evidence clearly shows that increasing the size of warning messages (text only and combined text and picture) increases the effectiveness of the warning amongst both young and adult smokers / non smokers. Research carried out in Canada by Createc on behalf of Health Canada in 2007 showed that young and adult smokers/non smokers are sensitive to the size of health warning messages. Results showed that warning messages that cover 100% of the pack are significantly more effective across all measured effectiveness indicators compared with warning messages that cover only 50% of the pack. The importance of Rotation: Warning texts and pictures should be displayed on a rotating basis, so that each message is given equal display and can reach its target audience. The proved effectiveness of shocking health warnings & targeted messages: as specified in the Sambrook Research International report, fear inducing warnings (using strong "shocking" related to health risks (such as rotten teeth or throat cancer) and strong emotion inducing warnings (especially involving children and unborn babies) are the most effective way to educate consumers on the health risks of tobacco use and to achieve changes in attitudes and behaviour. These warnings' effectiveness is enhanced if they are used in conjunction with quit line. Generic or plain packaging: We strongly recommend the introduction of standardised/plain packaging. This should include not only the removal of all branding from the packaging but the size and shape of the packaging should also be standardised. Not only would this prevent the promotion of smoking through branding as occurs presently but it would reinforce the health warning messages. Tobacco packaging provides a direct link between consumers and manufacturers and is the main marketing channel, particularly in jurisdictions with tobacco advertising bans. Branding gives the misleading impression some cigarettes are safer than others. The tobacco industry claims that plain packaging would contravene intellectual property law. However, legal analysis concludes that this is incorrect and there are no international legal impediments to the implementation of generic packaging. Replace TNCO quantitative labelling with qualitative information on contents, emissions and quit-lines: The inclusion of machine-based tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide yields on cigarette packs promotes the mistaken belief that some cigarette brands are less harmful than others . We support the proposal to replace numerical measurements with descriptive information on the hazardous effects of tobacco constituents and emissions. We stress the importance of putting quit line numbers on the package. As underlined in the Sambrook research (p46), providing a telephone number on the pack is more effective than telling smokers to seek advice from a doctor or pharmacist. P46 Health warnings on water pipes: There is a growing body of evidence to show that water pipes are at least as hazardous, if not more so, than other forms of tobacco use. Warnings should also be included on the packaging of tobacco used in water pipes.

### **additional comments**

Under Article 5.3 of the TPD 2001, Member States only have the option to adopt pictorial warnings. As a result, some Member States have mandatory pictorial warnings and other don't, which creates a disparity in labelling throughout the EU. This situation is not consistent with the legal basis of the TPD, Article 95 (internal market). So far, all the legislation on

labelling, advertising and product regulation put forward by the Commission in the field of tobacco control, has been based on the internal market legislation (Article 26 and 114 of the TFEU). Article 26 of the TFEU establishes the internal market. Article 114 gives EU institutions the competence to regulate and set rules for the functioning of the internal market. More specifically, article 95.3 also states that when establishing rules for the functioning of the internal market in the context of health, safety, environmental protection and consumer protection, the Commission “will take as a base a high level of protection, taking account in particular of any new development based on scientific facts.” The European Court of Justice (the “ECJ”) made an extensive analysis of Article 95 EC (now Article 114) throughout the years. In *Spain v. Council*, the Court observed that “recourse to Article 100a (now Article 114) as a legal basis is possible if the aim is to prevent the emergence of future obstacles to trade resulting from multifarious development of national laws.” The TPD was adopted on the basis of Article 114 TFEU (ex Article 95). As a result, Recital 19 of the TPD states that “[t]he presentation of warning labels and yields has continued to remain variable in the different Member States. As a consequence, consumers in one Member State may be better informed as to the risks of tobacco products than in another. Such differences are unacceptable and are liable to constitute a barrier to trade and to impede the operation of the internal market in tobacco products, and should therefore be eliminated.” In the present case, Article 5.3 of the TPD and the Commission Decision on the use of colour photographs or other illustrations as health warnings (the “Commission Decision”) give Member States the option to adopt pictorial warnings in the form of colour photographs or other illustrations. Almost ten years later, four countries (the UK, Belgium, Romania and Malta) have adopted the option. More countries such as Spain and France are planning to adopt mandatory pictorial warnings. Although such measures are in compliance with the current TPD and are welcome by the tobacco control community, their adoption by some Member States necessarily creates growing discrepancies between Member States. As stated above, there is clear evidence that pictorial warnings are much more powerful than written health warnings. As a result, consumers in the UK are better informed about the risks of tobacco products than consumers in Germany (for example). This is inconsistent with the objectives of the internal market stated under Article 114.3 of the TFEU and reiterated in Recital 19 of the Directive. The disparity of laws in Member States results in the unequal information of consumers on the risks of tobacco products, and therefore, an uneven protection of health throughout the European Union, which are both contrary to the principles and objectives of the internal market. From an internal market point of view, these differences in the rules regarding pictorial warnings are likely to constitute barriers to trade and to impede the smooth operation of the internal market. These obstacles and discrepancies should accordingly be eliminated and to that end, pictorial health warnings of tobacco products should be made subject to common rules. This is why mandatory pictorial warnings are not only welcome, but necessary in order to comply with the legal grounds on which the TPD was adopted.

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

#### **additional options**

The current situation which allows different formats and reporting mechanisms is unsatisfactory, making it difficult for authorities to compare and analyse the data. All tobacco

manufacturers and importers should be subject to the same reporting requirements. The SFP believes that tobacco products, which are highly harmful, should be subject to an effective reporting system that allows the gathering and analysis of relevant data, including comparison as appropriate, in order to ensure high levels of consumer safety and information. The format already exists (based on the voluntary reporting format developed by the Commission in May 2007) and it would therefore be very easy to adopt this measure and to implement it.

#### **additional comments**

We welcome the introduction of yearly registration fees in order to finance the data collection on ingredients by the national authorities and their analysis work on ingredients. The payment of penalties in case of non-compliance with the requirements of delivery of data on tobacco products ingredients also seems adequate and would contribute to fund the tests conducted on these products. It is crucial that the appropriate toxicological and addictiveness tests are properly conducted. Such tests would allow policy-makers to make more informed decisions in this field, as there would be more scientific evidence. It would enhance the scientific knowledge of the dangers of tobacco products and would contribute to a high protection of health, as stated in Article 95.3 TFEU. Besides, such measures would also have an indirect impact on illicit trade, since only registered products would be allowed on the market.

#### **regulation of ingredients**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

##### **which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

##### **additional option**

We support the adoption of a positive common list of tobacco ingredients. We believe that for tobacco products are highly toxic and addictive, and that their content should therefore be closely regulated, in order to achieve high standards of health protection, as stated under article 95.3. There is a need for such a list at EU level since at the moment, consumers in the internal market are not subject to the same level of protection. Some citizens may be using substances that are banned in other member states. This constitute an obstacle to free movement of goods within the internal market since some countries may be reluctant to import cigarettes from other Member States that do not comply with the national requirements. Regulation of Flavours and Ingredients: Flavourings are an increasingly important part of tobacco industry marketing, particularly to young people. Flavourings enhance attractiveness, encourage youth initiation, and discourage cessation. The prohibition of ingredients that may be used to increase attractiveness, such as sugars and sweeteners, flavouring substances, and spices and herbs, in cigarettes and cigarette-like tobacco products should be introduced. The prohibition of ingredients that may create the impression of a health benefit (e.g. vitamins), ingredients associated with energy and vitality (e.g. caffeine), and ingredients with colouring properties (e.g. pink cigarette paper) should also be banned. Tobacco manufacturers and importers should report sales volume information by brand. This information is essential to help governments ensure that product regulation is effective. The above measures should be compliant with Articles 9 & 10 of the Framework Convention on

Tobacco Control (FCTC).

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

Whilst we urge the Commission to call for a ban on the promotion of tobacco products at point of sale and a ban on vending machines, we are aware that these issues do not have cross-border effects. The legal basis for such measures at an EU level is weak. However, these measures are important for health protection and we call on the Commission to include them as non-mandatory measures as part of the product directive. The Directive should also remind national governments that they should adopt a comprehensive ban on all forms of tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship in accordance with Article 13 of the FCTC which calls on Parties to.

**additional comments**

Internet. The principle of preventing cross-border promotion of tobacco products in order to protect public health was enshrined in the Tobacco Advertising directive (2001/0119). It is logical, therefore, to extend that principle to the sale of tobacco products between member states via the Internet. We support the prohibition of tobacco sales via the Internet. Vending machines. The majority of member states (22 out of 27) already prohibit the sale of tobacco products from vending machines in order to deter young people accessing cigarettes from this source. We support this measure. However, as this does not have cross-border effects, we urge the Commission to make the prohibition of tobacco vending machines a non-mandatory measure as part of the product directive. Point of Sale. Similarly, there is a growing body of evidence to show that putting tobacco products out of sight in retail outlets can reduce youth smoking. To date, this measure has been adopted by Ireland, the UK and Finland. We support an EU-wide adoption of a ban on the display of tobacco products at the point of sale. As with vending machines, we urge the Commission to make the prohibition of tobacco vending machines a non-mandatory measure as part of the product directive.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Rollik



**email**

david@rollik.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jean Prussen

**email**

jean@prussen.eu

**country**

Austria

**age**

64

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich bin in der letzten Zeit gegen viele von der EU präsentierten Regelungen und Richtlinien, da die EU im Gegensatz zu den gewählten Vertretern keine Verantwortung für ihre Handlungen übernehmen muss. Die für diese Handlungen zuständigen Kommissare werden von den Regierungschefs ernannt und höchstens von einem halbherzig gewählten EU-Parlament bestätigt. Somit ist der Bürger fast entmündigt. Dies kann nicht das Ziel der EU sein. Die EU-Politik gegen Raucher (ich bin Nichtraucher!) wird zu zahlreichen Notfällen und Geschäftsaufgaben führen, ohne dass das Raucherproblem aus der Welt geschaffen wird (siehe Drogenkonsum).

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heptner, Hans-Joachim

**email**

hjheptner@kabelmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

61

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Sohl, Ralf

**email**  
osohlemio@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
47

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein weiterer Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein weiterer Kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein weiterer Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein weiterer Kommentar

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein weiterer Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerald Franz

**email**

gri-fra@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This total nonsense !!!1111111

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Yes, the European bureaucrats should stay out of this. We suffer enough from their nonsense. We are free citizens !!!!

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

European bureaucrats: this is not your business !!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

We are free citizens and do not need any interference by European bureaucrats

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

European bureaucrats should not get involved !!!

**which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no need for this, i.e . it is a waste of time

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

We are free citizens !!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Langerhorst

**email**

m.langerhorst@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

- all taxes earned via tobacco-related products should be investet in health-related projects -  
ban of vendor machines - compulsory visits of hospitals for pupils, they should see what  
happens if they start smoking - smoking only at the age of 18 and not 16 as in Austria - all  
restaurants, cafes and bars should be non-smoking

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be  
banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Paardekooper Pamela

#### **email**

angelssmile@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **gender**

female

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No comment

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed

inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Walker Gisela

**email**

Gisela.Walker@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
alice priesch

**email**  
alice.priesch@gmail.com

**country**  
austria

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eugen Hoppe-Schultze

**email**

ehsehs@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

No ingredients in tobacco products - only tobacco

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

Ban of indirect advertising (image-commercials) for tobacco and nicotine-products. Ban of selling of tobacco and nicotine-products to persons under the age of 21 years. A tax in a



height of 2 Euros for every (!) cigarette smoken by persons below 21, determined by established statistic methods. A deposit in heighth of 1 Euro for packagings of tobacco and 10 Cent for cigarette stubs.

### **additional comments**

Introduction of a voluntary (!) electronic smokers-passport for smokers, afterwords only selling to persons with the smokers-passport by reducing the allowed buying-quantity of tobacco-products within one year down to zero. In the first month 23 cigarettes per day, then 21 and so on. The daily possession is not allowed to be higher than the daily qanntity of the allowed buying quantity. Paying the costs of sickness and loss of working hours caused by tobacco by the tobacco-industry. Ban of sale and consumption of tobacco-products in EU would be the best solution!

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Günther Pavitschitz

##### **email**

pavitscg@gmail.com

##### **country**

Austria

##### **age**

52

##### **gender**

male

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**  
Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Siegritz Michael

**email**  
michael-und-petra-siegritz@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
41

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Rubio Muñoz

**email**

cubillas102@hotmail.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no creo que el consumo del tabaco sea ahora mismo un problema que haya que solucionar de inmediato, sino que mas bien es usado para distraer la atencion de la opinion publica sobre los verdaderos problemas. Para reducir el consumo de tabaco especialmente entre los jovenes basta con subir los impuestos del tabaco y con ello incrementar su precio; que fume el que se lo pueda permitir.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Subir los precios de la labores de tabaco

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mosell,Andrea

**email**

A.H.-j.Mosell@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mosell,Andrea

**email**

A.H.-j.Mosell@T-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

" Kein Kommentar "

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

oetken,sabine

#### **email**

sascha-sabine@web.de

#### **country**

deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Andreas Engel

**email**  
fam\_engel\_berlin@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Heiko Rohard

#### **email**

Hrohard@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

48

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sabine

**email**

sabine\_litschauer@aon.at

**country**

österreich

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

blank andrea

**email**

Jogy57@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Günter Haser

**email**  
haser.guenter@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Sabine Hausner

#### **email**

sabine@swat-team.at

#### **country**

Austria

#### **age**

29

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

It kills jobs. It kill selfdecision. Not everything has to be regulated through the EU. There is still a vivid market for a lot of people and this is economy as we learned it. We are not in communism, where everything has to be regulated by the government.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Offer the people better medical PRECARE Systems and don't try to regulate everything. If someone wants to live an unhealthy life, let him do this. It is HIS or HER life!

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional option**

They are all GROWN UP! It is everybodys decision. Just kids should be protected until they are 18 years old. They should not be allowed to buy smoking products.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

just put the truth somewhere at the package. But the packaging style is not to regulated!

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

they are not drugs. you are not going to die immediately. if you hide it, it just opens a big black market. And again, it kills jobs. Ever heard about advertising agencies?

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anke Ertz

**email**

dieterertz@msn.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Grimberg

**email**

huc@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Gefährdung von Existenzen, Deutschland erhöht die Tabaksteuer um Finanzlöcher zu stopfen, gleichzeitig soll das Rauchen weiter eingeschränkt werden. Nicht glaubwürdig.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

siehe vorhergehender Punkt

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tabakanbau wird gefördert; Jeder sollte frei ein selbst zu entscheiden was er macht.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keon Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Lächerlich oder gar fürs Geschäft, da Umverpackungen verkauft werden. Ein Raucher läßt sich von so etwas nicht abschrecken. Es müssen dann auch auf z.B. Tankdeckel oder Zapfsäulen, oder auch vielen Lebensmittel diese Bilder sein.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Ertz

**email**

dieterertz@msn.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Odemann

**email**

oct.dispo@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

thomas dietmaier

**email**

thomasdietmaier@aon.at

**country**

austria

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Strictly banning of tobacco consumption when small children are around and no smoking in cars.

**additional comments**

Strict surveillance of all antismoking regulations and hard punishment in case of misdemeanour.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Domna Tsiki

**email**

Domna@googlemail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

B.J. Benschop

**email**

b.benschop@qsnl.com

**country**  
Nederland

**age**  
52

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pertti Parviainen

**email**

info@thessler.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no need to explain. No problems with snus!

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

No problems with snus!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

There is no need to explain. No problems with snus! If Smoking kills then You should legalize snus!

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

If Smoking kills then You should legalize snus!

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no need to explain. No problems with snus! If Smoking kills then You should legalize snus!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no need to explain. No problems with snus! If Smoking kills then You should legalize snus!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no need to explain. No problems with snus! If Smoking kills then You should legalize snus!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no need to explain. No problems with snus! If Smoking kills then You should legalize snus!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Constanze Hempel

**email**

c.hempel@online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional comments**

No ingredients at all

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional comments**

Ban all tobacco products. To the form: It would be better to ask for name and address in the form. I suppose the tobacco industry will impact the survey by a lot of fake forms.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dennis Gießing

**email**

dennisgiessing@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Hans Janßen

**email**

klaushans.janssen@googlemail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrck Müllner

**email**

p.muellner@eclipso.eu

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tommaso Carlo di Giovanni

**email**

dgtomma@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

I believe the scope of the directive should be extended to all products so that they are subject to the same regulation as cigarettes.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

There is no sense in banning snus. Sweden uses it and I don't see why the other country should not, if they want to. Why would something be appropriate in Sweden and not somewhere else? And why should it be banned?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

People know that smoking damages the health of smokers and non smokers who stay around

smoke. I do not believe it would be necessary to cross the thin line between informing smokers and demonizing smoke as such, depriving companies of their right to the brand and making the pack something that should be hidden or (worse) that has no distinct characteristic. Generic packaging would be against all basic ip/trademark right laws, against freedom of expression and against the consumer right to chose the brand they most like. It would be tantamount to prohibition and would create a dangerous precedent into the legal framework.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Facilitating research on product that could be less dangerous.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem description does not take into account any evidence that prohibiting ingredients would improve public health. The measure would be tantamount to prohibition. It would simply make people smoke cigarettes without ingredients with likely no value added on public health.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In Italy kids should not be able to buy tobacco products. A law prohibits sales to underage. If the law is correctly implemented, kids should not have access. On top of that, only adults can buy through the vending machines thanks to the control system represented by the mandatory use of id cards. Rather than banning legitimate sales channels for adults who freely chose to smoke, we should enforce better those existing measures and continue educating people. The other countries should copy Italy's example and implement serious access restriction legislations that allow adults to buy their cigarettes while keep kids away from them.

**which option**

No change



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Schubert Klaus

#### **email**

klaus.schubert@gmx.at

#### **country**

Österreich (Austria)

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Nein

#### **additional comments**

Nein

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
keine Änderung

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
keine Änderung

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lefranc Antoine

**email**

ANTOINE.LEFRANC@wanadoo.fr

**country**

France

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

L'information et l'éducation sont clés dans tout dispositif de lutte contre le tabagisme. Cependant, croire qu'en rendant les paquets génériques ou en apposant des mentions d'information recouvrant la quasi totalité des paquets fera réduire la consommation des produits du tabac. Ceci me paraît être une fausse bonne idée au-delà même des problèmes juridiques. Car en effet, n'autoriser une marque quelque elle soit en caractères standardisés et de toute petite taille me paraît constituer une véritable expropriation. Dès lors se pose la question de l'indemnisation au niveau européen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

L interdiction de tous les ingredients parait pour le moins disproportionnee. Quelle industrie legale a ce jour s est vue interdire l ensemble l ensemble de ses ingredients ?

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Interdire tous les ingredients parait pour le moins disproportionne. Quelle industrie legale s est deja vue interdire du jour au lendemain tous ses ingredients ?

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

La encore cette mesure semble etre une bonne fausse idee. Est ce que cela va reellement faire baisser la consommation. Le recent cas Irlandais demontre que ceci facilite le commerce illicite des cigarettes au detriment de la politique de sante publique.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sigi Zaun

**email**

snzp@ztanet.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MariaSassmann

**email**

maria.sassmann@utanet.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sassmann Zenaida

**email**

zenaida.sassmann@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sassmann Philipp

**email**

philipp.sassmann@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

propst

**email**

ufrd7dg.sms.at

**country**

austria

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

x

**additional comments**

x

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

x

**additional comments**

x

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional option**

x

**additional comments**

x

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

x

**additional comments**

x

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

x

**additional comments**

x

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

**additional option**

x

**additional comments**

x

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sassmann Norbert

**email**

norbert.sassmann@live.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Angelika Mair

**email**

csak2517@uibk.ac.at

**country**

Austria

**age**  
27

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Peter Büttner

#### **email**

lurupfan@hotmail.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

keine Änderung

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **additional option**

keine Änderung

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

**additional option**

keine Änderung

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

keine Änderung

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

keine Änderung

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

keine Änderung

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Prangenberg

**email**

christian.prangenberg@T-ONLINE:DE

**country**

Germany

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Günter Heinz

**email**  
g.heinz@aon.at

**country**  
Austria

**age**  
66

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr.Michaela Grand

**email**

michaela.grand@gmx.at

**country**

austria

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

keine notwendigkeit, die eu - regelungskompetenz auszuweiten

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

solange jugendschutz und verbraucherinformation vorhanden und intakt, kein grund für ein verbot

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

der konsument hat ein recht auf sortimentsvielfalt und auf visuelle unterscheidung diverser produkte!

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

no commend

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

das recht, zusatzstoffe zu limitieren oder zu verbieten, soll den mitgliedsstaaten vorbehalten bleiben.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

in österreich ist der jugendschutz bereits vorbildlich umgesetzt. es wäre eine unzumutbare bevormundung der mündigen bürger, darüber hinausgehende einschränkungen (automaten - oder präsentationsverbote) einzuführen!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Bernardi

**email**

firma@pbernardi.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no possibilityto cancle TPD 1

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

werner grand

**email**

wgrand@gmx.at

**country**

austria

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

sollte allein den mitgliedstaaten vorbehalten bleiben

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

soll unter rücksichtnahme auf verbraucherinformation und jugendschutz angeboten werden dürfen

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

der eu bürger hat ein recht auf sortimentsvielfalt und freie auswahl! eine beschränkung wäre eine beschneidung des freiheitsprinzips

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

sollte in der kompetenz der einzelnen staaten bleiben.....es soll keine einheitszigarette geben!

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

soll in kompetenz der staaten bleiben

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

es bestehen bereits sinnvolle jugendschutzbestimmungen! jede weitere einschränkung kommt einer bevormundung mündiger bürger gleich, wogegen ich mich entschieden verwahren möchte!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christos Giannopoulos

**email**

christosgiannopoulos1@gmail.com

**country**

Greece

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHRISTOS YANNOPOULOS

**email**

christosyannopoulos@gmail.com

**country**

Greece

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Werner König

#### **email**

werner.a.koenig@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

60

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Remove this Mr. Dalli. He is not competent.

#### **additional comments**

Mr. Dalli has to be removed immidently.

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No comment

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Mr. Dalli is perverse

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

This is pervers and contemptuous

**additional comments**

Mr. Dalli and all people thinking in that way are perverse

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

give a substance to Mr Dalli who sacked him

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

From whom Mr. Dalli and his assistants get  
salary??

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thorsten Zielke

**email**

camou@camou.net

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gordon Dieter Ingo Gretschel

**email**

gordon.gretschel@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Regulations by government authorities in place are sufficient to an extent, that personal freedom is already limited extraordinarily.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

It should be a matter of personal freedom to smoke or not to smoke (sufficient information available about the threats of smoking for personal health) and economical liberty to exist as tobacco handler, as restaurant or bar owner etc. With the restrictions in place, already many clubs, bars, restaurants in Bavaria/Germany are highly likely to be closed and the owners ruined.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Snus is not as dangerous as smoking tobacco products. Why should I not be able to get rid of the cigarette and use snus in Germany instead and legally?

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

freedom for snus users could reduce the use of smoking tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Every consumer in the free world is able to choose from different products in the market, e.g. different types of Whiskey. I would want to choose my brand of cigarettes acc. to its design and taste for reasons of self-identification. Why should I buy something, that is plain white packed and does not differ from any other smoking tobacco product? Pls keep the diversity of products offered in the market. The larger the cautionary note, the better? No, I don't care about anything but the design and taste.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No additives make the cigarette taste all the same and not the way I want it as consumer. We smokers pay a lot for taxed tobacco products and should not be blamed for the things we WANT to do. SMOKE with different tastes etc. Smoking has been a social event for thousands of years. Why should the taste of smoking be regulated by any authority?

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Different tastes are with eating, drinking and smoking as well. They've been there for thousands of years and why should anyone tell me what I should eat, drink or smoke? This is overregulation!!!

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

If you are thirsty, you need to get water instantly by buying some. If you are hungry, you do the same. And if you require a cigarette, you should be able to buy it anywhere. There already



is regulation regarding age, on who is mandated to buy smoking tobacco products (age 18 in Germany). You do have adult status with 18, you can marry, drive a car ans as well you're old enough to decide whether you want to smoke or not !!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kimon Kotsis

**email**

Kimon-Kotsis@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Egidijus

**email**  
diga@email.lt

**country**  
Lithuania

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional comments**

Contraband and illegal trade is the topic of the day now

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rinnerbauer Günther

**email**

gonka1@gmx.at

**country**

Österreich

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fankhauser Martina

**email**

fankhauser@cablelink.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heptner, Maren

**email**

Maren.Heptner@kabelmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**



kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Mueller

**email**

email1880@Web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

andreas pollak

**email**

sigrid.pollak@liwest.at

**country**

austria

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Schoenbauer

**email**

Schoenbauer.ge@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it is not matter of EU to declare it as problem

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Drop it. You are making more problems than solving any

**additional comments**

Drop it; leave the decision over our lifestyle to ourselves

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

your are making problems instead solving any

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Leave us alone,

**additional comments**

go home and give the money back you stole from us.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

you must not prevent the citicens from things. Citicens shoud be prevented from your kind of burocrats.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

go home an leave us alone

**additional comments**

don't think we agree to any shit you make

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no need to, ist ok as it is now.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

keep you fingers away from it

**additional comments**

start allowing things instead of reulating them

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it is sufficient as it is now

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

if you keep all of you unwanted actions off

**additional comments**

stop making the EU-citicens to your servants

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it is ok as it is now

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

leave us alone

**additional comments**

don't waste your money on unwanted matters

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Florian Frey

**email**

florian@wt.net.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

I work in a Tobacco shop and this will be definitely the end of my employment.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

will kill the legal tobacco market and illegal tobacco market will increase

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl-Heinz Fuchs

**email**

karl-heinz.fuchs@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Sabine äUntersberger

**email**  
s.untersberger@aon.at

**country**  
Österreich

**age**  
46

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Es besteht keine Notwendigkeit die EU-Regelungskompetenz auszuweiten, und die Befugnis der Mitgliedstaaten einzuschränken,...

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse sollen ohne die bisherigen nicht nachvollziehbaren Unterschiede unter dem Vorbehalt geeigneter Verbraucherinformationen in der EU angeboten werden können,...

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Das Recht der Konsumenten auf Sortimentsvielfalt und freie Produktauswahl soll durch die EU nicht beschränkt werden,....

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**

Bei einer EU einheitlichen Regelung der Meldung und Registrierung von Zusatzstoffen besteht die Gefahr der Verteuerung von Zigaretten und der Einschränkung der bisherigen Markenvielfalt,...Den Mitgliedsstaaten soll die Möglichkeit erhalten bleiben die Beigabe gesundheitlich bedenklicher Zusatzstoffe zu verbieten gleichzeitig aber national unterschiedliche Geschmacksrichtungen zuzulassen,...anderenfalls droht eine nicht unterscheidbare Einheitszigarette,...

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Bei einer EU einheitlichen Regelung der Meldung und Registrierung von Zusatzstoffen besteht die Gefahr der Verteuerung von Zigaretten und der Einschränkung der bisherigen Markenvielfalt,...Den Mitgliedsstaaten soll die Möglichkeit erhalten bleiben die Beigabe gesundheitlich bedenklicher Zusatzstoffe zu verbieten gleichzeitig aber national unterschiedliche Geschmacksrichtungen zuzulassen,...anderenfalls droht eine nicht unterscheidbare Einheitszigarette,...

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

In Österreich bestehen mit den Jugendschutzbestimmungen bereits sinnvolle Beschränkungen bei der Abgabe von Tabakprodukten,... von der EU ins Auge gefasste darüberhinausgehende Einschränkungen wie etwa das Verbot von Zigarettenautomaten oder ein Präsentationsverbot von Tabakerzeugnissen sind daher nicht erforderlich.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kern Sandra

**email**

wehenderSternstaub@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
26

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
zu viele Arbeitsplätze gehen verloren

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
zu viele Arbeitsplätze gehen verloren

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
zu viele Arbeitsplätze gehen verloren

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
zu viele Arbeitsplätze gehen verloren

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zu viele Arbeitsplätze gehen verloren

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Mügge

**email**

pm-sz@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no komment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no komment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johann WALL

**email**

johann.wall@hotmail.com

**country**

Austria

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir haben, insbesondere in der EU, ganz andere Sorgen. Dieses Vorhaben der EU stellt eine unverhältnismäßige Bevormundung der Bürger dar und ich empfinde es als eine Frechheit! Wenn unsere sogenannten Volksvertreter in den EU-Gremien in dieser Weise weiter agieren, so brauchen sie sich nicht wundern, wenn früher oder später (eher früher) die Bürger massiv gegen die EU stimmen. Es wäre sicher viel besser, unsere hoch dotierten "Volksvertreter" würden diese Energie dazu verwenden, die Millionen aufzuspüren, die jährlich in irgendwelchen Kanälen (bzw in manchen ihrer eigenen Taschen) des EU-Apparates spurlos verschwinden. Die derzeit in Österreich geltenden Regelungen betreffend des Nichtraucherschutzes sind durchaus ausreichend, die Nichtraucher und insbesondere auch die Kinder und Jugendlichen zu schützen. Sie gehen - insbesondere in Lokalen - bereits eindeutig über das vernünftige Maß hinaus. Nichtraucherwerbung ist ok, aber die Bewegung geht immer mehr in eine Hetzjagd gegen die Raucher über. Wenn die EU-Parlamentarier nicht raschest aufhören, sich über die Krümmungen von Gurken, Bananen und sonstige lächerliche Tatsachen zu sorgen, werden sie sehr bald arbeitslos sein. Ich kann mir nicht vorstellen, daß sich die EU-Bürger derart schwachsinnige Regelungen - oder auch die Versuche dazu - noch sehr lange gefallen lassen werden. Insbesondere wir Österreicher(innen) zahlen einfach zu viel Geld in diese EU ein, als daß wir uns dann von solchen (es tut mir leid, aber ich kann es einfach nicht anders oder besser ausdrücken) geistig Minderbemittelten vertreten lassen müssen; das haben wir nicht verdient. Das Volk ist sehr geduldig und verträgt auch einiges - aber eben nicht alles. ES REICHT SCHÖN LANGSAM.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schmitz Nico

**email**

graphy86@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no statement

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no statement

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zu viele Arbeitsplätze gehen verloren

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zu viele Arbeitsplätze gehen verloren

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

THEOFRONIDIS NIKOS

**email**

nik-theo@otenet.gr

**country**

GREECE

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

-

**additional comments**

-

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klinge,Renate

**email**

famkli@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schubert Gertrude

**email**

klaus.schubert@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

nein

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Keine Änderung

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Großmann, Jürgen

#### **email**

grossmann-bornum@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Hermann Kvas-Quas

**email**  
hermannquas@hotmail.com

**country**  
Austria

**age**  
70

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

In my opinion the restrictions of smoking in Restaurants are overdone. As a smoker for more than 50 years I have not visited a Restaurant since smoking is not allowed there any more. At least theres should be a extra room for smokers. What is a coffe or a beer without having a smoke with it? For me unbearable. I do frrquently visit Australia which has one of the harshest anti-smoking laws in the world. Now I do not visit any Pubs or Clubs there because of smoking restrictions. Buying a packet of cigarettes there makes me feel like a criminal. What will Big Brother do next?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ehrhardt , Thilo

**email**

ego-art@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Hersteller und Anbieter von Tabakerzeugnissen sollte wie jeder andere Konsumgüter Hersteller das Recht zur Eigengestaltung und Werbemaßnahmen haben!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No Kommentar

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No Kommentar

**additional comments**

No Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No Kommentar

**additional comments**

No Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

No Kommentar

**additional comments**

No Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No Kommentar

**additional comments**

No Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No Kommentar

**additional comments**

No Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hendrik Müller

**email**

haendrik@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mario rossi

**email**

m.rossi@alice.it

**country**

italy

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nitsche,Petra

**email**

petra-nitsche@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

female



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Birgit Hofer

**email**

loreya@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It, shouldn't be the problem of EU, ONLY the States should adjust this!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Maybe this products can help to get off smoking!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

should be in the hands of the states, too much bureaucracy

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

taste is different, do you want to open a monopol?!?

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

You destroy the whole Tobacco-Industrie! We have enough restrictions!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Toni Kuusisto

**email**

toni.kuusisto@mbnet.fi

**country**

Finland

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Smokeless tobacco cause no harm to other people, only the user. Beside current ban of snus isn't same for all because only real ban is here in Finland which is only country where even ordering a snus from the internet is criminalized. Snus is mainly used in Scandinavia where Norway (non-EU), Sweden and Denmark can sell snus normally.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wiedemann Günther

**email**

g.wiedemann@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar“

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar“

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar“

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar“

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar“

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar“

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar“

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar“

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar“

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar“

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar“

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petra Herbert

**email**

Petras-laedchen@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Griesbeck Michael

**email**

michael@griesbeck.biz

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

4

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M. Mignot

**email**

m.mignot@upcmail.nl

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Kristina Kormann

#### **email**

norbert.kormann@aon .at

#### **country**

Österreich

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

ist ausreichend geregelt

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

rauchloser tabak entspricht dem nichtraucherschutz

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

sorten sollten unterschieden werden können

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
nicht sinnvoll

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
ohne kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
ist unberündet

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Peter Mayer

**email**  
P-Maye@t-online.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
42

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt genug Gesetze und Regelungen innerhalb der EU, und es muss dem Bürger die Wahl und die Entscheidung bleiben, ob er etwas tut oder nicht.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Meinungs- und Entscheidungsfreiheit

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bei dieser Argumentation müsste man auf Autos und Lastwagen auch einen Warnhinweis anbringen: Dieses Auto kann die Umwelt verschmutzen und kann Unfälle verursachen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bei diese Argumentation müssten viele andere Dinge auch verboten werden. Ich finde es unsinnig bestimmte Produkte zu verbieten und den Bürger für unmündig zu erklären. Er muss die Wahl haben sich für ein Produkt zu Entscheiden oder nicht. Wir haben in der EU genug unsinnige Gesetze und wir brauchen nicht mehr davon.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

walter hö.

**email**

howa

**country**

deutschland

**age**

66

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

rohrmeier maria mühlbachstr.20 84034 Landshut

**email**

roma40@gmx.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

generelles EU Verbot für zigarettenähnliche Erzeugnisse

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

alles soll so bleiben wie es ist

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

wo sehen sie hier ein Problem?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die derzeitige Information für Konsumenten auf der Packung ist ausreichend und jeder mündige Bürger verfügt über genügend Eigenverantwortung

**which option**



No change

**additional option**

Wasserpfeifentabak sollte auch mit Warnhinweisen gekennzeichnet werden

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

warum gutes schlechter machen?

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Einheitsgeschmack für Einheitsmenschen (EU)

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

günter nicolai

**email**

g.nicolai

**country**

deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sommer

**email**

derneunelfer@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Manfred Brand

#### **email**

brand.manfred@gmx.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

40

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

keine Komentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

k.A.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

k.A.

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Angabe

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erich Hundertpfund

**email**

erich.hundertpfund@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

6094

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Keine Änderung

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderung

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderung

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hendrik Bernard de Vries

**email**

erik.devries8@gmail.com

**country**

Dutch

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tobacco and nicotine products that are not covered by the Tobacco Products Directive, or other EU legislation (food, pharmaceutical) would remain subject to different legislations in different Member States.

**which option**

No change



**recommend option**

none

**additional comments**

none

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

The prohibition on the marketing of tobacco for oral use ("snus") remains unchanged. Other smokeless tobacco products that are perceived as marginal products can continue to be marketed in all Member States.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Pictorial warnings remain optional in the Member States. Different labelling patterns in the Member States will continue to exist. Water pipes remain without health warnings.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It's a legal product. The current enormously reduced marketing possibilities is beyond any business legality.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Member States continue to be free in regulating tobacco products ingredients.<sup>16</sup> Industry has to comply with different national regulations on positive and/or negative lists of ingredients

for the manufacturing of tobacco products. That is OK

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Member States remain competent to have national measures on limiting the access to tobacco products. Some marketing possibilities need to remain as this has been cut already a lot

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rafael Steffen

**email**

Rafael\_1991\_wrn@hotmail.com

**country**

Österreich

**age**

19

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Mitgliedsstaaten sollen selbst Regelungen treffen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Keine wissenschaftlichen Beweise!!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Verstoß gegen das Markenrecht. Verlust an Produktvielfalt. Entwicklung eines reinen Preismarktes -> Qualitätsverlust

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Entscheidung soll bei Mitgliedsstaaten liegt

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jedes Mitgliedsland soll selbst entscheiden welche Zusatzstoffe sie in ihren Zigaretten usw. hineintun

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wiederrum entsteht ein reiner Preismarkt -> Qualitätsverlust

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Dietrich Loos

#### **email**

arnoloos@gmx.net

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

64

#### **gender**

male

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

### **recommend option**

ban smoking where ever people work and where ever people eat in public

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

### **which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**additional option**

drastic pictures of tobacco related diseases

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DORA GALANI

**email**

dorgalani@yahoo.gr

**country**

GREECE

**age**

23

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Grünlings, Sabrina

**email**

sabrina.gruenlings@tobaccoland.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar



**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KOYTROYBAS KONSTANTINOS

**email**

kostas.koutroubas@gmail.com

**country**

GREECE

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NTAKIS FILIPPOS

**email**

fntakis@yahoo.gr

**country**

greece

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Nikos Georgotas

**email**  
georgotasnikos@gmail.com

**country**  
Greece

**age**  
35

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
TSOLERIDIS EFHIMIOS

**email**  
tsoleridiseythimios@gmail.com

**country**  
GREECE

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIORGOS LIASKOS

**email**

GEOLIASKOS@HOTMAIL.COM

**country**

GREECE

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**



No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DIAFAS KONSTANTINOS

**email**

k.diafas@yahoo.gr

**country**

greece

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrich Sartor

**email**

usartor@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Lot of lies, no scientific proof.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

EU -administration should focus on essentials - such as european economical crisis. i do not see any progress in this subject since summer 2010.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Greb

**email**

wolfgang.greb@fte.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
48

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kaminsky-Meyer,Karin

**email**

kaminsky-meyer@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Gauck

**email**

knott.martini@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
48

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**  
höhere und EU-einheitliche Tabaksteuer wäre wünschenswert

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**  
Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients



**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Riedel

**email**

d.riedel71@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven Becher

**email**

SBecher@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Elke Schaefer

**email**  
elke.schaefer@teleos-eb.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
49

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Die Fremdbestimmung wird uebertrieben! Ich moechte das Recht haben, selbst zu bestimmen wie ich mein Leben lebe. Ich will nicht für jede Lebenslage ein Gesetz, das mir vorschreibt, was ich tun soll. Mittlerweile komme ich mir so vor, als ob ich in der ehemaligen DDR lebe. Da wurde auch vorgeschrieben was ich zu denken und zu tun habe. D A S W I L L I C H N I C H T 1

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**

Ich bin der Meinung, bevor so rigeros gegen den Tabak Konsum vorgegangen wird, muss ueberprueft werden, welche Suchtmittel in unseren Lebensmitteln sind, das dem so ist, sollte bekannt sein. Und bei Lebensmitteln weiss der Verbraucher oft nicht, was er sonst noch an Inhaltsstoffen zu sich nimmt. Hier sollte eine konsequente Deklaration der Inhaltsstoffe vorgeschrieben werden, und nicht ein Fachbegriff mit einem anderen ersetzt werden, der aber am Ende genau das gleiche aussagt. Wenn auf Tabakprodukten schon der Aufkleber drauf ist: "Rauchen macht süchtig, müßte das meiner Meinung wegen der Gleichberechtigung auch auf einigen Lebensmitteln stehen! Aber generell bin ich der MEinung, daß jeder Mensch eine eigene Meinung hat und die auch vertreten darf. Bei Stuntmen besteht bei jeder ihrer Aktionen auch ein gewisses Risiko, aber da schreit keiner, Stunts müssen verboten werden, weil dann Unfälle passieren könne, wobei dann die Allgemeinheit die Kosten für die Heilung oder gegebenenfalls eine lebenslange Pflege tragen muß!

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
s.o.

**additional comments**

Man kann die Dinge nicht per Gesetz regeln. Das Vorbild von Erwachsenen gegenüber Jugendlichen, oder die Einsicht, daß ich meinem Körper mit zu viel rauchen, trinken, essen...

nichts Gutes tue, ist viel wichtiger. Aber das kriegt man nicht mit einem Gesetz geregelt. Im Gegenteil, dann wird rauchen u.s.w. heimlich betrieben und es entstehen vielleicht Dinge, die man nicht vorhersehen kann.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wenn die freie Meinungsäußerung schon durch einheitliche Zigarettenpackungen untergraben wird, kann ich mir vorstellen, daß in den nächsten Jahren noch andere Bereiche folgen werden.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Das Bewußtsein der Menschen für ein einigermaßen gesundes Leben sollte geweckt werden, und Familien sollten mehr unterstützt werden, sodaß Mütter für ihre Kinder Zeit haben und Kinder ein Gefühl der Geborgenheit haben. Dann wird rauchen, trinken und essen nicht so schnell zur Sucht!!!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Warum nur bei Tabak???? In der Lebensmittelindustrie müßte das dann auch passieren. Ich finde es viel schlimmer, nicht zu wissen, mit welchen Chemikalien die Schweine gefüttert werden, von denen ich das Fleisch esse, als zu rauchen, weil ich da weiß, was auf mich zukommen kann.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wenn reglementiert wird, wie Tabakprodukte verkauft werden dürfen, haben wir ganz schnell einen riesengroßen Schwarzmarkt, und die Kriminalität steigt!!!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Arminas Mockevičius

**email**

Arminas.Mockevicius@gmail.com

**country**

Lithuania

**age**

23

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Ban smoking in all public places.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional option**

The help how to quit smoking telephone number should put on the package.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be

banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michaela Wieczorek

**email**



schnuffi0511@gmx.de

**country**  
germany

**age**  
23

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katharina Ledderbohm

**email**

Katharina.Ledderbohm@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

23

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bigger warnings aren't more effective. It is easier for criminals to copy brands and would lead to an increase in illegal sales of Cigarettes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sesselmann Astrid

**email**

asesselmann@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Basis von wissenschaftlichen Erkenntnissen liegt hier nicht vor. Diese sollte aber bei einer Regulierung grundsätzlich vorliegen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Die Gesundheit Dritter wird durch rauchlose Tabakprodukte in keinster Weise gefährdet bzw. eingeschränkt. Erwachsene Verbraucher haben das Recht sich selbst zu entscheiden. Dies ist hier nicht gegeben und würde die Entscheidungsfreiheit der EU Bürger stark eingrenzen.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen Nachweis dafür, dass die Wirkung des Warnhinweises sich nach der Größe des Aufdruckes richtet. Jeder Raucher ist sich über die Risiken des Rauchens bewußt. Neutrale Verpackungen würde eine Erleichterung von Produktfälschungen sein. Dies würde wiederum das Problem des Schmuggelns verschärfen. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing, was sinkende Preise als Konsequenz hätte = kontraproduktiv und bedroht Existenzen im Bereich Groß- und Einzelhandel.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Hierzu keine Äußerung

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden, ist die Verbreitung des Rauchens auch nicht geringer als in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen verkauft werden.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich glaube nicht, dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluß auf das Rauchverhalten der Jugendlichen hat. Außerdem würde die Abschaffung von Zigaretten-Automaten die Bezugsmöglichkeiten der Erwachsenen einschränken, aber dem Jugendschutz in keinsten Weise dienen. Die Entscheidung über Mindestalter zum Konsum von Tabakwaren sowie über die Erhältlichkeit über Automaten, fällt ganz klar in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Mitgliedsstaaten.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Bueno Sanchez

**email**

merymbs@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Müller,Uwe

**email**

info@buch-mueller-esw.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patricia Lopez Suarez

**email**

plls7@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Miguel Angel Lobo Armas

**email**

achiqueboom@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Peter

**email**

trafik-peter@chello.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Semrajc Helmut

**email**

trafik@semrajc.at

**country**

Österreich

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Marion Brenner

**email**  
marion.brenner@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
28

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
...

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

...

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

...

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christopher Fischer

**email**

crizz-t@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

26



**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marko Gatzemeier

**email**

marko.gatzemeier@kabelmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Melani Gatzemeier

**email**  
melanie.gatzemeier@kabelmail.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

andreas kalbe

**email**

andikalbe@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ronald Rauch

**email**  
ronald-rauch@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kovács Áron

**email**

aron.kovacs@gmail.com

**country**

Hungary

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bolz

**email**

luckybbolz@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Seidl Harald

**email**

beppone@chello.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Rätz, Monika

#### **email**

mo-rae@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

44

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Lehner

**email**

Helega\_Otto\_Lehner\_GmbH@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finde, daß die derzeitigen Regeln völlig ausreichen. Ein legales Produkt wird kriminalisiert. Nicht alles muß per Gesetz geregelt werden. Auch Raucher sind freie Bürger mit Selbstachtung und Verantwortung.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Es wird ein Problem behauptet, welches nicht existiert.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie oben, ansonsten kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Nein

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie oben, kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fick, Andreas

**email**

fecki@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich halte es für sehr unwahrscheinlich, daß weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich gestaltet sind.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind legale Produkte, die man im Geschäft auch zeigen muß. Als Raucher möchte man das komplette Sortiment sehen und auch aus diesem aussuchen.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

andreas müller

**email**

andreas.m23@gmx.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Volker Walter

**email**

volker.walter@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sylvia Schulze

**email**

schulze@arcor.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Olaf Beernink

**email**

o\_beernink@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

No

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar



**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

No

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frauke Gottsleben-Kühnl

**email**

norbertkuehnl@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

P. Kissels

**email**

p.kissels@planet.nl

**country**

Netherlands

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Szabó István

**email**

szabo.istvan@gmail.com

**country**

Hungary

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Alex Seidars

**email**

Alex.Seidars@tobaccoland.com

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Seiler

**email**

Thomas.Seiler@tobaccoland.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer Nickel

**email**

rainer.nickel@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Everything that prevents smoking, especially for children and employees (people who cannot do anything actively against smoking in their environment)

**additional comments**

I am very happy with the recommendation of the EU. I would like to add the following: No smoking in cars, especially when children are within the car, No smoking at any place where somebody is eating, e.g. Biergarten, even if it is not in a closed building.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Heckmann

**email**

heckmann-muenchhausen@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In einer aufgeklärten Gesellschaft, wie wir es doch sind, gehe ich davon aus, dass jeder Bürger über das Risiko des Rauchens hinreichend informiert ist und es somit keinerlei weitere Warnhinweise geben muß.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Die Vielzahl der Marken sollte in einer aufgeklärten Gesellschaft bestehen bleiben: Eine Marke schafft Vertrauen auch bei Genussmitteln. Manipulationen am Produkt wären ohne Marken leichter, welche Gefahren davon ausgehen kann man sehen an den Alkoholmanipulationen in der Tourismusbranche in der Türkei. Konsequenterweise müßten sie auch Alkohol neutralisieren, wie könnten sie dann noch ein Predikatswein von einem Billigprodukt unterscheiden. Im Grunde könnten sie dann überall alles rein tun, von legalen bis illegalen Zusätzen alles wäre denkbar und der Preis alleine gibt dem Verbraucher kein Vertrauen.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Die bisherigen Kontrollen sind ausreichend, Zusatzstoffe fallen schließlich hier unter die Lebensmittelkontrolle

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Legale Produkte müssen legal verkauft werden können, der Handel wendet jetzt schon alles auf um Jugendliche den Zugang zu den Produkten unmöglich zu machen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Anwendung von Gesetzen verbindlich für alle , sorgt für Sicherheit. Harte und extrem Hohe Strafen schrecken ab. Bitte nutzt diese Möglichkeit und redet nicht nur darüber

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nur wenn sie nicht mit den bestehenden Gesetzen konform sind.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Produkte müssen in einer legalen Welt offen gehandelt werden können. Der Handel muß alle legalen Mittel einsetzen dürfen. Bei verstößen muß hart gehandelt werden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bastian Gembler

**email**

bastian.gembler@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Philip Morris international

**email**

Josef.Kovarik@pmintl.cz

**country**

Czech republic

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

The scope of the Directive can be extended to cover these other products, but this should not include an automatic ban on one category of product (novel forms of oral tobacco). Any bans should be based on scientific assessments.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

není dokázáno, že větší varování bude mít za následek snížení počtu kuřáků. Navíc bude mít za následek větší růst nelegálního trhu.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

členské státy mají možnost zavést poplatky a sankce. Dodržování těchto pravidel by mělo být i nadále ponecháno v odpovědnosti každého státu.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Testování přísad by se mělo zaměřit na to, zda-li zvyšují toxicitu tabákového kouře.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Není zde jasně stanovený problém pokud jde o prodejní automaty nebo místa prodeje. Nejsou zde důkazy naznačující jak by zákaz mohly zlepšit fungování vnitřního trhu.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karsten Richter

**email**

grosserkarsten@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**



No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Armbruster

**email**

andreas.armbruster@online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dietmar Jazbinsek

**email**

Jazbinsek@online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**

This consultation is used by the tobacco industry in Germany as a pseudo-referendum and lobby-tool, see [www.entscheiden-sie-selbst.de](http://www.entscheiden-sie-selbst.de)

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Kerstin Zimmermann

#### **email**

we.ke@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Werner Zimmermann

**email**

we.ke@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Meyer

**email**

j.meyer@pfeifen-huber.de

**country**

germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Its against any economical freedom and gives a discrimination to all smokers

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

We don't need more information

**which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

We don't need more regulations.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petr Hoffman

**email**

kekicek@seznam.cz

**country**

Czech republic

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence that bigger warnings are more effective. There is no evidence that plain packaging would improve the functioning of the internal market or have any public health benefits.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Member States can already introduce fees and sanction.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no clear problem stated as regards either vending machines or point of sale display.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Milchram Kurt

#### **email**

camel.light@gmx.at

#### **country**

Austrie

#### **age**

46

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Sprie-Briest

**email**

wolfgang.sprie-briest@reemtsma.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Diskreminierung der Raucher, der Arbeitnehmer in dieser Branche. Massiver Verlust von Arbeitsplätzen. Beim Alkohol- und Psychopharmakaverbrauch traut sich die Politik offensichtlich nicht.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klammler Franz

**email**

klammler@utanet.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Immerz

**email**

Daniel.Immerz@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Verbote sind kontraproduktiv. Ohne das Verbot von Snus würde ich gar nicht wissen, worum es sich dabei überhaupt handelt. Ich habe von der Existenz von Snus nur deshalb erfahren weil über das Verbot diskutiert wird. Ausserdem glaube ich nicht, dass der Konsum ohne Verbot zunehmen würde, da Snus genauso wie Schnupftabak u. ä. einfach nicht jedermanns Sache ist. Diese Menschen, die Snus konsumieren wollen, importieren ihn halt von irgendwo her. Gerade für Jugendliche wird er durch das Verbot noch attraktiver. (Verbotene Früchte schmecken am besten.)

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ein erwachsener, mündiger Mensch weiß, welche Risiken der Tabakkonsum bergen kann. Und jemand der gerne raucht und sich über die eventuellen Folgen im Klaren ist lässt sich auch mit solchen Bildern nicht abschrecken. Das Rauchen unter 18 Jahren wird in Deutschland im Jugendschutzgesetz geregelt. Es wäre meiner Meinung nach um einiges sinnvoller, wenn bereits in der Grundschule an das Thema Rauchen herangeführt wird und den Kindern quasi von klein auf beigebracht wird, dass das Rauchen nicht gesund ist und welche Folgen es haben kann. Die Kinder müssen nachdem dieses Thema abgehandelt wurde wissen, dass es besser für sie ist wenn sie nicht Rauchen. Immer weitergehende Verbote halte ich für kontraproduktiv und falsch.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Zusatzstoffe müssten von den Herstellern offengelegt und zentral z.B. auf einer Webseite



für alle Marken aufgelistet werden (mit entsprechendem Gefahrenpotential). Auf den Zigarettenpäckchen müsste z.B. seitlich ein Hinweis aufgedruckt werden. (z.B. Eine Aufstellung der verwendeten Zusatzstoffe finden Sie unter [www.xyz.com](http://www.xyz.com)) Sanktionen halte ich für angemessen, wenn die Liste unvollständig ist oder falsche Angaben enthält. So kann sich der interessierte Raucher, der evtl sogar aufhören will konkret informieren und findet vllt sogar eine gewisse Unterstützung wenn er Bescheid weiß, welche Stoffe zugesetzt wurden und wie sich diese verhalten wenn sie verbrannt werden.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Offenlegung der verwendeten Zusatzstoffe halte ich für absolut ausreichend.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wenn das von mir vorgeschlagene Prinzip der Aufklärung bereits an den Grundschulen konsequent durchgezogen wird löst sich das Problem der jugendlichen Raucher von selbst. Ausreisser gibt es immer und der Zugriff auf Tabakwaren gelingt immer irgendwie. Dann werden ältere, berechnete Personen mit dem Kauf beauftragt. Ich denke durch eine frühe Sensibilisierung bekommt man diese Problematik besser in den Griff. Verbote lösen oft auch Trotzreaktionen aus. (Jetzt erst recht, denen werd ich s zeigen)

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cortese Giovanni

**email**

[giovanni.cortese@tin.it](mailto:giovanni.cortese@tin.it)

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wery complicate

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Is very stromg!,

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Too much restrittive .The problem won'tresolve,isn'tcomprove.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Lindner

**email**

martin.187@web.de

**country**

DE-59071 Hamm

**age**

23

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Publicly open all machines should be abolished. Sales will be held only by authorized persons.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven Buerkner

**email**

SvenBuerkner@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the existing legislation is sufficient. We EU Citizens are politically mature and responsible for our own health. This directive would be a severe intrusion in our right of self-determination! Please keep your focus on true problems!

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

No more harrasement of smokers! Just for notice: I am a Non-Smoker but a liberal person!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Every adult Smoker knows the facts!!! Protect the children!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The ingredients are clearly listed!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Do not harass mature consumers and trade. (They pay your checks!!!)

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kasper, Detlef

**email**

dedi666@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Claudia Hoppek

**email**  
claudi.0201@gmx.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Philip Morris international

**email**

Iveta.Pisarikova@pmintl.com

**country**  
Czech

**age**  
42

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Větší varování nezmění mínění zákazníků, s těmito varováními jsou seznámeni v dostatečné míře. Jednotné balení způsobí nárůst nelegálních výrobků .

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
společné hlášení ano, zachovat tajemství výrobců

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

odborně posoudit vliv na škodliviny

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nemyslím si, že zákaz prodejních automatů bude mít dopad na prodej tabákových výrobků stejně jako vystavení u prodejců.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

von Zedlitz-Neukirch, Wolf

**email**

wolfvzn@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

1.) All problems are lacking proper scientific prove and are more based on rumors or 'talk on the grapevine' from certain parties, who have a special interest to get themselves on the front of the newspaper. It's just political lobby work - nothing else. 2.) Adult consumers are completely disregarded and treated like childes in the sense "They have no glue - we have to think for them" 3.) Instead of spending money for such useless excersises the focus should be set on solving real problems (unemployeement problems, poverty in a rich society, child

missues, ...) 4.) If any of the suggested change options to further restrict a legal industry would materialize it would only lead to one result - increase of uncontrolled smuggling activiteis (contraband's) from suspicious countries (e.g. China, Belaur, ...). These products are in fact highly dangerous, because they are completly uncontrolled and can in fact cause severe damage to consumers.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

The current legislation (Options 1) have proven to work effectively and should not be further modified.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Snus should be treated as a legal product like in other countries (e.g. Sweden, US, ..).

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Please see comments on first topic (Scope of Directive)

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

As cigarettes are still legal products they should have he same rights in regards to packaging / advertising as all other legally produced products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ingrediens reporting on legal cigarettes is already quite extensive and does not need any further extensions.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ingredients of cigarettes is already one of the most regulated legally produced products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Already highly regulated from industry as well as from governmental side. No further increase of regulation required.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexandrad Keller

**email**

alexandrakeller1983@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I dont smoke, but I am working at a tobako shop. Maybe i lose my job if the new laws will com true.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

Many people lose their job. and every coutry have enought unempolyed people . and germany will be getting poor when they dont hax so many tobacco tax.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

i worke at a tobacco shop, i lose my job if the new laws getting true.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

i worke at a tobacco shop

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

i worke at a tobacco shop

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

i worke at a tobacco shop

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

i worke at a tobacco shop

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manuela Bürger

**email**

manuela.buerger@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Andreas Bürger

#### **email**

trixo@arcor.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

53

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

kein kommentar

#### **additional comments**

kein kommentar

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΣΟΦΙΑ ΣΠΑΝΟΠΟΥΛΟΥ

**email**

bonias97@hotmail.com

**country**  
GREECE

**age**  
44

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
ΣΩΣΤΗ ΚΑΙ ΥΠΕΥΘΥΝΗ ΕΝΗΜΕΡΩΣΗ ΠΑΝΩ ΣΤΑ ΠΑΚΕΤΑ.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
ΟΙ ΑΝΑΦΟΡΕΣ ΠΡΕΠΕΙ ΝΑ ΔΙΝΟΝΤΑΙ ΞΕΧΩΡΙΣΤΑ ΑΠΟ ΤΑ ΜΕΛΗ ΤΗΣ Ε.Ε.

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

ΔΕΝ ΜΠΟΡΕΙ ΝΑ ΕΞΑΧΘΕΙ ΣΑΦΕΣ ΣΥΜΠΕΡΑΣΜΑ ΩΣ ΠΡΟΣ ΤΟΝ ΡΟΛΟ ΠΟΥ ΠΑΙΖΟΥΝ ΣΤΟ ΝΑ ΚΑΤΑΣΤΗΣΟΥΝ ΕΛΚΥΣΤΙΚΑ ΤΑ ΠΡΟΪΟΝΤΑ ΚΑΠΝΟΥ.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vrba Lukáš

**email**

vrbik83@seznam.cz

**country**

ČR

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Myslím si, že at bude na krabice jakékoli varování kuraka to neovlivní. Jednotná balení napomůže k nelegálnímu trhu s cigaretami

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Dodržování těchto pravidel by mělo být i nadále ponecháno v zodpovědnosti každého státu.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Testování přísad by se mělo zaměřit na to, zda-li zvyšují toxicitu tabákového kouře.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Není zde jasně stanovený problém pokud jde o prodejní automaty nebo místa prodeje.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Není zde jasně stanovený problém pokud jde o prodejní automaty nebo místa prodeje. Nejsou zde důkazy naznačující jak by zákazy mohly zlepšit fungování vnitřního trhu.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Christian Vogl

#### **email**

christian@vogl.cc

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

45

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

I don't want that the EU government to interfere more and more in themes like this. Take care about really important themes like redundancy or crime rate etc. If you think smoking is a "health problem" why don't you ban it completely? I know why, it's too much tax proceeds! This is double moral standard. In addition every adult should decide for himself whether he wants to smoke or not. I'm tired about all these regulations and requirements, leave it as it is! And don't forget: you are representatives, that should represent the opinion and "wishes" of the people, not your own in the first place! If you worry about the health of the people, why don't you want to regulate alcohol in the same way for example?

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

same opinion as on the last page.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the same answer as before

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I think the actual regulations are enough

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alex Michaelis

**email**

collect-1@t-online.de

**country**  
GERMANY

**age**  
32

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!

**which option**  
No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dustin Michaelis

**email**

am448@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΣΤΑΥΡΟΣ ΜΠΟΝΙΑΣ

**email**

bonias97@hotmail.com

**country**

GREECE

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΟΙ ΠΟΛΙΤΕΣ ΤΗΣ Ε.Ε ΘΑ ΠΡΕΠΕΙ ΝΑ ΕΙΝΑΙ ΣΕ ΘΕΣΗ ΝΑ ΛΑΜΒΑΝΟΥΝ ΑΠΟ ΜΟΝΟΙ ΤΟΥΣ ΑΠΟΦΑΣΕΙΣ ΚΑΙ ΟΧΙ ΥΠΟ ΤΗΝ ΜΟΡΦΗ ΕΞΑΝΑΓΚΑΣΜΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΦΟΒΟΥ.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΘΕΣΠΙΣΗ ΟΜΟΙΟΜΟΡΦΟΥ ΜΟΝΤΕΛΟΥ ΣΤΣΤΑΤΙΚΩΝ

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΕΙΣΑΓΩΓΗ ΚΟΙΝΟΥ ΤΥΠΟΥ ΣΥΣΤΑΤΙΚΩΝ ΣΕ ΕΠΙΠΕΔΟ Ε.Ε.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Michaelis

**email**

alexander-michaelis@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bitte keine weiteren Regulierungen !!!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

winkler karin

**email**

winklerguenther@hotmail.com

**country**

austria

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die derzeitigen masnahmen sind ausreichend und genug

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

die derzeitige information ist ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kei kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe vorne

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es reicht

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

info und schutz reicht

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

wir wollen selbst entscheiden vorschriften wie etwas aussehen soll und ob mann es konsumieren darf sind eine unterdrückung

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Rolf Kelle

#### **email**

ke\_ro@arcor.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

56

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

no comment

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No



**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heinz D. Trost

**email**

htrost@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
57

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**  
Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anton Hammerer

**email**

Anton.Hammerer@vol.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No Comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NO Comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

No Comment

**additional comments**

No Comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No Comment

**additional comments**

No Comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No Comment

**additional comments**

No Comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Kudrna

**email**

alexanderkudrna@live.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

38

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
"Kein Kommentar"

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
"Kein Kommentar"

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
"Kein Kommentar"

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
"Kein Kommentar"

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

"Kein Kommentar"

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sandra Kudrna

**email**

sandrakudrna@live.at

**country**

austria

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

till reymann

**email**

mi6@mailueberfall.de

**country**

germany

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Volker Latterner

**email**

Happyhalli72@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ohne Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

ohne Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ohne Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ohne Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ohne Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ohne Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heike Kelle

**email**

klexie@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christiane Bärman-Frontzek

**email**

baermann-frontzek@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Nein

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick Raemy

**email**

pat.raemy@gmail.com

**country**

Switzerland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petri Obst

**email**

obstp@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΔΗΜΟΣ ΓΟΥΛΑΣ

**email**

eleni1goula@yahoo.gr

**country**

GREECE

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΟΙ ΠΟΛΙΤΕΣ ΤΗΣ Ε.Ε ΠΡΕΠΕΙ ΝΑ ΕΙΝΑΙ ΣΕ ΘΕΣΗ ΝΑ ΛΑΜΒΑΝΟΥΝ ΑΠΟ ΜΟΝΟΙ ΤΟΥΣ ΑΠΟΦΑΣΕΙΣ ΚΑΙ ΟΧΙ ΥΠΟ ΤΗΝ ΜΟΡΦΗ ΕΞΑΝΑΓΚΑΣΜΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΦΟΒΟΥ.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΟΙ ΑΝΑΦΟΡΕΣ ΠΡΕΠΕΙ ΝΑ ΔΙΝΟΝΤΑΙ ΞΕΧΩΡΙΣΤΑ ΑΠΟ ΤΑ ΜΕΛΗ ΤΗΣ Ε.Ε.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΕΙΣΑΓΩΓΗ ΚΟΙΝΟΥ ΤΥΠΟΥ ΣΥΣΤΑΤΙΚΩΝ ΣΕ ΕΠΙΠΕΔΟ Ε.Ε.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephan Zimmermann

**email**

stephan.zim@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΙΩΑΝΝΑ ΑΛΩΝΙΔΟΥ

**email**

ioanna957@hotmail.com

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΔΕΝ ΛΕΙΤΟΥΡΓΟΥΝ ΣΩΣΤΑ ΟΙ ΑΠΟΚΡΟΥΣΤΙΚΕΣ ΕΙΚΟΝΕΣ.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΟΙ ΑΝΑΦΟΡΕΣ ΠΡΕΠΕΙ ΝΑ ΔΙΝΟΝΤΑΙ ΞΕΧΩΡΙΣΤΑ ΑΠΟ ΤΑ ΜΕΛΗ ΤΗΣ Ε.Ε.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΑΠΟΔΕΙΞΗ ΤΗΣ ΕΛΚΥΣΤΙΚΟΤΗΤΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΕΘΙΣΤΙΚΟΤΗΤΑΣ ΜΕ ΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΟΝΙΚΕΣ ΜΕΛΕΤΕΣ.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karla Obst

**email**

karla\_obst@bat.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

katharina hauser

**email**

katharinahauser@gmx.net

**country**

austria

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bertus Verhoek

**email**

bertus@garageverhoek.nl

**country**

Nederland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fritsch Eric

**email**

eric.fritsch@wanadoo.fr

**country**

France

**age**  
38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
il n'est pas prouvé qu'une augmentation de la taille des avertissements sanitaires soit plus efficace. le paquet générique n'est pas une solution et laisse place à la contrefaçon.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Les Etats membres peuvent déjà introduire des frais d'enregistrement et des sanctions. La mise en oeuvre de telles mesures qui seraient prévues par la directive doit rester de la responsabilité des Etats membres de l'UE.

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Le question de l'évaluation des ingrédients devrait uniquement poser le problème de l'augmentation ou non de la toxicité de la fumée du tabac.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le problème des distributeurs automatiques et de l'affichage des produits sur le point de vente est mal posé. Il n'y a pas de preuve que ces interdictions amélioreraient le fonctionnement du marché intérieur ou répondent aux objectifs de santé publique.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tomas Cech

**email**

cech.thomas@email.cz

**country**

Czech Republic

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

All band has to be based on scientific assessment.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

there is no evidence that bigger warnings and/or plain packaging are more effective and/or have any public health benefits. On the top, illegal sales of cigarettes will increase.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Enforcement of the provisions of the Directive should remain the responsibility of the member states, which can already introduce fees and sanctions.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the focus of ingredients testing has to be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no clear problem stated as regards either vending machines or point of sale display

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Rosmarie Ladis

#### **email**

rladis@gmx.at

#### **country**

Austria

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **additional comments**

Not to cut adults most basic right on to decide for him-/herself what to do or not (given that it's legal). Where will such thinking and attempts potentially drive us to?

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **regulation of ingredients**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Bottenberg

**email**

k.bottenberg@gms.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No komment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**



No komment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

It is the wrong way to ban tobacco products, because we have no more real borders in the wordl. The ban will be open the markets for illegal tobacco products!!!

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is the wrong way to ban tobacco products, because we have no more real borders in the wordl. The ban will be open the markets for illegal tobacco products!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

It is the wrong way to ban tobacco products, because we have no more real borders in the wordl. The ban will be open the markets for illegal tobacco products!!!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

It is the wrong way to ban tobacco products, because we have no more real borders in the world. The ban will be open the markets for illegal tobacco products!!!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Krag

**email**

Hans->Krag@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

privat , it is not your job !

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

there are a million of extraordinary problems in the world, hunger ,aids , afghanistan, irak.... .  
Earn your money with sinnulll politics .Nobody needs you in privat life.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

i decide for myself ,

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

enough informations

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Noi comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Veenendaal

**email**

jveenen@casema.nl

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
XOUSEIN KADIR

**email**  
kadirh2007@hotmail.com

**country**  
GREECE

**age**  
41

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Σωστη& υπευθυνη ενημερωση πανω στα πακετα

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

εισαγωγή κοινου τυπου συστατικων σε επιπεδο E.E

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

αποδειξη της ελκυστικότητας και εθιστικότητας με επιστημονικες μελετες

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Thomas Wieckhorst

**email**

t.wieckhorst@norcontrol.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
49

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
The real problem is alcohol.

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Freedom

**additional comments**  
See David Hume

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
There is no problem

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
The problem is well known but everybody is free to decide for smoking

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This makes no sence

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Alcohol access is much more important

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Hoffmann

**email**

dhoffmann2512aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
no commentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no commentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no commentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no commentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no commentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl-Heinz Bundschuh

**email**

reimarkt@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir werden immer mehr fremdbestimmt, es reicht!!

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

matthias zink

**email**

matthias.zn@googlemail.com

**country**

germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Lukáš Šneberg

#### **email**

Lukas.Sneberg@pmintl.com

#### **country**

Czech republic

#### **age**

33

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

The scope of the Directive can be extended to cover these other products, but this should not include an automatic ban on one category of product /novel forms of oral tobacco/. Any bans should be based on scientific assessments.

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

There is no evidence that bigger warnings are more effective. There is no evidence that plain packaging would improve the functioning of the internal market or have any public health benefits. It would make it easier for criminals to copy legitimate brands and would lead to an

increase in illegal sales of cigarettes.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Member States can already introduce fees and sanctions. Enforcement of the provision of the Directive should remain the responsibility of the Member States.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no clear problem stated as regards either vending machines or point of sale display. There is no evidence provided as to why bans would improve the functioning of the internal market or advance any public health objectives

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Felder

**email**

christianfelder@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Da ich Raucher bin, bin ich nicht bereit mich von jedem bevormunden zu lassen. Ich entscheide selbst ob ich rauche oder nicht. Wie sieht es mit dem Alkohol oder Süßwaren aus, bekomme ich diese in Zukunft auch nur noch im Fachgeschäft und in neutralen Verpackungen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Änderung der heutigen Umsetzung ist nicht erforderlich.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die heutige Umsetzung der Produktdarstellung ist in Ordnung.

**which option**



No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen notwendigen Handlungsbedarf innerhalb der EU

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Franz Diller

**email**

keine

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Inge Weber

#### **email**

boutique-romanoff@hotmail.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **gender**

female

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Jede Form der Regulierung von Tabakerzeugnissen muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Diese Produkte wurden bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen.

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch uns erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in Ihren Lebensgewohnheiten (Passivrauchen) ein. Daher sollte ein beworbener und Verkauf dieser Produkte möglich sein.

### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht massiv die Existenz des national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Da wird der Bürger doch mal wieder Entmündigt bei Einführung sogenannter Einheitspackungen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist doch sehr verwunderlich dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht von den Mitgliedsstaaten selbst kommt, sondern von der Kommission und dann mit der Option die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Diese Problemdarstellung ist nicht sachgerecht, da sie sich nicht an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientiert. Und speziell am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist doch keine Problembeschreibung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabak- automaten verboten ist. Und speziell wir in Deutschland haben jetzt schon die strengsten gesetzlichen Auflagen was den Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz betrifft. Ganz zu schweigen von der Anzahl der Arbeitsplätze die da auf dem Spiel stehen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Robert Petrik

**email**  
robpetrik@seznam.cz

**country**  
czech republic

**age**  
35

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Smoking is free choice for anybody. Restaurants are split anyway to smokig and nonsmoking, so every one has choice where to go.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Smoking is free choice

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

smoking is free choice

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

smoking is free choice

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

smoking is free choice

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Duelli, Michael

**email**

michael.duelli@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comand

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finde, dass bei einer Gleichsetzung des Aussehens eines Tabacproduktes, der Schwarzmarkt beflügelt wird. Minderwertige Qualität kann leichter in Umlauf kommen. Außerdem bleibt die Vielfalt auf der Strecke, mehr Arbeitslose und Missbrauch wird gefördert, wie am Anfang beschrieben. Jeder hat seinen eigenen Geschmack. Was wäre, jedes Bier würde gleich schmecken. Wäre genau das selbe.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Leos Low

**email**

no

**country**

Czech Republic

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

carsten otschakowski

**email**

otscha@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kommentar kein

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Juergen Weber

**email**

juergen-weber-bft@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jede Form der Regulierung von Tabakerzeugnissen muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausser Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Ein erwachsener Verbraucher sollte doch das Recht haben, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu besitzen und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit extrem erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Mitgliedsstaaten sollten selbst in Zusammenarbeit mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg diskutieren und dann auch umsetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich. Muss die Kommission sich eigentlich überall einmischen???

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Begriff "attraktiv" ist ein sehr subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. In Großbritannien und Irland wird doch auch nicht weniger geraucht, obwohl dort überwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich absolut nicht zu. Die Bereiche wie Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten und Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Eine Abschaffung der Automaten wird aus wettbewerbs- wie verfassungsrechtlichen

Gründen als nicht durchsetzbar und darüber hinaus auch als unverhältnismäßig angesehen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lindemann Sigrun

**email**

sigrunlindemannff61@online.de

**country**

Deutschland Niedersachsen

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein komentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aad Wubben

**email**

totalwubben@planet.nl

**country**

The Netherlands

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susanne Vogelsgesang

**email**

darkwish@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fabius Baranow

**email**

fabius\_baranow@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alwie Hoek

**email**

alwiehoek@gmail.com

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

SIA GREIS

#### **email**

greis@greis.lv

#### **country**

Latvia

#### **age**

45

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Pilnībā neatbalstu cigarešu paciņu ieviešanu bez zīmola nosaukuma, jo tādejādi tiks veicināta nelegālo cigarešu ieviešana, jo šādas paciņas var vieglāk viltot, ka arī ievestās paciņas no citām valstīm smēķētājiem liksies daudz atraktīvākas, īpaši jaunatnes vidū. Neesmu arī pārliecināts par to, ka pārmērīgi lieli veselības brīdinājumu uzraksti uz paciņas mazinās tieksmi smēķēt, jo līdz šim līdzīgas darbības nav sevi pierādījušas.

##### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Uzskatu , ka šie jautājumi jāatstāj katras valsts izskatīšanā.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ņemot vērā, ka smēķētājs pierod pie tikai sev ierastas markas un sastāva cigaretēm, tad jebkuras krasas izmaiņas gadījumā attiecībā uz cigaretes smaržas, garšas un citām īpatnībām, smēķētājs būs spiests meklēt savu iecienīto marku ārpus savas valsts robežām, vai pieprasīt no nelegālajiem tirgotājiem.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cigarešu izvietojanas aizliegums var veicināt nelegālo cigarešu tirdzniecību, jo pircējs neredzēs savu cigarešu marku atļautajās tirdzniecības vietās, kā arī var pamudināt tirgotāju tirgot nelegālās cigaretes, jo pircējs pirms cigarešu pirkšanas nevar pārliecināties par to izcelsmi. Tirgotājiem būs nepieciešamas papildus investīcijas piemērot esošos cigarešu standus jaunajām prasībām.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulla Lennartsson

**email**

ulla.ljung-lennartsson@sll.se

**country**

sweden

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Τσανούσης Στάμος

**email**

stsanoussis@yahoo.gr

**country**

Ελλάδα

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Σε μια Ευρώπη τόσων πολλών κατοίκων και διαφορετικών κρατών μελών με ποια λογική αποκλείουμε το δικαίωμα έκφρασης και τοποθέτησης σε όποιους δεν μιλούν και γράφουν αγγλικά.

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Το δικαίωμα στον αυτοπροσδιορισμό δεν έχει πλέον καμία αξία

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Frank Müller

**email**

luepke-78@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
32

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mustermann

**email**

max@muster.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Isabell Marincelj

**email**  
Isabell.Marincelj@gmx.at

**country**  
Austria

**age**  
27

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Martin Triestram

**email**

MartinTriestram@t-online

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The EU Commissions plans to introduce mandatory pictorial warnings and standardized packages is an outrage! This act is a massive attack on citizens liberties and an display of arrogance. The EU Commission should have better things to do than to patronize and infantilize grown-up citizens. If the EU high Commission is so worried about our soundness it should label products like alcohol, certain pharmaceuticals and chemicals consequently. It also should label cars and petrol stations with warnings. There is another reason to be annoyed: The financial crisis has shown, that financial markets and capital flows have to be regulated. There is so much to do in that field, but nothing happens. The EU-commission produce a high number of irritating regulations for the common man, while it is creating a deregulized playground for multinational companies and the financial sector at public expense.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

A couple of Eurocrats are trying to enforce their Weltanschauung at any cost.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not the Eu commissions responsibility to patronize the citizens of Euroland.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The EU Commission has no right to patronize EU citizens in that manner.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is bot the task of the Eu health commission to patronize the citizens in that manner.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

αννα τονορίδου

**email**

annatono@yahoo.gr

**country**

ελλάς

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

σωστή και υπεύθυνη ενημέρωση πάνω στα πακέτα

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

οι αναφορές πρέπει να ΔΙΝΟΝΤΑΙ ξεχωριστά από τα μέλη της ευρωπαϊκής ένωσης

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

δεν μπορεί να εξαχθεί σαφές συμπέρασμα ως προς τον ρόλο που παίζουν στο να καταστήσουν ελκυστικά τα προϊόντα καπνού

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Zweig

**email**

Martin.Zweig@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marco Kortboyer

**email**

marco@kortboyer.eu

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

actual warnings are intense enough, larger warnings won't raise attention

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

local bans in some countries should be removed as every adult should be free in his decisions and bans only raise risk for illegal activities

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jörgen Erlandsson

**email**

er.landsson@hotmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΑΝΤΩΝΗΣ ΤΡΙΑΝΤΑΦΥΛΛΙΔΗΣ

**email**

atriantafillidis@missirian.gr

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΥΠΕΥΘΥΝΟΤΗΤΑ = ΣΩΣΤΗ ΕΝΗΜΕΡΩΣΗ

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΘΕΣΠΙΣΗ ΟΜΟΙΟΜΟΡΦΟΥ ΜΟΝΤΕΛΟΥ ΣΥΣΤΑΤΙΚΩΝ.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΕΙΣΑΓΩΓΗ ΚΟΙΝΟΥ ΤΥΠΟΥ ΣΥΣΤΑΤΙΚΩΝ ΣΕ ΕΠΙΠΕΔΟ Ε.Ε.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΘΕΟΔΩΡΑ ΜΟΥΣΤΡΗ

**email**

dmoustri@missirian.gr

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΔΕΝ ΛΕΙΤΟΥΡΓΟΥΝ ΣΩΣΤΑ ΟΙ ΑΠΟΚΡΟΥΣΤΙΚΕΣ ΕΙΚΟΝΕΣ

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΘΕΣΠΙΣΗ ΟΜΟΙΟΜΟΡΦΟΥ ΜΟΝΤΕΛΟΥ ΣΥΣΤΑΤΙΚΩΝ

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΔΕΝ ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙ ΚΑΜΙΑ ΑΠΟΔΕΙΞΗ ΟΤΙ ΤΑ ΣΥΣΤΑΤΙΚΑ ΑΥΞΑΝΟΥΝ ΤΗΝ ΕΘΣΤΙΚΟΤΗΤΑ ΤΩΝ ΠΡΟΪΟΝΤΩΝ ΤΟΥ ΚΑΠΝΟΥ.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roosdorp Helga

**email**

h.roosdorp@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

67

**gender**

female



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΜΑΡΙΑ ΜΥΛΩΝΟΠΟΥΛΟΥ

**email**

mmylonopoulou@hotmail.gr

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΔΕΝ ΛΕΙΤΟΥΡΓΟΥΝ ΣΩΣΤΑ ΟΙ ΑΠΟΚΡΟΥΣΤΙΚΕΣ ΕΙΚΟΝΕΣ

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΟΙ ΑΝΑΦΟΡΕΣ ΠΡΕΠΕΙ ΝΑ ΔΙΝΟΝΤΑΙ ΞΕΧΩΡΙΣΤΑ ΑΠΟ ΤΑ ΜΕΛΗ ΤΗΣ Ε.Ε.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΔΕΝ ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙ ΚΑΜΙΑ ΑΠΟΔΕΙΞΗ ΟΤΙ ΤΑ ΣΥΣΤΑΤΙΚΑ ΑΥΞΑΝΟΥΝ ΤΗΝ ΕΘΙΣΤΙΚΟΤΗΤΑ ΤΩΝ ΠΡΟΪΟΝΤΩΝ ΤΟΥ ΚΑΠΝΟΥ

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carsten Göllner

**email**

carstengoellner@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΣΤΑΥΡΟΥΛΑ ΘΕΟΔΩΡΙΑΔΟΥ

**email**

stavroulala@yahoo.gr

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΔΕΝ ΛΕΙΤΟΥΡΓΟΥΝ ΣΩΣΤΑ ΟΙ ΑΠΟΚΡΟΥΣΤΙΚΕΣ ΕΙΚΟΝΕΣ

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΕΙΣΑΓΩΓΗ ΚΟΙΝΟΥ ΤΥΠΟΥ ΣΥΔΤΑΤΙΚΩΝ ΣΕ ΕΠΙΠΕΔΟ Ε.Ε.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΔΕΝ ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙ ΚΑΜΙΑ ΑΠΟΔΕΙΞΗ ΟΤΙ ΤΑ ΣΥΣΤΑΤΙΚΑ ΑΥΞΑΝΟΥΝ ΤΗΝ ΕΘΙΣΤΙΚΟΤΗΤΑ ΤΩΝ ΠΡΟΙΟΝΤΩΝ ΤΟΥ ΚΑΠΝΟΥ

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΚΑΝΑΡΑΚΗ ΧΡΥΣΟΥΛΑ ΤΟΥ ΠΙΕΤΡΟΥ

**email**

xrisakan23@hotmail.com

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΥΠΕΥΘΥΝΟΤΗΤΑ=ΣΩΣΤΗ ΕΝΗΜΕΡΩΣΗ

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Η ΕΦΑΡΜΟΓΗ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΤΩΝ ΡΥΘΜΙΣΕΩΝ ΤΗΣ ΟΔΗΓΙΑΣ ΠΡΕΠΕΙ ΝΑ ΓΙΝΕΤΕ ΣΕ ΕΠΙΠΕΔΟ ΚΡΑΤΟΣ -ΜΕΛΟΥΣ ΚΑΙ ΟΧΙ Ε.Ε.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΕΙΣΑΓΩΓΗ ΚΟΙΝΟΥ ΤΥΠΟΥ ΣΥΣΤΑΤΙΚΩΝ ΣΕ ΕΠΙΠΕΔΟ Ε.Ε.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Richard Lopez

**email**

lopi666@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine gesicherten Erkenntnisse über die Gesundheitsschädlichkeit

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Keine Gefährdung Dritter



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder weiss dass rauchen Schädlich ist. Mehr Warnhinweise heisst nicht gleich weniger Raucher

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Zugang zu Tabakwaren wird jetzt schon genügend kontrolliert. Zum Beispiel am Automaten: Dort wird die Alterskontrolle über Personalausweise und Bankkarten geregelt. Das jemand diese aus der Hand gibt ist unwahrscheinlicher als dass ein Jugendlicher Tabakwaren unter der Hand kauft z.B. Schmuggelware. Ein erschwerter Zugang zu legalen Produkten lenkt den Kunden vielmehr zu den illegalen Stellen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Bleha

**email**

bmichael@bleha.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

snus can help to prevent non-smokers from smoke

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christophe Berdat

**email**

cberdat@worldcom.ch

**country**

Switzerland

**age**  
36

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**

De notre point de vue, cela peut être raisonnable d'élargir le champ d'application de la directive à ces nouveaux produits. Toutefois, cela ne peut pas amener à ce que les produits précités soient interdits sans examen scientifique préalable. En raison de ce danger, nous avons décidé de choisir l'option, « no change ».

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Actuellement, il manque les connaissances scientifiques qui prouveraient que des mises en gardes sanitaires plus importantes seraient plus efficaces. En outre, il n'est prouvé en aucune manière que la standardisation des paquets de cigarettes permettrait de protéger la santé. La standardisation augmenterait au contraire le danger de contrefaçons et avec cela on paverait la voie à la contrebande."

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Déjà avec le droit actuel, les Etats membres peuvent prévoir des taxes et d'autres sanctions. Dès ce fait, il n'existe aujourd'hui aucun motif suffisant qui permettrait à l'UE de revendiquer des compétences supplémentaires dans ce domaine. C'est pourquoi, la mise en œuvre des dispositions de la directive doit rester à l'avenir de la seule compétence des Etats membres.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le problème de l'enregistrement des ingrédients devrait se poser uniquement si le degré de toxicité de la fumée de tabac est augmenté par les ingrédients ou non.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Dans ce domaine, on ne rencontre aucun problème, que ce soit dans la manière de fonctionner du marché intérieur ou que se soit lié à la protection de santé. Dans ce domaine, ce qui doit avant out être respecté se sont les limites d'âge. Dans ce domaine, les Etats membres sont bien plus au fait du problème que ne l'est l'Union européenne.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Elke Eickermann-Bölling

**email**

reisen-eickermann@t.online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Keine Reglementierung Erwachsener Bürger, Vernichtung von Arbeitsplätzen

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Venichtung von Existenzen

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Coment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No coment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

No coment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christiane Müller

**email**

mueller-christiane@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung sollte grundsätzlich nur auf Basis von wissenschaftlichen Erkenntnissen erfolgen. Diese liegen hier nicht vor.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakprodukte hat keinen Einfluß auf die Gesundheit Dritter und schränkt diese auch in keinster Weise ein. Ein EU weites Verbot von Snus stellt einen Eingriff in die eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungsfindung der EU Bürger dar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Größe des Warnhinweises hat keinen nachweislichen Effekt auf dessen Wirksamkeit. Ferner ist die Allgemeinheit sich bereits der Risiken des Rauchens bewußt. Eine Einheitspackung schränkt die freie Marktwirtschaft innerhalb der EU ein. Über dies sorgen neutrale Verpackungen für eine Verlagerung des Wettbewerbs auf die Preisschiene. Dies führt wiederum zu sinkenden Preisen und folglich zu weniger Steuereinnahmen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern, in denen kaum Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen verkauft werden, ist nicht geringer als in anderen Ländern. Ferner handelt es sich bei der Attraktivität um ein subjektives Kriterium.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Tatsache, dass in einigen Mitgliedsstaaten der Vertrieb über Tabakautomaten verboten ist, stellt keine Problembeschreibung dar. Der Verkauf von Tabakprodukten über Automaten unterliegt hierbei strengen nationalen gesetzlichen Auflagen. Die Entscheidung über Mindestalter zum Konsum von Tabakwaren, sowie über die Erhältlichkeit von Tabakprodukten über Zigaretten-Automaten, fällt eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der jeweiligen Mitgliedsstaaten. Der Verkauf von Tabakwaren in Automaten hat keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug, da sich deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft des Automaten beschränkt. Ferner würde das Verbot von Automaten den innereuropäischen Warenhandel nicht erleichtern, sondern erschweren. Außerdem zweifle ich daran, dass die Existenz von Zigaretten-Automaten einen Einfluß auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat.



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petr Sedivec

**email**

petr.sedivec@me.com

**country**

Czech republic

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

the coverage of the directive could be extended to cover the additional products, however it should not include an automated ban of the additional products (namely novel types of tobacco products) before thorough scientific research

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

there's been no evidence the larger warning serve as a bigger deterrent. as far as plain packaging is concerned, I haven't seen any evidence that implementing these would improve the internal market or public health. on the other hand, plain packaging makes counterfeit production easier

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU states can already now introduce fees and sanctions. their enforcement shall remain w/ individual countries

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the ingredients should be tested as to whether they increase toxicity of the tobacco products

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

there no evidence the bans can improve functioning of the internal market or improve public health

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul Wiedemann

**email**

Pipenhannes@web.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Diese traditionelle Art des Tabakgenusses darf nicht verboten werden Der Bürger würde massiv bevormundet.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Markenrechte, Wettbewerb, Bevormundung

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jetzige Regelung genügt

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nein

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Benachteiligung, bevormundung, wirtschaftsfeindlich.....

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wiedemann Sina

**email**

Sina.Wiedemann@web.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jetzige regelung ist ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Verbot dieser Art des Tabakgenusses. Dies wäre eine Bevormundung des Bürgers

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

verletzung des Markenrechts. Bevormundung des Bürgers. Kein Nachweis der wirksamkeit dieser Maßnahme

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jetzige Regelung ist ausreichend. Keine weiteren Bürokratiehürden die nichts bringen

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jetzige regelung ist ausreichend

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Abgabe ist nur an erwachsen Personen erlaubt - daher ist die Gesetzeslage klar. Ein Verbot des Internetverkaufs wäre absolut nicht hinnehmbar. Dies wäre eine benachteiligung gegenüber anderer Branchen und eine Bevormundung der Verbraucher. Dies hat mit Demokratie nichts zu tun

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Wiedemann Pia

#### **email**

Pia.Wiedemann@web.de

#### **country**

germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Der Umgang mit Tabak ist ausreichend geregelt

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Kein Verbot dieses Genußmittels. Dies wäre eine Bevormundung erwachsener menschen

### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Verletzung der Markenrechte. Einschränkung des freien warenverkehrs. Bevormundung der Bürger

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die jetzige Regelung ist absolut ausreichend. Keine weitere Bürokratie

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jetzige Regelung ist ausreichend

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Einschränkung des freien Warenverkehrs. Benachteiligung gegenüber anderer Branchen.  
Bevormundung der Bürger

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eickermann Hartwin

**email**

reisen-eickermann@t.online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

existenzbedrohend, zig tausende Arbeitsplaetze werden vernichtet, der bürger wird durch gesetz und verbote entmündigt

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Tabakwaren ausschließlich in lizenzierten und kontrollierten fachgeschäften wie in anderen EU Staaten

**additional comments**

Siehe Spanien keine Tabakwaren in Supermärkten, keine Automaten, nicht an Tankstellen. Nur in Tabakshops.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kei kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar



**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

jeder muß für sich entscheiden können

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Nur noch Tabakfachgeschäfte

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ken kommentar,lächerlich daran überhaupt zu denken

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brigitte Gobrecht

**email**

BrigitteGobrecht@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein komentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carsten Zibulski

**email**

czibulski@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Osselmann

**email**

janosselmann@googlemail.com

**country**

Austria

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Diese Vorschläge der EU-Kommission gehen zu weit Bildwarnhinweise und Einheitspackungen entmündigen die Bürger.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Moritz Schreinzer

**email**

mo.schreinzer@web.de

**country**

Austria

**age**

16

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

F.Eckert

**email**

frankie.bfh@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Holger Etzel

**email**  
hetzel@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Franziska Reiber

**email**

franziska.reiber@email.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Wild

**email**

wild.kassel@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Gegen eine Ausarbeitung spezifischer Unbedenklichkeits- und Qualitätsanforderungen für elektronische Zigaretten hätte ich keine Bedenken.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mirela Grumbach

**email**

m.tarahija@bat.com

**country**

Germany

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**  
Snus soll weniger gesundheitsschädlich sein und stellt meines Erachtens eine interessante Alternative zum klassischen Zigarettenkonsum dar.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
-ich bezweifel, dass bei einheitlichem Packungsdesign weniger geraucht wird -einheitliches Packungsdesign (weiße Einheitspackung) fördert das kriminelle Handeln (Fälschung von Zigaretten)

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rolf Moczarski

**email**

info@web-lovers.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist einfach nicht richtig

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finds einfach falsch

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finds einfach falsch

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finds einfach falsch

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finds einfach falsch



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUILLEBAULT

**email**

sam.guillebault@free.fr

**country**

FRANCE

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Si interdiction il y a , elle devrait s'appuyer exclusivement sur des fondements scientifiques totalement établis

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Des étude scientifiques claires établissent que le SNUS est moins nocif que les cigarettes

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Rien de prouvé à ce jour que l'augmentation de la taille des messages sanitaires soit liée directement à la baisse de la consommation du tabac. Par ailleurs, peut-on clairement établir scientifiquement à ce jour que le paquet générique a un effet notable sur la baisse de la consommation du tabac ? Et puis, quid de l'augmentation du commerce illicite via la contrefaçon, notamment ?

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Les États membres peuvent déjà sanctionner; Ces mesures doivent rester de la responsabilité des États membres de l'UE

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

L'évaluation des ingrédients ne devrait concerner que le problème de l'augmentation ou non de la toxicité de la fumée du tabac.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le problème des distributeurs automatiques et de l'affichage des produits est mal posé. Il n'y a pas de preuve que ces interdictions amélioreraient le fonctionnement du marché intérieur ou mieux encore, répondent aux objectifs de santé publique.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Linda Kruse

**email**

Linda\_Kruse@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

21

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kostja Zeiser

**email**

kostja8@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Weber

**email**

Frank.Weber@obaccoland.com

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wilhelmine Klerkx

**email**

Wilhelmine.Klerrkx@tobaccoland.com

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katrin Schaller

**email**

k.schaller.1965@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**

Elektronische Zigaretten und andere zigarettenähnliche Produkte sind gesundheitsschädlich.  
Nikotinhaltige Produkte machen abhängig.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional comments**

Rauchlose Tabakprodukte aller Art sind gesundheitsschädlich und machen abhängig.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional comments**

Standardisierte Verpackungen können in der Tabakprävention genutzt werden und nehmen der Tabakindustrie die Möglichkeit, mit der Verpackung zu werben.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional comments**

Zusatzstoffe erhöhen das Suchtpotential von Tabakprodukten, sie erleichtern den Einstieg in das Rauchen. Aus Zusatzstoffen können beim Rauchen des Produkts gesundheitsschädliche Stoffe entstehen. Sämtliche Zusatzstoffe sollten grundsätzlich verboten werden.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional comments**

Weder beim Internetverkauf noch beim Automatenverkauf lässt sich das Alter des Käufers mit Sicherheit kontrolliert werden. Das offenen Auslegen der Tabakprodukte am Verkaufsort ist Werbung für das Produkt und muss verboten werden.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Koch

**email**

jekomail@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Planned changes are unreasonable. Economic risks are greater than the planned benefits.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Planned changes are unreasonable. Economic risks are greater than the planned benefits.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Planned changes are unreasonable. Economic risks are greater than the planned benefits.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Planned changes are unreasonable. Economic risks are greater than the planned benefits.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Planned changes are unreasonable. Economic risks are greater than the planned benefits.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Planned changes are unreasonable. Economic risks are greater than the planned benefits.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jarwitz, Gerhard

**email**

yannic1408Arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Scheid

**email**

tom.scheid@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---



**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Trachsel Rudolf

**email**

trachsel@swissonline.ch

**country**

Switzerland

**age**

75

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No change

**additional comments**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No change

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus hinsichtlich der Bekanntgabe und Registrierung von ZUSATZSTOFFEN SOLLTE ALLEIN DARAUF LIEGEN, ob durch Zusatzstoffe die Toxizität von Rabakreuch erhöht wird oder nicht

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

No

**additional comments**

No

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Niedermaier Franz

**email**

niedermaierfranz@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Kalbe

**email**

andikalbe@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dietmar Westendorf

**email**

westendorf.dietmarf@online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

If smoking is dangerous, then you have to maintain, that no tobacco-products can not sold in the European countries. Otherwise, tobacco-products are non-dangerous and can sold without any restriction. Good luck, to explain the first statement to the financial-ministers of the European countries.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

There is no problem, with smokeless products, because these products only made and sold to adult persons.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The consumer has to have an information about the product he wants to buy. The person is an adult, so he does not need any paternalism of any government that explains what he has to do.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

thomas braun

**email**

2fast4@gmx.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

freigabe von Raucherlokalen und Raucherräumen

**additional comments**

Selbstentscheidung von Kleingastronomen welche Art von Gaststätte Sie führen möchten

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Konstantinos Bartsotas

**email**

kbartsotas@yahoo.gr

**country**

Greece

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The access on tobacco products is something personal as a consumable materila

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Brandys

**email**

Martin.Brandys@pmintl.com

**country**

Czech Republic

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Mělo by se postupovat s rozvahou a zahrnout všechny výrobky, které obsahují tabák v jakékoliv podobě.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nesouhlasím s tím, že varování obecně jsou efektivnější. Jednotné balení je nesmysl.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nechal bych to na legislativě každého státu zvlášť.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zavést jednotný postup na testování přísad a jejich vlivu na zdraví.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert

**email**

robert\_waechter@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S.K.

**email**

siggi\_mg@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU27 treffen. wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten).

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten).

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jedem muß selber überlassen sein, wie er mit sich und seiner Gesundheit umgeht. Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Formate und Meldemechanismen zur Vorlage von Daten zu Zusatzstoffen von Tabakerzeugnissen fallen innerhalb und zwischen den EU-Mitgliedsstaaten unterschiedlich aus. Die Behörden haben Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten. • Die neue TPD würde von Herstellern und Importeuren europaweit verlangen, die in ihren Produkten

verwendeten Zusatzstoffe zu melden – doch legt die geplante TPD keinerlei abgestimmtes System für die Vorlage solcher Angaben fest.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. – Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

• Attraktive Stoffe werden Tabakerzeugnissen beigegeben. • Während des Verbrennungsprozesses bildet die Mehrheit der Zusatzstoffe Substanzen, die krebserzeugend, erbgutverändernd und/oder reproduktionstoxisch sind. • Für den Binnenmarkt existieren keine gemeinsamen Bedingungen, die ein einheitlich hohes Niveau an Gesundheitsschutz sicherstellen. • Einige Mitgliedsstaaten erlauben bestimmte gelistete Zusatzstoffe (Positivliste), andere haben bestimmte Zusatzstoffe verboten (Negativliste); andere haben sowohl Negativ- als auch Positivlisten. • Infolgedessen dürfen Stoffe, die in einem bestimmten Mitgliedsstaat verwendet werden können, in einem anderen nicht verwendet werden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jansen

**email**

w.jansen@genuss-company-krefeld.de

**country**

Krefeld

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weil jeder Mensch seine Selbstverantwortung behalten sollte. Hierzu brauche ich keine Vorschriften und Gesetze! Schon gar nicht von der EU.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change



**additional option**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Schachsinn!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein weiterer Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Torsten u. Annika Wilke

**email**

annika.torsten.wilke@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!!!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Leuzinger Rudolf

**email**

print100@bluewin.ch

**country**

Schweiz

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Aus meiner Sicht kann es sinnvoll sein, den Anwendungsbereich der Richtlinien auf diese

Produkte auszudehnen. Das darf jedoch nicht dazu führen, dass bestimmte Produkte ohne entsprechende wissenschaftliche Erkenntnisse verboten werden. Aufgrund dieser Gefahr habe ich mich entschlossen, die Option 'no change' zu wählen

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Derzeit fehlt es an wissenschaftlich gesicherten Erkenntnissen, dass grössere Warnhinweise wirksam sind. Zudem ist in keiner Weise gesichert, dass Standardisierungen der Verpackungen den Gesundheitsschutz fördern. Standardisierungen erhöhen vielmehr die Gefahr von Fälschungen. Damit wird dem Schmuggel weiter Vorschub geleistet

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bereits nach geltendem Recht können Mitgliedsstaaten Gebühren und andere Sanktionen vorsehen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus hinsichtlich der Bekanntgabe und Registrierung von Zusatzstoffen sollte allein darauf liegen, ob durch Zusatzstoffe die Toxizität von Tabakrauch erhöht wird oder nicht.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In diesem Bereich besteht keinerlei Problematik, weder hinsichtlich der Funktionsweise des Binnenmarktes noch des Gesundheitsschutzes. Wichtig ist in diesem Bereich einzig, dass die bestehenden Vorschriften, vor allem der Alterslimiten, eingehalten werden. Dazu sind aber die Mitgliedstaaten besser in der Lage als die Union.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ing. Anton Schober

**email**

ing.schober@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Mettler

**email**

mettlerpeter@bluewin.ch

**country**

Schweiz

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Derzeit fehlt es an wissenschaftlichen Erkenntnissen, dass grössere Warnhinweise wirksam sind. Zudem ist in keiner Weise gesichert, dass Standardisierung der Verpackung den Gesundheitsschutz erhöht, aber vielmehr die Gefahr von Fälschungen. Konkret wird damit dem Schmuggel weiter Vorschub geleistet.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Zeit fehlt es an wissenschaftlichen Erkenntnissen, dass grössere Warnhinweise wirksam sind. Zudem ist in keiner Weise gesichert, dass Standardisierung der Verpackung den Gesundheitsschutz Die Standardisierung erhöht vielmehr die Gefahr der Fälschung. Damit wird konkret dem Schmuggel vorschub geleistet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nach geltendem Recht können Mitgliedstaaten Gebühren und andere Sanktionen vorsehen. Es ist keine genügende Begründung ersichtlich, weshalb die Eu in diesem Bereich zusätzliche Kompetenzen in Anspruch nehmen sollte. Die Durchsetzung der Bestimmungen sollte daher bei den Mitgliedstaaten verbleiben.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus hinsichtlich der Bekanntgabe und Registrierung von Zusatzstoffen sollte allein darauf liegen, ob durch Zusatzstoffe die Toxizität von Tabakrauch erhöht wird oder nicht.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In diesem Bereich besteht keinerlei Problematik, weder hinsichtlich der Funktionsweise des Binnenmarktes noch hinsichtlich des Gesundheitsschutzes. Wichtig ist in diesem Bereich einzig, dass sie bestehenden Vorschriften, vor allem in der Alterslimite, eingehalten werden. Dazu sind aber die Mitgliedstaaten weit besser in der Lage als die Union.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Verena Grimm

**email**

v.grimm@directbox.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Diese Bilder halten keinen Raucher davon ab, Zigaretten zu kaufen

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jessica warnebold

**email**

J.warnebold@web.de

**country**  
germany

**age**  
21

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
future

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no comment

**additional comments**  
no comment

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Schneidenbach

**email**

derschneidige@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
31

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
I think there a many other problems that should be solved.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
In my opinion a ban is an incapacitation for grown ups

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
There is no argument that a bigger consumer information is more effective

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
We dont need a mandat for national processes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

the problem discription isnt either factuel or scientific

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The disposal with automatic orders is controlled with many strict laws. Thats enough!!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

P. Hermans

**email**

Totalhermans@yahoo.com

**country**

Nederland

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Arkadius Elkin

**email**

mave.ssl@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
absoluter beamten- schwachsinn

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
pah..

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nö..

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
-

**which option**  
No Change



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anika

**email**

fakingpride@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

24

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

ho

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Leuschner

**email**

eu@leuschner.org

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

none

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

none

**additional comments**

none

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

All kown it

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

none

**additional comments**

none

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

not needed

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

none

**additional comments**

none

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

not needed

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

none

**additional comments**

none

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

save already

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

none

**additional comments**

none

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Herbert Lentz Jun.

**email**

h.lentz@me.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Alles Käse, deswegen wird auch noch geraucht

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich will doch wenigstens wissen was ich rauche

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pavel Berecz

**email**

berecz.p@seznam.cz

**country**

Czech Republic

**age**

32

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Neexistují žádné důkazy, že větší varování jsou efektivnější. Není žádný důkaz, že jednotná balení zlepší fungování vnitřního trhu nebo budou mít pozitivní zdravotní dopady. Naopak, pro nelegální výrobce bude daleko jednodušší kopírovat značky a povede to k nárustu nelegálního prodeje cigaret.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Členské státy již mají možnost zavést poplatky a sankce. Dodržování těchto pravidel by mělo být i nadále ponecháno v zodpovědnosti každého státu.

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Testování přísad by se mělo zaměřit na to, zda-li zvyšují toxicitu tabákového kouře

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Není zde jasně stanovený problém pokud jde o prodejní automaty nebo místa prodeje. Nejsou zde důkazy naznačující jak by zákazy mohly zlepšit fungování vnitřního trhu.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Regensburger

**email**

ClaudiaRegensburger@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No



**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick Duroid

**email**

patrick@duroid.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

20

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

We can decide on these things on our own, as countries and as individuals.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Consumers are already well informed. Not a single smoker did not know about the negative effects when they started. These warnings are utterly useless. The color of the cigarette box merely decides which cigarettes a smoker will consume, not whether they will actually smoke. Colors of cigarette boxes are nothing that should have to be decided on a continental level. Dear commission members, please do some actual, useful WORK instead.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Abolish the obligatory warnings. Everyone knows, and regulations like these are not needed.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

edgar fürst

**email**

office@f-design.net

**country**

austria

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it is creating a 2 class society / it is a deep impact in personal freedom / i have worked severall years in a bar and i smoke my self i won't work in a no smoking area / if all those restristions which are planed are served by the food inustry / the chemical industry / the nuclear inustry i will agree in all points. but i cant excapt the idea that all those inustries are allowed to poison me day by day and i will be declared as massmorderer when i smoke a cigarett. eaven it is absolutely induscutable that i should have only the oportunity to smoke a non flavoured \_ this would be the same as the prohibition on herbs foe food / never the less i wonder that there are no other problems in the eu

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

forget about and ceep care on real problems

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

every body should be able to handle the consum it self / restrictions for kids are more than ok but in the end of the day the individum has to decide

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

freedom for the persons / by the way with a correct air system you can smoke as much as you want and nobody is efected ( you are invited to visit me if you dont believe)

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

what will be the next step one cigaret in a concrete block with wallpapers of a person with cancer? what will happen to the society with out the money EACH goverment is earning from all those desgusting smokers? and what is with the non smokers ? are the stoping driving hundrets of kilometres by car to have a nice bike trip in the nature and poluting the enviroment more than 100 chain smokers?

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**additional comments**

this questions are a bit stupid and not really in that way that any thing relevant would be able to see

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

this form is as stupid as the whole restrictions

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

a penalty for all people which are fat / driving a car / have lunch at mc donald / are not voting / which are not standig up for there rights and so on and so on

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

you can ask me for the next one hundret years I DO NOT AGREE

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

this form is a provocation it self reminds me on those days when ccp was democratic / i can only give one advise to all in the EU gouverment THINK and ask the people what they want / those are they which are gona pay your rent

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bela Beier

**email**

belabeier@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Knauf

**email**

wolfgangpaul.57@googlemail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**

es ist eine persönliche sache des bürger wie er mit seiner gesundheit umgeht, jeder sollte rauchen dürfen, alkehol trinken dürfen so wie er möchte(entsprechendes Mindestalter vorausgesetzt)

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Meier

**email**

andreasmeier80@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland



**age**  
29

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Stärkung der Freiheit und Eigenverantwortung der Bürger

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Eine Ausweitung ist überflüssig

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
Überflüssig

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Ansatz der Eigenverantwortung der Bürger wird hierbei komplett ausgeblendet. Es ist keine Regulierung erforderlich.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maximilian Fischer

**email**

FFIXSpieler@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

18

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't see a problem about not seeing the warnings, they usually cover so much of the package of tobacco-products that it's harder to see something else.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Buying on the internet can clearly not be seen as a problem, after all it's a part of the economy and rare products aren't able to get bought anymore. Someone who buys tobacco on the internet also knows about the risks, since nobody buying there hasn't have bought a tobacco-product before or at least seen it. The buyer is not necessarily the "most stupid user imaginable". The differences between countries also make it harder to fit with every country.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus A. Ebeling

**email**

maebeling

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weitere Regulierung.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Keine weitere Regulierung.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weitere Regulierung.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weitere Regulierung.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weitere Regulierung.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weitere Regulierung.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marco Li Pira

**email**

marco.li-pira@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

18

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Weil diese Stoffe andere Personen, die diese nicht einnehmen nicht schädigen.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Im Endeffekt gibt es im Leben immer Risiken. Die Art wie mit denen umgegangen werden soll ist vollkommen falsch. Bzgl. anderer Gefahren (z.B. Autos, etc.) wird auch nicht so aggressiv angegangen.

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional comments**

Einheitspackungen sind zwar meiner Meinung nach in Ordnung, lösen aber das Problem nicht.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Konsumenten sollten sich selbst schützen und nur das einnehmen, was sie sich selbst zutrauen würden. Eine Warnung über die Inhaltsstoffe und deren Wirkung reicht vollkommen aus.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Man sollte Richtlinien/Gesetze verabschieden, die die Altersbeschränkung von Tabakprodukten genauer definieren. Gesundheitsschädigendere Produkte sollten europaweit beispielsweise erst ab 21 verkauft werden dürfen.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

Geschäfte, die Tabakwaren verkaufen, sollten von außen erkennbar sein (Bsp.: „T“ wie in Italien), allerdings sollten die Zigarettenpackungen nicht sichtbar sein.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

winkler

**email**

christinenrw@hotmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petra Köck

**email**

p.koeck@sbg.at

**country**

austria

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Josica Moog

**email**  
j.moog@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
I am an adult and I want to have the chance to choose myself, what I am buying.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
Why the hell, you mean, adults do need such orders?

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
I think there are many other, more important problems to be regulated.

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change; Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jennifer Bensheimer

**email**

JennyBensheimer@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

22

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

noch stärkere kontrollen der tabak konsumenten und der läden die tabak führen zum schutz der jugend

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Prell

**email**

gary.p@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Europe ought to keep a free, democratic continent. Leave it to the individuals to decide, whether to to smoke or not.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lass

**email**

firlefan66@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
47

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no change

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change



**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

helmar tinnes

**email**

helmartinnes@yahoo.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

lassen sie die finger weg von meiner privatsphaere. habe mein leben lang hart geschuftet. bin halt suendiger raucher, dafuer ehrlicher steuerzahler. wenn es in meinem europa keinen platz mehr fuer menschen wie mich gibt, gleicht dies einer kriegserklaerung. ich bin ein leben lang gesund. euer verbotswahn ist nichts anderes als der nachweis fehlender arbeit. kuemmert euch um die wichtigen dinge. lasst den menschen ihre kleinen freiheiten. das schafft frieden. ich bin erwachsen und weiss, was ich tue.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es ist nicht noetig, alles zu regulieren. wenn menschen keine freiheiten haben, holen sie sich ihre freiheit. wenn sie wollen, dass 30 % der europaeer zu unzufriedenen menschen werden, weil sie sich unterdrueckt fuehlen. oder ihrer freiheit beraubt fuehlen. dann machen sie ruhig so weiter. finger weg von meinem leben

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ausreichend

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Götz F. Wichmann

#### **email**

goetz.wichmann@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

there simply is NO document proving dangers of smoking to others, so there cannot be any kind of problem

### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

yes: take back most of the directives and laws addressing a problem that is not a problem

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

again, there is no problem. There is no need (and no right, actually) that enables you (or others) to protect people from themselves!

### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **additional comments**

yes, take back any and all measures you and others took, which harm the right of peoples personal rights of freedom

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

today any and all information is accessible for anyone, so there just cannot be a problem, when it comes to consumer information.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

yes, please make European citizens politically mature again.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

products need to discern themselves and consumers should be given a choice.

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

access to tobacco is highly restricted in most (if not all countries of the EU), there is no need to further restrict access.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

gisela konrad

**email**

konradgisela@aol.com

**country**  
germany

**age**  
60

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

norden,norbert

**email**

n.norden@freenet.de

**country**

germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin der Überzeugung das der bürokratisch Wahnsinn ei Ende haben sollte.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich kenne Leute, die sich mit Hilfe von Nikotinkaugummi das Rauchen abgewöhnt haben .

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Vereinheitlichung der Verpackung fördert Schmuggel und Fälschung eines immer noch legalen Genussmittels. Artenvielfalt ist die Richtlinie unserer Zeit und nicht Gleichmacherei.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Wenn Tabakwaren nur noch unter dem Tisch gehandelt werden , sind wir zurück im Zeitalter der Prohibition. Aldi, Lidl und Supermärkte hätten kein Problem damit und werden per Automat die Tabakwaren (ohne vorherige Präsentation) auf das Kassensieb werfen und weiter

daran verdienen. Kioske , Fachgeschäfte , Trinkhallen hätten demgegenüber ein Platzproblem,hohe Umbaukosten und keine Möglichkeit weiter zu existieren.

---

## **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Czibere, Ludwig

**email**

ludwig@czibere.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Veh

**email**

dirk.veh@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Ciemniak

**email**

chris.c@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephan Dorn

**email**

mail@stephan-dorn.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das Problem ist die Beschneidung der Freiheit durch ständig neue Regelungen. Ansonsten kann meines Erachtens jeder für sich selbst die richtige Entscheidung treffen. Solche Richtlinien verschärfen die EU-Skepsis enorm. Sie fügen dem Gedanken eines vereinten Europas erheblichen Schaden zu.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Den Menschen die Entscheidungsfreiheit lassen und die Freiheit nicht durch weitere Verschärfungen einengen.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Heyde

**email**

T\_Heyde@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Josef Hanneschläger

**email**

hanneschlaeger-josef@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freedom and tolerance for everyone,also for smokers,if they don't harm non-smokers!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Everything should be left as it is!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Leave us alone!

**which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl Hansl

**email**

karl@hansl-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Schwachsinn. Der Mensch ist durchaus in der Lage selbst zu erkennen was er will.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wer denkt sich in Brüssel nur solchen Schwachsinn aus.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mario Rodmann Kornwestheimer Straße 1 71640 Ludwigsburg

**email**

Ma.Rodmann@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bevormundung meiner persönlichen Freiheit

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Unötige Bürokratie

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Hält die Verbraucher nicht vom Rauchen ab

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Beeinträchtigung meiner persönlichen Freiheit auf freien zugang und erwerb von Tabakwaren

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Torsten Hohenreiter

**email**

rastalix@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**  
Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Christina Riedel

#### **email**

Riedel\_Christina@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

21

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Mrkos

**email**

Mrky777@seznam.cz

**country**

CR

**age**

23

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

V prípade jednotného balení sa zvýši narast nelegálne vyrábanych cigarety. Z toho plynou nižšie zisky pro stat a zákazník bude ľahko oklamán.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Státy v EU již tuto možnost mají.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Pri testovaní prísad by bolo lepšie zamerať sa na škodlivosť kúrenia.

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jak by se timto zakazem zlepsilo fungovani trhu?

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Holger Reck

**email**

holger\_reck@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Daniel Boenig

**email**

daniel.boenig@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Existenzen sind bedroht, es fallen Arbeitsplätze weg, es wird dadurch nicht erreicht, dass weniger Menschen rauchen werden!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Alkohol ist ebenso schädlich

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anke Bartel

**email**

svan-bartel@web.de

**country**

GERMANY

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Klaus Splinter

#### **email**

klaus.splinter@o2online.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

45

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Der Nichtraucherchutz ist ausreichend. Als Raucher achte ich sehr darauf, daß keine Nichtraucher betroffen sind durch mein Rauchen

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

#### **additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Dies könnte sowohl zu einer Verzerrung des Binnenmarkts als auch zu einem Versagen bei der Sicherung eines hohen Niveaus an Gesundheitsschutz in der EU führen.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar, halte den bestehenden Nichtraucherschutz für ausreichend

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Halte den Nichtraucherschutz für völlig ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Ludwig

**email**

netconform@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Volksabstimmung - die Bürger sollen das entscheiden, denn sie sind mündig

**additional comments**

die EU-Politiker haben schon viel zu viel Macht und erpressen mittlerweile schon fast einen ganzen Kontinent - die wichtigen Fragen darf man der Politik nicht mehr überlassen !!!!!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Javier Lopez

**email**

javilo2000@hotmail.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Dieter Jandt

#### **email**

D.Jandt@gmx.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

57

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Jetzige Bestimmungen sind völlig ausreichend.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

#### **additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jetztige Regelungen reichen völlig aus

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Frank Engel

#### **email**

frankuweengel@aol.com

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

53

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

raucher sind mündige bürger, die enorm viele steuerleistungen erbringen und selbst über ihre gesundheit entscheiden sollten

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

die bayern werden sich für verhunzten schnupftabak bedanken

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

ich will rauchen und nicht chemiker werden

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

statt aufwendiger analysen lieber steuergelder für die krankenkasse

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich will starken und schmackhaften tabak

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich möchte auswahl und bunte bilder sehen und die tabakwerbung macht mir spaß, gem.  
jugendschutz ist das rauchen sowieso erst ab 18 jahren gestattet

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rolf Petersen

**email**

zigarrenhaus-drueemmer@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
54

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Katrín Möhsner

**email**  
kamoe500@gmx.de

**country**  
germany

**age**  
48

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
we are all adult people. I believe that we are able to decide, if we smoke or buy cigatetts or tacaco or not

**which option**  
No change



**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

every person is unique. Why not the products of the industries?. We can choose our clothes, our doctors, our drinks, our cars. why not our tabaco or cigarettts? then , in my opinion, all carproducer have to do the same. all produce the same cars in the same colour with the same motors. A lot of people die on the streets or at envoirementsproblems. Do we have thatswhy all the same cars?

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Hannelore Drümmer

#### **email**

hannelore-druemmer@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

55

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tiggewerth

**email**

tiggewerth@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oscar Sandberg

**email**

oscar.sandberg@gmail.com

**country**

Sverige

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus-Jürgen Ernst

**email**

kernst6033@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Damman

**email**

jan.dammann1@ewetel.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**



kein kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Keistler, Michael

#### **email**

helgard@keistler-tabak.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

38

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fred Schuckel

**email**

fred.schuckel@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Abschaffung des europäischen Parlaments

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tim Scharmann

**email**

tim@scharmann.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In my opinion the problem definition covers rather extreme niche products and situations. While I agree that health protection is paramount I'd prefer my tax money to be used on more pressing matters,

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no.

**additional comments**

no.

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no.

**additional comments**

no.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no.

**additional comments**

no.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

no.

**additional comments**

no.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Faust Nathalie

**email**

nathalief Faust@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petra Dietrich

**email**

petra.dietrich@googlemail.com

**country**

Austria

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

The guidelines should be extended to the stated products, but before banning these products, a valuable analysis should be made, based on scientific research.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

There is no significant evidence that snugs are more harmful tha other tobacco products.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no scientific evidence that larger warnings or images prohibit people from smoking. Plain packaging would foster product counterfeit and illegal tarde of porducts, which leads to less product safety for the consumer.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

The options give here do not contribute to a better health and safety for smokers or keep potential smokers away from tobacco products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

First of all, a unified system would need to be developed before regulating the reporting system.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

attractivity as factor for evaluating a possible thread through ingredients is not valid or scientific. first of all a criteria list needs to be established before discussing this issue.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem visible in this problem definition and there is no evidence that any of the options give may positively benefit the consumer of retail.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

erb

**email**

rogererb@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

verbraucherschutz: ja bevormundung: nein

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

verbraucherschutz: ja bevormundung: nein

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

verbraucherschutz: ja bevormundung: nein

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

verbraucherschutz: ja bevormundung: nein

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

verbraucherschutz: ja bevormundung: nein

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

verbraucherschutz: ja bevormundung: nein

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eugen Baur

**email**

gene.baur@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jede Form der Regulierung von "Tabakerzeugnissen" muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt(Kräuterzigaretten). Diese Produkte sind keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung unterzogen worden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus(Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten(jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete

Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff "attraktiv" ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche "Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettensautomaten" sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedsstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Röttger,Dietmar

**email**

dietmarroettger@hotmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Randl C.

**email**

tabak-preisinger@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment



**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no koment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tomas Molnar

**email**

molnar.tomas@gmail.com

**country**

Czech Republic

**age**  
28

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
There is no evidence that bigger warnings are more effective.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Enforcement of provisions should remain the responsibility of member states, which can introduce these sanctions and fees.

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether ingredients use result in increase toxicity of tobacco smoke.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem or issue is not clearly stated. There is no evidence as to why bans would improve the functioning of internal market or advance any public health objectives.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thorsten Anzengruber

**email**

tanzengruber@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Drews, G.

**email**

sgdrews@online.de

**country**

germany

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

athanassios Premetis

**email**

thpr5258@hotmail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jochen Peter Weber

**email**

jochen-peter.weber@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

67

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul Höfler

**email**

caul4ever@gmail.com

**country**

Austria

**age**

18

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Placing Warninglabels on Smokeless Tobacco Products should be placed in similar ways as on Smoking Tobacco and it must be ensured that no one under the legal tobacco age of the specific EU-Country is able to buy Smokeless Tobacco/Snus. Still the ban should be lifted since everyone should be free to use the tobacco products of his choice.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**additional comments**

there should be an EU-wide common list of which ingredients are allowed for the use in tobacco products. Maybe there also should be a list of what ingredients are used, on each package of a tobacco product (like on Snus packs).

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nikos Michalopoulos

**email**

seimaz@gmail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't agree

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't agree .

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ruth-maria schanovsky

**email**

ruthmiriam@gmx.at

**country**

austria

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bernd Schellenberg

**email**

bschelli@tonline.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Menschen wollen keine Bevormundung. Die politische Klasse maßt sich an, in alle Lebensbereiche der Menschen einzugreifen. Das ist nicht gut!!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Let it, like it is!!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Reit, Steven

**email**  
Steven-reit@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
26

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCHUERCH MARCUS

**email**

multivitamin@hotmail.de

**country**

GERMANY

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NO COMMENT

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NO COMMENT

**additional comments**

NO COMMENT

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

NO COMMENT

**additional comments**

NO COMMENT

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

NO COMMENT

**additional comments**

NO COMMENT

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NO COMMENT

**additional comments**  
NO COMMENT

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
ESTEVE Leonard

**email**  
lesteve@vtxnet.ch

**country**  
Switzerland

**age**  
40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
The scope of the Directive can be extended to cover these other products, but this should not include an automatic ban on one category of product (novel forms of oral tobacco). Any bans should be based on scientific assessments.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

There is no evidence that bigger warnings are more effective. There is no evidence that plain packaging would improve the functioning of the internal market or have any public health benefits. It would make it easier for criminals to copy legitimate brands and would lead to an increase in illegal sales of cigarettes.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Member States can already introduce fees and sanctions. Enforcement of the provisions of the Directive should remain the responsibility of the Member States.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no clear problem stated as regards either vending machines or point of sale display. There is no evidence provided as to why bans would improve the functioning of the internal market or advance any public health objectives.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lars Gohr

**email**

latinhope@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Da jeder das Recht hat Zigaretten zurauchen und es nicht sein kann das sowohl den Kunden wie auch den verkaufenden Läden es immer schwerer gemacht wird Zigaretten zu ver bzw zukaufen. Außerdem wird Kleinhändlern wie sogenannten "Tanteemaläden" keine Unterstützung des Staates zugesichert um ihrer Umsatzeinbußen ,die sie durch diese sinnfreie neue Richtlinie eindeutig haben werden auszubessern.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist sinnfrei neue Verpackungen wo nichts draufsteht auf den Markt zubringen. Die Umsetzung kostet mehr Geld als sie Nutzen bringt.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es wird niemand aufhören zurauchen nur weil man ein paar Zusatzangaben auf Verpackungen schreibt. Den jedem Raucher müsste klar sein das eine Zigarette nicht grade das Leben verlängert

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Den schließlich bleibt einem nichts anderes mehr übrig wenn einem für eine Schachtel immer mehr Geld abgenommen wird.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Hallerbach

**email**

f.hallerbach@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die Problemdarstellung ist vage mit Blick auf Verzerrungen oder mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines Niveaus zum Gesundheitsschutz.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Der Konsum dieser Erzeugnisse gefährdet keine Dritten. Es liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen solche Produkte zu konsumieren. Das Verbot entmündigt den mündigen Bürger.

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die Gefahren des Rauchens sind dem Konsumenten längst bewusst. In seiner grundrechtlich geschützten Freiheit entscheidet er sich bewusst für diese Produkte. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf den Preis. Dies ist im Hinblick auf Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz nicht wünschenswert. Produktfälschern wird Nährboden gegeben.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Eine detaillierte Angabe der Inhaltsstoffe ist aufgrund des Bewusstseins der Konsumenten für die Gefahren des Rauchens überflüssig.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden ist die Verbreitung von Tabakwaren nicht geringer als in Ländern, in den vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen verkauft werden.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Diese Themen gehören eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedsstaaten. Tabakautomaten in Deutschland gewährleisten über Ihre Zugriffsbeschränkungen den Jugendschutz problemlos. Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs- bzw. Beratungsstellen verschwinden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRAZZOLA LUCA

**email**

brazzola.luca@vtxnet.ch

**country**

SVIZZERA

**age**

47

**gender**

male



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NON SI DISPONE PER IL MOMENTO DI PROVE SCIENTIFICHE SUFFICIENTI AL RISULTATO SULL'EFFICACIA DEI MESSAGGI D'AVVERTIMENTO. IN PIÙ NULLA CERTIFICA CHE LA STANDARDIZZAZIONE DEGLI IMBALLAGGI CONTRIBUISCA ALLA PROTEZIONE DELLA SALUTE. AL CONTRARIO QUESTE STANDARDIZZAZIONI CONTRIBUISCONO ALLA CONTRAFFAZIONE E NON PER ULTIMO FAVORIRESTE IL CONTRABBANDO!

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
IL DIRITTO IN VIGORE PERMETTE GIÀ AGLI STATI MEMBRI DI PREVEDERE DELLE TASSE E ALTRE SANZIONI. NON VEDO ALCUNA GIUSTIFICAZIONE SUFFICIENTE AL FATTO CHE L'UE SI ATTRIBUISCA A COMPETENZE SUPPLEMENTARI. LA MESSA IN OPERA DELLE PRESCRIZIONI DELLE DIRETTIVE DEVE CONTINUARE AD INCOMBERE AGLI STATI MEMBRI.

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
PER QUELLO CHE CONCERNE LA PUBBLICAZIONE E LA REGISTRAZIONE DEGLI ADITTIVI, L'ACCENTO DOVREBBE ESSERE MESSO UNICAMENTE SUL PUNTO DI

SAPERE SE QUESTI ADDITTIVI ACCRESCONO O NO LA TOSSICITA DEL FUMO DEL TABACCO.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NESSUN PROBLEMA SI PONE IN QUESTO CAMPO, CHE SIA A RIGUARDO DEL MODO DI FUNZIONAMENTO DEL MERCATO INTERNO O A RIGUARDO DELLA PROTEZIONE DELLA SALUTE. IL PUNTO IMPORTANTE È CHE LE PRESCRIZIONI ESISTENTI SIANO RISPETTATE, SOPRATTUTTO PER QUELLO CHE CONCERNE IL LIMITE D'ETÀ. A QUESTO RIGUARDO GLI STATI MEMBRI SONO IN POSIZIONE MIGLIORE PER INTERVENIRE CHE L'UE.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reichel, Tanja

**email**

tanja.reichel@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Chalom

**email**

dejvidc@hotmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

According to WHO's International Classification of Diseases, ICD10, tobacco/nicotine meet the criteria for being classified as a narcotic drug. With nicotine being such a highly addictive drug, what plan of action should then the EU consider taking?

**additional comments**

Please see above.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

The WHO's Framework Convention on Tobacco Control aims to eliminate and minimize tobacco consumption in all its forms, tobacco and nicotine addiction, and exposure to tobacco smoke. According to WHO's International Classification of Diseases, ICD10, tobacco/nicotine meet the criteria for being classified as a narcotic drug. With all this in mind, what new plan of action should then the EU consider taking?

**additional comments**

Please see above

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The WHO's Framework Convention on Tobacco Control aims to eliminate and minimize tobacco consumption in all its forms, tobacco and nicotine addiction, and exposure to tobacco smoke. According to WHO's International Classification of Diseases, ICD10, tobacco/nicotine meet the criteria for being classified as a narcotic drug. With all this in mind, what new plan of action should then the EU consider taking?

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

The WHO's Framework Convention on Tobacco Control aims to eliminate and minimize tobacco consumption in all its forms, tobacco and nicotine addiction, and exposure to tobacco smoke. According to WHO's International Classification of Diseases, ICD10, tobacco/nicotine meet the criteria for being classified as a narcotic drug. With all this in mind, what new plan of action should then the EU consider taking?

**additional comments**

Please see above

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The WHO's Framework Convention on Tobacco Control aims to eliminate and minimize tobacco consumption in all its forms, tobacco and nicotine addiction, and exposure to tobacco smoke. According to WHO's International Classification of Diseases, ICD10, tobacco/nicotine meet the criteria for being classified as a narcotic drug. With all this in mind, what new plan of action should then the EU consider taking?

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

The WHO's Framework Convention on Tobacco Control aims to eliminate and minimize tobacco consumption in all its forms, tobacco and nicotine addiction, and exposure to tobacco smoke. According to WHO's International Classification of Diseases, ICD10, tobacco/nicotine meet the criteria for being classified as a narcotic drug. With all this in mind, what new plan of action should then the EU consider taking?

**additional comments**

Please see above

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The WHO's Framework Convention on Tobacco Control aims to eliminate and minimize tobacco consumption in all its forms, tobacco and nicotine addiction, and exposure to tobacco smoke. According to WHO's International Classification of Diseases, ICD10, tobacco/nicotine meet the criteria for being classified as a narcotic drug. With all this in mind, what new plan of action should then the EU consider taking?

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

For the population (except for the tobacco industry) there is nothing positive in the addition of substances or anything positive in any kind of ingredient added to tobacco, Therefore no additive can be considered as such. The WHO's Framework Convention on Tobacco Control aims to eliminate and minimize tobacco consumption in all its forms, tobacco and nicotine addiction, and exposure to tobacco smoke. According to WHO's International Classification of Diseases, ICD10, tobacco/nicotine meet the criteria for being classified as a narcotic drug. With all this in mind, what new plan of action should then the EU consider taking?

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The WHO's Framework Convention on Tobacco Control aims to eliminate and minimize tobacco consumption in all its forms, tobacco and nicotine addiction, and exposure to tobacco smoke. According to WHO's International Classification of Diseases, ICD10, tobacco/nicotine meet the criteria for being classified as a narcotic drug. With all this in mind, what new plan of action should then the EU consider taking?

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

Please see above. The WHO's Framework Convention on Tobacco Control aims to eliminate and minimize tobacco consumption in all its forms, tobacco and nicotine addiction, and exposure to tobacco smoke. According to WHO's International Classification of Diseases, ICD10, tobacco/nicotine meet the criteria for being classified as a narcotic drug. With all this in mind, what new plan of action should then the EU consider taking?

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

marquardt

**email**

kuni1973@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar



**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sievers

**email**

heisie@kabelmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

D. Claveloux

#### **email**

dclaveloux@skynet.be

#### **country**

Belgium

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

All of these products should be considered in the context of a revision of the TPD, but there should not be an automatic assumption from the outset that one category of new products should be banned. A scientific assessment should be carried out before the decision to ban a product.

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Snus has been proven to be less harmful than other types of tobacco products.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

There is no evidence that the measures being would reduce smoking. For example, everyone already knows that smoking is harmful - making the warnings larger would not increase awareness.

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional comments**

Fees and sanctions are an area for Member States and should not be introduced at EU level.

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence that ingredients added to tobacco products make them more harmful. On the contrary, there is evidence that cigarettes with and without ingredients are equally harmful.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No concrete problem has actually been identified for some of these issues. The fact that different Member States have different rules and different restrictions does not mean that action should be taken at EU level. Introducing a ban on displays at point of sale would constitute a serious barrier to trade.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marina Pfeifer

**email**

marinapfeifer@alice.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
62

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Wie gegen die Raucher vorgegangen wird, ist eine totale Diskriminierung. Sie sollten lieber die fetten und zuckerhaltigen Lebensmittel verteuern oder ganz verbieten. Genauso den Alkohol.

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Es sollte wieder so sein, dass jeder entscheiden kann, ob er raucht oder nicht. Auch die Gastwirte sollen selbst entscheiden und nicht der Staat. Dieser bereichert sich ständig an den Rauchern. Es ist eine Frechheit, dass er ständig die Zigaretten erhöht und auch die Steuern dafür.

**additional comments**  
Ich finde auch, dass sich die Zigarettenindustrie mehr wehren sollte, schließlich geht es dort auch um Arbeitsplätze

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
wie schon beschrieben

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Ich will keine Veränderung der Zigaretten und auch nicht der Verpackungen

**additional comments**  
no

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

habe ich alles schon beschrieben

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es soll alles bleiben wie es ist

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

alles schon beschrieben

**additional comments**

auch schon beschrieben

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist kein Problem für mich und für die anderen Raucher

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

ich will keine Veränderung

**additional comments**

Sie sollen die Raucher endlich in Ruhe lassen

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

alle Tabakprodukte sollen so bleiben wie sie sind

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Es soll keine Veränderung geben

**additional comments**

habe schon vorstehend meine Meinung gesagt

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roland Rausch

**email**

roland.rausch@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no comment



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

marquardt

**email**

danni1973@online.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christophe Bellenot

**email**

cp\_bellenot@yahoo.com

**country**

Switzerland

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem is not about the size of Health Warning or making a product generic. The main issue is about keeping adults to decide what they want to do and how they want to do it. If an adult decide to smoke then he should have the freedom to choose his brand. Moreover a company selling a legal product should be able to have their brand clearly distinct from competitor. At the end of the day people will continue to smoke and pushing measure too far will only benefit one category of individuals crocks who will do illegal business of either smuggling or manufacturing illicit product without paying tax. Banning flavours will have make it difficult for some brands to exist. But more importantly there are lots and lots of people in developping countries such as Africa who depend directly or indrectly from growing Burley tobacco. So on one hand we say we want to support developping countries and give them subsidies and on the other hand we remove the food from their mouth. You hear people talking about replacing the tobacco crop by alternative, but honestly they have never been in Africa, don't understand what they are talking about. I hearded people talking about Zimbabwe saying to replace tobacco crop by magnioc. What a joke ! magnioc need direct processing after harvesting and moreover there is no market for it !!!

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Limit the access to cigarettes to adult smoker. I would also appreciate if the departments of health would sometime talk more to department of industries and come down to the table to talk about such decision and their impact on the economy rather than trying to push legislation trough without measuring the consequences elsewhere on the planet. Therefore, the scope of the directive should be extended to actually measure the impact on regions beyond the EU.

**additional comments**

I'm getting tired of bureaucrats overpaid dictating the world what they should do. And actually killing businesses instead of focusing their energy more positively on building the economy and creating jobs. I am managing factories and I am very proud to tell you that I develop people and make a real difference in their life. I wish Brussels would do more of the same.

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Let adult individuals decide for themselves

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Give an alternative to cigarettes for people who want it.

**additional comments**

Stop the dictatorship of telling people what they should do or not.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Generic packaging will not solve the problem and will not make people smoke less.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

If you give too much information to tobacco users, they won't read it ! Tar , nicotine and carbon monoxide are irrelevant information. That tell nothing to a consumer.

**additional comments**

Government should give grants to tobacco companies to develop less harmful products instead of criticising as the demand for tobacco products is there and won't disappear.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

All tobacco product should report ingredients including shisha, e-cigarettes, pharmaceutical

groups, etc..

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

Any business who does not comply with requirements should be closed down

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There are very specific issue for specific tobacco products, ie. shisha

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A ban is never a solution. For youngsters it even more attractive !!!...

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Heavy fines to retailers of any type of tobacco products not respective the age verification including for shisha, e-cigarettes.

**additional comments**

Shisha is extremely popular among youngsters and the perception is that it is a safer product which is absolutely not the case.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

frey

**email**

lager@tabak-glueck.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Hilbrands

**email**

peter.hilbrands@tmcc.info

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

wihr

**email**

info@tabak-glueck.de

**country**

deutschland

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stemmer, Simon

**email**

wikinger@wintersilence.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
32

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lubahn, Traudel

**email**

snouch@gmx.de

**country**

Berlin

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ruben Lorenzo Casimiro

**email**

rubenpapu@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Baecker

**email**

Ba.Markus@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

nagy géza

**email**

nagy.geza@freemail.hu

**country**

Hungary

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Livia Kolmitz

#### **email**

liviakolmitz@yahoo.com

#### **country**

Austria

#### **age**

31

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

There are enough regulations already

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Enough regulations already

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Cigarettes are still a legal product. Brands should still be visible as part of legitimate part of economic competition. Additionally I doubt the effect of pictorial health warnings.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It appears sufficient that producers of tobacco products report locally

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Ylenia de Leon Jimenez

**email**

Yle\_ainely@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Baum

**email**

baum@tabak-glueck.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
peter lignow

**email**

ligpet@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Farner

**email**

jean-christophe.farner@pmintl.com

**country**

Suisse

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This law would take away my civil rights and private choices. I think that actually, the notion of dangerousness is already clear enough to the public and consumers.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Are adult consumers for idiots ? Do these people need pictures to quit smoking? The fact of the matter, as a consumer, is that you don't even look at these pictures at all, and that if someone wants to quit smoking, his(her) reasons will not be linked to a pack picture, but much more due to his (her) personal motivation.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Public does not comprehend what these substances are, as they are chemist specific notions.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

What for ?

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Regina Färber

#### **email**

r\_faerber@gebr-heunemann.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

kein Kommentar

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **additional option**

kein Kommentar

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Barbara Isabel Moran Cabrera

**email**

pooh\_nenita@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Beatriz Gonzalez Aleman

**email**

morenita\_linda69@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dagmar Schreyer

**email**

dagmar.schreyer@chello.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

65

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir lassen uns nicht Entmündigen von der EU Innenpolitik muss Innenpolitik bleiben also die EU darf sich nicht einmischen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Lorena Molina Dominguez

**email**  
lorena\_md-88@hotmail.com

**country**  
España

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Hermann Donhauser

#### **email**

hermann2donhauser@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

40

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

meike kaprolat

**email**

meikekap@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

jeder sollte die möglichkeit haben frei zu wählen

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

das führt zu weit, jeder weiß das rauchen ungesund ist

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wenn das überall dieselben werte wären ginge es ja noch aber das ist ja nicht der fall

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Peer Lorenzen

#### **email**

lorenzen@von-eicken.com

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

40

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

gibt kein Problem

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Bekämpfung ist nicht nötig, es gibt genügend Regulierungen

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

es gibt kein Problem

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es gibt kein Problem

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es gibt kein Problem

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es gibt kein Problem

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es gibt kein Problem

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roman Landsteiner

**email**

landi71@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no directives necessary

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Konstantin Ulrich

**email**

kulrich@uni-bonn.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional comments**

The health warnings to be included should not consist of overly scientific figures that the public largely ignores, but should instead focus on the most dramatic consequences in plain language and illustration.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

The proposed ban should only be regarded as a first step on the way to a more complete ban on the sale of tobacco products. As with so called "hard" drugs, their complete prohibition should be the final goal. Heavily addicted citizens could be administered nicotine injections by doctors in an effort to end their addiction to the drug.

---

**Citizen submission****identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schreyer Ruth

**email**

ruthschreyer@chello.at

**country**

Austria (Vienna)

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Erwachsene Mensch kann für sich selber Entscheiden und ich finde das für eine Frechheit das die EU die Bürger entmündigt! Auch finde ich das die Innenpolitik Angelegenheit der einzelnen Länder ist und nicht EU Sache! Wir hätten der EU nie beitreten dürfen!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcus Mommerskamp

**email**

Marcus.Mommerskamp@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es liegen nicht genügend wissenschaftliche Erkenntnisse vor

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

ich befürworte keine Entmündigung erwachsener Bürger und ausserdem liegt keine Gefährdung dritter vor

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

größere Warnhinweise ergeben keinen Konsumverzicht, ausserdem wäre dies ein Eingriff in die Markenrechte der Hersteller

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

dies ist Sache der EU-Mitgliedsstaaten

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Konsum in Ländern wie England oder Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die

Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Prblembeschreibung falsch, in 15 Staaten der EU dürfen Zigaretten über Automaten verkauft werden, Kontrolle ist bereits gegeben

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lorena Gomez Castañeda

**email**

max69min96@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Volker Nölting

**email**

kristolker@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Braun

**email**

bruno-b@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claudia Sagert

**email**

cbsagert@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Frank Braun

#### **email**

bruno-b@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

55

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Baum

**email**

baum@tabak-glueck.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

61

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Xavier Gostely

**email**

xaviergostely@gmail.com

**country**

Switzerland

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

he scope of the Directive can be extended to cover these other products, but this should not include an automatic ban one category of product (novel forms of oral tobacco). Any bans should be based on scientific assessments.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence that bigger warnings are more effective. There is no evidence that plain packaging would improve the functioning of the internal market or have any public health benefits. It would make it easier for criminals to copy legitimate brands and would lead to an increase in illegal sales of cigarettes.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Member States can already introduce fees and sanctions. Enforcement of the provisions of the Directive should remain the responsibility of the Member States.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no clear problem stated as regards either vending machines or point of sale display. There is no evidence provided as to why bans would improve the functioning of the internal market or advance any public health objectives.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Crisitian Moran Cabrera

**email**

crismorcab@hotmail.com

**country**

España



**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cristina Batista Suarez

**email**

cristina\_bs601227@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Gebhard

**email**

gebhard@rc-planet.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Adrian Trujillo Calderon

**email**

aytc785@hotmail.es

**country**

España

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Cornell Bückner

**email**  
cornell.brueckner@kabsi.at

**country**  
Österreich

**age**  
52

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Baum

**email**

baum@tabak-glueck.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

61

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Myriame Pavillard

**email**



indian@bluewin.ch

**country**

Switzerland

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

The scope of the Directive can be extended to cover these other products, but this should not include an automatic ban on one category of product (novel forms of oral tobacco). Any bans should be based on scientific assessments.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence that bigger warnings are more effective. There is no evidence that plain packaging would improve the functioning of the internal market or have any public health benefits. It would make it easier for criminals to copy legitimate brands and would lead to an increase in illegal sales of cigarettes.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Member States can already introduce fees and sanctions. Enforcement of the provisions of the Directive should remain the responsibility of the Member States.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no clear problem stated as regards either vending machines or point of sale display. There is no evidence provided as to why bans would improve the functioning of the internal market or advance any public health objectives.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Brito Mesa

**email**

dabrime@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kagel, Petra

**email**

petra\_kagel@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schrall

**email**

Tina.Schrall@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the warning signs are big enough with the current size they have. The message will not be more effective if the font / sign is bigger. Furthermore the illegal trade would increase extremely in my opinion, as the packages could be copied easily by criminals. As a consequence I do not think there would be any positive health effect, but an uncontrollable chance for criminals to copy cigarettes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Control should be the responsibility of the member states, but I agree on establishing a common compulsory reporting format to make them comparable

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The Directive could build the basic criteria. However those criteria should be related to / restricted to toxicity

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

sonia marquina verdu

**email**

comotelodigo\_sonia@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nauzet Ortega Rodríguez

**email**

nau\_or@hotmail.com

**country**

españa

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Javier Huidobro Amaro

**email**

javierhuidobro808@hotmail.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

No

**additional comments**

No

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jeanette pleiss

**email**

fritz.pleiss@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

?

**additional comments**

?

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

keine

**additional comments**

keine

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kucera

**email**

enni1966@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Smolař

**email**

martin.smolar76@gmail.com

**country**

Czech Republic

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicole Fischer

**email**

mnfischer3@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mark Fischer

**email**

firstfischer@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jose Hernandez Miranda

**email**

jose.hernandez@truccoazafatas.com

**country**

España

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Belen Perez

**email**

belen.perez@truccoazafatas.com

**country**

España

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Elvira Darias

**email**  
elvidarias@hotmail.com

**country**  
Spain

**age**  
31

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

No

**additional comments**

No

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Trödler



**email**

dtrodler@hotmail.com

**country**

Czech Republic

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Why should be snus banned? If the consumer can make informed decision whether to buy or not buy a product, he should have the freedom to do it. I would ban only stuff like drugs that is proven to lead to criminal behaviour

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Plain packaging is a prime example how ridiculous regulation can be. I'm I supposed to choose cigarettes by some completely anonymous packs? I'm sure they will be 90% counterfeited products. Is this even possible in the democratic world? Can you just ban all the history and copyrights connected with the brands? And how about the tax collection? Do you think you will collect any taxes from those products? Who will pay for the health care then? Sorry, but I'm convinced that the public knowledge about smoking health hazards is already very profound and clearly it made some people quit smoking, but there is also lot of people who made the informed choice to continue smoking, and that's fine, it's their life. They smoke, knowing the health hazzards, I think that any further efforts to communicate smoking health hazards will not bring anything new to change this.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional comments**

There should be some common reporting format, as every customer should know what she/he is buying

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This chapter doesn't stress the importance of "scientifically proven" that the component increases health hazard. I hate to live in a world where things are randomly banned based on someone's desires.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

When there is demand, there is a supply. If people want to smoke, they will smoke, bans will only shift the ratio between legal and counterfeit products. Remember prohibition in US?

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fabian Puszies

**email**

fabian.puszies@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
20

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

STEFANO KATERINA

**email**

kstefanou@missirian.gr

**country**

GREECE

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΥΠΕΥΘΥΝΟΤΗΤΑ = ΣΩΣΤΗ ΕΝΗΜΕΡΩΣΗ

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΘΕΣΠΙΣΗ ΟΜΟΙΟΜΟΡΦΟΥ ΜΟΝΤΕΛΟΥ ΣΥΣΤΑΤΙΚΩΝ

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΑΠΟΔΕΙΞΗ ΤΗΣ ΕΛΚΥΣΤΙΚΟΤΗΤΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΕΘΙΣΤΙΚΟΤΗΤΑΣ ΜΕ ΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΟΝΙΚΕΣ ΜΕΛΕΤΕΣ

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

de bruin

**email**

marian@vangenneptankstation.nl

**country**

nederland

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

winkler g nther

**email**

winklerguenther@hotmail.com

**country**

austria

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

derzeitige Regelung ist ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

als mündiger bürger möchte ich selbst entscheiden. eine weitere bevormundung empfinde ich als höchst anmasend

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich möchte nicht dass sie bestimmen was ich darf oder nicht

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich möchte nicht dass sie bestimmen was ich darf oder nicht und wie es auszusehen hat

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich möchte nicht dass sie bestimmen was ich darf oder nicht und wie es aussehen soll

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

siehe oben

**additional comments**

siehe oben

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

zakrzewicz, Silke

**email**

holgerzakrzewicz@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte nicht beformundet werden

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zakrzewicz, Holger

**email**

holgerzakrzewicz@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich möchte nicht beformundet werden.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
keinkommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Roubicek

**email**

Ivan.Roubal@seznam.cz

**country**

Czech republic

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

directive should cover these product, regulation has to be based on scientific researches and results

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no evidence on effectiveness, plain packaging can increase illicit cigarettes rate

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

this possibility exist, every state should be responsible for enforcement

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

there is no evidence, that ingredients are increasing level of addictive

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

not clear problem definition - how these bans should improve situation?

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

hans ulrich altmann

**email**

altmann-bremen@arcor.de

**country**

germany

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Missing, Helmut

**email**

mhnmhn@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



Ich hasse Bevormundung

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schott, Helga

**email**

schott.tabakwaren@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VIRGINIA RODRIGUEZ DIAZ

**email**

vicky883@live.com

**country**  
ESPAÑA

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schott, Michael

**email**

schott.tabakwaren@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jonas Jacobsson

**email**

jonas.jacobsson@riksdagen.se

**country**

Sweden

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ytterligare regleringar är överflödiga och riskerar att skapa nya eller förvärra befintliga problem.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Snus är ett bättre alternativ än cigaretter och andra former av röktobak. Om fler rökare i Europa i stället använde snus skulle det vara positivt för folkhälsan.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

"Plain packaging" underlättar för förfalskningar och smuggelvaror där det kontroll av innehållet i produkterna blir obefintlig och skatterna dessutom inte betalas. Det är orimligt att inte få använda logotyper vid försäljning av tillåtna (men reglerade) produkter.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Onödigt.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Regleringen missar målet totalt. I stället för att motverka smaker och blandningar riktade till barn (vilekt i så fall skulle kunna göras på annat sätt) träffar regleringen de vanligaste produkterna. Det är orimligt att i praktiken förbjuda en lång rad av de vanligaste varumärkena.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Lagliga produkter måste få exponeras i butik. Att gömma undan produkterna riskerar bara att göra dem mer intressanta och spännande för exempelvis ungdomar och motverkar därmed helt syftet.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Gerd Zimmer

**email**

andreasgerdzimmer@web.de



**country**  
DE

**age**  
44

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Meines Erachtens besteht keinerlei Handlungsbedarf auf diesem Gebiet, schon gar nicht auf EU-Ebene. Alleine schon der Versuch derart massiver Eingriffe in die persönliche Lebensgestaltung ist dazu geeignet, dem europäischen Gedanken und der Akzeptanz der EU schwersten Schaden zuzufügen.

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Wie bereits gesagt, besteht meines Erachtens keinerlei Handlungsbedarf.

**additional comments**  
Eine schnellstmögliche Rücknahme der bisherigen Massnahmen auf diesem Gebiet ist dringend notwendig.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Wie vor: Keinerlei Handlungsbedarf.

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**

**additional comments**  
Die EU, wie auch die Welt als Ganzes, haben eine Vielzahl ERNSTHAFTER Probleme. Die für die UNSINNIGEN RAUCHERVERFOLGUNGSMASSNAHMEN verausgabten Mittel wären an anderer Stelle sinnvoller verwendbar.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es besteht kein Handlungsbedarf auf diesem Gebiet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weitere Eingriffe dieser Art sind unnötig, kontraproduktiv, und eine Verhöhnung des mündigen Bürgers und Konsumenten.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Überregulierung abbauen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Handelbeschränkungen im Bezug auf legale Produkte widersprechen den grundlegendsten Prinzipien des europäischen Gedankens.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mario Dahlmann

**email**

mario67@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Esther

**email**

epea28@hotmail.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

britzke, claudia

**email**

presse-britzke@t-online.de

**country**  
deutschland

**age**  
43

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claus-Dieter Hiller

**email**

cdhiller@web.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten).

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Mitgliedsstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Einen notwendigen Handlungsbedarf der Kommission spiegelt die Problemdarstellung nicht wider.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über



Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen.

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ilona Rausch

**email**

ilona.rausch@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joachim Bierwirth

**email**

joachim.bierwirth@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petra Funk

**email**

paperpetra@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lars Schrader

**email**

lars.schrader@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Marius Meinshausen

**email**  
m.meinshausen@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rosemarie Gloyer-Tieth

**email**

r.gloyer-tieth@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicole Hiller-Wolfgang

**email**

nicundstefan@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tim Brandenburg

**email**

timbrandenburg@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uli Reinecke

**email**

M.reinecke1@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dennis Viehweger

**email**

fireman42@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sascha Hagedorn

**email**

skyholsten@x54.nl

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

B.A.W. Douglas

**email**

BDoug88453@aol.com

**country**

GERMANY

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

because the citizen's of the EU are able to inform themselves about smoking and the dangers. it is not necessary to print this on each cigarette box.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

most dangerous for the health of all citizens are the illegal cigarettes. If we can stop this illegal behaviour we will reach the standard which is now given.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Information

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The consumers are informed about the dangers of tobacco.consuming.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Schitthof

**email**

st.schi@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jede Form der Regulierung von Tabakerzeugnissen muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse basieren. Dies gilt auch für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkte.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Aus der Darstellung der Kommission, lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU weites Verbot von Snus oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Es werden keine Analysen aus Ländern betrachtet, in denen solche Produkte konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen Beweis, daß größerer Warnhinweise wirksamer sind. Die angedachten Bilder bieten keine zusätzlichen Informationen zu den jetzigen Warnhinweisen. Die Markenrechte werden verletzt. Produktfälscher hätten mit neutralen Packungen leichtere Arbeit.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Formate und Meldemechanismen zur Vorlage der Daten zu Zusatzstoffen von Tabakerzeugnissen fallen innerhalb und zwischen den EU Mitgliedsstaaten unterschiedlich

aus. Es bestehen Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten. Die neue TPD würde von Herstellern und Importeuren europaweit verlangen, die verwendeten Zusatzstoffe zu melden, doch legt die geplante TPD keinerlei abgestimmtes System für die Vorlage solcher Angaben fest.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Begriff attraktiv ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeder wissenschaftlicher Grundlage.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Darstellung, dass in vielen Mitgliedsstaaten der Vertrieb aus Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Bereiche Verkauf aus Zigarettenautomaten und die Mindestaltergrenzen sind eindeutig den Zuständigkeitsbereichen der einzelnen Mitgliedsstaaten zuzuordnen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jiří Janeček

**email**

Cordelabriere@centrum.cz

**country**

CZ

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jsem přesvědčen že už nyní uváděným varováním je každý kuřák dostatečně informován o eventuelním zdravotním poškození. Je na jeho svobodné vůli rozhodnout se zda bude nebo nebude kouřit. Jednotné balení přistěje ke snadnějšímu kopírování značek a nelegální výrobě a prodeji.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Členské státy EU již mají možnost zavést sankce. Dodržování těchto pravidel by mělo být i na dále ponecháno v kompetenci každého státu.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Testování přísad by se mělo zaměřit na to, zda-li zvyšuje toxicitu tabákového kouře.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Není zde jasně stanovený problém pokud jde o prodejní automat nebo místa prodeje.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petra Fischer

**email**

petra.fischer61@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Svenja Fischer

**email**

svenja.fischer87@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Svenja Fischer

**email**

sveni.fischer@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M.Besouw-Gommans

**email**

miekbesouw@home.nl

**country**

Nederland

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Konrad Beyers

**email**

konrad.beyers@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es gibt keine Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen ob diese Produkte unbedenklich sind

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Verbraucher sollte selbst die Wahl haben

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Einheitspackungen entmündigen die Verbraucher

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das sollten die Mitgliedstaaten selber umsetzen

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wettbewerbsverzerrungen und Auswirkungen auf die Preisentwicklungen bleiben unberücksichtigt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch die strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der einzelnen Länder stellt sich hieraus kein Problem dar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Freiberger Angela

**email**

angela.freiberger@gmx.net

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

trumpi

**email**

n.trumpi@wxs.nl

**country**

holland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dacil Hernandez

**email**

secretaria@truccoazafatas.com

**country**

España

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nayra González

**email**

secretarialaspalmas@truccoazafatas.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

geistmann hans-peter

**email**

tabakgeistmann@aol.com

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Meier

**email**

delia.meier@hotmail.com

**country**

Swiss

**age**

23

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Aus meiner Sicht kann es sinnvoll sein, den Anwendungsbereich der Richtlinie auf diese Produkte auszudehnen. Das darf jedoch nicht dazu führen, dass bestimmte Produkte ohne entsprechende wissenschaftliche Erkenntnisse verboten werden. Aufgrund dieser Gefahr habe ich mich entschlossen, die Option no change zu wählen.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Derzeit fehlt es an wissenschaftlich gesicherten Erkenntnissen, dass grössere Warnhinweise

wirksam sind. Zudem ist in keiner Weise gesichert, dass Standardisierungen der Verpackung den Gesundheitsschutz fördern. Standardisierungen erhöhen vielmehr die Gefahr von Fälschungen. Damit wird dem Schmuggel weiter Vorschub geleistet.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bereits nach geltendem Recht können die Mitgliedsstaaten Gebühren und andere Sanktionen vorsehen. es ist keine genügende Begründung ersichtlich, weshalb die EU in diesem Bereich zusätzliche Kompetenzen in Anspruch nehmen sollte. Die Durchsetzung der Bestimmungen der Richtlinie soll daher weiterhin bei den Mitgliedstaaten verbleiben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In diesem Bereich besteht keinerlei Problematik, weder hinsichtlich der Funktionsweise des Binnenmarktes noch hinsichtlich des Gesundheitsschutzes. Wichtig ist in diesem Bereich einzig, dass die bestehenden Vorschriften, vor allem bezüglich der Alterslimiten, eingehalten werden Dazu sind aber die Mitgliedstaaten weit besser in der Lage als die Union.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus hinsichtlich der Bekanntgabe und Registrierung von Zusatzstoffen sollte allein darauf liegen, ob durch Zusatzstoffe die Toxizität von Tabakrauch erhöht wird oder nicht.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In diesem Bereich besteht keinerlei Problematik, weder hinsichtlich der Funktionsweises des Binnenmarktes noch hinsichtlich des Gesundheitsschutzes. Wichtig ist in diesem Bereich einzig dasss die bestehenden Vorschriften, vor allem bezüglich der Alterslimiten, eingehalten werden. Dazu sind aber die Mitgliedstaaten weit besser in der Lage als die Union.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kohl, Wolfgang

**email**

wolf.kohl@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Olaf Naumann

**email**

petesteel@freenet.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
41

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Herminia Wohlfahrt-Bürger

**email**

ulrich.wohlfahrt@online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Junge

**email**

jungegarbsen@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Regelungen (Gängelungen) der Verbraucher und Bürger sind überflüssig, wie die Hälfte des Europaparlaments und der Kommissionen

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Die Regelungen reichen

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Jeder muss über sich selbst entscheiden - sonst könnte ja auch der Genuss von Lebensmitteln geregelt werden = Diktatur

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Verbote und Vereinheitlichung nützen nichts - siehe früheres Alkoholverbot in den USA (führte zu Schwarzbrennen und vorher noch nie dagewesenem Alkoholkonsum).

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ingredients und deren Einhaltung sind wesentlich sinnvoller bei Lebensmitteln - hier wird mit Obergrenzen und Zulassung erheblich geschlammt.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Torbjörn Hernius

**email**

bjorn.hernius@nematus.se

**country**

Sverige

**age**  
51

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**  
För stora hänsyn tas till "tobaksindustrin och enskilda länder".

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**  
Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulla Braun

**email**

lagmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Drews, S.

**email**

joeyd1988@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Torben Haack

**email**

torben\_haack@web.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susanne Suchanek

**email**

susanne.suchanek@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
KAHMANTOY ANNA

**email**

aklimantou@missirian.gr

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Δεν λειτουργούν σωστά οι αποκρουστικές εικόνες.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Εισαγωγή κοινού τύπου συστατικών σε επίπεδο Ε.Ε.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Δεν υπάρχει καμία απόδειξη ότι τα συστατικά αυξάνουν την εθιστικότητα των προϊόντων του καπνού.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Glenn Lindgren

**email**

spove4@hotmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

moumin ali tzeichan

**email**

tzeichan@hotmail.com

**country**

greece

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΥΠΕΥΘΥΝΟΤΗΤΑ =ΣΩΣΤΗ ΕΝΗΜΕΡΟΣΗ

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΟΙ ΑΝΑΦΟΡΕΣ ΠΡΕΠΕΙ ΝΑ ΔΙΝΟΝΤΑΙ ΞΕΧΩΡΙΣΤΑ ΑΠΟ ΤΑ ΜΕΛΗ ΤΗΣ Ε.Ε.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ΕΙΣΑΓΩΓΗ ΚΟΙΝΟΥ ΤΥΠΟΥ ΣΥΣΤΑΤΙΚΩΝ ΣΕ ΕΠΙΠΕΔΟ Ε.Ε.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oliver Stirnes

**email**

seekhh@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die Tabakprodukttrichtlinie verhindert einen fairen Wettbewerb, vernichtet tausende von Arbeitsplätzen und wird den Staatshaushalt durch die erhöhte Arbeitslosigkeit noch mehr belasten.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Snus ist ein rauchloses Tabakprodukt und weitaus harmloser als verbrennender Tabak.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**



No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jörgen Svendsen

**email**

jorgen.svendsen@airliquide.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Baris Peter Aydin

**email**

peter-aydin@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland Bremen

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ben Eisermann

**email**

beneisermann@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

21

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Um den Jugendschutz zu verbessern müssten zb. mehr Kontrollen eingesetzt werden, die den Schwarzmarkt auf deutschen Straßen eindämmen. Es ist möglich an nahezu jeder Straßenecke Zigaretten zu kaufen.

**additional comments**

Meiner Meinung nach ist es diskriminierend für jeden Raucher die Zigaretten zu "verstecken" und nur noch unter den Ladentecken zu verkaufen oder hinter Vorhängen aufzubewahren. Ebenfalls kann es nicht sein das alle Zigaretten gleich schmecken. Es gibt Gesetze für freie Meinungsäußerung und freien Willen. Ich darf aber nicht mehr aussuchen welche Zigaretten mit welchem Geschmack ich rauchen darf? Ich möchte aber ebenfalls darauf hinweisen das vielen Rauchern die Zigaretten so schmecken wie sie im Moment sind.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Meiner Meinung nach habe ich schon relativ ausführlich beschrieben, im Punkt 1.3 und 1.4, warum das ganze so nicht sein kann.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Rauchloser Tabak ist rauchlos, wie der Name schon sagt. Wenns es keinen Rauch gibt kann auch keiner Gestört werden.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Auf jeder einzelnen Schachtel steht ein riesen Warnhinweis. Es ist gerade noch genug Platz für den Namen der Firma und welche Sorte Zigaretten es sind, das reicht föllig.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Es steht sogar eine Hotline auf jeder Schachtel falls ich aufhören möchte zu Rauchen aber Hilfe brauche.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Auf jeder in Deutschland verkauften Schachtel steht drauf was in den Zigarette für gefährliche Inhaltsstoffe enthalten sind und in welchen Mengen. Das reicht in meinen Augen auch föllig.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Meiner Meinung nach wird mit einer zusätzlichen Gebühr für die Tabakindustrie nicht der der Nichtraucherchutz unterstützt, sondern der Staat möchte nur wieder mehr Geld einnehmen.

**additional comments**

Meiner Meinung nach wäre es eine frechheit auf die ohnehin schon sehr Stark besteuerten Zigaretten noch eine Zusatzgebühr zu legen. Das diese Zusatzgebühr an die Tabakkonzerne gerichtet ist, habe ich gelesen, aber die Tabakkonzerne "müssen" diese Zusatzgebühr ja auf die Zigarettenpreise einkalkulieren um wirtschaftlich zu bleiben.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Über die Zusatzstoffe wird auch der Geschmack reguliert und dieser ist, wie ich bereits sagte, so wie er ist, sehr gut.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach wird dadurch ja fast das gesetz der Werbefreiheit verletzt. Und das kann nun wirklich nicht sein. Wenn man sich Zigaretten kaufen möchte zb.: an einen Automaten, muss man vorher den Personalausweis oder den Führerschein in diesen stecken. Wenn ich an einer Tankstelle oder im Supermarkt oder in einem anderen Laden Zigaretten kaufen möchte werde ich des öfteren nach meinem Personalausweis gefragt. Das funktioniert also sehr gut mit dem Jugendschutz.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul Mooser

**email**

paul.mooser@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
No comment

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefanie Ramm

**email**

odingina@hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Oliver Dolleschel

**email**  
Oliver\_Dolleschel@Web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
43

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marek Bieniasz

**email**

marbi@poczta.onet.eu

**country**

Poland

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Definicja przedstawiona jest zdecydowanie tendencyjnie, praktycznie bez alternatywy, pomimo że obecnie istniejąca dyrektywa jest bardzo restrykcyjna, w porównaniu np. do alkoholu.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Tak. Traktować wyroby tytoniowe tak jak wyroby alkoholowe, jeśli chodzi o reklamy, opakowania, wymagane składniki wyrobu.

**additional comments**

Czy dyrektywy UE mają być antidotum na wszelkie problemy? Takie rozwiązania już funkcjonowały w dawnym ZSRR, tylko nazwa była inna - DEKRETY

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Nie, ponieważ z góry zakłada nie udowodnione tezy. Dlaczego definicje są tendencyjnie formułowane, a jedna odpowiedź dotyczy różnych produktów?

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nie zgadzam się z definicją problemu. Szukanie na siłę argumentów, które się nie bronią.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Nie

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bez sensu jest definicja, która zawiera w sobie tak różne tematy jak sprzedaż przez internet oraz ekspozycja papierosów w sklepach. Co mam zaznaczyć, jeśli z jednym się zgadzam, a z drugim nie? Czyż nie jest to "mieszanie węgla z elektrycznością"?

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ankieta niestety tendencyjna. Czy mam oczekiwać również restrykcyjnych propozycji dotyczących przemysłu samochodowego (np. ostrzeżenia o groźbie śmierci na min.40% powierzchni, blokada prędkości powyżej 130 km/h, itp...) ?

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Per Haglind

#### **email**

031-183177@comhem.se

#### **country**

Sweden

#### **age**

66

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

There is no need for one more nicotin containing tobacco product in Europe

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

There is no need for one more nicotin containing tobacco product in Europe

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eoin Dardis

**email**

eoindardis@talk21.com

**country**

UK

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't think the problem definition adequately addresses the issues that should be posed by tobacco or the need for tobacco regulation. The scope of the Directive should be about proposing effective evidence based measures that properly address the need, scope and development of regulation in the area of what is a legal product. This does not strike me as a genuine attempt to generate an intelligent debate or to solicit balanced views on the issue of regulating tobacco products.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

A genuine debate on what proper regulation should look like.

**additional comments**

I am very cynical about the manner in which this consultation is being directed.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

I am concerned about the scope of the problem definition. The impact of smokeless tobacco should be weighed up against other forms of tobacco to generate a proper debate on the role of smokeless tobacco in regulating the product.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

The impact of health warnings has not been properly assessed in terms of influencing peoples behavior towards tobacco products. There must be an element of desensitisation when something is so dominating whereby people no longer see the meaning of the message - or indeed heed the message - as a result of the way in which the message is communicated.

**additional comments**

Again I am disappointed about the openness of this consultation. I feel it is more designed to establish whether people are aware of what the Commission is proposing rather than to genuinely seek views on what it should be doing in this area.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU Member states already have powers at the sovereign state level to ensure that ingredients are identified and reported properly. The existing powers should be properly utilised before seeking to take more powers.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

A balanced public debate should be led by the Commission on the issue of ingredients and their impact on smokers.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A balanced debate needs to be led by the Commission on the impact of ingredients on toxicity and addictiveness.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence that these measures will achieve the desired objective. The RPC in the UK has made this point about Vending Machine bans and the evidence in the impact in the Republic of Ireland, Canada and Iceland needs to be assessed in terms of the link to the illicit market and the position of illicit products in these countries. Education must be the key, not out of sight out of mind!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martina Aichinger



**email**

a.mat@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrea Bensova

**email**

bkab@centrum.cz

**country**

Czech republic

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

I am sure that snus is not more dangerous than standard cigarette smoking and it is proved that availability of snus product helped to decrease damages caused by smoking in Sweden.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Member Statescan already introduce fees and sanctions

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Testing of ingeridients should be focused wheter the ingredients increase toxicity of tobacco smoke.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is missing clear problem definition regarding vending machines or point of sale display.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claudia O'Brien

**email**

Claudia\_Kaintz@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

21

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie naiv ist die Vorstellung, ein Bild einer Raucherlunge würde einen Raucher vom Rauchen abhalten. Wer hat bitte noch eine Raucherlunge gesehen? Und? Man raucht trotzdem!

Einheitliche Verpackungen widersprechen jeglicher Wettbewerbsfreiheit! Viele Dinge sind gesundheitsschädigen, zb Alkohol. Und wollen Sie jetzt anfangen Bier in einheitlichen Flaschen abzufüllen. Hören Sie endlich auf die Leute bevormunden zu wollen und machen

Sie sich endlich Gedanken um wichtigere Dinge, wie zb um die Gurkenkrümmung!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thorsten Funk

**email**

thorsten.funk@hsh-nordbank.com

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ute Funk

**email**

die.funks@alice-dsl.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I have no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

I have no comments

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I have no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I have no comments

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I have no comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I have no comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel



**email**

daniel\_hugga83@hotmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

do something usefull

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Forbidd guns and ammo instead

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bigger text do that people want it more. text should be like this. "WITH THIS PRODUCT YOU CAN DO WHAT YOU WANT"

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Ehrl

**email**

peter@schreibwaren-ehrl.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΑΣΗΜΕΝΙΑ ΓΟΥΛΑ

**email**

lemonidis98@hotmail.com

**country**

GREECE

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Δεν λειτουργούν σωστα οι αποκρουστικες εικονες.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Θεσπιση ομοιομορφου μοντελου συστατικων.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Εισαγωγή κοινού τύπου συστατικών σε επίπεδο Ε.Ε.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Schmittinger

**email**

mschmittinger@juma-musical.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem and its not the states business to determine the behaviour of its citizens. The people have the right to smoke as they have the right to drink and to drive a car. If smoking is that hazardous then please ban it totally. If not leave it alone. People do what they do according to their emotional disposition. It is most unjust to spoil businesses and devalue

property. This is hypocritical and absolutely unnecessary!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Keep the state and particular the EU out of peoples private lives! The EU commission is going much too far with their initiatives and undermines peoples confidence in a common Europe.

**additional comments**

To oust smoking out of public is gone too far anyway. It is the duty of our political representatives to make a place for all members of society. Otherwise you might render smoking illegal which would then be a different story.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

please see the previous page. How far do you want to go? First smoking, then oral nicotine, then drinking, then eating too much etc. This is not your business.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Again this is not your business. Declare smoking illegal or leave it as a legal stimulant.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Either a product is legal or not!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Each state should regulate this within their own limits. Consequences on the market have to be tolerated.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This is unjust. What happened in the mentioned countries is already much too far. The EU should try to hold them back from exaggeration.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Böckler

**email**

boeck73@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

noch können wir selbst entscheiden

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no coment

**additional comments**

no coment

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No



**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAKIS LEMONIDIS

**email**

makislemonidis65@hotmail.com

**country**

GREECE

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Οι πολίτες της Ε.Ε θα πρέπει να παίρνουν μόνοι τους αποφασεις και όχι κατοπιν

εξαναγκασμου και φοβου.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Η εφαρμογη αυτων των ρυθμισεων της οδηγιας πρεπει να γινεται σε επιπεδο κρατος-μελους και οχι Ε.Ε.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Αποδειξη της ελκυστικοτητας και εθιστικοτητας με επιστημονικες μελετες.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alina Forstbach

**email**

alina.forstbach@gmx.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
33

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Guido Forstbach

**email**

Guido.Forstbach@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Robens

**email**

wolfgang.robens@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

59

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Wendel

**email**

dirkx66@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
44

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
mehr aufklärungsarbeit an kinder und jugend 100%tiger verkauf ab 18 jahre

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gärtner

**email**

gmikerman@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mike Gärtner

#### **email**

gmikerman@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

43

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no change

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mike Gärtner

**email**

gmikerman@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no change

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Miroslav Jančok

**email**

jancok@atlas.cz

**country**

Czech republic

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Member states can already introduce fees and sanctions

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients should be on whether the ingredients result in increase toxicity of tobacco smoke.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jonas

**email**

zx10an@hotmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

30

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xc

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

xzc

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ASXZC

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

asdf

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zdxfc

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zdx

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**



citizen

**name**

Peter Müller

**email**

sim-m7916@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Lesen Sie unsere Kommentare überhaupt oder ist das ein Alibifragebogen und Ihre Meinung steht schon fest. Ich sehe beim Tabakwarenverkauf jedenfalls kein Problem, denn der Handel achtet auf die Abgabe der Produkte nur an den berechtigten Personenkreis.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Eine Umsetzung Ihre Vorstellungen vernichtet Arbeitsplätze, in meinem Betrieb gehen so 3 Vollzeit-, 2 Teilzeitarbeitsplätze und ein Ausbildungsplatz verloren. Tolle politik die Sie da vorhaben. Bekomme ich nach der Kündigung mein Gehalt oder eine Entschädigung von Ihnen? Ich bin über 50 Jahre und werde wohl keinen anderen Arbeitsplatz mehr bekommen.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ist Snus schädlicher als Alkohol? Wird der dann auch verboten ?

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Der Fragebogen könnte z.B. auf Deutsch sein. 80 Millionen Einwohner haben ein Recht auf eine Ansprache in Ihrer Sprache. Das Verbot wird sicher in Deutch abgefasst, und ohne Englischkenntnisse kann man sich an dieser Umfrage nicht beteiligen. Tolle Demokratie, wobei ich jetzt schon davon ausgehe, dass Sie die Umfrage sowieso nicht interessiert

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wegen einer Bunten Packung wird nicht geraucht. Was ist mit den Lebensmittel-Fertigproduktgen mit Ihren Zusatzstoffen: Auch alles einheitlich verpackt, da sie Zusatzstoffe auch die Gesundheit gefährden können.?

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Siehe oben und: eine Neutrale Verpackung ist der Sache nicht dienlich.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sieschaffen es ja nicht einmal bei den Lebensmitteln und anderen Produkten. Warum nun bei Tabakwaren? Und wenn, muß das in allen Ländern gleich sein und davon träumen Sie wohl. (Beipackzettel wie bei Medikamenten wäre doch ganz gut, oder?)

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Schon genug gesagt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Wie wäre es denn mit schärferen Grenzkontrollen um den Schmuggel zu unterbinden.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Matthias Vogt

#### **email**

matthias.vogt@gmx.co.uk

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar.

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Schwaiger Stefan

**email**  
stefan.schwaiger@reflex.at

**country**  
Austria

**age**  
25

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Edinger, Michael

**email**

eddie2571@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claus Müller

**email**

cah.mueller@mx.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jede Form der Regulierung ist abzulehnen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum ist eine eigene Entscheidung und gefährdet keine Dritten:

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Entmündigung von Bürgern!

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

Kein Beleg, daß Warnhinweise wirksam sind! Ich glaube nicht an einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Handlungsbedarf

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine ausreichende wissenschaftliche Prüfung und die Verbreitung des Rauchens ist nicht geringer in Ländern mit einer solchen Regelung.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Verbot von Zigarettenautomaten ist ein Wettbewerbseingriff! Es gibt ausreichende gesetzliche Regelungen und fällt in die Zuständigkeit der Mitgliedsstaaten.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carl-Olof Rydén

**email**

c-o.ryden@telia.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

79

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional comments**

Det är viktigare att skärpa reglerna för cigarettförsäljningen än att marknadsföra snus som ett hälsosamt alternativ till cigaretter. Lansering av svenskt snus i övriga EU kan medföra att fler ungdomar lockas att tobaks-debutera och snabbt bli beroende av nikotin. Påståendena att det är snuset som förklarar den relativt låga prevalensen av rökare i Sverige motsägs av att lika låga prevalenser finns i t ex Kalifornien och Kanada där bruket av snus är mycket obetydligt.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Smaktillsatser som är avsedda att attrahera barn och ungdomar bör förbjudas, som har skett i USA och Kanada. Ett sådant förbud måste inkludera snuset och inte bara gälla cigaretter.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional comments**

Det viktigaste är att införa dold försäljning. Rökare vet vad de ska köra för cigarettmärke redan innan de går till ett säljställe. Det brukar även tobaksindustrin framhålla när man där argumenterar emot dold försäljning, så det är uppenbart att exponeringen i butiker och på bensinstationer, etc, utvecklats för att locka barn och ungdomar att börja röka eller snusa. Argumentet att rökare skulle lockas att byta märke håller inte. I Sverige satsat British American tobacco stora summor på att förse säljstället med sk power walls för att göra exponeringen ännu mer påträngande.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anke Wüst

**email**

Gedankenchamaeleon@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
28

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Weil ich gegen Eure Vorschriften bin. Obwohl ich das Rauchen nicht als klug ansehe und Nichtraucher bin. Denn: Wir haben eigene Köpfe, mit eigenen Gehirnen und stellt Euch vor, wir können nachdenken und selbst entscheiden!!!! Wow, oder?? Danke übrigens für die Englisch-Übung. Toll, dass man so versucht die Masse die des Englischen nicht sehr mächtig ist, abzuhalten!

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Wasserdampf und Nikotin... boooaaa!! Hey, da gibts auch Nikotintabletten... und jetzt??

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
was wollt ihr noch hören? Meint ihr ich finde den Irrsinn plötzlich doch gut?? Soll ich alles Gebetsmühlenartig wiederholen??

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Klar, macht den kleinen Kindern deren Eltern rauchen schöne Albträume!!

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**

nur weil ihr meint etwas zu glauben... Beweist erst mal was. Schafft erst mal die bösen Autos ab! Setzt doch endlich mal Geld ein um etwas für die Umwelt und unsere Luft zu tun. Aber lasst den Mist hier!

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Schon mal was von rauchenden Hunden gehört?? Warum haben dann einige Hunde die in der Stadt leben Krebs? Z.B. in der Schnauze?? Na klar!! Die gehen in Raucherknäulen!! Ach so, die gibts ja nimma... Mist aber auch. Was habt ihr für ne Antwort?

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

immer noch nicht... Ich bin unbelehrbar...

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joerg Kabisch

**email**

germinal44@gmx.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Puschmann

**email**

t.puschmann@t-online.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Ich kenne Raucher, die haben gute Erfahrungen mit Snus gemacht und ihren Cigarettenkonsum reduziert. Ich habe gelesen , das Snus weniger gesundheitsschädlich ist ,als zigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus nicht legal in Deutschland kaufen ???

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Wenn jeder weiße bzw. Einheitspackungen herstellt ,so sind diese viel einfacher zu fälschen. Somit wird noch mehr geschmuggelt und viele Steuergelder gehen verloren. Außerdem ist es eher unwahrscheinlich .das durch Einheitspackungen weniger geraucht wird .

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Tabak und Zigaretten sind ein legales Produkt und deshalb soll es der Kunde auch sehen können. Ich als Raucher möchte sehen was ich kaufe .Viele junge Menschen haben z.B. eine Sucht auf Computerspiele (auch Gewalttätige) - werden die unter dem ladentisch verkauft ?? Von Alkohol ganz zu schweigen.....

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dominique Seyffer

**email**

dseyffer@vtxnet.ch

**country**

Switzerland

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Es besteht jedoch die Gefahr, dass bestimmte Produkte ohne wissenschaftliche Prüfung verboten werden.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Der Konsum von Snus belastet die Umwelt nicht im Gegensatz zu Passivrauchen. Der Vertrieb von Snus Produkten sollte auch in der Schweiz legal erlaubt werden.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ein generisches Paket ist sehr einfach zu kopieren. Dies ermöglicht ein weites Feld für Fälschungen und Schmuggel. Der Schutz der Konsumenten ist massiv gefährdet. Diese Regel verstösst im weiteren massiv gegen die Handels und Gewerbefreiheit. Die Freiheit der einzelnen Produzenten wird massiv eingeschränkt und es wird den Produzenten

verunmöglicht sich gegeneinander abzugrenzen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt schon genügend Informationen über die Inhaltsstoffe einer Zigarette. Der Konsument gewinnt keine zusätzlichen relevanten Informationen, wenn er die genauen chemischen Zusatzstoffe einer Zigarette erfährt.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die gefährlichen Zusatzstoffe müssen deklariert werden. Zuviel Informationen können in diesem Zusammenhang kontraproduktiv sein ( Informations over-kill)

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die entscheidende Problematik ist in diesem Zusammenhang der Jugendschutz. Der Jugendschutz wird mit den geltenden Vorschriften und Kontrollen gewährleistet. Weiter Einschränkungen bezüglich Präsentation und Verkauf von Tabakwaren sind nicht nötig und nicht verhältnismässig.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Adrian Lötscher

**email**

adrian.loetscher@hotmail.com

**country**

Schweiz

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Es kann die Gefahr entstehen, dass bestimmte Produkte ohne fundierte Erkenntnisse verboten werden.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Snus ist ein Tabkerzeugnis welches die Umwelt nicht Passivrauch belastet. In welcher Form Snus schädlich ist muss wissenschaftlich noch begelgt werden. Der Verkauf von Snus-Produkten sollte auch in der Schweiz legalisiert werden da der Konsum ja nicht verboten ist.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Aufdruck und die Werbung sollte noch immer, unter Berücksichtigung der bereits existierenden "Gesetze", durch den Hersteller betimmt werden können. Wenn dies bei Zigaretten-Packungen eingeführt wird müssen gleiche "Gesetze" auch bei Cola, Alkohol usw. usw. eingeführt werden! Zudem ist die Gefahr von Fälschungen noch grösser als zum heutigen Zeitpunkt - Fälschungen = Steuerverlust, zunehmender Schmuggel usw.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Auf jeder Packung wird auf die Schädlichkeit hingewiesen - seit diesem Jahr sogar mit Bilder, dies genügt noch meiner Meinung vollkommen.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Überall kann man lesen wie gefährlich die Zigaretten sind. Ich denke, dass ich als erwachsener Raucher selbst entscheiden darf ob ich rauche oder nicht. Zu viele Verbote/Informationen bewirken, vor allem bei den jungen Leuten, gerade das Gegenteil. Aufklärung ist wichtige, Verbote sind der falsche Weg!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt bereits bei uns viele Möglichkeiten den Verkauf zu kontrollieren - sei es durch das Personal in den Läden oder mit Jetos wenn ich meine Zigaretten an einem Automaten kaufe. Wichtig ist hierbei die gute Schulung des Personals - z.b. kein Verkauf an Minderjährige. Dies ist aber bereit in vielen Läden / Kiosken gross angeschrieben. Es braucht sicher kein zusätzliches Gesetz welches die Präsentation der Zigaretten im Laden/Kiosk verbietet! Was wären noch einem solchen Gesetz der nächste Schritt? Alkohol darf nicht mehr sichtbar verkauft werden? oder Schoggi (macht bekanntlich dick (sehr viele leiden unter Übergewicht..) usw. usw.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eva María Hernández

**email**

eva.hernandez@truccoazafatas.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

En el mundo hay dos grandes tipos de cigarrillos, los American Blend y los Virginia. Los primeros requieren de ingredientes para su manufactura y el prohibir el uso de ingredientes implicaría por tanto su eliminación del mercado, sin tener ningún tipo de base científica sino solo criterios subjetivos de atractividad.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

En referencia a que el producto no pueda ser expuesto en los puntos de ventas, va a provocar movimientos de consumidores a grandes superficies, arruinado a los pequeños comerciantes y además, hay evidencias de que esta medida implica un aumento del comercio ilícito.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Wittki

**email**

m.wittki@web.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Schröder

**email**

jensschroeder01@aol.com

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bemelmans G.H.H.M

**email**

aviadeholz@yahoo.com

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heuser, Sven Nicolas

**email**

familyheuser@online.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
I would like to decide myself

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
No, i think the actual regulation is complete enough

**additional comments**  
I don't want to be regulated more

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
free consumption of cigarttes and sun in the whole EU

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Please don't over regulate Europe.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I like it as it is

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I like these products

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wondrak, Tom

**email**

wondrak.tom@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
25

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Warum werden Zigaretten verboten??? und keine Hamburger Autos usw. Wenn sich leute ungesund ernähren schaden sie genau so der Gesamten Bevölkerung und geben ein schlechtes Vorbild für kinder ab. wenn diese genau so essen gefährdet dies genau so die gesundheit anderer!!! deshalb aufklärung und nicht verbieten!!!!

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Durch so eine Idee werden nur arbeitsstellen vernichtet!

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Warum angeben was in der Zigarrete / Zigarre ist ?? die wichtigsten Daten sind vorhanden!!!!

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

es ist wichtig das weiterhin die verschiedenen geschmäcker usw. vorhanden bleiben!!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wenn jemand rauchen will schafft er das auch wenn er keine 18Jahre ist den um an einen Führerschein geldkarte o.Ä. zu kommen sollte kein problem sein.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Nyman

**email**

thomas.nyman@airliquide.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Allow "Snus" to be bought in all EU-countries, smoking will surely give more health cost for

the union than snus ever would do.

**additional comments**

Smoking kills, smokin bothers the poeple in your surroundings, smoking kills your children, smoking kill while fallin a sleep with a cigarett. If, I really mean if snus gives you a cancer it's all up to you, you don't spread your snus to people that dose't use snus

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Inform people about the hazard of smoking, it's on your own risk. Inform people that snus is a kinder way to consume tobacco

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

PLEASE, No more control of citizents, enough is enough

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Koper

#### **email**

koper@quicknet.nl

#### **country**

Nederland

#### **age**

44

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΠΑΝΑ

**email**

papadopa@hotmail.gr

**country**

ΠΑΝΑΓΙΩΤΗΣ ΠΑΠΑΔΟΠΟΥΛΟΣ

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Σωστή και υπεύθυνη ενημέρωση πάνω στα πακέτα

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Η εφαρμογή αυτών των ρυθμίσεων της οδηγίας πρέπει να γίνεται σε επίπεδο κράτος - μέλους και όχι E.E.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Δεν μπορεί να εξαχθεί σαφές συμπέρασμα ως προς τον ρόλο που παίζουν στο να καταστήσουν ελκυστικά τα προϊόντα καπνού.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Schmidt

**email**

schmidt-schmelze@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Detlev Berger

**email**

Bergers-shop@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

helmut westermann

**email**

helmut.westermann@gmx.net

**country**  
deutschland

**age**  
56

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

monika Benning

**email**

okello35@yahoo.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das schrenkt meine Freiheit ein

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Das schrenkt meine Freiheit ein

**which option**

No change



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das schrenkt meine Freiheit ein

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das schrenkt meine Freiheit ein

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das schrenkt meine Freiheit ein

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das schrenkt meine Freiheit ein

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

michael brenner

**email**

stereo01@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

michael wolff

#### **email**

michael.wolff66@t-online.de

#### **country**

deutschland

#### **age**

43

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

heike wilkens

**email**

albert-wilkens@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bonschinski Oliver Am Kanal 1 88214 Ravensburg

**email**

info@zigarren-baur.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

lindner uve

**email**

tu.lindner@hotmail.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

54

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

günter nicolai

**email**

nicolaiusch@arcor.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carmen Merker

**email**

c.merker.noerten@tabakwarenunion.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Iván Szabolcs

**email**  
ivanszabi@freemail.hu

**country**  
Hungary

**age**  
32

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Birgit Haager

**email**

birgit@blaschke.com

**country**

Austria

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Entmündigung

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Entmündigung

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Entmündigung

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lydia Mexner

**email**

lydia.mexner@gmx.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
31

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar.

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gabriele Bobka

**email**

f\_und\_p\_beteiligung@msn.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Henry Priesemuth

**email**  
hotelamwernesbach.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Eleni Karidou

#### **email**

ekaridou@yahoo.gr

#### **country**

Greece

#### **age**

33

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Οι πολίτες της Ε.Ε θα πρέπει να είναι σε θέση να λαμβάνουν από μόνοι τους τους αποφάσεις και όχι υπό την μορφή εξαναγκασμού και φόβου

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Θέσπιση ομοιόμορφου μοντέλου συστατικών

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Δεν υπάρχει καμία απόδειξη ότι τα συστατικά αυξάνουν την εθιστικότητα των προϊόντων του καπνού

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Πιστοποίηση ηλικίας από τους αγοραστές

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAPADOPOULOU CHAROULA

**email**

cpapadopoulou@missirian.gr

**country**

GREECE

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
-

**additional comments**  
-

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Σωστή και υπεύθυνη ενημέρωση πάνω στα πακέτα

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Θέσπιση ομοιόμορφου μοντέλου συστατικών

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Εισαγωγή κοινού τύπου συστατικών σε επίπεδο Ε.Ε

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Πιστοποίηση ηλικίας από τους αγοραστές

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer Anthon

**email**

rainer.anthon@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kurz

**email**

lotto-kurz@versanet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kerstin Kähler

**email**

kikikaehler@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I think the smokers got enough restrictions. They are not criminals just smokers, what about the drinkers, which damages the national economies. The politicians got so much problem to dissolve. Therefore they need the taxes the smokers pay. To increase the tax is not more a way to act, because smuggling is normal now a days. you have to be 18 to get cigarettes and that must be enough!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

the bavarian will kill you when you take the snuff away

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nobody will really read it.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It will cost millions of € and I think there are better ways to waste our taxes ( that the companies didn't pay)

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

there are enough restrictions

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Grötsch

**email**

groetschm@online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Treuter, Claudia

**email**

Terrorlilly@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weil ich mich nicht in meiner Entscheidung bevornunden lassen will!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anastasia Moshu

**email**

die.ana@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Keine Änderungen gewünscht.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no change

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment, please refer to the NGG

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

there must be a possibility to advertise legal products legally!

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

I must have the possibility to choose in between legal products, like cigarettes. As an adult consumer I want to have the alternative to choose my preferred brand.

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

carsten krause

**email**

carsten\_krause@bat.com

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

wenn snus in schweden erlaubt ist, warum dann nicht in schweden

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

freiheit für alle

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen kommentar



**which option**

No change

**additional option**

ausreichend geregelt, weiße packung einfach zu fälschen, markenrechte?

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

für ein legales produkt muss werbung möglich sein!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

ausreichend geregelt, markenwahl statt entmündigung

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer Hiller

**email**

jojanina@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick Schwarze

**email**

patrickschwarze@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Angelika Kalupa

**email**

Geli-Kalupa@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maren Hüpeden

**email**

MKrichbaum@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**which option**

No change



**additional option**

Kein Kommentar!!!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!!!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Huepeden

**email**

mhuepeden@googlemail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

marcus Kubitz

**email**

m.kubitz@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no com.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maarten Schrantee

**email**

maarten.schrantee@gmail.com

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition is confusing, incomplete and unclear

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

-

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

-

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence that bigger warnings, picture warnings or plain packs are more effective

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU member states can already introduce fees and sanctions

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence provided as to why bans would improve the functioning of the internal market or advance any public health objectives

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

George Zafiriou

**email**

g.zafiriou@comergon.gr

**country**

Greece

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Responsible advice and not fear should be the solution to the problem.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Since fines and levies already exist on member-states, I do not believe that the Directive should apply on EU top level but on member-states level.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I agree for a Directive on ingredients on EU top level, as long as it is based on scientific facts. But I disagree on establishing 'attractiveness' (or similar) as a criterion since it cannot be

supported scientifically.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Birgit Kasper

**email**

presseshop-schwede@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**



No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein komentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein komentar

**additional comments**  
kein komentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein komentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein komentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein komentar

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Henri COMBE LABOISSIERE

#### **email**

henricombelaboissiere@hotmail.com

#### **country**

France

#### **age**

25

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Il n'y a aucune base scientifique justifiant cette interdiction

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Cela permettrait une augmentation de la contrefaçon.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cela doit tenir de la responsabilité des états membres de l'UE

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cela concerne uniquement la question de la nocivité des produits ou non

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Il n'y a pas de preuve que ces changements répondent aux objectifs de santé publique

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Monika Klippstein

**email**

timo.klippstein@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es gibt genug Restriktionen am Markt. Rauchen ab 18 langt, wir wissen was wir tun.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wer liest das wirklich

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wer will es wirklich wissen

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wer über 18 ist weiß was er tut

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anja Dittmer

**email**

anja.dittmer@tmcc.info

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heinz Strehl

**email**

hstrehl@foni.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

joachim peters



**email**

womopepe@hotmail.de

**country**

germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

raucher wissen was sie tun

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

who will read this ?

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Inge Krüger

**email**

krueger-inge@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Raucher unterliegen schon genug Restriktionen. Wir werden schlechter behandelt als kriminelle in unserer Gesellschaft.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

liest sowieso keiner

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marc Schnipkoweit

**email**

dasnip1887@aol.de

**country**

germany

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil es scheisse ist!!! wer soll denn so noch vernünftig arbeiten können?

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nobody will read it

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es gibt genug beschränkungen am markt vorhanden

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

JACEK MOZDYNIEWICZ

**email**

jacek.supra@interia.pl

**country**

poland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Bärenfänger

**email**

peter-baerenfaenger@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

End Carmen

**email**

info@tmcc.info

**country**

Germany



**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommetnar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Yvonne Käding

**email**

Ywikiwi83@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt genug Marktkontrolle

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Teer und Nikotin reicht

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
liest keiner

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
intressiert keinen

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
COLLIGNON JEAN MARC

**email**  
jeanmarc.collignon08@orange.fr

**country**  
FRANCE

**age**  
43

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Toute interdiction devrait s'appuyer sur des bases scientifiques

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Le snus est beaucoup moins nocif que les cigarettes

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Il n'y a aucune preuve qu'une augmentation de la taille des avertissements sanitaires soit plus efficace.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
il en est de la responsabilité des états membres de l'EU

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
La question de l'évaluation des ingrédients devrait uniquement poser le problème de l'augmentation ou non de la toxicité de la fumée du tabac

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Il n'y a pas de preuve que ces interdictions amélioreraient le fonctionnement du marché intérieur ou répondent aux objectifs de santé publique.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jolanda Hendriks

**email**

hbvbv@hetnet.nl

**country**

Netherlands

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jerome Fievet

**email**

jeromefievet@orange.fr

**country**

France

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Le champ d'application de la directive pourrait être étendu pour couvrir ces autres produits mais ne devrait pas exclure automatiquement une catégorie de produit (nouvelle forme de tabac orale).

**additional comments**

Toute interdiction devrait s'appuyer sur des bases scientifiques

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Nous estimons que l'interdiction du snus n'est pas justifiée et devrait être levée. D'importants éléments scientifiques montrent que le snus est beaucoup moins nocif que les cigarettes

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Il n'y a aucune preuve qu'une augmentation de la taille des avertissements sanitaires soit plus efficace. Il n'y a aucune preuve que le paquet générique améliore le fonctionnement du marché intérieur ou ait un impact bénéfique pour la santé publique. Cela faciliterait la tâche des criminels qui copient les paquets et entraînerait une augmentation du commerce illicite de cigarettes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Les États membres peuvent déjà introduire des frais d'enregistrement et des sanctions. La mise en œuvre de telles mesures qui seraient prévues par la directive doit rester de la responsabilité des États membres de l'UE

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

La question de l'évaluation des ingrédients devrait uniquement poser le problème de l'augmentation ou non de la toxicité de la fumée du tabac

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le problème des distributeurs automatiques et de l'affichage des produits sur le point de vente est mal posé. Il n'y a pas de preuve que ces interdictions amélioreraient le fonctionnement du marché intérieur ou répondent aux objectifs de santé publique

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rolf Gärtner

**email**

mail@rolf-gaertner.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

keine Bevormundung der Bürger

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

keine Bevormundung der Bürger

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

C.Kaczor

**email**

corkaczor@gmail.com

**country**

nederland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ute Pest

**email**

ute.pest@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Herbert Daumann

#### **email**

n.daumann@cegelec.com

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

74

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gregory Bootz-Nave

**email**

greg.2402@hotmail.fr

**country**

france

**age**  
32

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
J'estime que l'interdiction des snus n'est pas justifiée et devrait être levée. De plus, le snus est beaucoup moins nocif que les cigarettes.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
aucune preuve qu'une augmentation de la taille des messages sanitaires soit plus efficace

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
elle doit rester la responsabilité des états membres

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
il y a juste le problème de l'augmentation ou non de la toxicité de la fumée de tabac



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

il y aurait toujours le meme nombre de fumeur!!! de plus il y aurait encore plus de contrebande

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven Daumann

**email**

n.daumann@cegelec.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Marianne Meier

#### **email**

n.daumann@cegelec.com

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

68

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Heß

**email**

wildfire-live.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es besteht kein Handlungsbedarf. Rauchen ist Privatsache, in welche sich die EU nicht einzumischen hat. Es ist hinreichend bekannt gemacht worden, welche Risiken mit dem Rauchen verbunden sind, die Entscheidung darüber, ob man sich dieser Risiken aussetzt, trifft der mündige Bürger selber.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Ich wünsche lediglich neutrale Informationen über Teer- sowie Nikotingehalt auf den von mir bezahlten Tabakprodukten.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Es kann jeder mündige Bürger selbst entscheiden, was er kaufen möchte.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Es hat sich die EU nicht in Entscheidungen mündiger Bürger über ihr Kauf- und Verbrauchsverhalten einzumischen.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitigen Hinweise auf den Verpackungen sind mehr als ausreichend, um eine Kaufentscheidung zu treffen. Die albernen Hinweise, wie z.B. "Rauchen kann tödlich sein", müssen wieder verschwinden. Auch Autofahren kann tödlich sein und auch hier würde ich mir einen entsprechenden Hinweis beim Autokauf verbitten.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Entfernen jeglicher fanatischer Meinungen von Produkten, die im Handel erhältlich sind und das Produkt künstlich verteuern.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitigen Regelungen sind mehr als ausreichend.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Es ist sagenhaft, wie über den Kopf des mündigen Bürgers hinweg Regelungen geschaffen werden sollen, die kein Betroffener will, die aber zusätzlich Unmengen an Geldern verschlingen. Schluß mit dem Regulierungswahn!

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitigen Regelungen sind ausreichend.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Die derzeitigen Regelungen sind ausreichend.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Regelung Tabakwaren nur an über 18-jährige zu verkaufen, ist ausreichend. Weiterer Maßnahmen bedarf es nicht.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Der mündige Bürger entscheidet selber, was er wann und wo kauft. Er entscheidet selber, was er wann und wo konsumiert. Er trägt auch selber die Konsequenzen seines Handelns.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Ballhausen

**email**

tu.ballhausen@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

B Tilstra

**email**

caddy85td@hotmail.com

**country**

Nederland

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kahlau, Torsten

**email**

tka@unitybox.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Walter Armbrecht

**email**

walterarmbrecht@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Dagmar Ziegner

#### **email**

dagmar-ziegner@web.de

#### **country**

germany

#### **age**

47

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Es gibt drängendere Probleme. Tabak ist ein legales Produkt, das nicht weiter reglementiert werden sollte!

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

es gibt kein Problem

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Tabak ist ein legales Produkt. Darauf kann man nicht oft genug hinweisen!!

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tabak ist ein legales Produkt. Weitere Beschränkungen sind nicht erforderlich. Jeder Staat sollte selbst entscheiden, wie er mit Tabkprodukten umgeht. Zu viele Verbote reizen gerade Jugendliche zum Konsum.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martina Mirlach

**email**

Martina.Mirlach@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

19

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no way to get new tobacco experiences if all new forms of tobacco would be forbidden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Everyone who consumes tobacco products has in mind that it is dangerous. There is no need to forbid adults to do what they want to do

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Like i said before. Tobacco Consumers are Adults. With working brains. If you want to do things like that with tobacco products you first should do them with alcohol. Its discriminating to forbid any form of personalizing the Products.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marco Spangenmacher

**email**

spange1986@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change



**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jochen Grimm

**email**  
joi48@web.de

**country**  
germany

**age**  
62

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Problemdarstellung ist vage und beruht nicht auf wissenschaftlich untermauerten Fakten.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Diese Prtprodukte sind für dritte unschändlich und sollten daher der Eigenverantwortung des jeweiligen Verwenders unterliegen. Eine Regulierung über die geltenden Bestimmungen hinaus ist nicht erforderlich und sollte national erfolgen.

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Diese Art der geplanten Kennzeichnung kann sicher nicht die geplante Wirkung erzielen und ist daher unter Berücksichtigung des Grundsatzes der Verhältnismäßigkeit abzulehnen.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die nationalen Regelungen hierzu reichen völlig aus. Keine Nationalregierung hat hier größeren Handlungsbedarf signalisiert.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Aufgrund der bisherigen Gesetzgebungen kann davon ausgegangen werden, dass die Inhaltstoffe ausreichend sicher überwacht und reguliert sind. Regionale Besonderheiten müssen berücksichtigt bleiben. Eine "Einheitszigarette" löst keinerlei Probleme sondern wäre nur reine Schikane.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Insbesondere die gesetzlichen Regelungen betreffend des Zigarettenautomaten gewährleisten einen optimalen Schutz am POS, da hier zweifelsfreie Alterskriterien auf amtlichen Dokumenten zu Legitimation herangezogen werden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Wolfgang

**email**

wulfwalk65@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Holger Ce3jka

**email**  
nando-f@online.ms

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommenta

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcel Möhlen

**email**

Moehlen@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I like to decide by myself

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

I'm old enough to decide

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nobody will read the ingredients disclosure

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nobody is interested in the disclosure of the tobacco ingredients - everybody knows that smoking is unhealthy

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It worked for 100 years - why change - clarify the people

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Seck

**email**

spitzmaus@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

bevormundung der bürger

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change



**additional option**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tomas Jonsson

**email**

tomas@exacuo.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There should be no difference between different kinds of tobacco, cigarets and snus should be treated in the same way.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Snus is obviously less dangerous than alcohol or cigarets.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Snus seems to have less negative affect to human helth

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Baumberger Hubert

**email**

h.baumberger@pptv.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

48

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
There is no Problem only the EU will dictate us everything and they now want to come in our privacy. They want to change everything at least they can suck out some more money from smaller countries like Austria .The best thing is, first you clean your own pigstable in Brüssels and than you can think about some stupide new rules.

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
This problem is just made in Brüssels,that means this people there should make their job and not think about some stupid things

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
leave it like it is ,we have by god bigger problems than this

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans-Josef Fischer

**email**

hj.fischer@landewyck.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Konsumenteninformation zum Thema Tabakwaren ist ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Werner Schöneis

**email**

wsah6758644i@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anja Hütter

**email**

anja.huetter@ipsos.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

bakker

#### **email**

baktank@home.nl

#### **country**

nederland

#### **age**

55

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Florian Thoma

**email**

florianthoma1@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Reinders

**email**

ralf.reinders@domsky.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Keine dieser Maßnahmen reduziert den Konsum nachweislich. Bevormundung der Bürger.  
Die bereits getroffenen Maßnahmen sind ausreichend.

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Keine Änderung.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zunächst sollten die erlaubten Zusatzstoffe in den Mitgliedsstaaten harmonisiert und vereinheitlicht werden!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommetar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Stahn

**email**

klaus.stahn@tobaccoland.com

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
61

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change



**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heike Toepel

**email**

pittitoepel@ish.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Beate Christoffel

**email**

beate.christoffel@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is a personal question, not a legislative

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

It is my private decision to smoke or not. There are much more other problems in this world (like hunger and thirst or pollution), which should be urgently resolved

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

My privacy

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In my opinion we should leave everything as it is, otherwise we must also care about people who consume fast food or convenience food... and other things damaging health...

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Höwitz

**email**

hanshowitz@hotmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

christine nickel

**email**

cni@gmx.net

**country**

germany

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment - ich finde, dass es dringend andere Probleme gibt, um die sich gekümmert werden muß. Wir sind alles mündige Bürger und es ist in meinen Augen wichtiger sich um hungernde und kranke Menschen (besonders in den 3. Ländern zu kümmern) und um Gewalt an Frauen (auch in europäischen Staaten), als um das Rauchen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joanna Walczuk

**email**

joanna.owwo@gmail.com

**country**

SPAIN

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rafael Ojeda

**email**

owwo@telefonica.net

**country**

SPAIN

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comments

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comments

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comments

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comments

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Ramdorf

**email**

jens.ramdorf@tabakwolters.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Margret Moorkamp

**email**

Margret.Moorkamp@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change; Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nowakowski, Gregor

**email**

bg.nowakowski@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Lipp Sabine

**email**  
skype\_bini@T-Online.de

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Toepel

**email**

pittitoepel@ish.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

No

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

No

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

No

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein kommentar

**additional comments**

No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christina Tönißen

**email**

christina.toenissen@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

24

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lothar Wenzel

**email**

wenzel.lothar@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

61

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heidemarie Gregusch

**email**

maus1812@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mika Gregusch

**email**

freezer74@web.de

**country**



Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lothar Dietz

**email**

tu.dietz@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lars Gregusch

**email**

lars.gregusch@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Wolfgang Lange

**email**  
wolla-noerten@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sara Gierke

**email**

saragierke@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manuela Lange

**email**

wolla-noerten@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tabakwaren Backes-Zebralla

**email**

peter.zebralla@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

osing lydia

**email**

dajo73@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

osing

**email**

guenter.osing@ewetel.net

**country**

germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comentar

**additional comments**

no comentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comentar

**additional comments**

no comentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S.Held

**email**

sheld1825@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Theodor Freese

**email**

theo.fr@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Dobel

**email**

info@thomas-dobel.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it's not a problem

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

it's not a problem

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it's not a problem

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it's not a problem

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it's not a problem

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MIRLACH Michael

**email**

M.Mirlach@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finde die derzeitige Regulierung deutlich überzogen und anmaßend. Ein mündiger Bürger sollte frei entscheiden dürfen welche Produkte mit welchen Inhalten und Dosierungen er zu sich nehmen möchte.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Abschaffung dieser Regulierung

**additional comments**

Die Einmischung der EU in die Privatsphäre ist eine Zumutung

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Die Definition ist völlig überzogen

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Derzeitige Regulierung ist schon zu viel.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Definition ist bevormundend und anmaßend

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Völlig überzogen und anmaßend

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Basiert auf Vermutungen und Annahmen, welche prophetischen Charakter haben

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tabakprodukte sollten für jeden mündigen Bürger frei zugänglich sein und nicht Finanzlöcher stopfen

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Heinrich W. Lederle

#### **email**

info@lederle.co

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

59

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

No.

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional option**

No.

**additional comments**

No.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No.

**additional comments**

No.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

No.

**additional comments**

No.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Elmar Tannert

**email**

elmar.tannert@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Eingriffe der EU in das Genußverhalten der Bürger verletzen die individuelle Freiheit wie auch das Subsidiaritätsprinzip.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Nein. Ich sehe keinen Anlaß für die EU, die Freiheitsrechte der Bürger - nicht nur was den Tabakkonsum betrifft - weiter einzuschränken.

**additional comments**

Ein Problem sehe ich allerdings darin, daß ich Englisch verstehen muß und einen Internetzugang haben muß, um an dieser Befragung teilzunehmen. Sind denn Bürger, die nur ihre Muttersprache verstehen und nicht Online sind, ausgeschlossen?



**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Auch hier bin ich der Ansicht, daß die EU nicht in die Lebensgewohnheiten ihrer Bürger einzugreifen hat.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Das "Problem" ist in Wahrheit die Regulierungswut der EU.

**additional comments**

Ich wünsche keine Reglementierungen seitens der EU, was Tabakkonsum betrifft.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die EU befaßt sich hier mit Dingen, die sie nichts angehen.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Tabak ist - wie Alkohol - ein legales Genußmittel. Prohibitive Maßnahmen der EU lehne ich ab.

**additional comments**

Wiederum möchte ich darauf hinweisen, daß eine Bürgerbefragung in der jeweiligen Landessprache zu erfolgen hat.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist jedermanns eigene Sache, ob er raucht oder nicht. Erziehungsdiktatorische Warnungen halte ich für unbotmäßig.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Ich sehe keine Notwendigkeit, legale Produkte durch die Hintertür zu illegalisieren. Wir leben in einer freien Gesellschaft. Oder nicht?

**additional comments**

Alle Vorschriften, die in die Gestaltungsfreiheit der Verpackungen von Tabakprodukten eingreifen, sollen zurückgenommen werden.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tabak ist ein legales Produkt. Eingriffe der EU in die Freiheit des Handels und Konsums lehne ich ab.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Das Problem ist nicht der Tabak, sondern die Regulierungs- und Erziehungswut der EU.

**additional comments**

Und noch einmal: Eine solche Befragung hat nicht in einer Fremdsprache zu erfolgen!

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tabak ist ein legales Produkt. Die EU hat hier nicht einzugreifen.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Ich empfehle dringend, daß die EU ihre diktatorische und diskriminierende Regulierungspolitik endlich zurückschraubt.

**additional comments**

Gern weise ich auch noch einmal darauf hin, daß Englisch nicht meine Muttersprache ist.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sascha Grams

**email**

Sascha@GrimsGrams.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
27

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**  
Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be  
banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marc Stendel

**email**

st.einer@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Leave the citizens alone!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

incorrect

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Leave them alone!

**additional comments**

leave them alone!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Smoking is cool!

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Leave thecitizens alone!

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Monika Schmidt

#### **email**

monikaschmidt2@gmx.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Paul Mooser

**email**  
paul.mooser@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
56

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

So wie es jetzt ist, ist es i.O. ich wünsche k e i n e Änderung.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Mir gehen die Weltverbesserer der EU mittlerweile wie Millionen andern Menschen auch in Europa, total auf die Nerven. Wenn die Bürokraten in Brüssel so weitermachen, dürfen sie sich nicht wundern, wenn Europafeindliche Parteien wie die Pilze aus dem Boden sprießen. Und ich werde sie wählen!!!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nochmals... Es ist keine Änderung erforderlich

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderung erforderlich. Warum gibt es dieses Formular nicht auf Deutsch????

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**



**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jacqueline b.

**email**

bader\_jacqueline@hotmail.com

**country**

austria

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

its not ok to "hide" cigarettes... , that dont safe children safing children can be provided by semaniars in"hauptschulen, gymnasien", i had a seminar to be provided from addiction with 19, thats to late that needs to be earlier and standard !

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

seminars in schools, clarification as standard !

**additional comments**

i smoke , i know its bad ... but i know where to buy cigarettes and if i dont see them it wont help cigarettes are drugs... you need to forbidd them or let it be.. logos and marketing are necessary to save the companies, seelling cigarettes are important fees ? thats probably the reason why they are not forbidden ?! additives are not the bad, nicotin is the bad one ... are cigarretes without nicotin possible ? greets

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the problem isnt the package ... the problem is missing clarification from the youth !

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

clarification always :)

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**additional comments**

not everybodys care about age of the consumers ... more controlles are necessary

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Wilhelm Friedrich Hoffend

#### **email**

wilfried.hoffend@skynet.be

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
K.-H. Schnepel

**email**  
khschnepel@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
54

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joneleit

**email**

brigittej@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Margit-Rosa Rehn

**email**

bluevista@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

free smoking

**additional comments**

what happens in europe is fascism against smokers !!!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

free smoking!

**additional comments**

personal liberty should be respected.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

free smoking

**additional comments**

I do like smoking ...

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

free smoking

**additional comments**

free smoking

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

free smoking

**additional comments**

free smoking

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

FREE SMOKING

**additional comments**

FREE SMOKERS

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

J. Raasch-Simon

**email**

jorsimon@t-online.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Möstl Peter

**email**

peter@moestl-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es wird alles übertrieben!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

keine Änderungen

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Corinna Müller

**email**

cm.72@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Möstl Peter

**email**

peter@moestl-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Becker

**email**

uwebecker3@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zigaretten sind ein legales Produkt. Konsumenten von Zigaretten werden bereits erheblich eingeschränkt. Ich lehne jede Regulierung des Genussverhaltens von Menschen ab. Die EU greift bereits zu weit in den Privatbereich der Bürger ein und das hat mit einem freien Europa nichts mehr zu tun.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Es ist wohl einzigartig, daß durch immer weitere Auflagen und Beschränkungen versucht wird, den Verkauf eines legales Genussmittels immer weiter zu erschweren bzw. zu verhindern.

**additional comments**

Die EU sollte sich um die wirklichen Probleme in Europa und der Welt kümmern. Ich unterstütze keine EU, die ihre Bürger zu unmündigen Menschen erziehen will.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Mündige Bürger entscheiden für sich selbst.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Genug ist genug. Weitere Einschränkungen der persönlichen Entscheidung sind nicht akzeptabel.



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich lehne jeden Eingriff durch die EU ab, da Tabak ein legales Produkt ist.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Es gibt keinen Handlungsbedarf.

**additional comments**

jede weitere Einschränkung ist abzulehnen. Genug ist genug.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tabak ist ein legales Produkt, weitere Einschränkungen sind abzulehnen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist nicht Aufgabe der EU sich noch weiter in die persönlichen Rechte von Bürgern einzumischen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Prohibition, und nach einer solchen sieht es aus, ist unannehmbar und daher abzulehnen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

René Bodkin

**email**

austroren@yahoo.com

**country**

Austria

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The harm to a whole industry would be devastating, criminalising smokers would be a total waste of tax money and cost taxpayers even MORE, there has been enough discrimination for people who do smoke, and I think it infringes on my rights as a free citizen. As a person who smokes cigarettes, I have been ok with restrictions so far, but the proposal goes beyond the pale and is just absurd and disgusts me. Making personal compromises is one thing. Being quasi-outlawed disgusts me.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

In my view, there IS no problem

**additional comments**

Don't any of you have anything BETTER to do, other than try to ruin an entire industry, and people's livelihoods?

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Already answered this.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is the person's right to choose what they wish, and they shouldn't have choices taken away from them. And don't you all have anything BETTER to do?

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The restrictions in place are sufficient.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kay tröster

**email**

kaitroester@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bohr, Jürgen

**email**

JuergenBohr@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Purwin irk

**email**

dirkpurwin@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer Schober

**email**

Rainer.Schober@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



Keine Änderung der Gesetze nötig!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Keine Änderung der Gesetze nötig!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderung der Gesetze nötig!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderung der Gesetze nötig!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderung der Gesetze nötig!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderung der Gesetze nötig!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katharina Winterfeld

**email**

k.aathii@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Schäfer

**email**

ChristianSchaefer2006@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

18

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ging doch bisher alles, warum sollte man etwas ändern! Das einzige das dadurch erreichen wird ist, dass die ganzen kleinen Leuten mit nem Kiosk und damit nicht mehr Geld als nem Arbeitslosen in Deutschland, bald auch in den Reihen der Arbeitslosen zu finden sind. Die Argumente von irgendwelchen Gesundheitsfritzen sind auch nicht Aussage kräftig, weil wer gesund leben will, der soll das tun, aber doch niemand das aufzwingen, der es eben nicht will, damit würde man ja die eine Person als mehrwertig, als die andere sehen und das grenzt bei weiten nicht an Meinungsfreiheit, sondern an Diktatur einer eigentlichen kleineren Bevölkerungsgruppe. Nicht rechtens!!!!!!!!!!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Es ging doch bisher alles, warum sollte man etwas ändern! Das einzige das dadurch erreichen wird ist, dass die ganzen kleinen Leuten mit nem Kiosk und damit nicht mehr Geld als nem Arbeitslosen in Deutschland, bald auch in den Reihen der Arbeitslosen zu finden sind. Die Argumente von irgendwelchen Gesundheitsfritzen sind auch nicht Aussage kräftig, weil wer gesund leben will, der soll das tun, aber doch niemand das aufzwingen, der es eben nicht will, damit würde man ja die eine Person als mehrwertig, als die andere sehen und das grenzt bei weiten nicht an Meinungsfreiheit, sondern an Diktatur einer eigentlichen kleineren Bevölkerungsgruppe. Nicht rechtens!!!!!!!!!!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ging doch bisher alles, warum sollte man etwas ändern! Das einzige das dadurch erreichen wird ist, dass die ganzen kleinen Leuten mit nem Kiosk und damit nicht mehr Geld als nem Arbeitslosen in Deutschland, bald auch in den Reihen der Arbeitslosen zu finden sind. Die Argumente von irgendwelchen Gesundheitsfritzen sind auch nicht Aussage kräftig, weil wer gesund leben will, der soll das tun, aber doch niemand das aufzwingen, der es eben nicht will, damit würde man ja die eine Person als mehrwertig, als die andere sehen und das grenzt bei weiten nicht an Meinungsfreiheit, sondern an Diktatur einer eigentlichen kleineren Bevölkerungsgruppe. Nicht rechtens!!!!!!!!!!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ging doch bisher alles, warum sollte man etwas ändern! Das einzige das dadurch erreichen wird ist, dass die ganzen kleinen Leuten mit nem Kiosk und damit nicht mehr Geld als nem Arbeitslosen in Deutschland, bald auch in den Reihen der Arbeitslosen zu finden sind. Die Argumente von irgendwelchen Gesundheitsfritzen sind auch nicht Aussage kräftig, weil wer gesund leben will, der soll das tun, aber doch niemand das aufzwingen, der es eben nicht will, damit würde man ja die eine Person als mehrwertig, als die andere sehen und das grenzt bei weiten nicht an Meinungsfreiheit, sondern an Diktatur einer eigentlichen kleineren

Bevölkerungsgruppe. Nicht rechtens!!!!!!!!!!!!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ging doch bisher alles, warum sollte man etwas ändern! Das einzige das dadurch erreichen wird ist, dass die ganzen kleinen Leuten mit nem Kiosk und damit nicht mehr Geld als nem Arbeitslosen in Deutschland, bald auch in den Reihen der Arbeitslosen zu finden sind. Die Argumente von irgendwelchen Gesundheitsfritzen sind auch nicht Aussage kräftig, weil wer gesund leben will, der soll das tun, aber doch niemand das aufzwingen, der es eben nicht will, damit würde man ja die eine Person als mehrwertig, als die andere sehen und das grenzt bei weiten nicht an Meinungsfreiheit, sondern an Diktatur einer eigentlichen kleineren Bevölkerungsgruppe. Nicht rechtens!!!!!!!!!!!!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

<<

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Linda Janßen

**email**

wajanssen1@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raivis Heiselis

**email**

raivis.heiselis@inbox.lv

**country**

Latvia

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Man liekās absurdi, ka grib aizliegt attēlot produkta nosaukumu uz paša produkta. Tad jau labāk pasludinām smēķēšanu par pretlikumīgu un veicinām nelegālo tirdzniecību. Zinot cik liels ir kontrabandas īpatsvars un zinot arī, ka tie turpinās no citām valstīm ievest normālas, krāsainas paciņas, kas būs daudz pievilcīgākas smēķētājam

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Šo vajadzētu atstāt katras valsts ziņā

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cilvēki ir izvēlējušies sev atbilstošu produktu un krasas pārmaiņas veicinās to, ka viņi savu iemīļoto produktu meklēs ārpus valsts robežām! Bet ar laiku arī pieradīs pie jaunā produkta, bet smēķēšana jau nemazināsies.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kā var aizliegt izlikt redzamā vietā legāli tirgojamu produktu? Man tas liekās absurds!!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**



citizen

**name**

Wolfram Mueller

**email**

wolfram.m@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Meike Plückhahn

**email**

agatheruebensuess@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hermann Nebel

**email**

h.nebel@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No!

**additional comments**

Let it be!

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Neidhardt, Frank

**email**  
info@neidhardt.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Selbstentscheidungsrecht

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Höhl

**email**

michael-hoehl@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No



**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Kathrin Berger

**email**  
Kathrin.Berger77@web.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
33

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Elsner Siegfried

**email**  
siegfriedelsner@gmx.net

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
68

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Grünwald Eduard

**email**

eduard.gruenwald@wavenet.at

**country**

Austria

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kerstin Rothenberger

**email**

krothenberger@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan

**email**

dressef@web.de

**country**  
deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
.

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
.

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No



**explanations**

.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

hubert wirges

**email**

hubert.wirges@yahoo.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nein

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nein

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nein

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nein

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nein

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nein

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Kohlsdorf

**email**

dkohlsdorf@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**  
24

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Indra Glogowski

#### **email**

indra.glogowski@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

31

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Per Kjellberg

**email**

perL.kjellberg@telia.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Stop discrimination of a product (snus) which, compared to cigarettes, is very harmless.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional comments**

It will be rather difficult for tobacco manufacturers to prove that something in cigarettes is harmless. Maybe that is all to the good !

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jari von Wendt



**email**

josvonwendt@hotmail.com

**country**

Finland

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wet snuff doesnt disturb anyone else than the user himself, and is a much healthier way of getting the daily dose of nicotine than for example smoking.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**

Ban cigarettes and leagalize wet snuff.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sonja Müllner

**email**

muellner.sonja83@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ana del Toro

**email**

fjrh@ono.com

**country**

spain

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Helmut Westermann

**email**

helmut.westermann@gmx.net

**country**  
deutschland

**age**  
56

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Weber Bärbel

**email**

BaerbelWeber@gmx.Net

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ertl Adolf

**email**

ader1963@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Porsche Monika

**email**

monika.porsche@tmo.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Helmut Westermann

**email**  
helmut.westermann@gmx.net

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
56

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Philipp J. Käufer

**email**

p.j.kaeufer@o2online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raack Rainer

**email**

michael.kricke@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No



**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie soll man seine Zigarettenmarke dann noch erkennen (vom Packungsdesign)

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Finken

**email**

schreib@ralf-finken.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommenatr

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Keine Änderungen

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderung

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderung

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrich Löwenberg

**email**

Loewenberg.Ulrich@yahoo.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
50

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Josef Amberger

#### **email**

Sepp.Amberger1958@t-onlinede

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

52

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **additional option**

Kein Kommentar

#### **additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jarosch Wolfgang

**email**

wolfgang.jarosch@tobaccoland.at

**country**

Österreich

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freier Zugang zu legalen Produkten

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

keine Änderung

**additional comments**

keine Änderung

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Selbstbestimmung

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

keine Veränderung

**additional comments**

keine Veränderung

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

keine Veränderung

**additional comments**

Keine Veränderung

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freier Zugang zu legalen Produkten



**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Keine Veränderung

**additional comments**

Keine Veränderung

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Selbstbestimmung

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Keine Veränderung

**additional comments**

Keine Veränderung

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freier Zugang zu legalen Produkten

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

keine Veränderung

**additional comments**

keine Veränderung

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPECKL Leopold

**email**

trafik.speckl@aon.at

**country**

ÖSTERREICH

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar:

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar:

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar:

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar:

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kmmmentar:

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Nicht Verpflichtend.

**additional comments**

Nicht Verpflichtend

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar:

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ursula Nicolai

**email**

g.nicolai@arcor.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Stefan Schatz

**email**  
s.schatz@cpg.at

**country**  
Austria

**age**  
44

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

JOSE ANTONIO FERNANDEZ FRIAS

**email**

IRACLUC1@TELEFONICA.NET

**country**  
ESPAÑA

**age**  
38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMENTS

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMENTS

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMENTS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schlienz, Thomas

**email**

edico@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I am adult, so I want to choose and consume what I want.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is already written on the packets, that smoking can cause healthy problems

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There must be variety.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the people have to have choice

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

So the sellers can't show the products

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

stefan schumacher

**email**

Ohrenmeister@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Feller

**email**

safe25300@hotmail.com

**country**

France

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Aucune évidence scientifique montre que c'est un pas nécessaire

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

enlever la partie sur l'attractivité

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcus Linsenmeier

**email**

mlinsenmeier@pvsaar.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

JOSE LUIS SANCHEZ SOLER

**email**

jlsanchez@logista.es

**country**

SPAIN

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raed Ammary Garcia

**email**



rna74@hotmail.com

**country**

spain

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MIKEL LIZASOAIN

**email**

mikeltxi@hotmail.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

fritse

**email**

fritse1@xs4all.nl

**country**  
netherlands

**age**  
40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jansen in de Wal H.R.H.

#### **email**

hanjansenindewal@aol.nl

#### **country**

Nederland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

### **which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Geef de burger de eindverantwoordelijkheid.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Missing, Birgit

**email**

Missing.b@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Philip Dohse

**email**

philip.dohse@luther-lawfirm.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**



no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Holger Roestel

**email**

galluner@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

Im Rahmen einer freiheitlich demokratischen Grundordnung verwehre ich mich gegen diskriminierende Regelungen die das Rauchen betreffen. Ich möchte selber entscheiden, ob ich rauche und nicht von praxisfremden Politikern bestimmt werden!!

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Raucher werden immer mehr kriminalisiert und zudem ist der Gesundheitsschutz Länder- und nicht EU-Sache

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see page before

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see page before

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Meyer

**email**

Asanisimasa68@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no commend

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stiedl Andreas

**email**

andreas.stiedl@wvnet.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das wenigste, was ich von der EU erwarten würde, dass nicht nur sämtliche Reden der Abgeordneten auf Deutsch übersetzt werden, sondern auch diese Möglichkeit zur Meinungsäußerung.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Hans-Gerd Plätzer

**email**  
hanne101010@yahoo.de

**country**  
germany

**age**  
56

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Susanne Plätzer

**email**  
hannesuse@hotmail.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
47

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hesse, Mark

**email**

ziggy74@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

R van Duijnhoven

**email**

rvanduijnhoven@live.nl

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

María Jesus Junquera Mata

**email**

mariaj\_junquera@telefonica.net

**country**

Spain

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
No comments

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comments

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comments

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
petridis petros

**email**  
p.petran@hotmail.com

**country**  
greece

**age**  
35

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
γιατι ο ανταγωνισμός θα εγκειται μονο στην τιμή με αποτελεσμα τα φθηνότερα τσιγαρα και πιθανοτατα τα κατώτερης ποιότητας να είναι πιο καταναλώσιμα,ιδίως από τους νέους

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
σε κάποια κράτη μέλη υπαρχει ήδη υποχρέωση άρα καλό θα ήταν να μείνει έτσι

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
οχι γιατί η ελκυστικότητα δεν διασταυρώνεται με επιστημονικά κριτήρια

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl T.

**email**

bew.gmx@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Monika Kohlmeyer

**email**

l.m.kohlmeyer@online.de

**country**

Deutschland



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Haido Sassi

**email**

meniasassi@yahoo.gr

**country**

Greece

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Repulsive images do not work correctly

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

creation of comon components

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no proof that addiction is created due to the components of the tobacco products

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Elias Maleas

**email**

e.maleas@ioannidis-bros.gr

**country**

Greece

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Responsibility, correct information

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Comon model component in EU level

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Proof of addiction with scientific results

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Pischetsrieder

**email**

amp@bueroalba.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Daniel Declercq

#### **email**

danielcq86@t-online.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **gender**

male

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Der Aufwand welcher gegen die Rauerschaft betrieben wird, steht in keinem Verhältniss zu den anderen, in meiner Sicht, wichtigeren Problembereichen wie Alkoholkonsum (keine besonderen Steuern oder einschränkungen außer einer lockeren Altersbegrenzung), SPIELSUCHT vor allem in Spielhallen und AUTOMATEN! Hier KEINE Altersbegrenzung und keine Kontrollen!!! Illegale Wettbüros! Das sind Themen die im Vordergrund stehen sollten und nicht die bereits außreichend eingeschränkten Raucher!

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

sagert, bernd

**email**

cbsagert@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kurt Conrad

**email**

lizzymausi@t-online.de

**country**

Gemany

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daberto Manuela

**email**

manuela.daberto@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

we need a little freedom!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

please, i think it is no reason to make this!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicole Günther

**email**

gustav.guenther@billigheim.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedsstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von Tabakerzeugnissen muß auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkte (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen- oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht alleine aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in einzelnen Märkten der EU27 treffen, oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter, noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muß daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben, und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission läßt sich keine wissenschaftliche fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Bsp. vermissen

wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis unso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht- die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend, und bieten somit keine zusätzliche Information. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient auch als Herkunftshinweis, und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung der Einheitspackung für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen das Rauchverhalten beeinflussen, oder fördern- existieren nicht. Bei Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht ( Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert, und das Problem Schmuggel weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben, oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedsstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird, und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedsstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff attraktiv ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Tabak vom Virginia Typ), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American Blend Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedsstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i. d. R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierung. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu!!!! Die Bereiche "Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten" und Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedsstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung Zigarettenautomat ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Rastempfehlung 2003/54/EC aus November 2009 festgehalten hat. Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend. Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen, sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellenweisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. Selbst wenn von Mitgliedsstaat zu Mitgliedsstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten z. B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes- den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten



behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen als beeinträchtigen und ihn nicht erleichtern. Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat, diese Kompetenz ist in den EU Verträgen festgelegt. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. Dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluß auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlicher Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automatenvertriebsstruktur. Pläne der EU Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise. Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs- bzw. Bezugsstellen verschwinden. Stellungnahme der Bundesregierung vom 01.04.2010: Im Rahmen der Verhältnismäßigkeit sieht die Bundesregierung die bereits existierenden gesetzlichen Vorschriften und die in Vollzug dieser Vorschriften erfolgte Umsetzung der technischen Sicherung von Zigarettenautomaten durch Alterskontrolle per Geldkarte oder EU Führerschein als derzeit ausreichend und als die mildesten Mittel an, um das Abgabeverbot von Tabakwaren aus Automaten an Minderjährige wirksam zu regeln. Eine Abschaffung der Automaten wird aus wettbewerbs- wie verfassungsrechtlichen Gründen als nicht durchsetzbar und darüber hinaus auch als unverhältnismäßig angesehen. Die Ziele des Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutzes sind durch andere, weniger einschneidende Maßnahmen (wie etwa die Altersverifikation an Automaten) gleichermaßen erreichbar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Strassegger

**email**

chr.b04@gmail.com

**country**

Austria

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It's not to understand, that a shop what sells with this product should agree to this new idea. We are free and would like to decide if we buy cigarettes or not.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No, I would say, there are a lot of other topics, more important.

**additional comments**

Yes! I'm from Austria and would suggest, that the EU makes a better work in next future. It's only a blame to realize what's going on in this matter.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

I just provided what I think about all!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No..., never!

**additional comments**

I did it!

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I think, this is not the part what you have really to do. Work better!

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Yes, we are not willing to make all what you prefer that we should do. We prefer to be free.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I just provided what I think about all.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

You have to be concantred to other topics and not only to make such poor things.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

We are not willing to accept all what you are offering, because we would like to act as a free people can do. It's our right to decide and not yours.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No.

**additional comments**

No.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I did it!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Yes, to see the right problems, would really help.

**additional comments**

Yes, we would like to decide about smoking or not without EU controlling. It's only a controlling, what you make and nothing other.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marius Offermanns

**email**

info@schneiderwind.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I'm a non-smoker but I do believe in self-regulating markets and a freedom of choice in legally available products.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The EU should make a difference between tobacco-products of heavy use (& mis-use) like cigarettes and tobacco products which are being bought just for occasional pleasure. Cigars & pipe-tobaccos are not being used by heavy-smokers and are one of the oldest culture-products in the world. Please divide between cigarettes and cigars/pipe-tobaccos.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Florian Hackett

**email**

check.da.flo@hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

20

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eich, Helmut

**email**

knuschtel@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

/

**additional comments**

/

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

/

**additional comments**

/

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

/

**additional comments**

/

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

/

**additional comments**

/

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

/

**additional comments**

/

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

/

**additional comments**

/

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kiessling, Marieluise

**email**

mfkiessling@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ian Jesse Gloth

**email**  
ian\_gloth@yahoo.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
19

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Das ist ein massiver Eingriff in meine Privatsphäre. Wirtschaftlich nicht tragbar. Hat keinen Sinn, ausser Machtausübung. Einschränkung meiner Persönlichkeitsrechte

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dominik Herrfurth

**email**

jando\_de\_herf@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das ist ein massiver Eingriff in meine Privatsphäre. Wirtschaftlich nicht tragbar. Hat keinen Sinn, ausser Machtausübung. Einschränkung meiner Persönlichkeitsrechte. Es würde nichts ändern!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package

would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wilhelm Hohnrodt

**email**

w.hohnrodt@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
53

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Das Ganze Theater ist quatsch und ist der Versuch einer Daseinsberechtigung der EU  
Vertreter

**additional comments**  
Ich plädiere für den Schutz des Rauchers

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es gibt kein Problem ausser in euren Köpfen

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ist Blödsinn, nur arbeitsbeschaffung der EU

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Möchte gerne wissen welcher Schwachmat sich die Fragebögen einfallen lassen hat

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kießling, Fritz

**email**

mfkießling@t-onlind.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**



Christian Brose

**email**

info@brose-papeteria.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

steffens, marc

**email**

steffens.m@t-online.de

**country**

germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Margot Schmidt

**email**

mis1@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no. comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oliver Kraus

**email**

info@ok-sounddesign.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich fühle mich in meiner Freiheit eingeschränkt!

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
Ich bin gegen ein Verbot von elektronischen Zigaretten: Elektronische Zigaretten sind Produkte, die eine echte Alternative für Raucher bieten, die Gewohnheit des Rauchens ohne die erheblichen und gut dokumentierten Risiken des Rauchens von Tabakwaren zu befriedigen. Diese Produkte sind seit über sieben Jahren weithin verfügbar und es wurden keine ernsthaften schädlichen Auswirkungen berichtet. Sie werden von angesehenen internationalen Experten als mindestens 99% sicherer als das Rauchen von Tabak angesehen. Viele Millionen Raucher auf der ganzen Welt haben sich vom Rauchen von Tabakwaren überwiegend oder ganz auf die Verwendung elektronischer Zigaretten umgestellt.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein kommentar!

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**  
Snus und andere rauchlose Tabakarten ermöglichen es dem Raucher, deutlich weniger schädlich Nikotin zu sich zu nehmen. Diese Möglichkeit sollte weiterhin bestehen!

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar!

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
ich glaube nicht, dass eine Veränderung der Verpackung den Raucher vom Rauchen abhalten

würde. Es muss eher eine weniger schädliche Alternative zu Zigaretten geben: Hier wäre zu nennen, Snus, Schnupftabak oder elektrische Zigaretten.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar!

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**additional comments**

Es muss definitiv geklärt werden, was Tabakzusatzstoffe sind. Darüber hinaus muss auch geklärt werden, welche Rolle Nikotin einnimmt. Ist es ein Tabakprodukt, ein Arzneimittel oder ein Genussmittel oder Lebensmittelzusatzstoff...?

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Warum

**email**

daniel@warum.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rene Hohnsbein

**email**

rh@newid.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hiotopoula Savvoglou

**email**

hitopoula@hotmail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Responsibility, correct information

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

comon modul of components in EU level

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

comon module of components in EU level

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stelios Katakalos

**email**

s.katakalos@gmail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The repulsive images do not work

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Comon module of components

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No proof that components increase addiction

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

M. Soledad Bordallo

#### **email**

cristobal.alvarez-pallete@wanadoo.fr

#### **country**

Spain

#### **age**

41

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

No comments

#### **additional comments**

No comments

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

No comments

#### **additional comments**

No comments

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comments

**additional comments**

No comments

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

No comments

**additional comments**

No comments

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No comments

**additional comments**

No comments

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comments

**additional comments**

No comments

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dimos Demiris

**email**

dimosdem@hotmail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Images do not work correctly

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the reports should be given separately from the members of EU

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

scientific proof of addiction

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mania Tsiamaga

**email**

manicabel@hotmail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Responsibility, correct info

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Creation of a common module for components

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no proof that the components increase the addiction of tobacco

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans-Dieter Schmidt

**email**

info@lulayek.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Bevormundung, keine Zerschlagung von Existenzen !

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Existenz Zerschlagung !

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Bevormundung, keine Zerschlagung von Existenzen

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Bevormundung

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Bevormundung

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reinfelder

**email**

Banana-Joe@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Langsam aber sicher nähern wir uns dem 3. Reich, der Führer bestimmt das Volk gehorcht.  
"Herzlichen Dank".

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reinfelder

**email**

Banana-Joe@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
50

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
Langsam aber sicher nähern wir uns dem 3. Reich, der Führer bestimmt das Volk gehorcht.  
"Herzlichen Dank".

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eleana Missirian

**email**

eleannamissirian@yahoo.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

24

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Repulsive images do not work right!

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Common type of components in EU level

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Proof of addiction with scientific studies

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**  
Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Collard

**email**

theukske@theukske.nl

**country**

Nederland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Let each country decide for it selves

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I dont see why.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that

cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gloria Nevert Missirian

**email**

gloria.missirian@ehl.ch

**country**

Greece

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Responsibility which means correct information

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Establishment of a public model of components

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
there is no proof that the components increase addiction of tobacco products

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Volker Borgiel

**email**

vobo@public-files.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Ich empfehle eine zusätzliche Option, die das Problem wirksam angeht

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petra Dreiner

**email**

tpd-rs@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
52

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die bestehende Richtlinie ist völlig ausreichend. Der Raucher soll selber entscheiden und nicht durch Richtlinien gegängelt werden!

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
No

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Jein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die vorhandenen Verbraucherinformationen sind ausreichend

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine einheitliche Regelung wird nicht zustande kommen

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jörg Mayer

**email**

jmec@loplof.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive



**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

People are allowed to ruin their health or lives in numerous ways (alcohol, gambling), so as long as they really only hurt themselves, these are the same as the aforementioned things.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Simone Heiwinkel

#### **email**

simmmm23@aol.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

kein kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

kein kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

kein kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kien kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Wolfgang Weber

**email**  
wolfgang.weber.privat@mnet-online.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fred Ortega

**email**

asaflor@eresmas.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There's no a problem, there is a situation of freedom, a situation of freely decide what to do.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Leave people alone, you people in brussels always trying to save our lives.

**additional comments**

What do you care our opinions? you make a well paid salary for doing almost nothing. That's all right, keep it that way, but let the people do what they like. You all think you are almost god.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

leave us alone

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Leave us alone

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Leave us alone

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Leave us alone

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gustav F. Janisch

**email**

gfjanisch@gfj-travelart.com

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eva Gotschke

**email**

egotschke@eutopia.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Bevormundung der Bürger halte ich für sehr gefährlich! Wenn dies passiert, ist die "Freiheit" und das Recht des Einzelnen in einer Demokratie stark betroffen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**



No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die gesundheitsschädlichen Folgen von Rauchen ist jedem Menschen klar, dennoch raucht der, der rauchen will. Da werden weder abschreckende Bilder oder dass man beim Zigarettenautomat nur mit Bankomatkarte eine Packung erwerben kann (siehe Österreich - das ging völlig daneben!!!) helfen

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finde es ausreichend, wenn auf der Packung die Inhaltsstoffe, sowie die Warnung des Gesundheitsministeriums stehen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jäger Dietmar

**email**

didi.jaeger @ tmo.at

**country**

Austria / Österreich

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hartmut Kremer

**email**

hartmut.kremer@vodafone.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Rosigkeit

**email**

Bernd.Rosigkeit@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

freie Meinungsbildung

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Eingriff in meine Meinungsbildung, ich möchte das meine Zigarettensorte sichtbar bleibt

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manfred Moser

**email**

gordon25@gmx.at

**country**

austria

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no measurable impact on consumer smoking behaviour.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

trade secrets are an important factor of free markets

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gene

**email**

bigbeule@rubbish-talk.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**



Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Saatzoglou Varvara

**email**

vsaatzoglou@missirian.gr

**country**

Greece

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

charge responsible information about the packaging

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

enactment same model component

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

demonstration of attraction and habituation with scientific investigation

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carsten Volz

**email**

cvolz@vosssylt.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johannes Fahrendorf

**email**

fahrendorf@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I can do my decisions on my own. I do not need any authority to do this for me.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Waive the entire Directive.

**additional comments**

Finish all really important issue first. If the problems with the Banks and the Debts are solved return to this issue again.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

You will not solve the issue with a unique packing.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem is not the packing. The problem is not even inside. The Problem is on the education level of the people.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Just customer information is not enough. Most of the smokers know that it is unhealthy. Educate specially the lower society people.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

The GDR (German Democratic Republic) introduced drastic sanctions. Even Death penalty and go to your map and look. Fees and sanctions will end up to criminalize normal people.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Kraeling

**email**

kraeling1000@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

ich habe keinen kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Georg Spindler

**email**  
georg-spindler@gmx.de

**country**  
deutschland

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No



**explanations**

Es ist schon zu viel reglementiert. Wir wollen frei entscheiden ob wir rauche oder nicht.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Es ist schon zu viel geregelt mit dem Raucherschutz, Es weis doch jedes Kind das Rauchen nicht gesund ist.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Konntentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
ein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
lymperidis alexandros

**email**  
aliberidis@hotmail.com

**country**  
Greece

**age**  
30

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

οχι γιατι ο ανταγωνισμος θα έγκειται μόνο στην τιμή με αποτέλεσμα οι καταναλωτες και δη οι νέοι να επιλέγουν τα φθηνότερα άρα πιθανότατα τα πιο επιβλαβή τσιγάρα

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

υπάρχει ήδη σε κάποια κράτη μέλη χωρίς ουσιαστικό κατά τη γνώμη μου αποτέλεσμα

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Η έννοια της ελκυστικότητας δε μπορεί να αξιολογηθεί επιστομονικά

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Davor Mandir

**email**

manda.974@hotmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Scheurl Michael

**email**

schemima@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ines Hirte

**email**

n.daumann@cegelec.com

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nancy Daumann

**email**

n.daumann@cegelec.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christoph Eigelshoven

**email**

christoph.eigelshoven@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Every adult citizen knows that smoking is not healthy. Additional limitations on tobacco produce massive cost and will in the mid term be ineffective. Tobacco is a legal product delivering massive income to the government. Since we are facing well educated citizens in Europe we should leave the decision to them not limiting tobacco trade or consumption any further.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Smokeless tobacco products bring the big advantage of not disturbing others. For those who like to consume tobacco in a smokeless environment these products should be available in every European country.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Consumers are well informed. Today's health warnings are more than sufficient to address the risk of smoking.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Too much information is not improving the decision taking of adult smokers. The information that smoking causes cancer is more than enough. What else do smokers need to know to decide whether to smoke or not? Additional information always bears the risk of making one cigarette appear less dangerous than another one.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

If certain ingredients are proven to be especially dangerous or harmful they should be forbidden on a common basis within the EU.

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Legal products should be purchasable for adults without constraints. If possible, regulation should assure that within the EU only compliant products are purchasable, e.g. it should not be possible to buy tobacco products without healthwarning or unknown ingredients.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jessy Philipp

**email**

jessy.philipp@tmcc.info

**country**

Germany

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Weidensdörfer Lutz

**email**  
busman2006@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
48

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Junk

**email**

junk.de@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die staatlichen Eingriffe in die Persönlichkeitsrechte der Verbraucher haben schon eine Dimension erreicht, die von den Bürgern als starke Gängelung und sinnfreier Aktionismus

von Bürokraten und Politikerseelen empfunden werden. Nur um die eigene politische Existenz zu rechtfertigen wird ohne staatsmännische Übersicht und ohne Berücksichtigung seriöser wissenschaftlicher Untersuchungen den extremen Ansichten von Minderheiten und einseitig informierender Lobbyvereinigungen z.B. der WHO der Vorzug gewährt. Dieses Vorgehen stößt beim Bürger auf auf Unverständnis, Wut und Politikverdrossenheit und bereitet den Boden für extremistische bis umstürzlerische Kräfte. "Es wird zunehmend nach einer starken Persönlichkeit gerufen, die die Staatsgängelung und die politischen Bürokraten hinwegfegt" Möge uns so eine Situation erspart bleiben. An Regelungen mangelt es uns nicht und ein weniger an staatlicher Bevormundung stände uns allen gut zu Gesicht. Die Politik muss aufhören die Bürger als minderbemittelte Idioten zu behandeln und Entscheidungen für diese treffen zu wollen. Das sind überzogene Eingriffe in die Persönlichkeitsrechte. Die Gefahren des Rauchens sind bekannt und die bestehenden Regelungen reichen völlig aus.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Das "Problem" existiert in der vorliegenden Form nur in den Köpfen von regelwütigen Politikern, die nur Ihre politische Existenz rechtfertigen wollen und die Einflüsterungen von unwissenschaftlichen Extremisten aufgreifen.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.

**which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Theo Reußmann

**email**

reussmann@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No change!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Allow snus:

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Please no change.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I like to smoke, an I want my individual taste.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Andreas Bertholt

#### **email**

trimmdich@gmx.net

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

38

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar!

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralph Kretschmar

**email**

Heide-Kretschmar@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
47

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kammentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven Wefels

**email**

sven@wefels.net

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erik van den Beitel

**email**

evdbeitel@loogman.nl

**country**

The Netherlands

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Schwirtz

**email**

jps@ltjs.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Bürger soll selber entscheiden können und muss nicht bevormundet werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Bürger soll selber entscheiden können und muss nicht bevormundet werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Bürger soll selber entscheiden können und muss nicht bevormundet werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Bürger soll selber entscheiden können und muss nicht bevormundet werden.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Bürger soll selber entscheiden können und muss nicht bevormundet werden.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Bürger soll selber entscheiden können und muss nicht bevormundet werden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

JOSE R. JIMENEZ MUELA

**email**

joseramon\_38@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
SIN COMENTARIOS

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
m.koster

**email**  
m.koster8@chello.nl

**country**  
Nederland

**age**  
48

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Heike Müller

**email**

bmwf6500@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ole Jahnke

**email**

ole.jahnke@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschlang

**age**

47

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die bisherigen Regelungen reichen völlig aus!

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
keine Verlässlichkeit mehr ob in der Verpackung auch die Marke ist die ich möchte.  
Fälschungen können nicht mehr erkannt werden.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**



kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gitte Hellermann

**email**

g.hellermann@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

34

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

sanderse gjl

**email**

sanderse01@online.nl

**country**

netherlands

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Antonio Exposito Hidalgo

**email**

antonioexpositohidalgo@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comments

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comments

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mindaugas Joksas

**email**

m.joksas@gmail.com

**country**

Lithuania

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

b. krause

**email**

blueprint@freemailer.ch

**country**

germany

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change; Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

sommer, holger

**email**

holger.sommer@freenet.de

**country**

germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen kommentar !

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar !

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar !

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar !

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar !

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar !

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Lambusch

**email**

lambusch@kabelmail.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
57

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

griessler

**email**

griessler.erich@aon.at

**country**

austria

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Raucher wissen selbst, dass es nicht gesund ist zu rauchen. Das Leben an sich ist mitunter ungesund. Der Staat hat nicht das Recht die Entscheidungsfreiheit der Bürger durch Bevormundung abzuschaffen. Seine Aufgabe muß sich auf Information und bestenfalls Überzeugung beschränken.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Der Staat muß sich auf Information und bestenfalls Überzeugung beschränken.

**additional comments**

Der Gastgewerbebetrieb soll selbst entscheiden ob er ein Raucher- oder Nichtraucherbetrieb sein will. Das Gesundheitsproblem für die Angestellten ist nicht stichhaltig. Ersten weiß der Bedienstete wo er sich um eine Anstellung bewirbt, ist also nicht gezwungen in einem Raucherlokal zu arbeiten und zweitens gibt es wesentlich gesundheitsschädlichere bzw. gefährlichere Arbeitsplätze welche man aber deshalb ebenfalls nicht abschaffen kann. Die derzeitige Raucherkampagne erinnert an den Beginn der Kritik an Juden zu Beginn des 20.

Jahrhunderts. Kräftig unterstützt von sogenannten Intellektuellen (auch Ärzten). Die Nationalsozialisten vollendeten dann auf ihre Art was andere begannen. In 20 oder 30 Jahren stehen dann vielleicht die Raucher an der Wand.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

No

**additional comments**

Es reicht die Bevölkerung zu informieren. Der Raucher ist kein Krimineller und sollte auch nicht dazu gemacht werden.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Karolak

**email**  
karolak@inea.pl

**country**  
Poland

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eva Hager

**email**

ehager@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

information on a telephone service to help quit smoking should be placed on every package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Roland Koch

#### **email**

roland.a.koch@arcor.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

67

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar



**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

\*\*\*

**additional comments**

\*\*\*

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

\*\*

**additional comments**

\*\*

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

\*\*\*

**additional comments**

\*\*\*

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Roger Krispin

#### **email**

roger.krispin@arcor.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

44

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

#### **additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dödlinger Wolfgang

**email**

wolfgang.doedlinger@chello.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no change

**additional comments**

no chance

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no chance

**additional comments**

no chance

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no chance

**additional comments**

no chance

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johan Kok

**email**

johan@kokfamilie.nl

**country**  
Nederland

**age**  
42

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

uvwxyz

**email**

yyxyz

**country**

xx

**age**

345

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the def doesn't meet the source

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**

u should reduce the hot air ,which is emitted by politic and administration.too much burocrats are too expensive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

any free citizen of europe should meet his own decisions.lees rules and regulations by a nonperfect burocracy<

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xxxx

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Zeeh

**email**

postmaster@tabak-zeeh

**country**

Deutschland



**age**  
51

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es muss für mündige Bürger auch die Freiheit der Auswahl und der eigenen Entscheidung geben. wie die Pressefreiheit, auch in anderen Wirtschaftsbereichen, auch bei Tabakwaren. Beim Einzel/Grosshandel sowie den Herstellern würde dieser Beschluss viele tausende Existenzen und Arbeitsplätze kosten. Desweiteren würde dieser Beschluss, den sowieso schon großen Schwarzmarkt (Steuerhinterziehung) deutlich erhöhen. Die EU sollte sich lieber mehr um den Verkauf und Konsum von Alkohol gedanken machen.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
siehe Seite 1

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar siehe Seite 1

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar siehe Seite 1

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar siehe Seite 1

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar siehe Seite 1

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar siehe Seite 1

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar siehe Seite 1

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar siehe Seite 1

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar siehe Seite 1

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar siehe Seite 1

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar siehe Seite 1

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar siehe Seite 1

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar siehe Seite 1

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar siehe Seite 1

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zeeh-Schmelzer, Sylke

**email**

sylke@tabak-zeeh.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Erwachsene Mensch muss entscheiden dürfen ob er raucht, und w a s er raucht. Rauchen schadet, doch das muss jeder für sich selbst entscheiden. Ich schädige damit mir selbst - nicht anderen. Es darf nicht sein, dass tabakwaren - wie Schmuggelware oder indizierte Presse unter dem Ladentisch zu haben ist. Wir leben in Deutschland - da darf so etwas nicht sein !!! Warum hat die Alkohol Industrie eine so große Lobby, dass in der Branche keine Reglements passieren. Alkohol steht überall in "Kinderaughöhe" mit lustigen Motiven !!! Alkohol verändert ganz eindeutig das Bewusstsein - und wer Alkohol trinkt kann Dritte deutlich gefährden !!! Und Zigaretten sollen unter den Ladentisch ?????????? Da stimmt etwas nicht " Wenn diese Maßnahme durchgesetzt wird - wird es ein Sterben der kleinen privatgeführten Einzelhändler geben und der Zigarettenindustrie, Groß-/Einzelhandel eine unglaubliche Menge an Arbeitslosen geben. Ist das der richtige Weg ?  
NEIN

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

siehe Seite 1

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar siehe seite 1

**additional comments**

kein kommentar siehe seite 1

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als erwachsener Konsument möchte ich entscheiden dürfen wann wieviel und was ich rauche

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jochen Eckhardt

**email**

jec@berlin-mindplayers.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bei Eu Bürger handelt es sich um mündige Individuen. Die Gefahren die von Tabakprodukten ausgehen sind hinreichend bekannt. Das was hier geplant ist ist der erste Schritt zur Tabakprohibition, mit Schutz hat das nichts mehr zu tun. Diese Restriktionen führen nicht zu mehr Schutz, sondern zu Schmuggel, dunklen Absatzkanälen und Kriminialität.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Wir verbinden mit der Eu einen umfassenden Binnemarkt. Es ist nicht einzusehen, warum irgendwelche Produkte, die in Teilen der EU erlaubt sind anderswo verboten werden sollen. Wie können wir sicher sein, dass nicht nächste Woche irgend ein anderer Kommissar aufwacht und gerne was anderes verboten haben möchte...nur weil es ihm persönlich nicht gefällt o.ä. Der Staat möge sich bitte weitestgehend aus wirtschaftlichen Belangen raushalten und die den Markt regulieren lassen.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist nicht belegbar, dass weitere Warnhinweise oder sog. Ekelbilder irgend einen Effekt auf den Verbraucher hat. Nochmal: Die Gefahren sind hinreichend bekannt, die EU Bürger sind keine Idioten. Wer in der Lage ist sich durch dieses -völlig verbraucherunfreundliche- Formular zu quälen, ist sich auch definitiv über die Gefahren des Rauchens im Klaren. Marken und Markenrecht haben einen ideologischen und finanziellen Wert. Ein Eingriff hier, beispielsweise durch plainpackages, würden den Wert einer Marke dramatisch mindern. Daher handelt es sich um eine eklatante Verletzung des Schutzes des Eigentums !! Derartige Eingriffe kenne ich bislang nur aus Russland (Beispiel Jukos). Letztlich handelt es sich um eine legale Marke eines legalen Produktes, wenn die Eu hier eingreift, verliere ich zweifelsohne mein komplettes Vertrauen in die europäische Gesetzmäßigkeiten!!! Jedwede Änderungen erhöhen die Attraktivität für Schmuggelprodukte aus dem außergemeinschaftlichen Ausland und stellen damit eine weitaus höhere Gesundheitsgefährdung dar, da es hier keinerlei Kontrollen gibt.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Kümmert Euch mal lieber um die völlig bescheuerten Landwirtschaftssubventionen, die jedes Jahr Hunderttausende Menschen in Afrika verhungern läßt, anstatt irgendwelche unsinnigen Verordnungen in die Welt zu setzen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Dies kann in den jeweiligen Mitgliedsstaaten auf nationaler Ebene erörtert werden. Meines Wissens gibt es bislang keine vergleichbaren Meldungen noch ein funktionierendes System dafür. Für mich einmal mehr der klassische Fall einer unsinnigen Bürokratisierung ohne erkennbaren Nutzen. Vielmehr handelt es sich um eine Maßnahme, die die Produktion eines legalen Produkts in alle denkbaren Richtungen behindern soll. Mehr nicht.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Würde man mit derselben Argumentation bei anderen Produkten, die ebenfalls gesundheitsschädlich auswirken können (z.b. Arzneimittel) ebenso verfahren?

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Dies kann in den jeweiligen Mitgliedsstaaten auf nationaler Ebene erörtert werden. Meines Wissens gibt es bislang keine vergleichbaren Meldungen noch ein funktionierendes System dafür. Für mich einmal mehr der klassische Fall einer unsinnigen Bürokratisierung ohne erkennbaren Nutzen. Vielmehr handelt es sich um eine Maßnahme, die die Produktion eines legalen Produkts in alle denkbaren Richtungen behindern soll. Mehr nicht.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Auch hier gilt das Prinzip des Binnenmarktes. Die EU möchte bitte den Freihandel fördern und nicht irgendwelche Beschränkungen einführen, deren Nutzen nicht belegt werden kann. Hier wird mit einer Vielzahl von Arbeitsplätzen gespielt..... Auch hier stellt sich die Frage welche Produkte zukünftig in ähnlicher Weise beschränkt werden sollen. Der Jugendschutz für den Verkauf von Tabakprodukten ist in Deutschland hinreichend gewährleistet. Sowohl im Freiverkauf als auch bei Zigarettenautomaten. Jede überzogene Änderung führt unweigerlich zu illegalem nicht kontrollierbarem Handel. Nochmal: es handelt sich um ein legale Produkt. Mit welcher Begründung soll hier die Präsentation eingeschränkt werden?

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich schreibe jetzt hier nicht weiter, was ich von den bürokratischen Betonköpfen und deren merkwürdigen Ideen im Detail halte.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schliwka Willi

**email**

winnipoom5@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
55

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access



**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Annette Lüssow

**email**

annette.luessow@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

B.Joneleit

**email**

brigittejoneleit@alice-dsl.net

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wilhelms, Nicole

**email**

nntwilhelms@kabelmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Jeder sollte in unserem Land selber entscheiden können, wie er leben und was er konsumieren möchte.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Höhere Kosten würden das Aus für viele kleinere Unternehmen bedeuten. Außerdem würden Arbeitsplätze vernichtet werden.

**additional comments**

Es würde nur noch große Anbieter geben; der Pluralismus wäre gestorben.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Grundsätzlich sollte es den Mitgliedsstaaten vorbehalten bleiben, über die Zusatzstoffe selbst zu entscheiden.

**additional comments**

Ein "Einheitsgeschmack" steht in einem klaren Widerspruch zur gewünschten Geschmacksvielfalt. Außerdem wird die Attraktivität des Fachhandelssortiment eingeschränkt und gefährdet.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Die EU sollte kein Mitspracherecht bei Zugangsbeschränkungen zu Tabakwaren haben.

**additional comments**

Keine sichtbare Produktplatzierung und Werbung bedeutet, das Aus für meinen Arbeitsplatz. Hier sollen gezielt Arbeitsplätze vernichtet werden.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Nothnagel

**email**

mergy@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Schon die derzeitigen Regelungen sind aus Bürgersicht genug reglementierend!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARIADNI KOUKOUMI

**email**

ARIADNEKOUKOUMI@HOTMAIL.COM

**country**

GREECE

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Consumers are already aware of the harmful effects of cigarettes and do not need repulsive pictures on the packages. The correct and responsible information and not fear is what is needed for consumers for taking responsible decisions. Also, in assessing the extent of establishing 'overall package (plain packaging) on tobacco products will harm trade, industry, government revenue and will increase the illegal trade. The competition between tobacco



companies will be solely on price, so that cigarettes are becoming cheaper and therefore more accessible to smokers, especially the young ones. So there is no benefit to public health.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Since the imposition of fees and penalties for reporting the components already exist in countries - members the applying of such rules of the Directive should be at EU level but at national level - State. However, the introduction of a common type of ingredients at the EU level will be really important and helpful.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The introduction of ingredient regulation at EU level, provided that it is based on sound scientific evidence is a measure of crucial importance. However, because the other options of this question refer to the arrangement of components based on the concept of "attractiveness" , they can not be evaluated with scientific data and thus are not reliable at all.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

uwe dethmann

**email**

cor-cap@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no coment

**additional comments**

no coment

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no coment

**additional comments**

no coment

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no coment

**additional comments**

no coment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no coment

**additional comments**

no coment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no coment

**additional comments**

no coment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no coment

**additional comments**

no coment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARIADNI KOUKOUMI

**email**

ARIADNEKOUKOUMI@HOTMAIL.COM

**country**

GREECE

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Consumers are already for many years aware of the harmful effects of cigarettes and do not need repulsive pictures on the packages. Moreover, the correct and responsible information and not fear what is needed for the responsible consumer. Furthermore, in assessing the extent of establishing 'overall package »(plain packaging) on tobacco products will harm trade, industry, government revenue and will increase the illegal trade. In specific, the competition between tobacco companies will be solely on price, so that cigarettes are becoming cheaper and therefore more accessible to smokers, especially young people. So there is no benefit to public health.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Since the imposition of fees and penalties for reporting the components already exist in countries - members the apply of such rules of the Directive should be at EU level but at national level - State. However, the introduction of a common type of ingredients at the EU level will be really important and helpful.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The introduction of ingredient regulation at EU level, provided that it is based on sound scientific evidence is a measure of great importance. However, because the other options of this question refers to the arrangement of components based on the concept of "attractiveness", expressing our opposition, since such a concept can be evaluated with scientific data.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert van Ballegooijen

**email**

r.vanballegooijen@upcmail.nl

**country**

The Netherlands

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In my view neither bigger warnings nor picture warnings or plain packs are required in case of cigars. Cigar smokers are adults, enjoying a cigar on an occasional basis

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU member states can already introduce fees and sanctions

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

-

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In my view a display ban would effectively mean the end of most small (cigar) brands

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Benjamin Thaysen

**email**

benjamin.thaysen@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Veronika Strobl

**email**

veronika\_strobl@chello.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

21

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lettschulte, Heinrich

**email**

heinz@lettschulte.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Siegfried Krause

**email**

siegfrkrause@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Philip Fox

**email**

pfox@online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

17

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

wolfgang formella

**email**

formella56@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**



No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petra Nacke

**email**

petra.nacke@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die EU überschreitet hiermit ihre Befugnisse.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Die EU-Gremien sollten dringend verkleinert werden. Offensichtlich entsteht durch Überpersonalisierung eine Regulierungswut, die viel zu sehr in die Freiheitsrechte und die Privatsphäre der Bürger und Bürgerinnen eingreift. Damit muss endlich Schluss sein.

**additional comments**

Bereits bestehende Regulierungen hinsichtlich des Genusses von Tabakerzeugnissen sollen rückgängig gemacht werden.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Auch mit der Regulierung von rauchfreien Tabakprodukten greift die EU-Bürokratie in die Privatsphäre der Bürger ein, was absolut unzulässig ist.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Legal zu erwerbende Tabakprodukte, egal in welcher Form auch immer, sollten auch frei zu erwerben sein.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich will keinerlei Eingriffe von Seiten der EU, wenn es um mein persönliches Konsumverhalten geht. Ich bin kein Kind und will auch nicht als solches behandelt werden!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Die ästhetische Verschandelung von Konsumgütern ist bereits im Status Quo (übergroße Warnhinweise) unerträglich. Eine weitere Steigerung (durch Ekelbilder) genau wie eine Komplettreduktion (durch Einheitspackungen) ist absolut unzumutbar. Hier wird ein legales Produkt wie der Tabak stigmatisiert und geradezu kriminalisiert, obwohl die Tabaksteuer Unsummen in die Kassen der Mitgliedstaaten schwemmt.

**additional comments**

Regulierung der EU Bürokratie von Seiten der Bürger:

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Schon jetzt besteht eine Deklarierungspflicht über die Inhaltsstoffe auf Lebensmitteln - die kein normaler Mensch versteht. Auf Zigarettenpackungen ist sie ebenso unsinnig und trägt zur Verschandelung der Verpackung bei. Und noch einmal: die Eingriffe der EU in den freien Markt sind unzulässig!

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Sanktionen und Strafmaßnahmen von Seiten der EU auf diesem Gebiet des sind nicht nur anmaßend sondern rundheraus eine Unverschämtheit.

**additional comments**

Ich komme mir allmählich vor wie zu Zeiten der Inquisition. Mit diesem permanenten Rückschreiten in mittelalterliche Zustände muss endlich Schluss sein.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der freie Markt darf nicht durch EU-Regulierungswut und WHO-Diktatur beschnitten werden.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Die EU soll sich aus diesen Dingen generell raushalten.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tabak ist ein legales Produkt. Die EU versucht systematisch, es zu kriminalisieren. Das ist nicht hinzunehmen.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Wohin wird das führen? Soll ich meine Zigaretten demnächst nächtens und heimlich in Spezialgeschäften kaufen? Ich werde durch die EU quasi zu einer Verbrecherin gemacht, die sich schämen soll, wenn sie ein Päckchen Zigaretten kauft. Die unverschämten Steuereinnahmen werden aber gerne genommen. Nein, danke!

**additional comments**

Meine EU-kritische Haltung wird durch diesen erneuten Vorstoß in Richtung Stigmatisierung von Tabakwaren und damit von Tabakkonsumenten noch kritischer. Es wird Zeit, dass sich auch die EU Politiker einmal wieder vor Augen führen, dass sie Diener des Volkes sind und nicht dessen Gouvernanten.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

höfer gottfried

**email**

carina kiechl @hotmail.com

**country**

austria

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Shell Tankstelle Hopsten

**email**

n.schulte-laggenbeck@gmx.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hartmann, Dirk

**email**

cigo-apolda@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Rauchen und Tabak gehören seit mehreren 100 Jahren zu den Genußmitteln der Europäer. Da es sich um ein legales Produkt handelt, sehe ich keinen Grund hier so drastisch zu reglementieren. Schließlich hat jeder erwachsene Mensch die Wahlmöglichkeit ein Genußmittel zu konsumieren oder nicht. Für Alkohol gilt das doch auch. Jeder kann - aber muß nicht. Einerseits will man den Tabakkonsum verteufeln - aber wehe die Steuereinnahmen würden weg brechen. Und die machen schließlich einen nicht unerheblichen Teil der Einnahmen der EU-Länder aus. Diese Politik ist fadenscheinig und zweizünftig. Die bestehenden Reglementierungen sind ausreichend und der Jugendschutz wird von der

Industrie und dem Handel (was zumindest die Fachhändler betrifft) umgesetzt.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Europa hat andere Sorgen die für die Zukunft der Nationalstaaten von Bedeutung sind, als sich mit dieser Regulierungswut hier auszutoben, die nicht unerhebliche Mengen an Existenzen und Arbeitsplätzen gefährden würde.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

jeder Mensch ist für sich und seine Gesundheit selbst verantwortlich und muß weiterhin über sich selbst entscheiden können. Die gesundheitlichen Gefahren der Tabakprodukte sind ALLEN Menschen hinlänglich bekannt.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die aktuellen Informationen und Warnhinweise sind ausreichend. Durch die Einführung der großen Warnhinweise auf Vorder- und Rückseite hat sich auch das Rauchverhalten in der Gesellschaft nicht geändert, was sicher erhofft worden ist. Nur die Packungen sehen sinnlos häßlicher aus, was aber letztlich den Konsumenten in seinem Konsum nicht irritiert hat.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



Die EU sollte sich um Zusatzstoffe wie Aspartam in unseren Lebensmitteln eher Gedanken machen, das Gift konsumieren schon kleine Kinder und das täglich (Die Zerfallsprodukte von Aspartam!)

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sorry, mir fehlen einfach die Worte für so viel Unsinn.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Für Deutschland ist der Zugang zu Tabakprodukten schon ausreichend beschränkt, sei es der Altersnachweis beim Handel oder die umgestellten Zigarettenautomaten. Es reicht langsam!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Günther Bettermann

**email**

flipsflaps@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Bettermann, Ingrid

#### **email**

bubitopx@t-online.de

#### **country**

deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **regulation of ingredients**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bettermann, Ingrid

**email**

bubitopx@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

nico hollasch

**email**

nico.hollasch@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

tabkwaren nur noch in spezial geschäften nicht mehr in tankatellen und discountern

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

warenfreiheit sollte bleiben

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

freie vermarktung racuhloser tabakprodukte muss gegeben sein

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kastenintensität bedeutet das aus kleienrer hersteller und damit in verbindung stehender arbeitsplätze

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

es gäbe nur noch grosse anbieter am markt

**additional comments**

es gäbe nur noch grosse anbieter am markt

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

den mitgliedstaaten soll es obliegen die zusatzstoffe zu regulieren

**additional comments**

einheitsgeschmack steht gegen geschmacksvielfalt und gefährdet die attraktivitäten des fachhandelssortiment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

es sollte keine kompetenz bei zugangsbeschränkungen zu tabakprodukten haben

**additional comments**

keine produktplatzierung und sichtbare werbung

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mag. Margot Stöger

**email**

margot\_stoeger@a1.net

**country**

Austria

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Notwendigkeit, es besteht kein "Problem"

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Nichts ändern, eher wieder mehr Liberalität bezüglich Rauchen.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Da noch kein wissenschaftlicher Beweis über die Gefährlichkeit von "Passivrauch" erbracht werden konnte, sind alle Einschränkungen ungerechtfertigt. Der internationale Krieg gegen den Tabak ist nichts anderes als ein Riesengeschäft. Er ist daher abzulehnen!

**additional comments**

Die EU soll sich um andere Probleme kümmern. "Rauchen" ist ein künstliches.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roel Maas

**email**

r.maas@automaas.com

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**

white packages bring us back in to the communistic Sovjet times, all goods were packed in white boxes in those days.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
Ban

**which one2**  
Vending machines to be banned

**additional option**

make smoking illegal for people < 16. Young people who smoke should be fined, not alone the seller who sells to people

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hauer Matthias

**email**

hauermatthias@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Werden von den Verbrauchern sowieso nicht beachtet

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Lesen die Verbraucher gar nicht.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Birgit Schmid

**email**

BirgitMaSchmid@aol.com

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
48

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sebastian Pfeiffer

**email**

dangersepp@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Protect people from harming themselves and third persons from smoking at all cost.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thorsten Ziegler

**email**

kiosk.ziegler@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Hinweise ausreichend.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Habenicht Astrid

**email**

ahab56@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wo ist die freie Meinungsäußerung, verschiedene Stärken. Ich würde den Verkauf an Jugendliche unter 16 Jahren verbieten

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Verkauf für Jugendliche unter 16 Jahren. Die Steuern sollten gesenkt werden. Wieso ist dies in anderen Ländern möglich?

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**additional option**

schriftliche Information an jeden Raucher, man kann dies beim Kauf von Zigaretten in einem Zigarettengeschäft aushändigen. Die Raucher müssen informiert sein und sollten auch hier ihre Meinung abgeben können. Es sollte hier um die öffentliche Meinung gehen. Hier sind die Raucher gefragt, denn um diese geht es hier.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

der Inhalt sollte vermerkt sein

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die Inhaltstoffe sollten vermerkt sein. Die Zusammensetzung des Tabaks ist für den Geschmack der Zigarette wichtig, z.B. stark, leicht

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ermoneit, Dennis

**email**

dennis.6382@googlemail.com

**country**

germany

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

its a fucking idea

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Daniel M. Porcedda

**email**

director@ukralux.kiev.ua

**country**

Luxemburg (z.Zt. in der Ukraine wohnhaft)

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eingriff in die Rechte von muendin Buergern

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

alle Gefahrenhinweise auf Verpackungen entfernen. Solche Massnahmen wirken nur

laecherlich und sind nicht weder zielfuehrend noch wirken sie erzieherisch. Der Seriositaet willen die Relationen wahren zwischen effektiver Gefaehrdung und Panikmache.

**additional comments**

k.K.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Suchtbekaempfung ineffektiv

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

k.K.

**additional comments**

k.K.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Verpackungen sollen weiterhin individuell moeglich sein. Oder wird es bald auch einheitliche Wein- und Cogancflaschen geben\_

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

k.K.

**additional comments**

k.K.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Diverse Zusatzstoffe sind nicht per se ueberaus schaedlich. Hier wird eine Behoerde aufgebaut, die u.U. willkuerlich in Produktionsablaeufe eingreifen koennte.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

k.K.

**additional comments**

k.K.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

k.K.

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

k.K.

**additional comments**

k.K.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Noch weitere Einschränkungen im Verkauf, also Schliessung von P.O.S. etc. würde viele Verkäufer und vor allem Käufer in die Illegalität treiben.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

frei erhältliche Ware, ab 18 Jahre. Ansonsten wieder Utnergrabung der bürgerlichen Mündigkeit.

**additional comments**

k.K.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Egon Ruflett

**email**

mail@ruflett.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

58

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

diskriminierend einschränkung der freien willensbildung

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

diskriminierung verbot der freien willensbildung

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

überregulierungswahn eu

**which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

regulierungswut eu

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

freie willensbildung

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer G. Spoenla

**email**

rainer@spoenla.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich sehe das problem nicht

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

freiheit zu beschneiden ist keine lösung

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

schönheit ist relativ und gesundheit ist zwar ein hohes gut, freiheit ist jedoch ein höheres gut

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

womit soll es weitergehen, mit Wein und Bier, mit ungesundem Essen, mit Schweinefleisch (in vorausseilendem Gehorsam gegenüber den Moslems), mit Rindfleisch (wg. der Hindus), mit jedem Fleisch (wg. der Veganer) mit Sex (wg. der Zöllibatäre) - dürfen wir noch atmen? Das ist bei der z.B. in München herrschenden Luftverschmutzung auch ungesund. Warum sollen Kellner nicht mehr in Raucherräumen servieren dürfen, Polizisten sollen aber auf Kreuzungen den Verkehr regeln? Wissen Sie, wovon Sie reden?

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christoph Madel

**email**

christoph.madel@gmx.de

**country**

Germany, Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin der Meinung, dass die EU bereits jetzt viel zu viele Kompetenzen zugesprochen bekommen hat und dass die einzelnen EU-Staaten nicht mehr genügend eigene Regierungskompetenz haben. Als EU-Bürger fühle ich mich von der EU in Brüssel und Luxemburg total diskriminiert und gegängelt ! Als freier Bürger in einem freien Land kann ich mich somit hier nicht mehr fühlen, da ich nicht mehr meine eigenen Entscheidungen treffen darf. Die EU hat bereits genug oder schon viel zu viel geregelt ! Die Regelungen der bestehenden Tabakrichtlinien sind völlig ausreichend !!!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Jeder Verbraucher muß selber entscheiden dürfen und darf sich nicht einer Über-Regelung der EU unterwerfen !!!!!!!

**additional comments**

Nein, zu diesem Unsinn kann ich nichts mehr weiter sagen, zu diesem absoluten Blödsinn fällt mir nichts mehr Sinnvolles ein, bitte konzentrieren Sie sich auf WICHTIGERES IN DER EU !!! (z.B. der Terrorbekämpfung)

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Einfache Warnhinweise auf der Verpackung reichen völlig aus, jedem Bürger ist doch auch jetzt schon klar, daß das Produkt schaden kann, er darf und muß sich aber doch wohl immer noch selbst entscheiden, was er konsumiert, dafür brauchen wir keinen Vormund seitens der EU !!!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar, ist oben bereits von mir erläutert worden, bitte lesen Sie !

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar, ist oben bereits von mir erläutert worden, bitte lesen Sie !

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jedem ist das Risiko des Genusses solcher Genußmittel hinreichend bekannt. Außerdem ist es völlig geschmacklos, solche Bilder von Kehlkopfkrebs, Raucherbeinen und Raucherlungen abzubilden, denken Sie eigentlich auch mal an die abgebildeten Personen und Ihre Würde !? Bei allem Respekt, aber diese Bilder sind einfach nur pervers und völlig geschmacklos ! Sie sollten sich sehr schämen, über ein solches Vorhaben überhaupt nachzudenken ! Wird dann demnächst auf jeder Süßwarenverpackung ein Kind mit faulen Zähnen dargestellt ? Oder muß Rindfleisch demnächst mit der Abbildung eines Wahnsinnigen gekennzeichnet werden ? Wohin wollen Sie denn noch mit Ihrer Bevormundung ?

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Welches Problem denn ??? Wir sind erwachsene und mündige Bürger und sind bereits genügend informiert. Eltern und Erzieher sind für die Kinder und Jugendlichen verantwortlich, das muß nicht die EU erledigen !!!!!

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar, siehe oben

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder EU Staat muß diese Regelung selber bestimmen können. Da die einzelnen EU-Staaten alle zu unterschiedlich sind, sei es von ihrer Mentalität der Menschen, oder auch einfach nur geografisch, kann es niemals sein, dass innerhalb der EU alles komplett vereinheitlicht wird !

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar, siehe oben

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar, siehe oben

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jedes Gesundheitsministerium eines EU-Staates muß und darf weiterhin seine eigenen Richtlinien festlegen ! Staatliche Eigenverantwortung ist hier gefragt !

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar, siehe oben, wie beschrieben

**additional comments**

Jedes Gesundheitsministerium eines EU-Staates muß und darf weiterhin seine eigenen Richtlinien festlegen ! Staatliche Eigenverantwortung ist hier gefragt !

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist sehr amüsan, daß Sie den Verkauf über das Internet verbieten wollen, weil dort Warnhinweise nicht in der Amtssprache des Mitgliedsstaates abgedruckt sind, aber bei Ihrer Befragung bieten Sie den Fragebogen ausschließlich in englischer Sprache an, ich sehe das als Hürde, um möglichst viele Menschen von der demokratischen Befragung abzuhalten ! In Deutschland haben wir bereits eine wirksame Altersbeschränkung auf Tabakwaren und Alkohol, jeder Händler muß sich bekanntlich den Ausweis eines Konsumenten, der jünger als 30 Jahre aussieht, zeigen lassen. An Zigarettenautomaten muß man sich bereits heute mit dem Personalausweis oder einem gültigen EU-Führerschein legitimieren. Das ist doch völlig ausreichend. So kann man am Einfachsten in allen EU Mitgliedsstaaten verfahren, ohne

wieder und wieder große bürokratische Hürden einzurichten.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

In Deutschland haben wir bereits eine wirksame Altersbeschränkung auf Tabakwaren und Alkohol, jeder Händler muß sich bekanntlich den Ausweis eines Konsumenten, der jünger als 30 Jahre aussieht, zeigen lassen. An Zigarettensautomaten muß man sich bereits heute mit dem Personalausweis oder einem gültigen EU-Führerschein legitimieren. Das ist doch völlig ausreichend. So kann man am Einfachsten in allen EU Mitgliedsstaaten verfahren, ohne wieder und wieder große bürokratische Hürden einzurichten.

**additional comments**

In der EU haben wir bisher eine sehr große Sortenvielfalt. Produkte fast jeder Art und fast jeden Geschmacks dürfen gekauft werden. An der Entwicklung und an der Werbung sind tausende menschen beteiligt, tausende menschen, die damit ihren Lebensunterhalt verdienen ! Ganze Existenzen sind daran gebunden. Es macht großen Spaß und Freude, in Europa zu leben und zu reisen, gerade wegen der Unterschiedlichkeit der verschiedenen Staaten und der darin lebenden Menschen ! Sie wollen immer alle Menschen vor allen möglichen Gefahren schützen. Wer schützt uns denn eigentlich vor der immer stärkeren Bevormundung und der Regelungswut der EU ? Wer schützt uns vor Gleichmacherei ? Wer schützt uns davor, dass Menschen ihre eigene Identität verlieren, weil ihnen das selbständige Denken abgewöhnt wurde ? Können wir in zukunft Ihrer Meinung nach nicht mehr selber entscheiden was wir wo und wie und in welchem Geschmack kaufen können ? Sollen wir uns in Zukunft nicht mehr an der Vielfältigkeit der Werbung erfreuen dürfen ? Wollen Sie mündige und frei denkende und frei entscheidende demokratische EU-Bürger ? Oder was entspricht dem neuen Denken der EU ?

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Siegfried Kesting

**email**

kesting@fine-software.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es wird bereits in ausreichendem Maße über Zigaretten informiert.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Der Zugang zu Tabakwaren ist bereits reguliert auf über 18 Jahre. Diese Bürger sind es

gewohnt, ihre Entscheidungen eigenständig zu treffen - so sollte es auch bei Zigaretten bleiben. Bitte deshalb keine weiteren Vorschriften und Einschränkungen.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Peter Wallner

#### **email**

ursulawallner@hotmail.com

#### **country**

Austria

#### **age**

46

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **recommend option**

Neuartige Formen von Tabak zum oralen Gebrauch sollten nicht automatisch verboten werden. Allerdings sollten auch diese nur unter ähnlichen Bedingungen, wie sie für bestehende Tabakprodukte existieren, zugelassen werden.

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

1. Schweden hat den europaweit geringsten Raucheranteil an der Bevölkerung. Der Konsum von Snus hat also keinerlei Auswirkungen auf andere Personen. 2. Snus kann helfen, vom Rauchen loszukommen. 3. Snus schützt Nichtraucher vor Passivrauchen. 4. Snus schützt die Atemwege der Raucher.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bildhinweise sind im Sinne der Aufklärung der Öffentlichkeit über die Gefahren des Rauchens nicht hilfreich. Im Gegenteil, zu plakative Hinweise steigern bei Jugendlichen die Lust, etwas Verbotenes zu tun.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bogdan Mathias

**email**

b.mathias@htp-tel.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

---

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

...

**additional comments**

...

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martina Hube

**email**

m\_hube@hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anja Frentz

**email**

andreas-frentz@versanet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schönenberger Jürgen

**email**

j.schoenenberger@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Poxleitner Manfred

**email**

Poxleitner@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



Ich finde es abartig, dass die EU Maßnahmen erfindet die weder dem Zweck noch irgend einem Bürger nutzen, nur um ihre Daseinsberechtigung zu erhalten.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Mehr Aufklärungsarbeit für Jugendliche

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Da die angedachten Maßnahmen an der Realität vorbeigehen.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Mehr Aufklärung, Schutz der Nichtraucher ist in Ordnung

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Schwarzhandel wird durch diese Maßnahmen nur gefördert.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Raucher werden immer mehr bevormundet, auch ich fühle mich durch die Gesetze der EU in meiner Lebensqualität eingeschränkt

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Inhaltsstoffe werden mittlerweile sowieso angegeben. Jeder Raucher ist sich auch der Gefahren bewusst.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Zugangsbeschränkung löst keinerlei Probleme

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Estelle Vialle

**email**

reitervialle@gmail.Com

**country**

Austria

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

taste

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Krasznai Pálné

**email**

krasznaine@indamail.hu

**country**

Magyar

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ellentmondásos a tervezet, mivel tiltásokat fogalmaz meg bizonyos termékkörre, anélkül, hogy erre vonatkozó részletekkel szolgálna.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Ezen kérdéskör szabályozását tagállami hatáskörben lenne érdemes meghagyni. Az a véleményem, hogy a füstmentes dohánytermékeket nem tiltani, hanem reklámozni kellene.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Az egészségvédő feliratok mérete el van túlozva, és nem érik el vele a kívánt célt. Az egyencsomagolás pedig szerintem nem megoldás, hiszen ezzel a feketekereskedelemnek nagyobb utat engednénk.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ez a kérdéskör szerintem tagállami szinten megoldott és a tagállamok önállósága nélkülözhetetlen, amihez a jelenlegi direktíva megfelelő alapot biztosít.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A tiltó jellegű szabályozásnak megfelelő alapokon kell nyugodnia, nem pedig szubjektív értékelésen. Egy nem átgondolt tiltó szabályozás a dohánytermelők megélhetését tervezi ellehetetleníteni.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A túlzott szabályozás csak a feketekereskedelemnek nyit nagyobb utat, és a termelők érdekeit pedig hátrányosan befolyásolja.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Bock

**email**

frank-bock@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Udo Groen

**email**

udo.groen@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Snus ist meines Wissens nicht so gesundheitsschädlich wie Rauchen. Ich möchte selber entscheiden ob ich ein Produkt nutzen will oder nicht.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch die Medien sind alle erwachsenen Menschen über Risiken sehr gut aufgeklärt. Ich möchte selber entscheiden. Ich kenne niemanden, der nicht informiert ist, dass Rauchen nicht gesundheitsfördernd ist.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Auch innerhalb der EU gibt es Länder. Die Entscheidungen sollten diesen auch überlassen werden. Zum Datenvergleich gibt es heute moderne Hilfsmittel (Computer). Das sollte nicht so schwer sein.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es muss nicht alles über die EU geregelt werden.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Für legale Produkte muss es auch einen "normalen" Wettbewerb geben. Nur durch Wettbewerb werden die Produkte kontinuierlich auch verbessert.

---

**Citizen submission****identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Renate Bock

**email**

rebo157@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bachschneider Bernd

**email**

bernd.bachschneider@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Schwachsinn

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Rameder

**email**

wolfgang.rameder@brz.gv.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Silke Herte

**email**

sherte@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hirsch wolfgang

**email**

hertehirsch@arcor.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
47

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
no comment

**additional comments**  
no comment

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no comment

**additional comments**  
no comment

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**



No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Simone Wagner

**email**

zickenkiosk@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Antanas Sirutis

**email**

antanas.sirutis@gmail.com

**country**

Lithuania

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Piterek

**email**

m.piterek@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die EU dringt immer mehr in die Freiheitsrechte des Bürgers ein. Ich will ein freier Mensch bleiben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

ich bin gegen die Erweiterung der Richtlinie

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Ich empfehle ein weitere Option um das Problem zu lösen.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

verbot aufheben

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

verbot von rauchlosem takak

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christophe Goyet

**email**

goyetchristophe@yahoo.fr

**country**

France

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Any decision taken should be based on scientific elements.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Scientific elements show that Snus is less harmful than cigarette.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Increasing health warning size do not bring additional impact. Plain packaging introduction would encourage criminals to intensify their activities both in terms of counterfeit and contrebanded.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Should be under EU states responsibility.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Should only address the question of toxicity of cigarette's smoke.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No proof that such measure help acheiving public health objectives.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Büche

**email**

alex.susanne.bueche@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No



**explanations**

The tobacco-market is too much regulated. More regulation means more black-market. I don't see a problem with the non regulation of electronic cigarettes, nicotine drinks... These are niche products to me. If you want to prohibit smoking, you have to tell this in a clear manner.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Reduce the tobacco tax to avoid the black market.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

This is an item in just one country of the EC. Let Swedish citizens consume snus. It is quite popular there. But in the EC I don't see that Snus is a problem. Snus smells bad. So it is a niche item.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

If you believe, that cigarettes, small-cigars, cigars are dangerous you have to prohibit them. This would be a honest solution. You operate only the symptoms.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

If smoking is dangerous prohibit smoking. If not let the people smoke.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

I do not think that the EC can control the cross-border retail sales by internet. A regulation is ineffective. If you think that smoking is dangerous prohibit smoking. Else let the people smoke. You operate the symptoms with this regulation.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Laura Löberbauer

**email**

j.kormann@sbg.at

**country**

Österreich

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommedntar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nicht erforderlich

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Attila Radnai

**email**

eu-voting@radnai.net

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Haselhofer Arno

**email**

info@house-industry.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no commend

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

sittig

#### **email**

stefan.sittig@t-online.de

#### **country**

germany

#### **age**

49

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer

**email**

Muffin.r@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**



No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fox

**email**

fox@onlinehome.de

**country**

germany

**age**  
49

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Anna Kormann

**email**

j.kormann-tabak@sbg.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein nKommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Norbert Kormann

**email**

norbert.kormann@aon.at

**country**

Österreich

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Norbert Kormann

**email**  
norbert.kormann@aon.at

**country**  
Österreich

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KeinKommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Peter Schäll

#### **email**

p.schaell@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

46

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**



No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ken komentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kormann Johannj

**email**

j.kormann-tabak@sbg.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kei Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kei Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

KeinKommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrich reimann

**email**

kinbo91@versanet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Jugend muss durch Aufklärung vom Rauchen abgehalten werden. Ein Gesetz zum Schutz der Jugend im Bezug auf Tabakkonsum besteht in Deutschland bereits, jedoch ist es das Papier nicht Wert, auf dem es steht. So ist es Jugendlichen unter 18 Jahren, lt. JuSchG nicht gestattet, Tabakwaren zu erwerben und zu konsumieren, und schon gar nicht in der

öffentlichkeit. Eine Verfolgung in Form einer Ordnungsstrafe und Vernichtung der Tabakwaren durch Ordnungskräfte ( Polizei, Ordnungsamt ) ist nicht vorgesehen. Da, finde ich besteht dringender Handlungsbedarf.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Konsumenten über 18 Jahren lassen sich von Ekelaufdrucken nicht abhalten eine Zigarette zu kaufen. Möglicherweise wird die schaltel umgefüllt. Auch vergrößerte Aufdrucke hinsichtlich Schadstoffe zeigen keine Wirkung sondern sind möglicherweise hilfreich bei Markenwechsel ( gleiche Werte- gleicher Geschmack) Der Preis ist bei 80% aller Konsumenten das größte Entscheidungskriterium.

**additional comments**

- keine Zigaretten ohne Filter - Einheitsgröße 20 Zigaretten - keine Zigarettenautomaten - verkauf nur aus autorisierten Verkaufsstellen

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Indra Geisenhof

**email**

geisenhof.indra@o2online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Milahn

**email**

frank.milahn@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Boot J.M.

**email**

pejo@4bootjes.nl

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Gens

**email**  
schnuffi\_82@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
28

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**

Not the law is the problem, parents that buy cigarettes for their children are the problem!

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

Smoker know what ingredients are in tobacco! Its also written on the packaging

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Krasznai Pál

**email**

krasznai\_pal@vipmail.hu

**country**

Magyar

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

A tervezet ellentmondásos, mivel tiltásokat fogalmaz meg már a hatáskör megfogalmazásakor is bizonyos termékkörre, és nem szolgál erre vonatkozó részletekkel.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Ezen kérdéskör szabályozását tagállami hatáskörben lenne érdemes meghagyni. Az a véleményem, hogy a füstmentes dohánytermékeket tiltás helyett reklámozni kellene a snüsszel kapcsolatos svéd példa alapján.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Az a meglátásom, hogy az egészségvédő feliratok mérete túlságosan nagy, és az elérni kívánt céllal nincs arányban. Véleményem szerint az egyencsomagolás bevezetése nem jó megoldás, hiszen ezzel a feketekereskedelem növekedésének nyitnak utat.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ezen kérdéskör tagállami szinten megoldott és a tagállamok önállóságának fenntartása elengedhetetlen, és ehhez a jelenlegi direktíva megfelelő alapot biztosít.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A tiltó jellegű szabályozásnak megfelelő alapokon kell nyugodnia, nem pedig elfogultságon. Egy nem átgondolt tiltó jellegű szabályozás dohánytermelők munkahelyeit veszélyezteti, így az országban 10 000 ember megélhetése kerülhet veszélybe.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A túlzott szabályozás a termelői érdekeket hátrányosan befolyásolja, hiszen nagyobb teret enged a feketekereskedelemnek, ami által a legális értékesítés csökkenne.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rosita Marschewski

**email**

rosita.marschewski@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nach meiner Auffassung als mündiger EU-Bürger, ist die Regelung wie bisher mehr als

ausreichend. Eine weitere Verschärfung, käme für mich einer Bevormundung gleich.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Was ich konsumiere ist doch wohl meine eigene, freie Entscheidung und bedarf keiner Regulierung.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wenn ich eine Packung Zigaretten kaufe, will ich sicher sein ein Markenprodukt für mein Geld zu erwerben und nicht durch irgendwelche Plagiate, die sich hinter leicht zu fälschenden Einheitsverpackungen verstecken können.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte weiter frei meine Geschmacksentscheidung treffen können und selber bestimmen welches Produkt mit welchen Inhalten ich kaufe.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

kornet

**email**

c.cornet@osnanet.de

**country**

germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Krasznai Mariann

**email**  
mariann\_krasznai@vipmail.hu

**country**  
Magyar

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

A tervezet ellentmondásos, mivel már a hatáskör meghatározásakor is tiltásokat fogalmaz meg egyes témakörök esetében.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Ezen kérdéskör szabályozását tagállami szinten lenne érdemes meghagyni. Ezen kívül pedig a füstmentes dohánytermékeket tiltás helyett jobb lenne reklámozni.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Véleményem szerint az egészségvédő feliratok mérete el van túlozva, és nincs arányban az elérni kívánt céllal.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tagállami szinten a kérdéskör megoldott és a tagállamok szuverenitásának fenntartása szükséges, hiszen a mostani direktíva megfelelő alapot biztosít ehhez.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A tiltó jellegű szabályozásnak megfelelő tudományos alapokon kell nyugodnia. Az FCTC 9-10 cikkelyeinek vitái is mutatják, hogy a tiltó szabályozás, ami nem átgondolt, az nagyon sok ember megélhetését tervezi ellehetetleníteni, ez Magyarországon 10 000 embert jelent.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A túlzott szabályozás nagy teret enged a feketekereskedelemnek, így ezáltal a legális értékesítés csökken, ami a termelői érdekeket hátrányosan befolyásolja.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manfred Marschewski

**email**

manfred.marschewski@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nach meiner Meinung ist die bisherige Regelung mehr als ausreichend. Eine weitere Verschärfung dieser Richtlinie käme für mich als Verbraucher einer Bevormundung gleich. Ich, als mündiger EU-Bürger habe doch wohl ein Recht auf meine eigene Konsumententscheidung !

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Meiner Auffassung nach sind die Warnhinweise genügend und mehr als deutlich. Ein Aufdrucken von diesen "Horrorbildern", wäre, wenn in häuslicher Gemeinschaft solche Packungen offen auf z.B. Tisch o. Schreibtisch liegen würde und von Kleinkindern gesehen werden können, für Kinder mehr als schockierend und unverantwortlich !

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
So wie es ist, ist es ausreichend.

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Janßen,Harald

#### **email**

harald.janssen12@ewetel.net

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

44

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bollmann,harry

**email**

harry.bollmann@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

56

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentarkein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Lewerenz

**email**

thomaslewerenz@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peuker Peter

**email**

tipsipeter@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peuker Marion

**email**

marionpeuker@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Olaf Baberske

#### **email**

olaf.baberske@freenet.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

36

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

---

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

---

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

---

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

---

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

---

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anny Wijn

**email**

a.crijnen-wijn@hetnet.nl

**country**

Netherlands

**age**  
80

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Grotere waarschuwingen, kleuernfoto's of plain packs zijn niet nodig voor verpakkingen van sigaren en pijptabak, want deze producten worden slechts gerookt door een kleine groep volwassen rokers en in zeer kleine hoeveelheden

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Geen veranderingen in de huidige regels voor sigaren en pijptabak, wel voor sigaretten en shag die ook door jongeren worden gerookt

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Lidstaten kunnen op dit moment al fees en sancties opleggen

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Men zou ingrediënten moeten beoordelen op de eventuele toename van de toxiciteit van de tabaksrook

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Een verbod op het tonen van tabaksproducten betekent het einde voor de vele kleine traditionele merken sigaren en pijptabak

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Angelika Struve

**email**

angelika.struve@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrzej Wrona

**email**

wronaa@onet.eu

**country**

Poland

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

weijers h.m.f.

**email**

weijers.harry@planet.nl

**country**

the netherlands

**age**

67

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jennifer Watermann

**email**

OLJeton@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Siemen Veenstra

**email**

siemenveenstra@gmail.com

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**  
Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Alfred Stein, Heltenstraße 16, 69181 Leimen

**email**  
alfredstein2807@googlemail.com

**country**  
deutschland

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Elisabeth Ofner

**email**

lisi.ofner@gmx.at

**country**

Österreich

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**

habts ihr sonst keine anderen Probleme? Warum verbietet IHR nicht auch den Alkohol? Der richtet weit größeren Schaden an!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Viktor Öman

**email**

viktor.oman@live.se

**country**

Sweden

**age**

21



**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Inform people better at younger ages, school, parents or other sources could help increase the knowledge about the dangers of tobacco and nicotine use.

**additional comments**  
If a ban on "snus" would come, why not ban the biggest killer of them all, cigarettes as well? I see no point in just removing one thing that may hurt people and let the 'big killer' stay legal. If anyone could give me a good answer other than "too many people smoke, it will cause an uproar amongst the people" that would be swell.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Most people do know about the dangers of smoking and among them there are many who use cigarettes in a daily basis. If you randomly ask people in the EU, in different countries, how many out of a 1000 would not know that smoking can cause (among other things) cancer? It might be true that people think more about the consequences if the warning signs are bigger. If that is true, make them bigger and with pictures.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**  
Don't ban "snus".

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Silke Bruland

**email**

s.bruland85@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brigitte Fankhänel

**email**

B-R.Fankhaenel@web.de

**country**

Zwickau

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Heike Vogelskamp

**email**  
h.vogelskamp@ms-con.net

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
47

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claus Hofmann

**email**

hofmann@cigarrenversand.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Wander

**email**

fam.wander@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tanrikulu

**email**

yuecel.tanrikulu@ankstelle.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

38

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Carsten Plückerhahn

#### **email**

carsten.plueckerhahn@googlemail.com

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

45

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

no comment

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Georg Philipp Burth

**email**

eu.20.gpburth@dfgh.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

My main problem with tobacco is that non-smokers are affected by the smoke. As long as smoke-free versions of tobacco use does not cause negative health effects for non-users the ban could in my opinion be partially lifted -- with warnings about health effects etc, of course.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

Mandatory number of cigarettes per package (e.g. 20 or 25), so that the price per cigarette can be seen more easily and price changes would not be made by lowering the number of cigarettes per box.

**additional comments**

Do the same for any form of smoking products - cigarettes, cigars, ...

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

make the "positive list" empty, except for tobacco (and maybe water for moistening)

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frederic Petit

**email**

nathalie\_desy@hotmail.com

**country**

Belgium

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**



Josip Belamaric

**email**

jbelamaric@gmx.net

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kompletter Blödsinn, Schnellschussaktion.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Lassts den Menschen doch ihr Recht auf Rauchen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Das ist der umständlichste Test den ich je gemacht habe. Bitte zukünftig bei Befragungen die Wahlmöglichkeit der jew. Sprache der Mitgliedsländer bzw. logischeren Aufbau miteinbeziehen. Danke.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Disselbrede, Daniel

**email**

daniel.disselbrede@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D. Groenendijk

**email**

d.groenendijk@hetnet.nl

**country**

Nederland

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Volker Hopp

**email**

jens.hopp@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

bestehenden Regularien sind mehr als ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Problematik ist den Konsumenten hinreichend bekannt

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michaela Sent

**email**

Ela9974@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**



Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Alwin Ertl

##### **email**

alwin.ertl@yahoo.de

##### **country**

Germany

##### **age**

43

##### **gender**

male

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

##### **recommend option**

In my opinion, current regulations on the sale of cigarettes are enough (age restriction, ID check). Maybe raising the legal age from 18 to 21 could help young people to not start smoking at all.

##### **additional comments**

see above

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

##### **additional option**

As it is unclear whether smokeless tobacco products are actually more harmful to the active

smoker than regular tobacco products, smokeless tobacco products should only be allowed if there is scientific evidence that they do not harm a person's health more than regular tobacco.

**additional comments**

see above

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**

Like in food, manufacturers should be obliged to inform customers about all non-tobacco (chemical or otherwise) substances used in their products.

**additional comments**

see above

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

-

**additional comments**

-

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

As said before, I would positively agree with the legal age to be raised from 18 to 21 to restrict access for young people to tobacco products and maybe make them not start smoking at all. For adults, however, there should be no restrictions.

**additional comments**

see above

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rene Feldhaus

**email**

feldi2k@h4xx0r.cc

**country**

Germany

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

want things to stay

**additional comments**

want things to stay

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

want things to stay its all okay

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

want things to stay its all okay

**additional comments**

want things to stay

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

want things to stay its all okay

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

want things to stay its all okay

**additional comments**

want things to stay its all okay

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hauke Staats

**email**

haukestaats@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Extension of the Directive would be okay if there were no integrated ban on all forms of new

tobacco products for oral consumption

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Bans in general should be based on profound scientific proof. In addition, due to the fact that snus is a smokeless product, it does not harm any non-smokers.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

If there were such a correlation between size of health warnings and amount of smokers there should have been a significant decline in the past when size was enlarged in Germany. Existing graphic health warnings in other countries have not been proven to be effective on keeping someone from smoking. Designing the packs of a legal product should be the legitimate right of every vendor in a competing market to provide a clear distinction of the products for adult consumers via package design.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional comments**

"Smoking kills" says it all. A written health warning is sufficient.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Members of the EU already have the opportunity to impose sanctions and fees on their duty to enforce them.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem is not a lack of equality in legislation among the member states. The only criteria on this issue should be the toxicity of the ingredients during the smoking process.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Why would the EU know better than the member states themselves? There are effective ways to limit access to adult smokers. This topic is a clear example why there is such a thing called subsidiarity within the EU.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

There is no room for display bans on legal products in a free market environment.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lutz Hoffmann

**email**

lusyba@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bastian Steinacker

**email**

bastian.steinacker@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wachter Kristian

**email**

rhaetikon@vol.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Bitsch Brigitte

**email**

brigittebitsch@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bitsch Helmut

**email**

helmutbitsch@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven Rüdning

**email**

srebmot@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bitsch Helmut

**email**

helmutbitsch@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schneider Erich

**email**

ericnes@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Everybody is free !"

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

hasse nyland

**email**

hasse.nyland@pointofview.se

**country**

sverige

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

släpp snuset fritt i europa

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Judith Weih

**email**

jweih@spebal.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Steinkämper

**email**

stefansteinkaemper@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder sollte frei entscheiden können ob er raucht oder nicht. Wieviele Arbeitsplätze ( Familien ) werden dadurch zerstört? Eine Einheitsverpackung oder Bilder auf der Packung werden nichts bringen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

A.B.M.Molendijk

**email**

Info@vofmolendijk.nl

**country**

Nederland

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Mödinger

**email**

moedi.david@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

23

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Smokeless tobacco products at least reduce the burden on non-smokers, since there is no passive smoking. I like that idea.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Klee

**email**

m.klee@email.de

**country**

germany

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich stimme nicht zu, da die jetzige reglung völlig ausreichend ist

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die jetzige gesetzeslage ist vollkommen ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schmitz Herbert

**email**

Herbert.Peter.Schmitz@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das bestehende Regelwerk ist jetzt schon diskriminierend.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Gehen sie endlich gegen illegal hergestellte und geschmuggelte Tabakerzeugnisse vor.

**additional comments**

Meine Vorstellung von persönlicher Freiheit sieht entschieden anders aus , als die ständigen versuche sogenannter " Gutmenschen " alles und jedes Regulieren zu wollen.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich sehe als Nichtraucher keinen Handlungsbedarf mich noch weiter beformulieren zu lassen.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In einer freien Marktwirtschaft sollte es möglich sein für legal hergestellte Waren zu Werben. Also auch für Tabak Produkte. Sie müssen ihre Bürger schon für ziemlich dumm halten wenn Sie ständig neue Verbote erfinden.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Keinkommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dennis Schneider

**email**

Schneider.g.dennis@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

its bull shit

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

you not understand the problem

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

please set on your brain.....

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Florinel Nicolae

#### **email**

florinel\_nicolae@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

50

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Is very well organised!!!

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

It should be the same as until now!!!

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

We have to be free in our options!!!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No!!!

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Every one is now OK!!!

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO!!!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicklas Stenlund

**email**

nicklas.stenlund@ktv.asele.se

**country**

Sweden

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mattias Gustavsson

**email**

gustmannen@home.se

**country**

Sweden

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it seems like noone sees any problem with stuff who isnt good for you from the bigger countrys like wine, alcohol and beer

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

like all stuff you use you choose that. the same with alcohol.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

since this crappy union says that products should be able to handled free within the union that should be the case for snus to. otherwise it shouldn't be any problem if some countrys choose to have big taxes on wine, beer and alcohol or forbid it to (since alcohol is the one thing people get most addicted to)

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

asit always is in the union stuff from smaller countrys get forbidden and the products from bigger ones are free.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

bigger punishments to stores who sells to kids, or people who buy and then sells to kids. cause this is for grown-ups

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

i dont think people on the EU level understands this. (Except the scandinavian countrys). if you don't wanan understand you won't understand

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

if you wanna buy you buy anyway even if they aren't on display

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Kallenbach

**email**

frankkallenbach@rocketmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die EU sollte bestehende nationale Besonderheiten respektieren und hier allein die Zeit sanft arbeiten lassen .

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Gängelung der Bürger, so kommt das an , ist immer kontraproduktiv

**additional comments**

Aufklärung, ordentliche Deklaration sind notwendig und ausreichend,

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Trifft immer wieder den Punkt der Toleranz und Aufklärung

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Eine Unmenge von Schadstoffen , sind Bestandteil der Umwelt, Essen, Auto, Arbeit,Alkohol .Wenn Dritte nicht geschädigt werden , hat der Bürger hier das Recht selbst zu entscheiden , also Information, Aufklärung

**additional comments**

Als deutscher Staatsbürger sind für mich nationale Gegebenheiten anderer Länder heilig . Ich mag absolut keinen Stierkampf , verbieten ?

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wieder, andere Länder, andere Sitten

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**additional option**

Warnen , aufklären ist immer richtig und auch notwendig , das deutlich. Allerdings ein Raucher interessiert all das nicht . Rauchen ist wie krieg , oder denkt man der EU ein Soldat ginge nach Afghanistan , so ihm der Sarg sicher ist ?

**additional comments**

Forschung und Produktion bei der Industrie stärker und nachhaltiger kontrollieren (vor Ort )

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Kapitalismus muss immer kontrolliert werden, kein Zweifel .

**additional comments**

Auch hier überprüfte Forschung , die gegebenenfalls erzwungen

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Jedes Land nach seiner Tradition.

**additional comments**

Ist ein klar definierter Nachweis , wissenschaftlich belegt , dann raus mit dem Zeug . Das müssen die Länder gemeinsam tragen !

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**additional option**

Den Zugang zu Tabakwaren an Minderjährige erschweren, ist immer richtig , Erwachsene sollten nicht wegsehen , wenn Kinder rauchen .

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sonja Weidinger

**email**

arwen6@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johanna Ekström, Member young conservatives Sweden

**email**

johanna.ekstrom@moderat.se

**country**

Sweden

**age**

22

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

I believe that it is wrong to discriminate Swedish Snus from the EU Market and believe that you should lift the ban on snus.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I believe that legal products should have the right to display their trademarks and therefore that the suggested plain packaging is wrong.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ingredients that are used in tobacco dont make the product more dangerous and therefo there should be no legislataion against using non-harmful ingredients.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

As tobacco is legal product i belive that it should be legal to display as with all other products. I also se that a display ban on tobacco would be devestating for smaller retailers and lead to increased illicit trade.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Olle Sjoberg

**email**

olepilot@gmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No restrictions for Swedish snus

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oliver Feldmann

**email**

oliverfeldmann@t-online.de

**country**

germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

(„Kein Kommentar“

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ernst Geisenhof

**email**

sparmarkt.vils@aon.at

**country**

Austira

**age**  
55

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcus Bravidor

**email**

mbravidor@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

23

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dallheimer Christian

**email**

dallheimer@gmx.de



**country**  
Germany

**age**  
41

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Thorsten Rist

**email**  
No Change

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
43

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Change

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No Change

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Change

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Change

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Change

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Change

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ulli Rist

**email**

No Change

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Iris Widmann

**email**

No Change

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Josef Söhner

**email**

No Change

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Brechter



**email**

No Change

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carina Hofmann

**email**

No Change

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Holger Hofmann

**email**

lennardhofmann@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patricia Brandt

**email**

triciabrandt61@googlemail.com

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No comment.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oguz Höhne-Köseoglu

**email**

oska@oska-music.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

lutz

**email**

lutzspitzner@yahoo.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bauer, Anne

**email**

bibi-blocksberg.77@t-online.de

**country**

germany

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Rauchverbot in allen öffentlichen Gebäuden

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist genug geregelt, jeder Staat sollte eine Belange regeln, nicht die EU ständig in die Selbstbestimmung der Bürger eingreifen wollen

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich finde es wird genug geregelt und der mündige Bürger genug in seiner Freiheit beschränkt durch Verbote und Richtlinien. Ich persönlich habe nie geraucht und werde es nie, weil es stinkt, und zu viel GELD kostet und gesundheitsschädlich ist. Aber wenn jemand über 18 Jahren meint, er müsse rauchen, so soll er dies tun. Und er soll sein LEGALES Suchtmittel auch so bekommen wie jetzt. Mit den Einschränkungen dieser Richtlinie wird der Raucher

behandelt, als sei er ein Verbrecher. Dem ist aber nicht so! Die EU regelt nicht, dass Pestizide in Tomaten die ich im Laden kaufen kann, verboten sind. Antibiotika im Fleisch muss ich hinnehmen, weil es nicht verboten ist. Genmanipuliertes Futter für die Tiere, deren Fleisch auf meinem Teller landet, ist erlaubt. Pestizide und giftige Farben und Lacke finden wir im Spielzeug unserer Kinder. Und beim Tabak, wo ich entscheiden, ob ich ihn kaufe, oder ab ich rauche, da will die EU regulieren?? Wegen des Gesundheitsschutzes?? Ich muss Tomaten und anderes Gemüse essen, um mich gesund zu ernähren, um Eiweiß zu mir zu nehmen muss ich auch mal ein Stück Fleisch essen, und spielen sollte jedes Kind können, ohne dass man Angst haben muss, es sei ein gesundheitsschädlicher Stoff im Spielzeug! Die EU sollte sich um wichtigere Dinge kümmern, zB um die Ampel auf Lebensmitteln, damit man gleich erkennt, ob etwas gesund ist, oder nicht. An den Folgen von ungesunder Ernährung leidet jedes Land der EU, nicht nur das unsere.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kopp Sigrid

**email**

zampaline@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Roger Andersson

**email**

and.roger@gmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Permit oral tobacco in the markets where cigarettes are allowed or ban cigarettes in the markets where oral tobacco is banned

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Permit oral tobacco in the markets where cigarettes are allowed or ban cigarettes in the markets where oral tobacco is banned

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Werner Schmitz

**email**

schmitz-leipzig@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

67

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weitere Verschärfungen der Raucherrestriktionen sind überflüssig und schaden nur Handel und Gastronomie.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Wo bleiben die Freiheitsrechte?

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Man sollte niemanden vorschreiben welche Genussmittel er/sie bevorzugt. Was ist mit Nikotinkaummi oder Nikotionpflaster.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tabakprodukte haben auf viele Menschen eine wohltuende Wirkung und führen nicht zu Aggressivität. bei Alkohol oder Drogen ist das anders

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wenn die Inhaltsstoffe gekennzeichnet sind, wären keine witeren Regulierungen erforderlich.

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven-Olof Lundqvist

**email**

s-ol-lundqvist@hotmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Oral tobacco cannot be forbidden

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Oral tobacco is not harmful for the environment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Oral tobacco is not harmful for the environment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Oral tobacco is not harmful for the environment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

No

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Oral tobacco is not harmful for the environment

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Oral tobacco is not harmful for the environment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Conny Gebert

#### **email**

conny.gebert@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

25

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Verstecken, keine Gleichmacherei sondern Aufklärung und Erziehung Verbote haben noch nie was gebracht, siehe Alkoholverbot in Rußland vor Jahren

**additional comments**

Packungsvarianten reduzieren, es braucht keine Sorte z.B. Pall Mall Red in 5 Varianten nur mit unterschiedlichen Stückzahlen, dadurch würde das Regal mit Zigaretten kleiner.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans-Pether Moritz

**email**

moritz@ueab.net

**country**

Sweden

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

More and better information of the effect of wet tobacco (snus), and also see to the difference from and scientific point of view, between wet tobacco and cigarettes/pipe/cigars,

**additional comments**

Today there are products sold with nicotine in order to make people stop smoking, these products are available on stores, drugstores as well on gasstation. In Drugstores there are nicotine products that are very similar to Wet tobacco, but now sold for the purpose of "stop smoking", from the point of "citizens health" it's better that people use wet tobacco than smoking, and it's also one of the most effective ways for people who want to stop smoking. The question is, is wet tobacco worse than "medical products" both containing nicotine, it seems that it's not the nicotine that is the question here

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Annica Sundvall

**email**

annica.sl@hotmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tobacco for oral use must be allowed within Europe

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Tobacco for oral use must be available

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Oral tobacco is not harmful for the environment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Oral tobacco is not harmful for the environment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Oral tobacco is not harmful for the environment

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roland Steinacker

**email**

roland-steinacker@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Andreas Albrecht

**email**  
bigbunny@gmx.net

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brigitte Steinacker

**email**

fischers-laedchen@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Birthe Albrecht

**email**

Steini1981@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommantar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

helmut schrenk

**email**

shh@linzag.net

**country**

österreich

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

nein

**additional comments**

ich denke die eu soll sich mit wichtigeren dinge beschäftigen und nicht einen gesellschaftlichen keil in die bevölkerung treiben. ( nach dem motto die guten und die bösen )

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kei kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lahme

**email**



Nadine\_pharma155@yahoo.de

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bettina Müller

**email**

betti.mueller@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin nicht einverstanden, mit den Plänen der EU-Kommission. Sie sprechen hier von den "Problemen", ich sehe das nicht so. Gewisse Leute in Ihrem Parlament haben ein Problem mit dem Rauchen. Die Nichtraucherlobby ist bei Ihnen stark vertreten. Ich möchte dazu folgendes anmerken: Siehe "Lexikon der populären Irrtümer" von Walter Krämer, Götz Trenkler ISBN N 3-492-22446-6 "Raucher sterben früher, aber nicht nur, weil sie rauchen. Raucher begehen auch häufiger Selbstmord als Nichtraucher, sie werden häufiger ermordet, auch häufiger von Autos überfahren. Nach Meinung von Psychologen gibt es so etwas wie eine "

Raucherpersönlichkeit", die in vielfacher Hinsicht gefährlicher als andere leben, sie würden auch ohne Tabak früher sterben. Diesen Anteil an verlorenen Lebensjahren muß man also herausrechnen, wenn man wissen will, wieviel Jahre uns der Tabak als solcher kostet " Rauchen hat auch positive Begleiterscheinungen, verhindert Parkinson und Alzheimer, Gehirnzellen sterben später ab, als ohne Nikotin. Ich finde, so einfach, wie die EU Kommission sich das macht und Tabak bis aufs Blut verteufelt, dient nur dazu, von wirklichen Problemen abzulenken ! Ich bin ein mündiger Bürger und brauche keine weiteren Reglementierungen seitens der EU, unser deutsches, bestehendes Recht ist völlig ausreichend !

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ob jemand raucht, Snus benutzt, elektronische Zigaretten konsumiert oder auf Kräutermischungen umsteigt, muß jedem Menschen selbst überlassen bleiben ! Wie weit soll das alles noch gehen ? Jetzt geht es um Snus, demnächst sind die " Dickmacher" dran, vielleicht finden Sie auch noch Toilettenpapier, das süchtig macht ! Alles mittlerweile mehr als lächerlich ! Ein klares Nein zu Ihren Plänen !

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Die bestehenden Regelungen sind ausreichend, nicht noch mehr Reglementierungen aus Brüssel !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Unsere Gesetze sind ausreichend. Ich möchte mein Produkt frei wählen können, nach Geschmack und Preis. Für mich ist auch ein gewisses Design wichtig. Ich bezahle dafür und kann wohl erwarten, dass ich nicht mit Horrorbildern auf der Zigaretenschachtel rumlaufen muss. Dann kann ich mir bald ein großes " R " wie Raucher auf eine Armbinde drucken. Ich fühle mich schon verfolgt genug ! Es reicht nun wirklich !!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das ist nun schon wieder Geldmacherei, mit Lizenzen usw. Wieviel Bürokratie brauchen wir denn eigentlich noch ??

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich benötige keine Liste der Zusatzstoffe. Es gibt mittlerweile schon reichlich zusatzstoff-freie Zigaretten und die werden sich sowieso durchsetzen. Sie sind scheinbar garnicht auf dem neuesten Stand !

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Tausende Arbeitsplätze stehen in Deutschland auf dem Spiel, in der Industrie und im Handel . Milliarden von Steuereinnahmen würden ausbleiben, wenn Sie das Rauchen weiter reglementieren ! Das wäre ein Dolchstoß für unsere Wirtschaft ! Wäre es nicht sinnvoller, mal die illegal, teilweise in Rußland in unterirdischen Fabriken unter katastrophalen hygienischen Bedingungen, hergestellten und von mafiaähnlichen Organisationen vertriebenen, Zigaretten wie " Jing Ling " zu bekämpfen ? Wenn der Raucher sich hier in Deutschland seine Zigaretten nicht mehr leisten kann, nur unter erschwerten Bedingungen ( Automatenverbot, Einheitsverpackung, keine Beratung mehr, bebilderte Warnhinweise etc ) Zigaretten kaufen kann, dann wird er ausweichen, eben auf solche illegalen Produkte ! Diese sind viel schädlicher ! Dank der EU wird das bald eintreten !

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karweger Diethild

**email**

A.u.D.Karweger@web.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
48

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
wenn jedes Produkt de EU bis ins Detail reguliert werden soll , dann leben wir bald in einer  
Regulierungs----- eU

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
nein

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Ich will keine Regulierungs-EU

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
es kan bei 320 Millionen Menschen in der EU nicht alles bis ins Detail regliert sein

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
keine Überregulierung

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

bei so vielen Tabakprodukten wie Zigaretten, Zigarren, Ziagrillos, Feinschnitt, Pfeifentabak, Kautabak und derer unterschiedlichster Zusammensetzung zum Endprodukt halte ich eine Regulierung von Inhaltsstoffen für undurchführbar, Andernfalls fallen viel zu hohe Kosten an und jedes Prüfungsinstitut hätte bei einem Naturrohstoff wie Tabak andere Ergebnisse

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die bisherigen Regelungen reichen aus

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KAthrin Gebert

**email**

gebert.kathrin@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine weitere Regulierung notwendig

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

rauchlose Tabake gefährden nicht andere Personen

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

diese Ideen widersprechen dem Wettbewerb, die abschreckende Wirkung von Ekelbildern ist nicht nachgewiesen, alle Maßnahmen würden sowieso nur den Raucher betreffen, eine wirksame Aufklärung schon bevor man anfängt, ist wirksamer

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

die Gesundheitserziehung schon im Kindes bzw. Schulalter ist allemal wirksamer. Das schon praktizierte Programm in Deutschland bundesweit durchgeführt durch die Initiative Klasse 2000, welches von der Gesundheitsbehörde durchgeführt wird und privat gesponsert wird, ist der richtige Weg. Es wird in der 1.-4. Klasse durchgeführt mit großem Erfolg. Der Anteil junger Raucher nimmt ab.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die bestehenden Regelungen-Verbraucherschutz sind ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die jetzigen Regelungen sind völlig ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

am Automaten gibt es eine Altersbegrenzung, sofern das in anderen Ländern nicht so ist, ist das deutsche Beispiel nachahmenswert im Geschäft gibt es eine Alterskontrolle - völlig ausreichend Schmuggelzigaretten oder Fälschungen werden "unter dem Ladentisch" oder an heimlichen Absatzstellen angeboten. Legale Produkte stehen im Regal. Dabei ist die beste Kontrolle möglich. Gäbe es da keinen Unterschied mehr, wer sollte da überhaupt noch ein Geschäft bemühen. Aktuelle Studien beziffern den Anteil an Schmuggelzigaretten jetzt schon auf mehr als 50% im Raum Dresden. Da fehlt jegliche Kontrolle von Inhalt oder Herkunft.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schröder Brigitte

**email**

Schroederstabakwaren@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

64

**gender**

female



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
keine Änderung

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
keine Änderung

**additional comments**  
keine Änderung

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
keine

**additional comments**  
keine

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
keine

**additional comments**  
keine

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**

keine

**additional comments**

keine

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

keine

**additional comments**

keine

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

keine

**additional comments**

keine

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Böhm Oliver

**email**

oliver\_boehm20002000@yahoo.de

**country**

Austria

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Böhm Oliver

**email**

oliver\_boehm20002000@yahoo.de

**country**

Austria

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

everybody want to smoke smoke anyway

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ehrentraud Gabriele

**email**

gabreelehrentraud@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderungen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

ich habe kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

G. Fischer

**email**

cgfische@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

morrigan claesson

**email**

morgantele2.se

**country**

Sweden

**age**

66



**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Bäurle

**email**

mb74@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marieke van Doormaal

**email**

marieke\_crijnen@onsbrabantnet.nl

**country**

Netherlands

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Grotere waarschuwingen, kleurenfoto's of plain packs zijn niet nodig voor verpakkingen van sigaren en pijptabak, omdat deze producten slechts gerookt worden door een kleine groep volwassen rokers en in zeer kleine hoeveelheden

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**

Geen verandering in de huidige regels voor verpakkingen van sigaren en pijptabak

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Lidstaten kunnen op dit moment al fees en sancties opleggen

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Men moet ingrediënten beoordelen op de eventuele toename in de toxiciteit van de tabaksrook

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Een verbod op het tonen van tabaksproducten betekent de doodsteek voor vele kleine traditionele merken pijptabak en sigaren

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johannes Kerner

**email**

jck6000@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't see a problem, thus cannot agree with the definition.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The markings are clearly visible on all cigarette packs. If the problem is different methods in different countries, this would be a problem; the percentage of warnings space taken is not a problem.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Country-specific regulations are unnecessary bureaucracy. A common solution would be better, but there is no need for more regulation on almost anything in the EU (except banks, but that's another topic).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Annita

**email**

anna\_e@hotmail.es

**country**

ES

**age**  
32

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Allow Swedish snus

**additional comments**  
Scrap ban on Swedish snus in the EU

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ehrentraud

**email**

frankehrentraud@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

lassen Sie die Regelungen wie sie sind es reicht langsam mit der Bevormundung

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

1.1 2.1 Keine Änderung 3.1 Keine Änderung 4.1 Keine Änderung 5.1 Keine Änderung 6.1



keine Änderung

**additional comments**

Kümmern sie sich um etwas wichtigeres als um Dinge über die sie nichts wissen Die Vernichtung tausender Arbeitsplätze haben sie ja bereits aus der Dosenpfandregelung in Deutschland gesehen Hier würde es noch vielmehr Arbeitsplätze treffen

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

ich habe keine Kommentare mehr

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinenKommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Elke Steffen

**email**

Elke.Steffen@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Warnhinweise sind nicht notwendig, weil diese eine manipulation des Unterbewußtseins sind und dadurch ds Krebsrisiko steigt.

**additional comments**

Ich fühle mich als Person diskriminiert

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Warnhinweise sind eine manipulation des UNterbewußtsein und steigern das krebsrisiko

**additional comments**

kein Kommetar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Per Billert

**email**

per.billert@bredband.net

**country**

Sweden

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**  
Take away the ban of oral tobacco. It is a fact that the use of "snus" is much less dangerous than smoking cigarettes. In my country, Sweden, many ex-smokers have quit smoking thanks to snus, that is a much "better" habit. There is simply no reason for banning the use of oral tobacco in the other member states.

**additional comments**  
Legalize snus!

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Beata Kasikci

**email**

lady85@live.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Aydin Cumut

**email**

aydincumut@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weil wir Menschen sind und Grundrechte haben!!! Wir sind keine Kleinkinder und müssen nicht vorgeschrieben kriegen, was, wie, wann und wo wir zu rauchen haben und wo nicht. Es ist eine Frechheit wie die EU uns vorschreiben möchte was wir zu tun haben und uns doch selber die entscheidung überlassen soll ob ich rauchen möchte oder nicht. jeder erwachsene mensch weiss dass das rauchen ungesund ist. McDonalds und andere Fastfood produkte sind auch ungesunde Sachen und das wird nicht verboten.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johann Dobrocsi

**email**

johann@dobrocsi.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

60

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Das gesundheitliche Problem des Rauchens wird nicht durch immer neue, teilweise unverständliche Verbote beeitgt werden können.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Kern

**email**

worldwidewurst@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It doesn't make sense at all. No smoker will stop buying cigarettes because you can't see the brand anymore. And nobody will be prevented from starting by this.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Even for a smoker are snus an alternative to minimize the risk of getting nicotine. In addition there's no possibility to harm other people by passive smoking.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Everybody knows that smoking is harmful. It's simply not necessary to extend the warnings by eliminating the brand.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It's good to be informed about the ingredients - it's not good to permit them since the customer should decide on its own.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It won't help people to stop smoking but just to make their life harder.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Christoph Elpers

#### **email**

christophelpers@arcor.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

kein Kommentar

### **additional comments**

kein Kommentar

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

kein Kommentar

### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **additional option**

kein Kommentar

### **additional comments**

kein Kommentar

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mathias Detzler

**email**

Ma.T.M@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weil dies eine Bevormundung der EU-Bürger ist. Es vernichtet Arbeitsplätze

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Das man die Verbraucher entscheiden lässt, ob Sie ein Produkt kaufen wollen oder nicht. Es wäre viel besser, wenn man sich um den Alkoholkonsum der Bürger kümmern würde.

**additional comments**

nein

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Weil man sich zu viel einmischt.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

alles so lassen wie es ist.

**additional comments**

nein

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderung des Gesetzes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderung

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderung

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderung

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Natalia SZÖKE

**email**

berg172@gmx.at

**country**

Austria



**age**  
45

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es kann nicht sein, dass eine geringe Anzahl von irgend welchen Personen (egal ob in der Politik oder jedwege Minderheiten) meine Freiheiten dermassen einschnrenken. Es muss endlich genug sein mit dem EU-Faschismus. Von einer Demokratie kann hier auf keinen Fall die Rede sein.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
siehe oben

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
siehe oben

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
siehe oben

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe oben

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe oben

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mårten Lönn

**email**

marten.lonn@telia.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

20

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Swedish snus is not a problem. It's a part of the solution of harm reduction! And it's also discriminating to not allow the sales of snus which is a tobacco product that is less harmful

then approved tobacco products. Swedish Snus should be allowed in all countries in the EU.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Allow sales of Snus in all of EU. Because it's less harmful than cigarettes, chewing tobacco and practically all other forms of use of tobacco!

**additional comments**

Allow sales of Snus in all of EU. Because it's less harmful than cigarettes, chewing tobacco and practically all other forms of use of tobacco!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

There is no proof that Swedish snus can cause cancer! All research points to that Swedish snus probably does not cause cancer, but other oral tobacco does.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oliver Gaedtke

**email**

oliver.gaedtke@teleos-web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das Rauchen nicht Gesund ist dürfte jedem bekannt sein. Dieses gilt aber ebenso für einen großen Teil alkoholischer Produkte und Lebensmittel. Sollen z. B. alle Chipspackungen gleich aussehen, am Besten mit einem Bild eines dicken Menschen? Oder ein verfettetes Herz. Oder alle Schnapsflaschen sehen gleich aus, als Bild ein Betrunkener, der in seinem Erbrochenen liegt. Bitte dann auch alle Stromkonzerne verpflichten, die Atomstrom anbieten,

Krebskranke auf ihren Briefen abzubilden. Auf allen Eierschachteln aus Legebatterien verwendete Hühner. Auf Spielzeug aus China Kinder bei der Arbeit und und und...Man kann den Regulierungswahn auch übertreiben! Die EU sollte sich um wichtige Dinge kümmern!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joachim Kurz

**email**

joachim\_kurz@me.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rozensky Gerold

**email**

gerry@kabsi.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weil jede Art von Richtlinien und sonstigen Nonsens, daß die EU verbreitet, grundsätzlich dazu dienen, die persönlichen Freiheiten einzuschränken. Ich bin ein mündiger Bürger und möchte mich nicht von den Politidioten Vorschriften machen lassen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

siehe oben

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe oben

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe oben

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe oben

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe oben

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schild, Hanns Udo

**email**



husch.ger@googlemail.com

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
46

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

H.J. Krikhaar

**email**

bouwscholten@hotmail.com

**country**

the Netherlands

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roll Karl- Heinz

**email**

tankstelle@autoroll.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Komemmentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

keine änderung

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Uwe Maier

#### **email**

umaier@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

41

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **additional comments**

Since all ways of application of tobacco provide health risks

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

#### **which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional comments**

Especially the idea of additional ingredients is a pretty good idea!

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

To get rid of the remains why not add a deposit for the filters?

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alice Maxi

**email**

softamour@gmail.com

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Renate Nowotnick

**email**

nadinesitza@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

1. kundenfeindliche Maßnahme 2. Tabakwarenverkauf wird durch diese Maßnahme kriminalisiert 3. Einschränkung des Kaufverhaltens 4. Kaufverhalten des Kunden wird manipuliert, durch Werbung bekannt gemachte Marken verkaufen sich besser, als unbekanntere 5. Bevormundung und darausfolgende Einschränkung der Persönlichkeitsrechte 6. sollte die Entscheidung der EU- Kommission in Brüssel richtig sein, würde ich für eine Volksabstimmung plädieren !!! 7. Schwarzhandel an poln. tschechischen Grenzen blüht unweigerlich noch mehr auf -> Katastrophe für kleine Händler

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

- strikte Einhaltung des Abgabealters der Käufer

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Konsequenz: Schwarzhandel

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

tabak ist und bleibt schädlich

**which option**



Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

August Mostbauer

**email**

A- Raaba, Mostbauerhöhe 2

**country**

Austria

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kollmannsberger, Daniela

**email**

daniela.kollmannsberger@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harald Köster

**email**

ironmaiden20001969@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

don't make any changes that takes away our freedom!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

smokeless tobacco products don't harm anyone except the consumer himself. It should be the consumer's decision if he wants to take nicotine products or not. It's not anyone else's problem.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kollmannsberger, Heinrich

**email**

danik752002@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

66

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommenar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

petrolstation van Eijk

**email**

gjevaneijk@fietspecialist.nl

**country**

Nederland

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jörg Lippmann

**email**  
j@donalbain.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Caroline Eberle

#### **email**

caroline-eberle@t-online.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **gender**

female

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Ich möchte nicht in einem Staat leben, der mir vorschreibt, was ich zu konsumieren habe. Dabei finde ich es unmöglich, den Wettbewerb derart zu verzerren, so dass Packungen "anonymisiert" werden sollen. Ebenso möchte ich als freier EU Bürger, FREI wählen können, was ich konsumiere, ohne das die EU mir meint vorschreiben zu müssen, was wie auszusehen hat, um es für mich attraktiv/unattraktiv erscheinen zu lassen.

### **which option**

No change

### **additional comments**

This questionnaire is too difficult. For me as a business psychologist, it is easy to answer any kinds of questionnaire. But in this specific case, it seems to me, that the EU wants the citizens NOT to actively participate! In my opinion, this is a very unfair and hardly accessible towards those who would be affected.

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

No comment

### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Verletzung des Markenrechtes! Tabak ist legalisiert in der EU

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach, muss nicht jeder seine Inhaltsstoffe preisgeben, bzw. die überprüfen lassen. Keiner verbietet Coca cola. Sogar dieses Getränk kann bei übermäßigem Konsum ernsthafte Schäden der Gesundheit zuführen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jugendschutz sollte stärker reguliert werden. Für leute erst ab 21 zugänglich sein.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reiner Sperl

**email**

warumdannnet@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brandt

**email**

tabacundco.imaez@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland-Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Leopold Berghuber

**email**

LeopBerg@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Dirk Schmitz

#### **email**

schmitz@bdta.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Eine Problemdarstellung, die nicht auf wissenschaftlichen Erkenntnissen beruht und im Konjunktiv formuliert ist, ist keine Problemdarstellung. Darüber hinaus sehe ich keine Zuständigkeit der EU.

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Der Konsum von rauchlosen Tabakwaren gefährdet nachweislich nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Demzufolge liegt es in der Eigenverantwortung eines mündigen Erwachsenen solche Produkte zu konsumieren. Ein Problem ist daraus nicht abzuleiten.

### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Der Problemdarstellung entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage.

### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es wird unterstellt, dass die Behörden in den Mitgliedsstaaten Probleme bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben. Warum kommt dann der Anstoß zum Handeln nicht von den Mitgliedsstaaten selbst, sondern von der EU? Und selbst wenn es so wäre, denke ich, dass die Mitgliedsstaaten diese probleme alleine lösen können.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitigen nationalen Regelungen funktionieren.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat – diese Kompetenz ist meines Erachtens nicht gegeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang La Noutelle

**email**

info@wolfgang-lanoutelle.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerrit Jacob

**email**

RalleG@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Per Carlsson

**email**

per.c@telia.com

**country**

Sverige

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Tillåt snus i hela EU. Förhoppningsvis skulle det kunna minska tobaksrökningen något.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cattin Christian

**email**

christiancattin@net2000.ch

**country**

Switzerland

**age**

1958

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Thomas Winkelmann

**email**  
thomas10922@yahoo.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
41

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sentic. Katica

**email**

sentic.1301@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eßig, Günther

**email**

guenther\_essig@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme

in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meiner Sicht abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Linus Ericson

**email**

linusiupsala@hotmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

To ban certain tobacco-products is wrong. If people want to use these products then let them. THEY KNOW that it's dangerous.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

If you ban snus you have to ban cigarettes to and that's wrong.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I think we have achieved this goal already.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't believe this is a problem.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional comments**

Absolutely NOT a restriction of promotion!!!

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Ruf

#### **email**

Franziska.Ruf@reemtsma.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

this is really cutting my rights for a legal product

### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

There is no problem. Things have been regulated and don't need overregulation

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Nobody has killed anybody while smoking a cigarette. Alcohol is a bigger problem: People lose their jobs because of alcohol, people get killed or kill others while drunk driving a car. Fatty and sugary foods are problematic too. Where is the heavy regulation here?

### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Tobacco is a legal product and is regulated already a lot.

### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nothing added

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ingredients are good and are legally save.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Controlled supply is already in place

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

This whole EU Directive is a joke. if anything of this is really put into reality you will have more smuggling, counterfies, and will criminalise everything. You will not stop people from smoking. It is part of our culture and is already banned in a way so that non-smokers are safe.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Papperitz, Andreas

**email**

Andreas\_Papperitz@bat.com



**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
57

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Santamaria

**email**

danielsantamaria@hotmail.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lene Poulsen

**email**

lene.poulsen@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Veränderung führt nur zu mehr illegale Produkte

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Wieso dürfen wir nicht selbst entscheiden was uns gut tut und was nicht?

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Wieso dürfen wir nicht selbst entscheiden was uns gut tut und was nicht?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

--

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

--

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

--

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

--

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Grünenwald, Karl

**email**

Karl\_Gruenenwald@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hüyng, Andreas

**email**

A.Hueyng@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es soll bleiben wi es ist!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Auswahlfreiheit für alle Raucher!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Raucher sollen auch auf Snus zurückgreifen können.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung reicht völlig aus.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Plain Packaging ist nicht richtig und zeitgemäß! Völliger Schwachsinn!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Trägt nicht zur Aufklärung bei.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung reicht vollständig!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nein, da es sich um ein legales Produkt handelt!

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Die Überregulierungsart der EU ist unerträglich und unnötig! Cigaretten sind legal und sollten deshalb frei zugänglich sein!



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Artes, Ralf

#### **email**

Ralf\_Artes@bat.com

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

48

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

#### **questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinn abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weiße Einheitspackungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Plain Packaging spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde im Geschäft auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bram Reijnen

**email**

esso\_reijnen2hetnet.nl

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hörig, Manfred

**email**

Manfred\_Hoerig@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich als Raucher möchte das komplette Sortiment sehen und mir meine Marke aussuchen können.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martha Lucassen

**email**

marthalucassen@hotmail.com

**country**

Nederland

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Weinöhl, Klaus

**email**

Klaus\_Weinoehl@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christina Buxbaum

**email**

christina.buxbaum@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Helmut Wirth

**email**

jupiter11@chello.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Freedom of choice for adults.

**additional comments**

Freedom of Choice for adult people. Freedom for one person ends, where the freedom of

other persons is concerned. It is the trend within the EU, to violate this simple rule and try to limit freedom of choice even when other persons rights are not touched. This must end.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Freedom of Choice for adult people. Freedom for one person ends, where the freedom of other persons is concerned. It is the trend within the EU, to violate this simple rule and try to limit freedom of choice even when other persons rights are not touched. This must end.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Freedom of Choice for adult people. Freedom for one person ends, where the freedom of other persons is concerned. It is the trend within the EU, to violate this simple rule and try to limit freedom of choice even when other persons rights are not touched. This must end.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Freedom of Choice for adult people. Freedom for one person ends, where the freedom of other persons is concerned. It is the trend within the EU, to violate this simple rule and try to limit freedom of choice even when other persons rights are not touched. This must end.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

Freedom of Choice for adult people. Freedom for one person ends, where the freedom of other persons is concerned. It is the trend within the EU, to violate this simple rule and try to limit freedom of choice even when other persons rights are not touched. This must end.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Freedom of Choice for adult people.

**additional comments**

Freedom for one person ends, where the freedom of other persons is concerned. It is the trend within the EU, to violate this simple rule and try to limit freedom of choice even when other persons rights are not touched. This must end.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

r. van gestel

**email**

r.vangestel@xs4all.nl

**country**

netherlands

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Axel Grafström

**email**

ballking\_s@hotmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

25

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
I dont think regulations should be made by the union

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
I think that people have the right to use cigarets and snus if they want to. I dont like cigarets and the smoke but it does not give me or anyone else the right to tell people how to live their lifes. If Snus was leagle less people would have to suffer from the cigarett smoke and that would be a good thing.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Still not an issue for the EU

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Since it's private company that sell products they should be able to packet them in any way they want. I think that it would hurt the new entreses for companies if the packages did not have brands and the EU would give monopsony power to firms.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No opinion on reporting and registration of ingredients

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

No opinion on reporting and registration of ingredients, already know its bad but think people know it and can make their own decisions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Not an issue for EU

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not a problem and if someone want to sell them then they should be allowed to

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

EU was not created to regulate things like this

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

R. Tolboom

**email**

info@tankstationtolboom.nl

**country**

Nedrland

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**



citizen

**name**

margit preis

**email**

mp@gmx.at

**country**

austria

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pitterich, Wolfgang

**email**

Pitterich.Tobias@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thorsten Rien

**email**

trien@wolsdorff-tobacco.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Heil, Klaus

**email**

Nikolaus\_Heil@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Einheitspackungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Plain Packaging spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände. Ich halte es für äußerst unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen

rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde im Geschäft auch sehen können. Ich als Raucher möchte das komplette Sortiment sehen und mir meine Marke aussuchen können.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M. Michels

**email**

marcelmichels@upcmail.nl

**country**

The Netherlands

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Regarding Cigars and Cigarillos, I do not feel that bigger warnings, pictorial healthwarnings or plain packaging are required. Cigar smokers are adults and they enjoy their product on an occasional basis.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eu member states can already introduce fees and sanctions

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No



**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I believe that a display ban would effectively mean the end of most small (cigar) brands.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Henning Hörstermann

**email**

hhoerstermann@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Duijn

**email**

hansduijn@ziggo.nl

**country**

Nederland

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Florian Gödderz

**email**

f@goedderz.nl

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional comments**

Smoking is so unromantic!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Loeffen

**email**

markloeffen@hetnet.nl

**country**

Nederland

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cristina de Cos Vega

**email**

cdecos@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No coments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Astrid Antes

**email**

astrid.antes@auva.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

public smoking is usual in Austria-smoking prohibitions are ignored-it would be useful to



control public smoking

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

control smoking by ban,by price,by controlling the boarders(smuggling)

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

see problem discription above

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

forcing Austria to follow european standards concerning smoking bans

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see above

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

to make smoking expensive (10 Euros ore more)

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

cigarettes contain above 40 carcinogens,one more will not change the problem

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the lists of ingredients will not shock the users

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hilmar Hülser

**email**

hilmarhuelser@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roger Magnusson

**email**

rogermagnusson86@gmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In Sweden we have fewer problems with tobacco, it is because we have Snus. Because all the research that is currently showing that Snus is not as dangerous as cigarettes should be able to buy Snus in the EU or illegal to sell ALL tobacco products.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

In Sweden we have fewer problems with tobacco, it is because we have Snus. Because all the research that is currently showing that Snus is not as dangerous as cigarettes should be able to buy Snus in the EU or illegal to sell tobacco products.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rune Divander

**email**

rune@solskenet.se

**country**

Sweden

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

I think it is ridiculous that cigarettes are legal, but "snus" is not!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Wibergh

**email**

thomas.wibergh@bredband2.com

**country**

Sverige

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Erfarenheten i Sverige synes visa att snus snarare ersätter ett tidigare cigarettbruk än att att snusbruk leder till att man går över till cigaretter. De uppgifter som i vart fall publicerats i Sverige indikerar också att skadorna av snus är mindre än vid motsvarande konsumtion av röktobak. Slutligen verkar det också vara en svårförklarlig skillnad mellan att man tillåter andra former av tobak som inte röks (t ex luktsnus och tuggtobak) men inte svenskt snus.

Förklaringen verkar snarast vara att i tidigare EU-länder användes traditionellt inte snus men väl andra tobaksprodukter. Det som var tillåtet i de "gamla" länderna förblev tillåtet, men "tillkommande" produkt förbjöds, detta oavsett effekt.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional comments**

Det finns redan ett stort problem med olaglig försäljning av narkotika och dopningsmedel övedr gränserna i EU. Trots allt bör nog tobaksprodukter då anses som ett jämförelsevis mindre problem. De ökade kostnaderna för övervakning och rättsskipning kommer då att minska resurserna för bekämpning av de svårare problemen. Resurskrävande förbud bör därför undvikas.

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carl Byström

**email**

carilary@hotmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

17

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mathies Roselieb

**email**

mad@san-cabana.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Freitner

**email**

xz33@live.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

There are four keys to fight smoking. - Advertising ban for tobacco products. In Germany you still see tobacco ads in movies and on every advertising column and poster on the street. - strict smoking ban in pubs, restaurants and night clubs. - Money fines for smokers which do not follow the smoking bans. In Bavaria there is a strict smoking ban. But as there are no money fines for smokers, so many of them still smoke. This makes going out without passive smoking nearly impossible. I personally work for private parties where the organiser rents a night club and then allows smoking. It does not matter if there are any children under 18. I want to work without the force to breathe toxic air. - Ban for cigarette automates.

**additional comments**

There will probably be much feedback on this topic as the website <http://www.entscheiden-sie-selbst.de/meinung.html> is directing to this questionnaire. [entscheiden-sie-selbst.de](http://www.entscheiden-sie-selbst.de) is linked in Facebook groups of organisations which are against smoking bans.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LFG.Vaessen

**email**

lfg.vaessen@belgacom.net

**country**

Nederland

**age**  
67

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban

**which one2**  
Vending machines to be banned

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Juergen Finke

#### **email**

juergen.finke@arcor.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

46

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

the consumer might be able to make better desisions on his own, when he knows more about the contents in cigarettes. So a list with contents in each pack, maybe as an insert could be a good way. Information but no more restrictions is the catchword. Most of us are major citizens.

#### **additional comments**

I like to make as many decisions on my own as possible. I love the idea of the EU but i dont want to be contolled in all sectors of my live. After all i dont want to live in a country without cigarettes, alcohol, mc donalds, cars, extrensports, and so on, because these things are potentially deadly, this kind of decisions should be in the resposebility of each individual. The politics have the duty to inform the people about the risks, witch is in case of cigarettes almost done. No smoker will think that smoking is riskfree. Non smokers has to be protected, again this is almost done by the politics in the EU. So please dont overact in one area, there are a lot of work to do in other sectors. I found an area of improvement, AtomarPower plants. Hence they are wasting our environment for billions of years no man shold use a technology like this.

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Antti Silvennoinen

**email**

antti\_silvennoinen@hotmail.com



**country**

Sweden

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I support option nr.2 Lifting the ban on SNUS. I believe that all tobacco and non medical nicotine products should be treated with same restrictions. Free sales to citizens over 18 yo. Smokeless tobacco has not been proven to be more dangerous than regular tobacco therefore the ban should be lifted. Smokeless tobacco like snus does not harm lungs and has not been proven to cause cancer.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kristof Doms

**email**

kristofdoms@hotmail.com

**country**

Belgium

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Absent any scientific analysis, no product category should be banned.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Legal basis for the tobacco directive is the "internal market", and there is no proof that any of these measures are helping the internal market. Furthermore, the so-called evidence is not scientific. The only foreseeable result is further increasing the illicit trade with tobacco products.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Subsidiarity check should be applied: the current TPD allows the Member States to regulate this area

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredient testing/regulation should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke constituents.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it is unclear where the internal market dimension is with points of sale or vending machines.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Phil Arundell

**email**

phil.arundell@me.com

**country**

UK

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rohrbeck, Hajo

**email**

Hajo-R@T-Online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

The scope of the Directive can be extended to cover these other products, but this should not include an automatic ban on one category of product ( novel forms of oral tobacco ). Any bans should be based on scientific assessments.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence that bigger warnings are more effective. There is no evidence that plain packaging would improve the functioning of the internal market or have any public health benefits. It would make it easier for criminals to copy legitimate brands and would lead to an increase in illegal sales of cigarettes.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Member States can already introduce fees and sanctions. Enforcement of the provisions of the Directive should remain the responsibility of the member States.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no clear problem stated as regards either vending machines or point of sale display. There is no evidence provided as to why bans would improve the functioning of the internal market or advance any public health objectives.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bo Törnberg

**email**

bo\_tornberg@hotmail.com

**country**

sweden

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

If u have to take away our snus, You have to take away all the cigarets that we here in sweden make to. Only because some one think it is discusting doesnt mean that ALL think so! IF u gona take our snus, then forbidd all kind of tobaco from exporting from sweden!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kalle Björklöf



**email**

kalle@bjorklof.se

**country**

Sweden

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

I don't agree on the claim that the use of smokeless tobacco products may lead to subsequent cigarette smoking. I think to the contrary.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Anna Maurer

**email**  
0443@tamoil.net

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Kein kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick Nieveler

**email**

p.nieveler@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Es mag nicht bewiesen sein, dass diese Produkte es erleichtern mit dem Rauchen aufzuhoeren. Daher sollte der Grundsatz "im Zweifel fuer den Angeklagten gelten". Natuerlich sind auch diese Produkte gesundheitsgefuehrlich. Aber viele solcher Produkte sind um ein vielfaches weniger Schaedlich als zum Beispiel Zigaretten.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt derzeit keine verlaesslichen Studien, dass graphische Warnhinweise den Konsum von Zigaretten verringern. Es gibt jedoch Berichte aus Laendern die bereits graphische Warnhinweise nutzen, dass keine Reduktion des Konsums festgestellt werden konnte. Aehnliches wuerde meiner Meinung nach bei Einheitspackungen passieren.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Mir ist es unverstaendlich warum es immer noch ausschliesslich Tabakprodukte sind, die derart "geachtet" werden. Ich selbst bin Nichtraucher und verfolge gesundheitsrelevante Studien seit Jahren aus "neutralem" Blickwinkel. Seit geraumer Zeit faellt mir auf, dass immer mehr Studien veroeffentlicht werden, die Alkohol als die gefaehrlichste Droge identifizieren, weil Alkoholismus sich auch erheblich auf das persoenliche Umfeld auswirkt. Seit Jahren vermisse ich regulative Massnahmen die den Alkoholkonsum einschraenken. ich kann mich an keine Debatte zur Branntweinsteuererhoehung erinnern oder zur Einfuehrung von Warnhinweisen auf Alkoholflaschen. Die in den vergangen Jahren durchgefuehrten regulativen Massnahmen bei Tabakprodukten waren meiner Meinung nach sinnvoll und richtig. Aufgrund dieser Erfahrungen sollten diese Massnahmen nun auch auf Alkohol ausgedehnt werden! Als ich ein Kind war, wollte ich unbedingt diese Schokoladenpralinen mit den tollen roten Kirschen probieren, die ich immer in der Fernsehwerbung sah. Meine Eltern hatten mir erkluert, dass diese nur fuer Erwachsene bestimmt seien, weil diese Schnaps enthalten, was ich nicht verstehen wollte weil die Kirschen in der Fernsehwerbung (die auch nachmittags schon zu sehen war) immer so lecker aussahen. Also kaufte ich mir heimlich eine Packung dieser Pralinen im Supermarkt (ohne Probleme bekam ich diese im Alter von etwa 10 Jahren). Nur meine aeltere Schwester konnte mich noch am Verzehr hindern. Wenn ich mir ansehe wie einfach es noch heute fuer Jugendliche ist, an Alkohol zu kommen, und wie billig Alkohol im Vergelich zu Zigaretten ist, finde ich der Fokus sollte auf diese Probleme gesetzt werden, da die derzeitigen Massnahmen im Tabakbereich bereits einen ausreichenden Kompromiss zwischen persoenlicher Entscheidungsfreiheit, Informationspflicht und sinnvoller Regulierung darstellen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Zum Internethandel: Die EU wollte den gemeinsamen Binnenmarkt. Dann muessen jetzt auch die Konsequenzen aus einem gemeinsamen Binnenmarkt getragen werden. Alternativ sollte einfach eine EU-weit einheitliche Verbrauchsteuer festgesetzt werden. Dass das politisch aufgrund der erforderlichen Zustimmung aller Mitgliedsstaaten nicht durchfuehrbar ist, da fuer

kann der Verbraucher nichts. Wenn also die Politik nicht in der Lage ist, ordentliche! Massnahmen zu ergreifen, kann es nicht sein dass dies der Verbraucher ausbaden muss. Automaten: Die Alterskontrollen an den Automaten sind effizient genug, ein Verbot entbehrt daher jeglicher Grundlage. Display ban: Wie bereits unter Punkt 3 erlaeutert, sind die derzeitigen regulativen Massnahmen voellig ausreichend. Ein Display ban wuerde meiner Meinung nach den Konsum nicht einschraenken und in die persoenliche Entscheidungsfreiheit zu sehr eingreifen. Einem erwachsenen Menschen muss es gestattet bleiben, selbst zu entscheiden ob er ein Produkt und welches Produkt er konsumieren moechte oder nicht. Ein Display Ban wuerde dieses Grundrecht verbieten, da es nicht mehr moeglich ist, sich ueber das bestehende Angebot zu informieren.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Patrik Isaksson

#### **email**

patrik@isakssons.nu

#### **country**

Sweden

#### **age**

24

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Snus is a way to drastically lowe problems with tobak use in the EU, if all that smoke instead used snus we would save fortunes in medical costs. It's not candy, but its better then ciggarets.

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **additional comments**

I addmitt that snus is not candy, and it should not be marketed as a good thing for non tobacco users, but for ciggaret smokers snus is a easy way to stop smoking and reducing their risk to get diseses from the use. Of course in the best of worlds this would not be a problem becaus no one would smoke or use snus, but now people smoke and we pay a lot to deal with their

desises and if they would go over to snus we would save a shitload of money in medical care that could be used to so many better things.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mauel, Elmar

**email**

Elmar\_Mauel@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rick Schasfoort

**email**

Schas015@planet.nl

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not see the problem. You can make a problem from everything. I see this as an excuse for further restrictions from the EU.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Leave it to the countries.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nobody will look on the package to see what is in it. Do you know what is in coffee or tea? It depends if you ask it to a consumer or a chemist.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No moer regulations, less regulations!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I am a retailer in Holland. We had all kind of regulations in the past. Not selling after 18.00. We had to put the cigarettes behind bars, connected to an alarmcentre, and sell them from a machine. After 1 year the whole excersie changed end or investement was gone. We collect a lot of taxes for our goverment. last year thieves stole for 6500 euro's. Today stolen, tommorow in Polen. I do not hear anything from the goverment about deduction of the taxes I paid already. Put some time in real problems instead of harrassing consumers and shopkeepers.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Hoffner

**email**

llewellyen1986@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Otto Normalverbraucher

**email**

verbraucher@normalotto.net

**country**

D

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Holger Küppers

**email**

mail@hkueppers.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence that bigger warnings are no more effective

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Member States can already introduce fees and sanctions

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The Focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no clear Problem

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

H. Vismale

**email**

hardy@vismale.nl

**country**  
netherlands

**age**  
42

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernard Sienot

**email**

q8groningenooost@gmail.com

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Messner, Bianca

**email**

Bianca\_Messner@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kirstin Schröter

**email**

kirstin.schroeter@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Albrecht, Jens

**email**

jens\_albrecht@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Olsson

**email**

vallsjojanne@telia.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Why single out Swedish snuff as forbidden. Either tobacco products are allowed or they are not. It's simple for a French or a Italian to accept a restriction when it doesn't concern them. Lets see how they would react if all tobacco products would be forbidden Let snuff free or forbidd all tobacco products

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Remove snuff from the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Why single out snuff. It's easy for a French or an Italian to accept a restriction that doesn't affect them. Let's see what's gonna happen if all tobacco products were restricted. Let snuff be free from restrictions

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Niklas Tysk

**email**

ettfrisktliv@gmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Snuset är mindre skadligt (90%) än cigaretter. Många svenskar har med framgång slutat röka och börjat snusa vilket minskar sjukdomsriskerna, och sjukvårdskostnaden, avsevärt. Detta är ett bra led i viljan att få en mindre sjuk population inom EU. Idag finns många andra komplement till cigaretter på marknaden som är mycket mindre hälsosamt för vår hälsa än snuset. Exempel på det är indisk Gutkha, asiatisk Paan och sudanesisk Toombak som finns i de flesta europeiska länder. Övervikt är ett annat tillstånd som ofta uppstår när man slutar röka. Det kan också i sin tur leda till ökade sjukvårdskostnader. Snuset som ersättning till cigaretter kan reducera risken för en ökad vikt. Snuset borde vara fritt att marknadsföra inom hela EU.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package

would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fuchs, Michael

**email**

Michael\_Fuchs@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Weinzierl, Michael

**email**

Michael\_Weinzierl@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susanne Reis

**email**

Guentherreis@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Krusche, André

**email**  
Andre\_Krusche@bat.com

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
35

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Günther Reis



**email**

Guentherreis@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mikael Pütt

**email**

putmik@gmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The "snus" witch is a well documented product, used by almost 1000 000 citizen in Sweden should have the same status as smoked tobacco. The health problems around the snus are

compare to cigaretts, non! If only 1 % of all EU citizen quit smoking and start using snus, it will save thousands of lives and save a large ammount of mony in health care. Of course, all tobacco should be banned but in the meanwhile give the people a chanse to quit smoking and save life and money.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Beyer, Jörg

##### **email**

Joerg\_Beyer@bat.com

##### **country**

Deutschland

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

##### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wiho Riepe

**email**

wiho\_riepe@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wagenhuber, Rainer

**email**

Rainer\_Wagenhuber@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**



John Blekman

**email**

genj@planet.nl

**country**

Nederland

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

leave it as it is!! europe gives us enough trouble!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M.L

**email**

bearjacker4@aol.com

**country**

germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

R. Heemskerk

**email**

tankstationvanzijl@quicknet.nl

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Reink

**email**

essoveenlaan@planet.nl

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephan Lyncker

**email**

S.Lyncker@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Entscheidungsfreiheit sollte jeder einzelne für sich haben

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Würde nichts am Kaufverhalten ändern

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

So lange Minderjährige wie bisher ausgeschlossen sind, sollte nichts geändert werden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cornell von Chamier

**email**

Cornell\_von-Chamier@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe einen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eddie Janson

**email**

e.janson@europe.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Snus is a very good way to stop smoking. I myself travel and work all over the EU. When staying for an extended time I sometimes do not have enough Snus with me. Therefore the ban of Snus will make me smoke ciggarettis wich is much more harmfull. Therefore I think it is natural that Snus must be available in the EU, with harmonised varning labels and be taxed in the same way as the much more dangerous cigarettes.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

To give Snus the same status in EU as cigarettes.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Snus is a very good way to stop smoking. I myself travel and work all over the EU. When staying for an extended time I sometimes do not have enough Snus with me. Therefore the ban of Snus will make me smoke ciggarettis wich is much more harmfull. Therefore I think it is natural that Snus must be available in the EU, with harmonised varning labels and be taxed in the same way as the much more dangerous cigarettes.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Rau, Arno

#### **email**

arno.rau@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

52

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

R. Winkels

**email**

rene@westerhaardigitaal.nl

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Puppke

**email**

Puppke\_M@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't see this as problem

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

as before, I don't see the requirement for more regulations

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Smoking - and using smokeless tobacco products should be allowed for adults. May "the governments" please acknowledge that there is inconsistency in their argumentation: Finance-Ministers are 'loving' Tobacco-products (but are not allowed to speak out loud). Ministers of Health are arguing for more strict rules/regulations, even bans - and some are smoking a Cigar after dinner at official meetings.....

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There are sufficient regulations in place already

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Smoking is known to be not healthy, as driving a car, drinking alcohol, etc. Buit adults (should be) able to make theier balanced judgement. Ingredients are made public in tobacco more intesivley than in other (especially !) Foods-business.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see above

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

access is limietd already sufficiently

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roeser, Marina

**email**

marinaroeser@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
51

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No



**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aschenbrenner

**email**

jo\_AR@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M de Jong

**email**

xxmonique@hotmail.com

**country**

Nederland

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ik ga niet akkoord hiermee

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

ik ga niet akkoord

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ik ga niet akkoord

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ik ga hiermee niet akkoord

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ik ga niet akkoord

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ik ga niet akkoord

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Benedict Ghaffari

**email**

bene.benis@hotmail.com

**country**

Austria

**age**

23

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I find that each citizen should decide for themselves! The arrangements are on the smoking behavior change anything!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I find that each citizen should decide for themselves! The arrangements are on the smoking behavior change anything!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I find that each citizen should decide for themselves! The arrangements are on the smoking behavior change anything!

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I find that each citizen should decide for themselves! The arrangements are on the smoking behavior change anything!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Ball

**email**

frank@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I am not a child! I want to do what I want!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

which Problem

**additional comments**

Just think about our real Problems

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

which Problem

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I have no Problem

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

there is no problem

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

there is no problem

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sebastian, Diethard

**email**

Diethard\_Sebastian@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich halte es für äußerst unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde im Geschäft auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerlof de Boer

**email**

grdeboer@hetnet.nl

**country**

Netherlands

**age**  
29

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulf Benkeer

**email**

ulf.benker@telia.com

**country**

Sweden

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

According to medical expertee, oral tobacco does not cause cancer.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Many other tobacco products except for cigarettes are not banned within the EC.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The information is not correct.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Schmidt

**email**

ralf.schmidt@jti.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Bouloubassis

**email**

bouloubassis@augzburgjournal.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

freedom of choice is a higher value than uniformity

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

smokeless tobacco products are just a surrogate to create another form of addiction

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

consumer information will not affect smoking habits

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ingredients are well known

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steiner Karl-Heinz

**email**

kh-steiner@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stähr, Steven

**email**

steven\_staehr@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die oben genannten Produkte werden nicht von der Richtlinie erfasst und bleiben erlaubt.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Das Snus-Verbot soll aufgehoben werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung soll bestehen bleiben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich halte es für äußerst unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung soll bestehen bleiben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung soll bestehen bleiben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung soll bestehen bleiben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

r.a.m.g.l. bolhaar

**email**

q8venlo@hetnet.nl

**country**

venlo

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Håkan Nilsson

**email**

hakni@spray.se

**country**

Sweden

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Nej

**additional comments**

Nej

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Nej

**additional comments**

EU tog bort cancern varningen på snus år 2001 och så sent som år 2008 konstaterade EU:s expertkommitté att snus är minst 90 procent mindre skadligt än cigaretter och inte ger några av de livshotande rökrelaterade sjukdomarna behäftade med rökning. Dessutom finns det redan i dag andra rökfria tobaksprodukter, inte sällan mer skadliga än det svenska snuset, i dagens Europa. Det rör sig exempelvis om indisk Gutkha, asiatisk Paan och sudanesisk Toombak som finns i de flesta europeiska städer bland minoritetsgrupper med starka traditioner. Fullt lagligt. Helt oreglerat. Diskrimineringen av den svenska snustraditionen är en principiellt viktig fråga. Exportförbudet tillkom på vetenskapligt skakiga grunder och dess försvarare bör vara medvetna om att de utmanar grundidén med EU; gränslös handel med tillåtna varor.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

Nej

**additional comments**

Nej

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

NEj

**additional comments**

Nej

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**additional option**

Nej

**additional comments**

Nej

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**additional option**

Nej

**additional comments**

Nej

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carsten Bauhaus

**email**

cbauhaus@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

as far as i know snus is less dangerous cigarettes

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

i do not think that anyone will stop smoking because of all these new ideas.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Kühn

**email**

jk1969@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**



41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Göran Olsson

**email**

skatens@newfield.nu

**country**

Sverige

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Jag har snusat i 40 år och tack vara snuset så började jag inte att röka när jag var ung. Ingen av mina kompisar började röka utan vi snusade alla men dom har slutat snusa nu och det är bara jag som fortfarande snusar och har aldrig haft några fysiska problem med det.

**additional comments**

Jag är osäker på om jag väljer rätt i Extend the scope men som jag förstår så betyder No change att lagen skall vara som den är i dag och det vill jag inte. Snus skall tillåtas i alla eu-länder för att minska den skadliga rökningen.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

sittig

**email**

design.sittig@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

48

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fuchs Andreas

**email**

andi.fax@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Waltner Monika

**email**

frodoline@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maurer Renate

**email**

rene.lotti@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Bartosik

**email**

wolfgang.bartosik@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt wichtigere Probleme - z. B. überhebliche Geldverschwendung der dumm-gierigen EU-Bürokraten.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich wiederhole: Überhebliche Geldverschwendung (UNSER STEUERGELD) von dumm-gierigen EU-Bürokraten.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Abschaffung der überheblichen Geldverschwendung (UNSER STEUERGELD) durch EU-Bürokraten. Abschaffung der Bevormundung von erwachsenen Bürgern und STEUERZÄHLERN.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Abschaffung der überheblichen Geldverschwendung (UNSER STEUERGELD) durch EU-Bürokraten. Abschaffung der Bevormundung von erwachsenen Bürgern und STEUERZÄHLERN.

**additional comments**

Abschaffung der überheblichen Geldverschwendung (UNSER STEUERGELD) durch EU-Bürokraten. Abschaffung der Bevormundung von erwachsenen Bürgern und STEUERZÄHLERN.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Abschaffung der überheblichen Geldverschwendung (UNSER STEUERGELD) durch EU-Bürokraten. Abschaffung der Bevormundung von erwachsenen Bürgern und STEUERZÄHLERN.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Abschaffung der überheblichen Geldverschwendung (UNSER STEUERGELD) durch EU-Bürokraten. Abschaffung der Bevormundung von erwachsenen Bürgern und STEUERZÄHLERN.

**additional comments**

Abschaffung der überheblichen Geldverschwendung (UNSER STEUERGELD) durch EU-Bürokraten. Abschaffung der Bevormundung von erwachsenen Bürgern und STEUERZÄHLERN.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Abschaffung der überheblichen Geldverschwendung (UNSER STEUERGELD) durch EU-Bürokraten. Abschaffung der Bevormundung von erwachsenen Bürgern und STEUERZÄHLERN.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Abschaffung der überheblichen Geldverschwendung (UNSER STEUERGELD) durch EU-Bürokraten. Abschaffung der Bevormundung von erwachsenen Bürgern und STEUERZÄHLERN.

**additional comments**

Abschaffung der überheblichen Geldverschwendung (UNSER STEUERGELD) durch EU-Bürokraten. Abschaffung der Bevormundung von erwachsenen Bürgern und STEUERZÄHLERN.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Abschaffung der überheblichen Geldverschwendung (UNSER STEUERGELD) durch EU-Bürokraten. Abschaffung der Bevormundung von erwachsenen Bürgern und STEUERZÄHLERN.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Abschaffung der überheblichen Geldverschwendung (UNSER STEUERGELD) durch EU-Bürokraten. Abschaffung der Bevormundung von erwachsenen Bürgern und STEUERZÄHLERN.

**additional comments**

Abschaffung der überheblichen Geldverschwendung (UNSER STEUERGELD) durch EU-Bürokraten. Abschaffung der Bevormundung von erwachsenen Bürgern und STEUERZÄHLERN.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

EWA van der Weijden

**email**

e.weijden@planet.nl

**country**

nederland

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wochoska Ingrid

**email**

i.wochoska@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Samitz Dieter

**email**

sea@samitz.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

An automatic ban on one category of products should not be included.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No assured arguments are given that bigger warnings on packs reduce smoking. Also plain packaging is no argument for health benefits. Criminals can copy legitimate brands much more easy and this causes really risks for health, because consumers cannot be sure, where the products are produced and which ingrediences are used. The problem of illegal sales and also smuggle will increase and the states loose a lot of tax income. States have no effective controll for smuggle and brand piraterie.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Memer states can already introduce santiones and fees. The responsibility of the Directive should be handled of Member Stes.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The production of cigarettes are under legal conditions and controlled and the ingredients are published.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There are no arguments why bans have an impact to public health objectives. There is no clear problem stated for the use of point of sale material or vending machines.



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

H de Vries

**email**

Q8wp@hetnet.nl

**country**

NL

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Szabolcs Ivan

**email**

ivanszabi@freemail.hu

**country**

Hungary

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ludger Müller

**email**

ludgermueller-wissen@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Huldiscj

**email**

andreashuldisch@aol.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I feel a lot of jobs in danger connectet to production, distribution and selling of tabac products. As a citicen of the eu i´m tired of beeing cut down in my personal freedom by political decisions, which are not supported by a broad majority.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

i don't think that all todaco products should taste the same and i don't agree that this would reduce the smoking.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Krause

#### **email**

eckert-berнау-163510@ugde.com

#### **country**

deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

kein kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Schlotterbeck

**email**  
eckert-bernau-163510@ugde.com

**country**  
deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Trill

#### **email**

eckert-berнау-163510@ugde.com

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Krüger

**email**  
eckert-bernaue-163510@ugde.com

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lindemann

**email**

eckert-bernau-163510@ugde.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Cedervall

**email**

spap@iinet.net.au

**country**

Sweden

**age**

51

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
The proposal include a ban on oral tobac - The Swedish snus would fall into this category, but nothing is mentioned about this type of product within the definition

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**  
Exclude snus from this proposal - there is no third party (passive smoking) effect from a user using this product. The individual freedom should allow an adult person to make up their own decision weather they want to use products such as Swedish snus (snuff) that only would affect the individual user.

**additional comments**  
Swedish snus should not be bundled and compared with smoked nicotine products. As such EU should keep their hands off the idea of imposing a general ban on Swedish snus.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
The problem definition does not make any attempt to do a fair assessment of the use of Swedish snus (snuff). Snus should NOT be compared with smoked products.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Even though snus is a nicotine based product it does not involve third parties to be affected by someone using it.

**additional comments**  
Do NOT attempt to impose a snus ban in EU

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**

keep your hands off the Swedish snus

**additional comments**

keep your hands off the Swedish snus

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

keep your hands off the Swedish snus

**additional comments**

keep your hands off the Swedish snus

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

keep your hands off the Swedish snus

**additional comments**

keep your hands off the Swedish snus

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

keep your hands off the Swedish snus

**additional comments**

keep your hands off the Swedish snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tornnow

**email**

eckert-bernau-163510@ugde.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stein Susanne

**email**

susanne.stein@die-partei-bayern.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

selbstbestimmtes leben

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Lümmer

**email**

dirkluemmer@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dennis Jöhnke

**email**

dennis-joehnke@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Bürger kann selbst entscheiden ob er rauchen möchte oder nicht. Ausserdem hängen einige tausend Arbeitsplätze daran.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es sollte keine einheitlichen Packungen geben. es sollten auch keine Bilder zusehen sein, von z.b. Raucherbeinen und sonstiges.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jede Zigartensorte sollte ihren eigenen Geschmack haben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Da heut zu tage jeder wissen sollte, das rauchen schädlich ist, sollte auch jeder wissen ob er eine Schachtel kauft oder nicht. Egal ob man die Schachtel im Laden sieht oder nicht, ein Raucher kauft sich seine Zigaretten sowieso. Deshalb sollen sie auch nicht unterm Ladentisch verkauft werden. Denn daurch würden viele ihr Geschäft verlieren und würden ihre exzistens verlieren. Zigarettenautomaten können auch beibehalten werden, da man dort nur ab 18 Jahren auch seine Zigaretten bekommt.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

christa vacano

**email**

christa.vacano@chello.at

**country**

austria

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Soll in der Kompetenz der Einzelstaaten bleiben

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Diese Produkte helfen, mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören - keine EU Regelung !!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Snun schützt die Nichtraucher vor dem Rauch. Sie helfen Rauchern und schonen deren Atemwege

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Vorschläge sind nicht objektiv ! Sie wollen nur einseitig abschrecken.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Legale Produkte dürfen nicht unverkäuflich gemacht werden!

**additional comments**

Die Produzenten und die Konsumenten haben ein Recht auf schöne Verpackungen. Die Warnhinweise sind genug.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Erhalt der Geschmacksvielfalt

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Erhalt der Geschmacksvielfalt. Rauchen ist auch Genuss und Entspannung.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Erwachsene müssen freien Zugang zu legalen Produkten haben



**which option**

No change; Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

**additional comments**

Dont destroy hunderedthousands of jobs destroying the tobacco induestrie in this bad times

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Benjamin Bergfort

**email**

ben.bergfort@googlemail.com

**country**

germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

because do not need that everything is forbidden! otherwise forbid the EU, we do not need it!!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

everybody should decide to smoke or not

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

there is no problem i can decide my self!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

i can decide!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

?

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

?

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

?

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Henke

**email**

henke@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Aufklärungskampagnen

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**additional comments**

Since written warnings have had no effect at all on smoking habits and overall tobacco usage, current regulations should be taken back.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

We all know that there are nasty things in our tobacco - just as in our food. But we cannot be bothered because we simply do not have the time!!! Some care a bit more, others less. Additional reporting will definitely not help. It will only mean taht we sacrifice more and more time to be informed about ingerdients we do not understand. Eventually it will wear off any interested in what ist healthy or unhealthy and to what degree.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marion Schenkelberg

**email**

marion.schenkelberg@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Kirschbaum

**email**

kirpet-Peter@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brandau, Marc

**email**

Samanoske79@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**



No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pressefachgeschäft Andrea Voll

**email**

schraenkie@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Existenzgrundlagen werden vernichtet, die Bevormundung staatlicherseits nimmt Überhand

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no need to rule something

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no need to act

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

everybody can decide for themselves to smoke or not to smoke

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nonsens

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulf Zimmermann

**email**

ulf.zimmermann@online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Achim Grabbe

**email**

AGrabbe@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There's no need for any changes.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No need for any changes.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It wouldn't change important consumers' behaviors.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Regulations are not common for all EU countries and never will be, so no need to change.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It wouldn't have an effect for underagers.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manuel Dias

**email**

mhchagas71@gmail.com

**country**

Portugal

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gisela Faller

**email**

info@gisela-faller.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Tabakprodukttrichtlinie ist bereits in der alten Form eine überflüssige Überregulierung.

Würden Sie mir in diesem Formular die Möglichkeit geben, wirksam für ihre ersatzlose Abschaffung zu plädieren, täte ich das. Da es nicht möglich ist, sind meine nachfolgenden Antworten als hilfswesen nächstmöglichen Ersatz für die von mir eigentlich gewünschte Lösung zu verstehen.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Allerdings. Es ist eine unglaubliche, dummdreiste Heuchelei, dieses für die Mehrzahl der Bewohner der meisten EU-Staaten in der vorliegenden Form kaum verständliche Formular zu verwenden und das dann auch noch als Möglichkeit der Bürgerbeteiligung zu verkaufen. Auch die Nicht-Muttersprachler im Englischen haben einen Anspruch darauf, sich zu beteiligen, und ohne eine Übersetzung ist das kaum möglich. Es bleibt der Verdacht, dass in Wirklichkeit nur eine Beteiligung von Organisationen gewünscht war, weil Sie bei denen größtenteils sicher sein können, daß sie in der Mehrzahl Ihrem Neo-Prohibitionismus wohlwollend gegenüberstehen. Als Bürger fühle ich mich durch Ihre Vorgehensweise einfach für dumm verkauft.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Es besteht bei rauchlosen Tabakprodukten keinerlei Notwendigkeit der EU, Handlungskompetenzen an sich zu reißen. Schon die bisherigen sind zum Ärgernis geworden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist eine bodenlose Unverschämtheit, die Einführung sogenannter Schock- oder Ekelbilder als "Verbraucherinformation" zu verniedlichen. Sie dienen keineswegs der Verbraucherinformation. Durch den Anblick dieser Bilder soll bei den Umstehenden des Rauchers Ekel und Abscheu erzeugt werden, folglich dienen sie als Instrument einer Stigmatisierung. Diese Absicht ist im höchsten Grad unmoralisch und durch nichts zu entschuldigen, und sie alleine ist schon ausreichend, um Ihnen jeden Anspruch auf Loyalität rauchender Bürger abzusprechen. Ich erwarte als Bürgerin ein Mindestmaß an Respekt von Ihnen, das ich zwar schon seit geraumer Zeit von seiten der EU nicht mehr zu erkennen vermag, aber das schlägt ja wohl dem Fass den Boden aus. Was kommt denn dann eigentlich als Nächstes? Müssen alle Raucher sich Buttons mit Raucherlungen anheften? Allmählich würde ich der EU auch das zutrauen.

**which option**

No change



**additional option**

Abschaffung auch der bisherigen albernem Spruchweisheiten über die ach so schrecklichen Folgen des Rauchens.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es besteht überhaupt kein Grund für eine Einmischung der EU in dieser Frage.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es besteht überhaupt kein Grund für eine EU-weite Regelung.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es besteht überhaupt kein Grund für eine EU-weite Regelung.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sabine Schefold

**email**

binella@kdf-kaefer.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**  
39

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
das gesetzt ist ausreichend, wir brauchen keine bevormundung was wir kaufen können oder nicht durch die EU

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
keine angabe

**additional comments**  
keine angabe

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
keine kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thorsten Gommert

**email**

gommert@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Peter Griehl

**email**  
petergriehl@web.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
63

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Knut Bethge

**email**

knut.bethge@t-online.de

**country**

germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

size and quantity of warnings are not more efficient. you do not think that cars with photos of accident printed on avoid improper driving. You just make live a little bit more ugly - small step by step.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

restriction of use of any other ingrediencies as tobacco. do not sell cigarettes in automats

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roland Warther

**email**

r.warther@warther.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Gunnar Müller

**email**  
gunnar-müller@gmx.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
53

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
nein

**additional comments**  
nein

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Becker

**email**

beckerobacter@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALFONSO FERNÁNDEZ-SESMA CASTRO

**email**

sesma@esla.com

**country**

España

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Griehl

**email**

petergriehl@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kOMMENTAR

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Roland Schmidt-Elste



**email**

josefrolli@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Was müssen das für Spinner sein, deren Pulli zunächst stinkt (wofür wissenschaftlich nachweisbar 11 Verbindungen (Acetophenon, Benzaldehyd, Naphthalin und 8 verschiedenen substiierte Phenolverbindungen genügen), die dann 1400 Verbindungen im Rauch identifizieren, die einerseits als Passivrauch 3301 Passivrauchtote verursachen sollen von denen kein einziger nachweisbar ist, andererseits eine hohe Anzahl dieser Verbindungen in wesentlich höheren Konzentrationen nicht in Autoabgasen, Dämpfen beim Tanken, im Rauch von Hausheizungen und Grillfeuern, in Dieselruß und dem Rauch antiker Lokomotiven etc. etc. nicht betrachten. Wie wissenschaftlich ungebildet muss man für einen solchen Quatsch sein?

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Radioaktive Stoffe wie Radon und Polonium in der Umwelt reduzieren, da dies für Raucher und Nichtraucher gefährlicher ist.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Nikotin ist Nikotin. Ob in einer Zigarette, im Kautabak oder in Nicorette, die Eigenschaften ändern sich nicht durch die Lobbyarbeit der pharmazeutischen Industrie. Hier geht es doch nur um Verbotswahn einiger Gesundheitsfanatiker und um Geldmacherei durch pharmazeutische Unternehmen.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Lernt aus der Prohibition!

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Über radioaktive Inhaltsstoffe wird nicht informiert. Der Effekt jedes Atemzuges in radonbelasteten Räumen bleibt unberücksichtigt. Das ist Schalatanerie, die auf Diskriminierung und Ausgrenzung von Rauchern aus ist.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Mehr Investition in Bildung, vor allem in naturwissenschaftliche Bildung, insbesondere Chemie. Chemievollausbildung auch verpflichtend für Pharmazeuten und Ärzte.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Prinzipiell haben Zusatzstoffe in einem Naturprodukt wie Tabak nichts zu suchen. Hier wird eine pseudowissenschaftlicher Bürokratie aufgebaut, die wesentlich größere Einflussgrößen (Feinstaub, Asbest, Radon) auf die Volksgesundheit venachlässigt.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Nicht die Vergleichbarkeit der Meldungen ist entscheidend, sondern dass der Verbraucher ein reines Produkt in reiner Umgebung genießen kann.

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Attraktivität lässt sich wissenschaftlich nicht definieren. Wer hat sich solchen Schwachsinn ausgedacht?

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Sorgt für poloniumunbelasteten Tabak!

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Schämt Euch dafür, dass mein nicht rauchender Sohn seinem rauchenden Großvater nicht einmal ein Schachtel Zigaretten zum Geburtstag schenken kann. Soweit haben es die Antirauchfanatiker schon getrieben. Pfui Teufel, seit Ihr von allen guten Geistern verlassen? Welchen volkswirtschaftlichen Schaden mit der Zugangsbegrenzung erzeugt wird zeigt sich am Anstieg der selbstgefertigten Zigaretten und des Schmuggels.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Zurücknahme der Verbote und Beschränkungen.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Henrich

**email**

K-Henrich@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

WOLF REGINA

**email**

ferdinand99@a1.net

**country**

AUSTRIA

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

LASST UNS RAUCHER ENDLICH IN RUHE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

NEIN

**additional comments**

NEIN

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

DER STAAT VERDIENT AN UNS MILLIONEN AN STEUERN! UND IHR WOLLT UNS ETWAS VERBIETEN? SICHER NICHT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! WIR WERDEN UNS ZU WEHREN WISSEN GEGEN DIE BEVORMUNDUNG AUS BRÜSSEL

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NEIN

**additional comments**

NEIN

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NEIN

**additional comments**

NEIN

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

NEIN

**additional comments**

NEIN

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

NEIN

**additional comments**

NEIN

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IHR ZERSTÖRT EXISTENZEN MIT EUREN VERBOTEN! EGAL OB ES JETZT BEI DEN WIRTEN ODER BEI DEN TRAFIKANTEN IST! AUSSERDEM SOLLTET IHR, DIE UNS BEVORMUNDEN WOLLEN, AUS DER GESCHICHTE GELERNT HABEN! ICH DENKE DA NUR AN DIE PROHEBIZION IN DEN USA! VERBOTE BRINGEN GAR NICHTS UND ICH KANN EUCH EINES VERSPRECHEN, WIR WERDEN UNS AUFS SCHÄRFSTE GEGEN JEDE BEVORMUNDUNG AUS BRÜSSEL WEHREN!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NEIN

**additional comments**

NEIN

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Karl Walchshofer

**email**

walchsi@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wann hören Verbote auf und wann fangen Missachtungen der Verbote an. Alles zu verbieten ist nicht zielführend. Wir brauchen klare Regeln, aber keine Verbote für Dinge die es schon

tausende Jahre länger gibt als die EU.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Warum Einheitspackungen? Glauben sie wirklich, dass in einer weißen Packung mit Schriftzug Marlboro oder Memphis weniger geraucht wird?? Können sie sich vorstellen Weißwein aus einer rosaroten Flaschen zu trinken?? Es gibt Dinge die kann man nicht abschaffen. Es ist bereits genug geschehen zum Schutz von Bürgern, aber wenn ich auch zu Hause einmal nicht mehr Rauchen, Trinken und Sex haben darf, wer glauben sie, werden die EU dann noch für zurechnungsfähig halten?

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wissen sie nicht was in einer Zigarre drinnen ist? Tabak aus Tabakblätter. Welche Zusatzstoffe wollen sie denn noch herausfinden? Es gibt Dinge die sind so wie sie sind.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wollen sie nur noch Naturtabak in den Blends der Zigaretten tun, dann können sie nur noch eine Marke rauchen, aber geraucht wird trotzdem. Ich denke, dass diese Regulierungswut auch in die falsche Richtung gehen kann. Denken sie nur daran, dass die Schwarzmärkte besser gehen würden und da auch mehr Fälschungen schlechter für die Gesundheit wären.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Kontrolle an wen Tabak abgegeben wird, muß erweitert werden. OK, aber die Tabakprodukte unter dem Ladentisch zu verkaufen führt wieder hin zum Schwarzmarkt.

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Weidmann, Markus

**email**

m.weidmann@alice.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

keine Zigarettenautomaten sonst kein kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

keine Zigarettenautomaten

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jörg Saßenberg

**email**

joerg.sassenberg@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Weidmann, Markus

**email**

m.weidmann@alice.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

keine Zigarettenautomaten sonst kein komentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

keine Zigarettenautomaten

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jose Añon Varela

**email**

pepe-n73@hotmail.com.las

**country**

españa

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carlota Añon Varela

**email**

carlotaanon@hotmail.c

**country**

España

**age**

23

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin cometarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

matzinger jennifer

**email**

ferdinand99@a1.net

**country**

austria

**age**

16

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MATZINGER NICOLE

**email**

ferdinand99@a1.net

**country**

austria

**age**

16

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
nein

**additional comments**  
nein

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
nein

**additional comments**  
nein

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
MATZINGER FERDINAND

**email**  
ferdinand99@a1.net

**country**  
austria

**age**  
72

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

nein, aber jedes land, das mitglied in der eu ist, hat das recht auf selbstbestimmung

**additional comments**

nein

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die sovjetunion ist zerfallen, die DDR auch, der EU wird es genauso gehen, wenn sie sich immer und überall einmischt!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Wo bleibt hier die DEMOKRATIE????????????????????????????????

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NEIN

**additional comments**

NEIN

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

WIR ÖSTERREICHER SIND MÜNDIGE BÜRGER UND NETTOZAHLER IN DER EU!!  
WIR BRAUCHEN KEINE BEVORMUNDUNG AUS BRÜSSEL!!!!!!!!!!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

NEIN

**additional comments**

NEIN

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

NEIN

**additional comments**

NEIN

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

DAS IST DIE GRÖSSTE FRECHHEIT, DIE DEM GESUNDHEITSAPOSTEL AUS  
MALTA BIS JETZT EINGEFALLEN! WAS WILL ER MIR ALS NÄCHSTES  
VERBIETEN? VIELLEICHT WILL ER MIR VORSCHREIBEN, WENN ICH AUFS KLO  
GEHEN DARF? EINE BODENLOSE FRECHHEIT, UNS RAUCHER, DIE WIR  
STEUERZAHLER SIND, SO ZU KRIMINALISIEREN!! ABER ICH KANN EUCH EINES  
VERSPRECHEN: WIR WERDEN UNS DIE BEVORMUNDUNG NICHT LÄNGER  
GEFALLEN LASSEN

**which option**

No change



**additional option**

NEIN

**additional comments**

NEIN

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lilian Ellfolk

**email**

lilellfolk@gmail.com

**country**

Finland

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Guenter Oexler

**email**

GuenterOexler@Aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I want for everyone the free decision what to do and not to do in correlation with his / our democratic rights

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

There is done enough to prtect people and especially minors - and as said before : I want for everyone the free decision what to do and not to do in correlation with his / our democratic rights

**additional comments**

I want for everyone the free decision what to do and not to do in correlation with his / our democratic rights

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

I want for everyone the free decision what to do and not to do in correlation with his / our democratic rights

**additional comments**

I want for everyone the free decision what to do and not to do in correlation with his / our democratic rights

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

I want for everyone the free decision what to do and not to do in correlation with his / our democratic rights

**additional comments**

I want for everyone the free decision what to do and not to do in correlation with his / our democratic rights

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

I want for everyone the free decision what to do and not to do in correlation with his / our democratic rights

**additional comments**

I want for everyone the free decision what to do and not to do in correlation with his / our democratic rights

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

I want for everyone the free decision what to do and not to do in correlation with his / our democratic rights

**additional comments**

I want for everyone the free decision what to do and not to do in correlation with his / our democratic rights

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

I want for everyone the free decision what to do and not to do in correlation with his / our democratic rights

**additional comments**

I want for everyone the free decision what to do and not to do in correlation with his / our democratic rights

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

anngret jung

#### **email**

anngretjung@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

53

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **recommend option**

kein kommentar

#### **additional comments**

kein kommentar

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **additional option**

kein kommentar

#### **additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Franken

**email**

77chris@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

in my country, a lot of young people start their smoking career with shisha-smoking (in English: hubble-bubble or hookah). Although the number of young smokers is decreasing, more and more teens start smoking shisha, which is less dangerous than cigarettes, but may again lead to an increasing number of smokers in future.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

there is no reason for legalize any new drugs based on nicotine. Not even when they are less harmful than nocotine! Cause there's no evidence, that the option to choose between cigarettes and e.g. snus leads to a decreasing number of smokers. But there's a danger, that a new drug is becomming very popular among young people, as soon as it's legalized.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

not too much different pictures. Young people may find it cool, collecting new pictures on the packages!

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Ellfolk

**email**

lilian.ellfolk@gmail.com

**country**

Finland

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

matzinger erich

**email**

ferdinand99@a1.net

**country**

austria

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

jeder mitgliedsstaat soll selbst entscheiden können, nach nationalen gesetzen, wie er mit dem problem umgeht!!!!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

NO

**additional comments**

NO!!!!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

KEIN KOMMENTAR!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**additional comments**

NO

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

JEDEM EINZELNEN MITGLIEDSSTAAT MUSS ES MÖGLICH SEIN, SELBST ÜBER DIESES PROBLEM ZU ENTSCHEIDEN! ES BEDARF KEINER BEVORMUNDUNG AUS BRÜSSEL!!!!!!!!!!!!!! KEINE PLAIN PACKING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NO

**additional comments**

NO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ES MUSS DEM JEWEILIGEN MITGLIEDSSTAAT MÖGLICH SEIN, DAS PROBLEM SELBST IN DEN GRIFF ZU KRIEGEN! WIR BRAUCHEN KEINERLEI EINMISCHUNG AUS BRÜSSEL! HABT IHR KEINE ANDEREN PROBLEME?

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

NO

**additional comments**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

JEDER MITGLIEDSSTAAT HAT DAS RECHT AUF SELBSTBESTIMMUNG!!!!!!!!!!!!!!  
KEINE DIKTATUR AUS BRÜSSEL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

NO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**additional comments**

NO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

JEDER MITGLIEDSSTAAT HAT DAS VERDAMMTE RECHT, SELBST ZU ENTSCHIEDEN, OB ER TABAKWAREN AUSSTELLEN LÄSST ODER NICHT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! WIR BRAUCHEN KEINE RICHTLINIE DER EU, DIE UNS BEVORMUNDEN WILL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! WIR ÖSTERREICHER FINDEN ES EINE BODENLOSE FRECHHEIT, WAS SICH DER GESUNDHEITSINSPEKTOR DER EU HIER ERLAUBT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NO

**additional comments**

NO

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dagmar Elmer

**email**

info@itk-consulting.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It must be possible and allowed to smoke a cigar in a cigar lounge. The owner/host of the cigar lounge is 100% a cigar smoker, too. I do not smoke cigarettes, but I do enjoy to smoke a good cigar with business partners and friends from time to time (1 to 4 times per month).

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

I do not agree with your planned smoking restrictions in Europe at all! I will fight for the human right for smoking in special smoking Bars & lounges. I do not offend any nonsmoker, because I have not seen a non smoker visiting a cigar lounge at no time!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

It must be possible and allowed to smoke a cigar in a cigar lounge. The owner/host of the cigar lounge is 100% a cigar smoker, too. I do not smoke cigarettes, but I do enjoy to smoke a good

cigar with business partners and friends from time to time (1 to 4 times per month).

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It must be possible and allowed to smoke a cigar in a cigar lounge. The owner/host of the cigar lounge is 100% a cigar smoker, too. I do not smoke cigarettes, but I do enjoy to smoke a good cigar with business partners and friends from time to time (1 to 4 times per month).

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

I will claim my right to smoke by court!!!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It must be possible and allowed to smoke a cigar in a cigar lounge. The owner/host of the cigar lounge is 100% a cigar smoker, too. I do not smoke cigarettes, but I do enjoy to smoke a good cigar with business partners and friends from time to time (1 to 4 times per month).

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

We do not need the EU to tell us what is good smoke and what not! I smoke cigars only, so its pure tobacco. No need for more information.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

We, the people of EU do not need and want any further EU regulations!

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kühmstedt Marco

**email**

marcokuehmstedt@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heike Waldecker Am Weinhaß 55 65428 Rüsselsheim

**email**

H.u.G.Waldecker@T-Online.de



**country**

Deutschland / Allemagne

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

commentaire no

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Stopp massif illegal importation zigarette

**additional comments**

Es obliegt der Eigenverantwortung eines jeden Einzelnen! Was ist mit Alkohol? Wollen Sie Süßwaren wegen mögliche Erkrankungen wie Diabetis verbieten? Es gibt wirklich größere Probleme zu bewältigen, Wie AIDS, wie Rauschgiftkonsum? Welche Bevormundungen fallen Ihnen noch ein?

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Vermeiden Sie Bevormundung des Bürgers!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

<http://ec.europa.eu/yourvoice/ipm/forms/dispatch>

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Verweiden Sie unbedingt weitere Bevormundungen des EU-Bürgers!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commentaire

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commentaire

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commentaire

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heiko Malingriaux

**email**

heikohb@hotmail.com

**country**

germany

**age**

46

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen weiteren Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Ich habe keinen weiteren Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen weiteren Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen weiteren Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen weiteren Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen weiteren Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

doser

**email**

wdoser@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Bob Shalaby

**email**

bshalaby@yahoo.com

**country**

UK

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Extending the scope of the directive would be accomplished with public consultation and consultation of the relevant organizations that have been formed by people using Electronic cigarettes. This extension would have to be realistic and reflect the true nature of the products as low risk recreational smoking products, that have a real reduced risk profile, and not demonized by Junk Sciences. Being a medical doctor and a researcher of Nicotine and Electronic cigarettes, and someone who has managed to achieve a modicum of good health when switching to Electronic cigarettes after 35 years of smoking, I feel that this has been the only ray of hope for inveterate smokers in the last 50 years, and I am very dissatisfied at the blatant attempts to deprive me from a safe health protective measure.

**additional comments**

Science and protection of health, like raising children, is a two way measure. Children know when you are respecting them and will respect you back. If the opinions of government are sincere and reflect true science, they will be respected by the people. Case in point if you say tobacco smoking is harmful, I doubt that there is a smoker who would disagree. They might disagree with the protective measures but they will not disagree with the root message. Most of the electronic smoking community have one desire and that is to protect their health, what they are getting back as a message by regulators and organizations is blind ignorance of the truth and disregard for science and clinical data. It has created a very vociferous and well informed group of people who are defending their right to healthy alternatives. Please take this into consideration. Please respect the facts and obvious science. Thank you .

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

The main problem is with ignitable tobacco, and Hydrocarbon combustion. Without ignition 90% of tobacco risks are minimized and risk on others is eliminated. There is no way society is getting rid of Nicotine. There are extensive studies showing the relative (relative to combustibles) safety of smokeless tobacco. Smokeless products of any kind should be encouraged not maligned. There are some very well written papers on the association of nicotine with the human condition (check Royal College of Physicians website Tobacco control section) . most users are self medicating due to miscellaneous chemical abnormalities in dopamine secretion and Dopamine receptors. Assuming that any war on nicotine is going to fruitful is at best hopefully unrealistic. The realistic avenue is harm reduction through alternative means of delivery.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Nicotine like caffeine has become a way of life, recent studies show a similar harm profile. Actually, most recent information shows a positive health profile. It Aids concentration increases IQ 15%, antidepressant, reduces Alzheimer, reduces Parkinsonism and reduces senile dementia. mainly Dopamine and acetylcholine related effects. Bring Nicotine

into the mainstream, provide as many safe alternative methods of consumption as possible. gradually lead the population away from the association between Nicotine and tobacco. The problem is combustible Tobacco Hydrocarbons. Disassociate them

**additional comments**

Please review my comments for scientific accuracy, I have researched these subject extensively. Please review Tobacco Harm Reduction Yearbook 2010, it has excellent and very well consulted options. It is available online for free, type Tobacco Harm Reduction Yearbook 2010 into google.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

information on alternative means of acquiring Nicotine included with the package would help considerably. I have a study on the cruelty of asking long term nicotine addicts to stop the addiction. From a smokers perspective, it is difficult and the rates of relapse make the whole effort a joke. Alternative means of helping would go a long way to success of your drive.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**additional option**

I have doubts regarding the overall effect this will have on tobacco related morbidity and mortality.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Again I do not think these measures will dissuade any motivated buyers. Drugs are not readily displayed anywhere and the user base and relative ability to find the products and paraphernalia has not decreased.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Loh

**email**

thloh@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar hierzu

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**



Ich habe keinen weiteren Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

hüttl rainer

**email**

ahr.tankstelle@web.de

**country**

german

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es soll jeder Bürger selbst entscheiden können

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderungen nötig

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Alles beim alten lassen

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan wengrzik

**email**

stwengrzik@aol.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Ihle

**email**

KlausIhle6037@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

66

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mester, Gerrit

**email**

mester@alice.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Als erwachsener Bürger entscheide ich selber, wo, wieviel, was und ob ich rauche. Woher nimmt sie der Gesetzgeber das Recht für mich, über mich zu entscheiden?

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Als Bürger möchte ich entscheiden, was ich rauche, dazu ist eine große Auswahl vonnöten. Es gibt ja auch nicht nur ein Auto.

**additional comments**

Diese Bilder und Warnhinweise sind meines erachtens ungerechtfertigt, solange nicht alles, was meine Gesundheit beeinflussen könnte, bzw. was nachweislich die Gesundheit beeinträchtigt solche Markierungen tragen muss. Dazu gehören auch Alkohol und jedes Auto, da es auch zu viele Verkehrstote gibt. Wenn es solche Warnhinweise und Bilder geben muss, dann muss das EU Mitglied selber darüber entscheiden.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sandra Wall

**email**

sandra.wall@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland



**age**  
24

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Thomas Lanser

#### **email**

Thomas.Lanser@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

42

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

legal products should be reglemented but not transformed into uniform products I like brands

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

information is already available

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

information is already given by manufacturers

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it's already under the same law as food

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it's already the law

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Fischer

**email**

knofi@yahoo.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Scheffel

**email**

ts1964@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wicke

**email**

kiosk-wicke@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Raucher weiss, wie gesundheitsgefährdend das Rauchen sein kann. Ich sage mit Absicht "sein kann", denn es gibt genug Raucher die Ihr Leben lang ohne gesundheitliche Probleme bekommen zu haben, geraucht haben. Viel schlimmer finde ich, dass in Lebensmittel, vermeintlich gesunde Lebensmittel, Zusatzstoffe beigemischt werden, die hier rein gar nichts zu suchen haben! Es wäre schön, wenn die EU hier zu eine Kennzeichnungspflicht verabschiedet, besser noch ein Verbot ausspricht! Dass das Rauchen gesundheitsschädlich ist, weiss jeder, dass Lebensmittel wie z.B. Cornflakes Gift enthalten weiss niemand. Des weiteren wird kein Raucher aufgrund Ihrer derzeitigen Vorschläge das Rauchen lassen, oder nicht anfangen. Jugendliche bewegen sich in Cliques, da haben einige Erfahrungen und bieten Zigaretten anderen an, man ist dann "in" und macht mit. Da kann ein Werbeverbot gar nichts machen. Da schrecken auch Fotos nicht ab und Einheitsverpackungen werden den Konsum auch nicht reduzieren.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Denken Sie bitte auch an Arbeitsplätze die mit Ihrer Änderung verloren gehen! Es ist nicht nur die Tabakindustrie, sondern auch viele kleine Unternehmen, Kioske und Tabakfachgeschäfte, Vertriebe, Zulieferer, und und und. Die Arbeitslosigkeit zu finanzieren sollte Ihnen schon allein eines groessten Gegenargumente genug sein, Ihre Änderungen nicht durchzubringen. Wollen Sie eine erneute Wirtschaftskrise bei den Deutschen erzeugen? Das haben wir gerade hinter uns und können es uns nicht noch einmal leisten beziehungsweise durchstehen!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Änderung der Verpackung als Plainpackaging ist unmöglich. Wie sollen Händler selbst bitte Ihre Ware finden?

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderung - es verbessert sich nichts, wenn sie noch mehr auf die Zigarettenschachteln schreiben. Die Menschen "überlesen" es irgendwann sowieso.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In Deutschland wird genug kontrolliert, was die Inhalte in Tabak oder Zigaretten angeht. Denken Sie bitte insgesamt an die Verbreitung von Schmuggelware durch Ihre Neuerungen und zudem, was für gesundheitsschädliche Tabake sie damit ins Land holen!  
Kontrproduktiver geht es nicht mehr!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Helga Reiter

**email**

helgareiter@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**



female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dagmar Perschul

**email**

dagmar@perschul.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

susanne seyer

**email**

susanne.seyer@aon.at

**country**

österreich

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kk

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kk

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kk

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kk

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kk

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kk

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
ernst seyer

**email**  
susanne.seyer@aon.at

**country**  
österreich

**age**  
36

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
k.k.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
k.k.

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
k.k.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
k.k.

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
k.k.

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
k.k.

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Nathalie Ahmabzay, Läkare

**email**

pamir15@hotmail.com

**country**

Sverige

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ingen åsikt.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Snus är mindre hälsofarligt än cigaretter och borde vara lagligt i hela Europa. Det är fel att sätta upp hinder mot en specifik industri.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Lagliga varor borde kunna visa sina varumärken. Det finns helle ringet som tyder på att detta skulle ge någon effekt på rökning.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ingen kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ingredienser som inte gör tobaken mer farlig borde inte förbjudas - det finns inget som tyder på att de tillsatser som finns i tobak i Europa gör tobak mer skadligt. Därmed är jag emot ett förbud av ingredienser.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Det är orimligt att butiker inte ska kunna exponera lagliga varor på ett lagligt sätt. Jag är därför emot ett exponeringsförbud på tobak.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Natalia Zandi

**email**

natalia.0614@hotmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

42

**gender**

female



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Opinion.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Jag tycker att snus ska vara lagligt i Europa.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jag tycker att lagliga produkter har rätt att visa sina varumärken.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ingen åsikt

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jag tycker att man ska kunna ha ingredienser i tobak.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jag tycker att tobak ska kunna visas i butiker och är emot ett exponeringsförbud.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maßmann, Sören

**email**

pcprivat@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach sind die Regelungen auf Europaebene in ausreichendem Maße gegeben. Weitere Regelungen sollten den Mitgliedstaaten bzw. deren Regierungen überlassen werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir brauchen derzeit keine weiteren Änderungen. Verbesserungen sollten in erster Linie bei den Verbraucherinformationen einsetzen. Außerdem sollten generische Verpackungen eingeführt werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Stefan Müller

#### **email**

stefan.mueller@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

too much bureaucracy

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **regulation of ingredients**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gabi Mesjasz

**email**

gabimesjasz@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sitku Gergelyné

**email**

sitkugergelyne@gmail.com

**country**

Magyar

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ellentmondásos a tervezet.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Ennek a kérdéskörnek a szabályozását tagállami hatáskörben kell hagyni. A füstmentes dohánytermékeket tiltás helyét inkább reklámozni kellene.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Az egyen csomagolás bevezetése a feketekereskedelem növekedéséhez vezet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tagállami szinten a kérdés kör megoldott.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Egy nem átgondolt tiltó szabályozás dohánytermelők munka helyeit veszélyezteti, Magyarországon 10000 ember megélhetését ellehetetleníti.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Túlzott szabályozás a feketekereskedelmet növeli, a termelői érdekeket hátrányosan befolyásolja.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marc Kunert



**email**

kunertprivat@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

ich würde hier für eine Beibehaltung des Aktuellen plädieren oder gibt es eine wissenschaftliche Grundlage ?

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es ist nicht bewiesen das solche Bestimmungen / Änderungen zu weniger Konsum führen, und der Schmuggler wird sich freuen, dann kommen Tabakwaren vermehrt aus dem Ausland und wer weiß was da alles in drin ist.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

das sollte doch jedem Mitgliedsstaat selbst überlassen sein; Gebühren und Sanktionen fallen in jedem Land unterschiedlich aus

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Dies ist ein subjektiver, unwissenschaftlicher und willkürlicher Begriff, der nicht die Grundlage für eine Regulierung bilden sollte

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

• Ein Präsentationsverbot den Handel nicht erleichtern, sondern den Handel tatsächlich behindern. • Es gibt keinen Beweis, dass ein Verbot der Produktpräsentation den Anteil der Raucher verringern oder den Zugang Jugendlicher zu Tabakerzeugnissen einschränken würde. . erhebliche Kosten kommen auf den Handel zu

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katharina Kiani

**email**

katharina-kiani@live.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Es ist eine außerordentliche Diskreminierung von Rauchern. Weltweit werden Milliarden Geschäfte mit dieser Zielgruppe gemacht. Es gibt wirklich ernsthaftere Probleme auf unserem Planeten, bzw. in der EU, worüber man sich Gedanken machen sollte, diese sind wesentlich gefährlicher und ungesünder für die Bevölkerung. Warum geht man nicht ernsthaft mit diesen Problematiken um?

**additional comments**

Schon vergessen? Alles was man den Menschen verbieten möchte wird umso interessanter. Den Schmugglern wird Tür und Tor geöffnet werden. Was also soll das Theater? Müssen wir Europäern alles den Amerikanern nachmachen? Wir sind doch intelligenter als diese? Warum also alles nachäffen??? Schon mal was biblisches gehört? Wenn Gott uns den freien Willen gegeben hat, warum erdreistet sich dann eine Gruppe von uns bezahlten Beamten, darüber

hinweg zu sehen, und Verbote zu diktieren??

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Polonyi Attila

**email**

lowrider@bossmail.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die angestrebten Änderungen kosten nur Geld und bringen nichts!

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Alterskontrolle und Durchsetzung der momentan vorhandenen gesetzlichen Möglichkeiten und zwar gnadenlos.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sitku Gergely

**email**

sitkugergely@gmail.com

**country**

Magyar

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ellentmondásos a tervezet.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Ennek a kérdéskörnek a szabályozását tagállami hatáskörben kell hagyni. A füstmentes dohánytermékeket tiltás helyet inkább reklámozni kellene.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Az egyen csomagolás bevezetése a feketekereskedelem növekedéséhez vezet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tagállami szinten a kérdés kör megoldott.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Egy nem átgondolt tiltó szabályozás dohánytermelők munka helyeit veszélyezteti, Magyarországon 10000 ember megélhetését ellehetetleníti.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Túlzott szabályozás a feketekereskedelmet növeli, a termelői érdekeket hátrányosan befolyásolja.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Richard Reiter

**email**

ricki\_56@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Weckmüller, George

**email**

info@zippo-fan.net

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Tim Schoenmakers

#### **email**

beta.schoenmakers@sde-bv.nl

#### **country**

Netherlands

#### **age**

25

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Facchinetti Augusto

**email**  
augustex@libero.it

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
69

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Awilotschew Artur

**email**

dein-kiosk@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**  
32

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no comment

**additional comments**  
no comment

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heine Christian

**email**

Fritzheine@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**



Do you think that changing the packs would change anything? Do you think that pictures of people who died of smoking would change anything? I've been to hongkong recently and they have pictures on their packs but you don't look at them... This whole consultation will cost us millions of euros!! YOU people need the taxes paid with each pack !!! SO start thinking!!!

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Schlüter

**email**

schlueter\_m@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Holger Blüher

**email**

hoblueh@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommetar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Klaus

**email**

thomas\_klaus@gmx.net

**country**

germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ein propylaktisches, generelles und vor allem ungeprüftes Verbot hebt das Gebot der Verhältnismäßigkeit aus. Zum sind die vorgenommenen Einschätzungen vage und fußen auf wissenschaftlich inkonsistenten Annahmen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Im Gegensatz zu der wissenschaftlich ohnehin recht angreifbaren Passivrauchargumentation kann hier erst recht keine Gefährdung Dritter herbei argumentiert werden. Somit sollte mündigen Bürgern die Entscheidung überlassen bleiben, verantwortungsbewusst den Genuss derartiger Tabakprodukte zu vollziehen. Die Bedeutung dieser Produkte ist ohnehin stark regional ausgeprägt und verlangt - wenn überhaupt - regionale Regelungen.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Nachweis der Wirksamkeit derartiger Maßnahmen ist nicht erbracht, bzw. setzt deren Umsetzung in keinem Verhältnis zu den erzielbaren Erfolgen. Dieser Eingriff würde sehr wahrscheinlich (wenn überhaupt) die marginalen positiven Effekten unverhältnismäßig gegenüber den negativen Effekten erscheinen lassen. Vgl. Preiskampf zwischen den Herstellern vs. Jugendschutz, Steuereinnahmen; Erleichterung von Markenpiraterie bzw. bessere Bedingungen für Verbreitung illegal produzierter Substitute. usw.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Handlungsbedarf für Kommission. Nationale Regelungen scheinen auszureichen, sonst hätte die Initiative von jeweiligen Nationalregierungen ausgehen müssen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemstellung ist zu allgemein gehalten und wirkt sehr subjektiv. Was bedeutet "attraktiv" ? Nationale Vorlieben können hiermit nur noch bedingt berücksichtigt werden. Die bisherigen Regelungen reichen völlig aus. Eine Verbesserung ist nicht erkennbar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemstellung bzgl. der Zigarettenautomaten ist national bereits geregelt. Zugangskontrollen finden bereits heute statt und sind überdies (aufgrund technischer Lösungen) am wirkungsvollsten. Entweder gilt ein liberalisierter Binnenmarkt oder nicht.

Permante Beschränkungen würden die Sinnhaftigkeit der Institution EU fortschreitend untergraben. Kurzfristig würden die Gremien sich eine sicherlich benötigte Daseinsberechtigung verschaffen, langfristig aber ihre Legitimation aushöhlen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kohl, Thomas

**email**

Thomas\_Kohl@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ute Hoffmann



**email**

ute@kira2005.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir haben schon heute große Probleme mit dem illegalen Zigarettenhandel ( Vietnamesen ). Dieser hat schon Mafia ähnliche Strukturen und konnte bis heute weder durch den Zoll noch der Polizei unterbunden werden !! Eine Behinderung des offiziellen Verkaufs von Tabakprodukten führt nicht nur zu immensen Einbußen von Steuern und es folgen dahin auch Massenschliessungen von Zigarettengeschäften, sowie ein Ansteigen der Arbeitslosen. Auch wird der illegale Zigarettenhandel sprunghaft in die Höhe schnellen. Insgesamt würde also der Zigarettenkonsum nicht sinken. Die Entscheider in der EU sollten sich die Dokumentation über die Prohibition in den USA anschauen ( wird zur Zeit im TV auf Phönix ausgestrahlt ) bevor sie voreilig etwas entschliessen !!!!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bohla, Jens

**email**

bohje@web.de

**country**

Germany, Deutschland

**age**  
27

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Because these measures are stupid! they patronize smokers, push them into illegality. the people who think they are so smart, planning these things have no idea what they are doing? the are not helping the are destroying !!!

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Because these measures are stupid! they patronize smokers, push them into illegality. the people who think they are so smart, planning these things have no idea what they are doing? the are not helping the are destroying !!!

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**  
better reconnaissance, better youth protection and better non-smoking-protection, f.e. no smoking in public buildings.

**additional comments**  
better reconnaissance, better youth protection and better non-smoking-protection, f.e. no smoking in public buildings.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Because these measures are stupid! they patronize smokers, push them into illegality. the people who think they are so smart, planning these things have no idea what they are doing? the are not helping the are destroying !!!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Because these measures are stupid! they patronize smokers, push them into illegality. the people who think they are so smart, planning these things have no idea what they are doing? the are not helping the are destroying !!!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Because these measures are stupid! they patronize smokers, push them into illegality. the people who think they are so smart, planning these things have no idea what they are doing? the are not helping the are destroying !!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maul, Matthias

**email**

matthias\_maul@bat.com

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
33

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die oben genannten Produkte werden nicht von der Richtlinie erfasst und bleiben erlaubt.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Das Snus-Verbot soll aufgehoben werden.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die derzeitige Regelung soll bestehen bleiben.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die derzeitige Regelung soll bestehen bleiben.

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung soll bestehen bleiben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung soll bestehen bleiben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manfred HOCEVAR

**email**

homa@liezen.org

**country**

Austria

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Dieter Mundt

#### **email**

dietermundt@aol.com

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

60

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**



No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ronald Brehm

**email**

Rob36272@t-online.de

**country**  
Germany

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Busch

**email**

fusspflegebusch@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daisy Balkin

**email**

daisy.balkin@gmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manuela Rievers

**email**

manuela\_rievers@bat.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Beck Jochims

**email**

beck.jan@gmail.com

**country**

Denmark

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Product categories should not be made illegal without proper study.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Could potentially minimize second-hand smoking.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

I believe current health warnings are good and clearly visible - no need to further enlarge these. Plain packaging would make smuggling of fake products too easy - meaning loss in tax revenue.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not the task of EU (but of the Danish State) to sanction companies operating in Denmark. A common format would be fine as long as it does not contribute to additional administration costs.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not the role of the EU (or the Danish State for that matter) to dictate taste. Ingredients should only be regulated if they are proven to make cigarettes more harmful.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I believe adult smokers should have the possibility of choosing and seeing the products available.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

EU should provide guidelines and recommendations to ensure Member State enforcement of age-limit laws. The EU should support information campaigns to prevent minors from being able to buy tobacco and clearly state the harmful effects of smoking.

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jannis Pacholski

#### **email**

westfalentankstellen@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

25

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar!

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar!

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar!

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Axel Schumacher

**email**

axel\_schumacher@bat.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Voß

**email**

Camel-tiger@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland (-Germany-)

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Es sollte keine Regulierung von neuartigen Produkten geben

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Das Snus Verbot ist in meinen Augen in keinster Weise gerechtfertigt und sollte aufgehoben werden. Warum gibt es das Verbot überhaupt ?

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Die Bürger der EU wissen bereits, dass Rauchen nicht gesund ist und zu Krankheiten führen kann. Daher sind Bildwarnhinweise nicht notwendig, im Gegenteil, Sammlerleidenschaft wird geweckt. - TNCO Zahlen sind wichtig und informieren den Verbraucher über die Intensität, könnten aber durch genauere Zahlen/Werte ersetzt werden. - Gesundheitswarnhinweise auf Wasserpfeifen sind nutzlos, denn es kommt darauf an welche Art von Tabak konsumiert wird. Daher sollten die Gesundheitswarnhinweise eher auf den Wasserpfeifentabak stehen. - Plain Packing führt in meinen Augen zu rein gar nichts. Im Gegenteil, Kriminelle können viel einfacher ihre Fälschungen herstellen. Es findet u.a. ein signifikanter Eingriff in die Markenrechte der Hersteller statt. Desweiteren werden die Verbraucher deshalb nicht weniger rauchen oder gar ganz aufhören. Die jetzigen Warnhinweise sind vollkommen ausreichend. Der Verbraucher wird ja regelrecht endmündigt, unglaublich !

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Ich meine, wir sollten ein auf EU -Ebene eingerichtetes Meldeformat einführen, unter Berücksichtigung der Geschäftsgeheimnisse der Hersteller. - Die EU - Staaten könnten schon jetzt Registrierungsgebühren zur Erfassung und Analyse der Herstellerdaten erheben, wie z.B. Belgien. Die Erhebung/Durchsetzung ist m.e. Angelegenheit der einzelnen EU - Staaten.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Grundsätzliche Kriterien für die Bewertung von Zusatzstoffen sind nicht festgelegt ! - Attraktivität empfindet jeder Verbraucher für ein Konsumprodukt anders und ist somit subjektiv ! - Es gibt nach wie vor keinen wissenschaftlichen Beweis dafür, dass

Tabakzusatzstoffe für sich selbstgenommen suchterzeugend sind und auch nicht erhöhen. Daher sollten Zusatzstoffe weiterhin erlaubt sein, denn es gibt keine Anahltspunkte auf mögliche Gesundheitsauswirkungen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Ich bin für eine Einschränkung des Grenzüberschreitenden Internetverkaufs von Tabakerzeugnissen, wie zum Beispiel die Altersbegrenzung. - Die Darstellung von Ihnen beschreibt in der Tat nicht das Problem bei Verkaufsautomaten. Ich unterstütze nur zum Teil die Einschränkung. Es gibt überhaupt keinen Beweis, das ein Verbot von Verkaufsautomaten den Verbrauch reduzieren würde oder gar den Gesundheitszielen entspricht. - Ich bin absolut gegen ein "Verbot der Präsentation von Tabakwaren". Ein Verbot wird mitnichten zu einer Reduzierung des Konsum führen oder gar den Anteil an Rauchern verringern. Den Handel mit Tabakprodukten würde es eher erschweren als erleichtern, würde viel Zeitaufwendiger werden; ganz zu schweigen von dem Platzproblem ! Umsatzprobleme der kleinen Einzelhändler wären vorprogrammiert

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Veit Abig

**email**

veit\_abig@bat.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer Vollmerhaus

**email**

r.vollmerhaus@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

absurde Überreglementierung

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

absurde Überreglementierung

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

absurde Überreglementierung

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

absurde Überregulierung

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jörg Peterjohann

**email**

j.peterjohann@freenet.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
snus has less impact on my health than cigarettes - so why am i not able to buy it in germany

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
plani packaging only helps criminals

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

me as a smoker - i want to see all brands displayed in shops

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ines Baldauf

**email**

i.baldauf@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

its already more than enough

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

its stupid

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

its already enough

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Hoffmann

**email**

hoffmann.fulda@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

martin wildpaner

**email**

martin.wildpaner@gmx.at

**country**

austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Gerig

**email**

frankgerig61@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

i learned that you can reduce smoking with snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment our nngg germany will give the comment for all employees in the tobacco business

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

plan packs can be copied easier

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Britta Gerken

**email**

gerken-britta@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Arne Rosenberg

**email**

arnerosenberg@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem! Do not regulate a legal product. Let each human being decide what he / she wants to do or not want to do. I do not tell you what you want to eat / drink / do and

please do not try to tell me what is best for me.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**

Cancel the directive.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Leave the market regulate itself. If you start to regulate this legal product, what is next? Sweets? Fat food? Toy guns, war toys, ...?

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem. Don't try to regulate everything.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

It is a legal product, why ban advertising on the package. either it is legal than the same rules / laws must apply to these products or it is not legal, then ban it! On the one hand side the taxes are welcome, on the other hand side politicians try to regulate or ban tobacco products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Why do fat, ugly, unhealthy living politicians try to tell me what I should do or must not do?

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Legal product => free market, free ingredients!

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Does a regulation exist that all crisps and sweets must have the same ingredients? No! Why for the legal product tobacco?

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Legal product => free sale

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Mathiszik

**email**

dieter\_mathiszik@bat.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

i made good experience with snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Astridd Pezt

#### **email**

stuff01@gmx.at

#### **country**

Austria

#### **gender**

female

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change; Establish a common compulsory reporting format



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sonja Grube

**email**

blacky@sonja-grube.de

**country**

no comment

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Melanie Penz

**email**

melanie\_penz@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Holger Rohden

**email**

anjarohden@alice-dsl.net

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keine Kommantar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kathrin Kiehne

**email**

kakue68@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Antje Mahle

**email**

Angelika110465@yahoo.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

45

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil es um meine Existenz geht

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

weil es um meine Existenz geht

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil es um meine Existenz geht

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil es um meine Existenz geht



**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil es um meine Existenz geht

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil es um meine Existenz geht

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Albert Pütt

**email**

a.puett@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weil es um meine Existenz geht

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

weil es um meine Existenz geht

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil es um meine Existenz geht

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil es um meine Existenz geht

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil es um meine Existenz geht

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil es um meine Existenz geht

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Imrei Andrea

**email**

andrea.imrei@gmail.com

**country**

Hungary

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anke Klus

**email**

anke.klus@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird einen ausführlichen Kommentar in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird einen ausführlichen Kommentar in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird einen ausführlichen Kommentar in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird einen ausführlichen Kommentar in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird einen ausführlichen Kommentar in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird einen ausführlichen Kommentar in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick Müller

**email**

Wurstheiner@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

21

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johann Binapfl

**email**

hans.binapfl@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

G.Freund

**email**

guido@familie-freund.net

**country**

German

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Erkennungsvielfalt von Markenprodukten

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Rieck

**email**

klaus.rieck@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU27 treffen. ... oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss

daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalyse aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Das man nun daraus den Schluss zieht, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. – Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Einen notwendigen Handlungsbedarf der Kommission spiegelt die Problemdarstellung nicht wider.

**which option**

No change

## **regulation of ingredients**

### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtlichen Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

### **which option**

No Change

## **access to tobacco products**

### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht aus dem Jahre 2003 zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC festgehalten hat: – Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend. – Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Ein Handlungsbedarf wird daher nicht gegeben. Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten z.B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen und ihn nicht erleichtern. Die EU kann nur tätig

werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat – diese Kompetenz ist in den EU-Verträgen festgelegt. Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzelermächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hin geprüft wurde ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. Dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlicher Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automatenvertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise.“ Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs- bzw. Bezugsstellen verschwinden. Die Problembeschreibung verschweigt wesentliche Sachverhalte, die für die abschließende Bewertung von Bürgerinnen und Bürgern von Wichtigkeit sein können – Beispielhaft führe ich hier eine Stellungnahme der Deutschen Bundesregierung vom 01.04.2010 im Rahmen einer Kleinen Anfrage der Fraktion Bündnis 90/Die Grünen im Hinblick auf ein generelles Automatenverbot an: „Im Rahmen der Verhältnismäßigkeit sieht die Bundesregierung die bereits existierenden gesetzlichen Vorschriften und die in Vollzug dieser Vorschriften erfolgte Umsetzung der technischen Sicherung von Zigarettenautomaten durch Alterskontrolle per Geldkarte oder europäischem Führerschein als derzeit ausreichend und als die mildesten Mittel an, um das Abgabeverbot von Tabakwaren durch Automaten an Minderjährige wirksam zu regeln.“

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

aline kuch

**email**

aline.123@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

24

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

its done so much, but everyone has to decide on its own! i can't be the intention of the eu to make smoking to something illegal and thats how it seems if have to ask cigarettes. its forbidden to call them "ligths" or "medium" - ok. its forbidden to smoke in restaurants, bars etc - very good. but this is jsut one step to far. smoking is not the only problem for our health, whats about alcohol? you can advertise every where you want, you can buy it every where you want and its not heathly as well i think.

**additional comments**

its done so much, but everyone has to decide on its own! i can't be the intention of the eu to make smoking to something illegal and thats how it seems if have to ask cigarettes. its forbidden to call them "ligths" or "medium" - ok. its forbidden to smoke in restaurants, bars etc - very good. but this is jsut one step to far. smoking is not the only problem for our health, whats about alcohol? you can advertise every where you want, you can buy it every where you want and its not heathly as well i think.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Richly, Jürgen

**email**

JuergenRichly@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Koppitz

**email**

23.07.1984@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland



**age**  
26

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karin Krause

**email**

Karin.Krause1@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

beppler barbara

**email**

widderfrau57@gmx.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentr

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kei kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kin komentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ein komentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Philipp

**email**

doombooster@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltenen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten).

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Einen notwendigen Handlungsbedarf der Kommission spiegelt die Problemdarstellung nicht wider.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

André Möller

**email**

moeller.andre@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Comment.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Ich habe gelesen, dass Snus weniger gesundheitsschädlich ist als Zigaretten.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich glaube nicht, dass Leute mit dem Rauchen aufhören, wenn alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Da es sich bei Zigaretten um legale Produkte handelt sollte man sie im Laden auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Schroeder

**email**

Peter.Schroeder@wtnet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christel Brost

**email**

brosttabakwaren@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marco Peter

**email**

macpeter76@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung ist ausreichend.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakprodukte sind eine optionale Möglichkeit Nikotin zu konsumieren.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung ist für die Information der Verwender, für den Nichtraucher- und Gesundheitsschutz mehr als ausreichend.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung ist mehr als ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung ist ausreichend und umfassend

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ein legal hergestelltes, darüber hinaus hoch besteuertes und damit marktfähiges Produkt sollte keine Markthindernisse im Vertrieb und Marketing unterworfen sein.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M.-Reza Koocheky

**email**

koocheky@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

clicking yes doesn't work

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

x

**additional comments**

x

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Meyer

**email**

tankstelle.ottobrunn@web.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susan Voitel

**email**

Jaki143@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

Put more into foreground that the smokers willingly poison the people next to them, that smokers smell very bad etc.. I guess it's not the own health a smoker is concerned about, that's know to every smoker but suppressed by the nicotine etc. which manipulate the smokers brain.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Meissner

**email**

meissner@heitel.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Consumers are getting already enough information, further info will not result in less smoking,...

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no further comments

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no further comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no further comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Größ

**email**

Manfred-groess@web.de

**country**

Brandenburg

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Menschen sollen selbst entscheiden was sie tun. Wir brauchen keine Bevormundung durch parasitäre Politiker (und die brauchen wir garnicht) die u.a. auf Kosten der Raucher wie die Maden im Speck leben und das schwer verdiente Geld der arbeitenden Menschen aus dem Fenster werfen.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Lasst die Raucher rauchen, so wie die Autofahrer Auto fahren, oder schafft doch alles ab.

**additional comments**

Schafft die unnützlichen Parasiten in Brüssel ab!!!!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Lasst die Raucher rauchen

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

bitte keine Geheimnisse veröffentlichen!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir brauchen keine Bevormundung

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ware muß für den Käufer deutlich sichtbar sein, damit er weiss, was er kauft.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicolai, Günter

**email**

g.nicolai@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Vogt

**email**

c.vogt@mundivision.com

**country**

Austria

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

as a smoker i definitively would like to have the right to decide wether if i smoke and also would like to have same rigths as non-smokers regarding locations where i can eat-drink-smoke. no one asks about health-risks coming from car and industry gases (what about if i had no car nor driving license, could i then ask for a car-free area for my lungs?) it is definitely possible to share needs and wishes of both parties, without having a witch-like-prosecution and criminalizing smokers.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

yes, keep both parties satisfied, this is affordable (see austrian actual ruling)

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

they are like non-smoking

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see above

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see above

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see above

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see above

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Siegfried Weper

**email**

siegfried-w@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

21

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Because the people should have an own oppinion if they buy cigarettes or not. Also the people smoke since jears and only a few people getting ill.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

A better control at the shop so that only people which are 18+ can buy cigarettes.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I think that won't prevent the people to buy cigarettes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Because when all cigarettes tasted like the same, the business competition will be destroyed.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Langenhan

#### **email**

n.s.langenhan@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

35

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Silvia Villanueva Alonso

**email**  
villanueva.donner@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
43

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ohne Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

ohne Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ohne Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ohne Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ohne Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ohne Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manuel Bucker

**email**

manuel.buecker@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Fornular auf Deutsch wäre nett!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erik Merdens



**email**

Lordslayer6666@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Edgar Van der steen

**email**

mantis\_newspoint@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

67

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katja Meske

**email**

skovly@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

That's enough. What about alcohol, sweets and, above all, gambling? There really are more important issues to which one should take care!. Smoking is already linked with very tough rules and restrictions.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Adults can decide for themselves what they want to or not. You should now keep out of time and take care of more important issues and allow the people their freedom of choice.

**additional comments**

I am for Freedom of speech and freedom of choice and that adult people should decide themselves to do what they want to do and it is entitled to any governing body and has the right to intervene. Reconnaissance is good and right, but then is good. It should also be taken care of even other addictions. Alcohol, candy, gambling destroys people who are threats to society and are never to debate ...

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

All I have to say, I have already written to the first questions

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

All I have to say, I have already written to the first questions

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

All I have to say, I have already written to the first questions

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Melanie Konrad

**email**

melanie\_kaul1975@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

everybody should have a option for own opinions and decision

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

free choice what to do

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

free choice

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

leave it like it is

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no more regulations

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bodo Meinsen

**email**

meinsen@genussverlag.com

**country**

germany

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Vorhaben einer neuen EU-Tabak-Richtlinie sind in allen Punkten absolut übertrieben und bilden eine erhebliche Einmischung in die freie Marktwirtschaft. Zudem greifen solche Vorhaben in die Hoheiten der Mitgliedsstaaten ein. Die Vorhaben basieren allesamt auf Annahmen, die von der WHO platziert wurde, aber jede wissenschaftliche Beweisformel vermissen lassen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Übertrieben! Eingriff in die freie Marktwirtschaft. WHO-Directive ohne wissenschaftlichen Beweis. Unseriös und Bevormundung freier Bürger.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eingriff in Wettbewerbsrecht und übertriebene Maßregelung nach WHO-Directive.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

WHO Directive ohne wissenschaftlichen Beweis und Verbrauchernutzen. Kontraproduktiv.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kontraproduktiv. WHO Directive ohne Beweisführung.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Hattinger

**email**

hattingers@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Matthes

**email**

info@peter-matthes.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban

**which one2**  
Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Felix C. Schmidt

#### **email**

schmidt.felix@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

31

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

von Beinen

**email**

mavobe@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susanne Mösch

**email**

h.g.feist@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Keine Änderung

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Keine Änderung

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Änderung

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Keine Änderung

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Keine Änderung

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Józef Szulakowski

**email**

szulakowski@tlen.pl

**country**

Poland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**



No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

michaela keusen

**email**

m-keusen@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich empfinde es als frechheit das sie mir die entscheidung abnehmen wollen welche marke ich rauche oder nicht außerdem denke ich das es problematisch sein wir in der umsetzung. ich bin der meinung das es jeden selbst überlassen sein sollte zu rauchen oder nicht und ich hoffe im intresse aller das diese regelung nicht in kraft treten wird sonst haben wir hinter wieder mehr arbeitslose da viele an diesem beschluß pleite gehen könnten.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein komentar

**additional comments**

kein komentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paweł Szymona

**email**

p.s@box43.pl

**country**

Poland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Donner

**email**

fd@obvend.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Radosław Poleszak

**email**

radekpoleszak@wp.pl

**country**

Poland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katarzyna Nocuń

**email**

katrina7@interia.pl

**country**

Poland

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Simon Bscheider

**email**

mrshox@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful

substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fischer Maximilian

**email**

cigarren.ruf@t-online.de

**country**

BRD

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Verzerrung des Wettbewerbs und nicht mit der freien Marktwirtschaft im Einklang.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Aufklärung ist vorhanden und Empfehlungen der WHO blind zu folgen halte ich für keine Lösung. Die Bürger der EU sind nicht dumm, und ein solcher Eingriff in nationale Märkte sehe ich letztendlich als Bevormundung des Endverbrauchers. Gerade in der breiten Basis der Bevölkerung hat die EU nicht so viele Befürworter wie sie vielleicht annehmen, eine Bevormundung führt gerade bei erwachsenen Menschen normalerweise zu Ablehnung. Prävention in Schulen ist, zumindest in Deutschland, vorhanden und erst einmal sollten in allen EU Ländern die bestehenden Gesetze durchgeführt und genutzt werden bevor Ihr mit etwas Neuen kommt.

**additional comments**

No Comment

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Laut einer Studie der, man sollte es nicht glauben, WHO ist erwiesen das es keinen Zusammenhang zwischen Schnupftabak und Krebs gibt. Auch haben viele Menschen den Snuff gerade deshalb lieber weil sie ihn als Ersatz zum Tabak bzw der Zigarette konsumieren. Dieser Vorschlag Ihrerseits klingt eher nach einem Vorschlag der Pharmaindustrie bzw Hersteller von Nikotinersatzprodukten..... hüstel hüstel ich sag mal BAYER...

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU Bürger wissen um die Schädlichkeit und das Kinder nicht rauchen sollten ist bekannt. Eine Durchsetzung der Länder innerhalb der bestehenden Gesetze halte ich für sinnvoller als den Vorschlag ihrerseits.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Eine Durchsetzung der Länder innerhalb der bestehenden Gesetze halte ich für sinnvoller als

den Vorschlag ihrerseits.

**additional comments**

Eine Durchsetzung der Länder innerhalb der bestehenden Gesetze halte ich für sinnvoller als den Vorschlag ihrerseits.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ein Beipackzettel beinhaltet nur höhere Kosten und wird sowieso weggeworfen. Ihr glaubt doch nicht wirklich das so etwas von leuten wahrgenommen wird und sie vom Rauchen abhält. Manchmal habe ich das Gefühl ihr wisst noch nicht mal wie ihr selber in der Mehrheit mit Beipackzetteln bei Medikamenten oder Inhaltslisten auf Produkten umgeht.... Seid mal ehrlich... der Großteil ist

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Solange Tabak enthalten ist, ist die Schädlichkeit der anderen Inhaltsstoffe gesundheitlich zu vernachlässigen und auch Chemisch lässt sich keine Erhöhung bzw Minderung der Schädlichkeit feststellen. Nur zur Information, ich habe in Berlin im Labor einer Pfeifentabakfabrik gearbeitet und habe mich mit mit einem Freund von mir, der Lebensmittelchemiker in der Forschung in Dublin ist, darüber schon öfter unterhalten. Suchterregende zusätzliche Stoffe wie Cumarin sollten allerdings erstmal in Produkten in der ganzen EU untersagt sein wie es in Deutschland, aber nicht in allen EU Ländern der Fall ist.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Suchterregende zusätzliche Stoffe wie Cumarin sollten allerdings erstmal in Produkten in der ganzen EU untersagt sein wie es in Deutschland, aber nicht in allen EU Ländern der Fall ist.

**additional comments**

Suchterregende zusätzliche Stoffe wie Cumarin sollten allerdings erstmal in Produkten in der ganzen EU untersagt sein wie es in Deutschland, aber nicht in allen EU Ländern der Fall ist.

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Verzerrung des Marktes und erst einmal bestehende nationale Gesetze umsetzen....

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Verzerrung des Marktes und erst einmal bestehende nationale Gesetze umsetzen....

**additional comments**

Verzerrung des Marktes und erst einmal bestehende nationale Gesetze umsetzen....

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michał Kozicki

**email**

michalkozicki@yahoo.com

**country**

Poland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wojciech Mikulski

**email**

wojciechmikulski807@wp.pl

**country**

Poland

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Günter Schernautzki

**email**

guenter-schernautzki@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcin Mazur

**email**

marcinusm@poczta.onet.pl

**country**

Poland

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Magdalena Baraniecki

**email**

magmag\_78@hotmail.com

**country**

Poland

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Magdalena Krzemińska

**email**

mkrzem@o2.pl

**country**

Poland

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tomasz Szulakowski

**email**

krecik85@tlen.pl

**country**

Poland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Małgorzata Pastucha

**email**

malga13@wp.pl

**country**

Poland

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Alfons Gassner

**email**

office@zappa.at

**country**

Österreich

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich bin alt genug um mir bei allem vorschritten machen lassen müssen; wir sind in einer zeit aufgewachsen um mit dem alter von 18 / 19 Jahren selbständig zu werden und selbständig entscheidungen zu treffen. unsere existenzen hängen teilweise bis sehr an diesen grundauffassungen von erziehung!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bożena Bakalarz

**email**

bognabogna@hotmail.com

**country**

Poland

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Grzegorz Adasik

**email**

gadasik@yahoo.com

**country**

Poland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tiedl Gerald

**email**

stefanie.reiter@gmx.net

**country**

Austria

**age**

21

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Problem

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
es gibt kein Problem

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es gibt kein Problem

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es gibt kein Problem

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
ES gibt kein Problem

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Problem

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Norbert Matusche

**email**

norbert.matusche@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Torsten Hoffmann



**email**

torhof@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die rechte des konsumenten auf eine freie und uneingeschränkte wahl der produkte, die er erwerben will, werden massiv durch diese neuen regelungen eingeschränkt

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katarzyna Foks

**email**

kasia.foks@gmail.com

**country**

Poland

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reiter Philipp

**email**

viktoria.reiter@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt kein Problem!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Es gibt kein Problem, die Regierung bildet sich das ein. Jeder mensch hat das Recht zu Rauchen !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Problem

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt kein Problem!!!!!!!!!!!! die Menschen sind für sich selbst verantwortlich und wissen was gut für sie ist und was nicht!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!1

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt kein problem

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Denken Sie darüber nach, zur Zeit möchten Sie uns das Konsumieren von Zigarretten verbieten, als nächstes das Konsumieren von Alkohol , danach das Konsumieren von Süßigkeiten, ..... denn all diese Dinge können schädlich für uns sein!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Krzysztof Dumbal

**email**

kylod@o2.pl

**country**

Poland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Ciosek

**email**

robciosek@wp.pl

**country**

Poland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reiter Viktoria

**email**

viktoria.reiter@gmx.net

**country**

Austria



**age**  
22

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Jeder Mensch hat die Möglichkeit selbst zu wählen

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Option 1, ich sehe kein Problem

**additional comments**  
da ich im Genuss kein Problem sehe, wäre ich ihnen sehr verbunden, wenn sie globale Probleme richtig angehen würden!

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Jeder Bürger kann für sich selbst entscheiden

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Option 1. Bis jetzt leif alles gut

**additional comments**  
Wahlmöglichkeit ist eine Option, sprechen sie nicht jedem die Fähigkeit ab für sich Entscheidungen zu fällen!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Falls sie darauf aus sind, jeden Raucher als Depp darzustellen, dann habe sie ein sehr großes Problem.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

ich sehe kein Problem im Genuss

**additional comments**

Es gibt so viele neue Energielieferanten, sodass Atomkraftwerke nicht nötig wären, und doch werden sie gebaut und in betrieb genommen. Denken sie darüber einmal nach

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In österreich ist das sehr gut geregelt

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

option1

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Da jeder Staat auch eine eigene Regierung hat, finde ich ist es Landesache, darüber zu entscheiden, denn die EU weiß nicht wie es in den einzelnen Ländern aussieht.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Option1

**additional comments**

Wichtig ist, den Bürgern ihre Urteilsfähigkeit und Wahlmöglichkeit nicht abzusprechen

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Im Grunde ist es nur eine Frage des Preises und wie einfach es ist, an einen tabak zu kommen

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Option1

**additional comments**

Natürlich sind ständige Preiserhöhungen nicht die Lösung des "Problems", denn dann werden die Zigarretten auf illegalem Wege gekauft oder geraubt.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Radosław Wnuczek

**email**

wnunio@tlen.pl

**country**

Poland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hannah Brüggemann

**email**

Hannah.brueggemann@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eveline Schulze

**email**

evelineschulze@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse sind keine Hilfe beim Aufhören

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Barbara Brodziak

**email**

barbara.brodziak@vp.pl

**country**

Poland

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Arkadiusz Garbal

**email**

biuro@cug-ubezpieczenia.pl

**country**

Poland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reiter Stephanie

**email**

stefanie.reiter@gmx.net

**country**

Austria

**age**

18

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Mensch hat die Möglichkeit zu wählen

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

ich sehe kein Problem

**additional comments**

Da ich im Genuss kein Problem sehe, wäre ich Ihnen sehr verbunden, wenn sie globale Probleme richtig angehen würden!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

ich sehe kein Problem, jede Bürger kann für sich entscheiden

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Option 1, Bis jetzt lief alles prima

**additional comments**

Wahlmöglichkeit ist eine Option, sprechen sie nicht jedem die Fähigkeit ab für sich Entscheidungen zu fällen

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Falls sie darauf aus sind, jeden Raucher als Trottel darzustellen, dann haben sie ein Problem

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Option1, ich sehe kein Problem im Genuss!

**additional comments**

Es gibt sovieler neue Energielieferanten, sodass Atomkraftwerke, nicht nötig wären. Das ist ein Problem dass sie in meinem Auftrag als "wählender" Bürger der Eu angehen müssten!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

in österreich ist das sehr gut geregelt.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Option 1

**additional comments**

Wozu er passt so wie es ist.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

da jeder Staat auch eine eigene Regierung hat, finde ich ist es deren Aufgabe Vorgaben festzulegen

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Option 1

**additional comments**

Wichtig ist, den Bürger ihre Urteilsfähigkeit und Wahlmöglichkeit nicht abzusprechen!

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Im Grunde ist es nur eine Frage des Preises und wie einfach es ist meinen Tabak zu bekommen.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Option 1

**additional comments**

Natürlich sind ständige Preiserhöhungen, die nur die jeweiligen Regierungen unterstützen, keien langfristige Lösung um legalen Einkauf zu fördern!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kathrin Schweiger

**email**

kathrin.schweiger@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rüdiger Loos

**email**

Ruediger.Loos@nefkom.info

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Regulierungswahn der EU und der einzelnen Länder ist mir seit langem ein Dorn im Auge. Dies ist unnötig und unangebracht.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Hier werden bestimmte Dinge leider vermischt, die getrennt behandelt werden sollten. Ich möchte nicht auf eine schöne Zigarrenkiste verzichten, die allein mir schon Lust auf den Genuss der Zigarre macht. Außerdem sollte man sich überlegen wie viele Arbeitsplätze hier gefährdet sind. Ein absolutes "No go".

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional comments**

Dies macht jedoch nur Sinn wenn es ein einheitliches, abgestimmtes Format ist.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Vorschläge gehen hier zu weit. Die Produktpräsentation und Werbung am Verkaufsort darf z. B. in Zigarren Lounges nicht eingeschränkt werden. Auch ein Automatenverbot für Zigaretten geht deutlich zu weit.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

wilfried lesch

**email**

wilfriedlesch@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jürgen Schneider

**email**  
juergen.schneider@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reiter Roland

**email**

roland.reiter@bnet.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Mensch hat die Möglichkeit zu wählen.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Option1, ich sehe kein Problem

**additional comments**

Da ich im Genuss kein Problem sehe, wäre ich Ihnen sehr verbunden, wenn sie globale Probleme richtig ansehen würden!!!!!!!!!!!!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich sehe kein Problem, jeder Bürger kann für sich entscheiden

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Option 1, bis jetzt lief alles prima

**additional comments**

Wahlmöglichkeit ist eine Option, sprechen sie nicht jedem die Fähigkeit ab für sich Entscheidungen zu fällen

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Falls sie darauf aussind jeden Raucher als Trottel darzustellen, dann haben sie ein Problem!!1

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Option1, ich sehe kein Problem im Genuss!

**additional comments**

Es gibt so viele neue Energielieferanten, sodass Atomkraftwerke nicht nötig wären. Das ist ein Problem, dass sie in meinen Auftrag als "wählender " Bürger der eu angehen müssten!!!!!!!!!!!!1

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In Österreich ist das sehr gut geregelt

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

option 1

**additional comments**

-

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

da jeder Staat eine eigene Regierung hat,finde ich ist es deren Aufgabe Vorgaben festzulegen

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

option 1

**additional comments**

Wichtig sit, den Bürgern ihre urteilsfähigkeit und Wahlmöglichkeit nicht abzusprechen!

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Im Grunde ist es nur eine Frage des Preises und wie einfach es ist meinen Tabak zu bekommen

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Option1

**additional comments**

Natürlich sind ständige Preiserhöhungen, die nur die jeweiligen Regierungen unterstützen, keine langfristige Lösung um legalen Einkauf zu fördern. wird das Geschäft erschwert, so versucht der Konsument es auf anderem Weg. Komme ich als mündiger Erwachsener zu meinem Tabakerz., dann brauche ich keine Alternative zu suchen!!1

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Adolf Pradel

**email**

adolf.pradel@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ihre Ziele bedeuten totale Bevormundung und sind Zeichen von undemokratischer Intoleranz (man könnte auch Diktatur dazu sagen).

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jija

**email**

janmcmeister@hotmail.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicole Rönnebrink

**email**

collekind@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus



**additional option**

i would like to use snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

i do not think, that anyone would stop smoking when packs all look the same

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

i want to choose my product out of a hole sortiment

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Gerd Kerbach

#### **email**

gkerb@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

59

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Zissis

**email**  
pantazis69@arcor.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
41

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Coment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reiter Petra

**email**

stefanie.reiter@gmx.net

**country**

Austria

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Mensch hat das Recht zu rauchen wenn er möchte, er ist alt genug mit 16 um über die Risiken bescheid zu wissen. Alles was Sie hier veranstalten ist lächerlich, lassen Sie die Menschen so leben wie sie möchten!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Jeder Mensch hat die Möglichkeit zu wählen.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

bei der Option 1 sehe ich kein Problem

**additional comments**

Da ich im Genuss kein Problem sehe, wäre ich Ihnen sehr verbunden, wenn sie globale Probleme richtig angehen würde!

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sehe ich kein Problem, jeder Bürger kann für sich selbst entscheiden.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

There is no problem

**additional comments**

Wahlmöglichkeit ist eine Option, sprechen sie nicht jedem die Fähigkeit ab für sich Entscheidungen zu fällen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Falls Sie darauf aus sind, jeden Raucher als Trottel darzustellen, dann haben sie ein Problem.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

ich sehe in Option 1 kein Problem im Genuss

**additional comments**

Es gibt so viele neue Energielieferanten, so dass Atomkraftwerke nicht nötig wären. Das ist ein Problem, dass sie in meinem Auftrag als "wählender" Bürger der EU angehen müssten!!!

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In Österreich ist das sehr gut geregelt

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Option 1

**additional comments**

Wichtig ist den Bürgern ihre Urteilsfähigkeit und Wahlmöglichkeiten nicht abzusprechen

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Im Grunde ist es nur eine Frage des Preises und wie einfach es ist einen Tabak zu bekommen

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Option 1

**additional comments**

Natürlich sind ständige Preiserhöhungen, die nur die jeweiligen Regierungen unterstützen, keine langfristige Lösung um legalen Einkauf zu fördern. wird mir das im Geschäft erschwert, so versucht der konsument es auf anderen Weg. Komme ich als mündiger Erwachsener zu meinem Tabak erz., dann brauche ich keine Alternative zu suchen!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Herrchen

**email**

peter@herrchen.info

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU27 treffen. Außerdem sind diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalyse aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Das man nun daraus den Schluss zieht, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**



Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analysen der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Einen notwendigen Handlungsbedarf der Kommission spiegelt die Problemdarstellung nicht wider.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtlichen Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht aus dem Jahr 2009 zur Umsetzung der

Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC festgehalten hat: Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend. Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Ein Handlungsbedarf wird daher nicht gegeben. Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten z.B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen und ihn nicht erleichtern. Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat – diese Kompetenz ist in den EU-Verträgen festgelegt. Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzelermächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hin geprüft wurde ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. Dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlicher Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automatenvertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise.“ Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs- bzw. Bezugsstellen verschwinden. Die Problembeschreibung verschweigt wesentliche Sachverhalte, die für die abschließende Bewertung von Bürgerinnen und Bürgern von Wichtigkeit sein können Beispielhaft führe ich hier eine Stellungnahme der Deutschen Bundesregierung vom 01.04.2010 im Rahmen einer Kleinen Anfrage der Fraktion Bündnis 90/Die Grünen im Hinblick auf ein generelles Automatenverbot an: „Im Rahmen der Verhältnismäßigkeit sieht die Bundesregierung die bereits existierenden gesetzlichen Vorschriften und die in Vollzug dieser Vorschriften erfolgte Umsetzung der technischen Sicherung von Zigarettenautomaten durch Alterskontrolle per Geldkarte oder europäischem Führerschein als derzeit ausreichend und als die mildesten Mittel an, um das Abgabeverbot von Tabakwaren durch Automaten an Minderjährige wirksam zu regeln.“

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marc Seibert

**email**

marc.seibert@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stecher, Felicitas

**email**

felis128@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I want to go on living with free opinion, free freedom of action, free decision, free to live the life I want to (I only have got one) and also free to run the risk ruining my health - if I want to. I am grown up and DO NOT need to be educated by a government who tries to brainwash me by health terror. STOP health terror!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Stop making people hysterical!!!!

**additional comments**

People lived and died before the governments started to suppress people with health terror and people will go on getting ill and go on dying, even if there won't be tobacco products at all. I

want live in such an abstinent way which the spirit of the age tells us. I will have the right and freedom to choose the way which will make me happy in MY SENSE!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

The problem is NOT tobacco, the problem are the people who will tell us that we will lead a better life (did they ask me/us what is a "good life" in an individual sense - there are hundreds of answers) if tobacco is banned. Indeed we will lead a poorer life. This is the statement of a NON-SMOKER!

**additional comments**

NO

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NO

**additional comments**

NO

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

NO

**additional comments**

NO

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

NO

**additional comments**

NO

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NO

**additional comments**

NO

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Schulte

**email**

lucky.aschulte@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
44

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
snus is less dangerous than cigarettes

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

i do not think that anyone will stop smoking because of all these changes

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcin Pasecki

**email**

pasek@ognia.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Ingo Welbers

#### **email**

ingo.welbers@arcor.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

42

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

no comment

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

i want to switch from cigarettes to snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

cigarettes are legal products. consumer should be able to see them in any shop

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Baschnegger

**email**

daniel.baschnegger@bene.com

**country**

Austria / Österreich

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die EU mischt sich allgemein ZU SEHR in Dinge ein, die einfach nichts angeht. Als erwachsener Bürger will ich mich nicht von der EU bevormunden lassen. Ob, wo und was ich rauche, will ich selbst bestimmen und entscheiden. Die Einschränkungen und Verbote sind sinnlos und verhindern die Wettbewerbsfreiheit sowie Markenrechte. Jugend- und Nichtraucherschutz ist ja gut und recht, nur man kann alles übertreiben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Die EU mischt sich allgemein ZU SEHR in Dinge ein, die einfach nichts angeht. Als erwachsener Bürger will ich mich nicht von der EU bevormunden lassen. Ob, wo und was ich rauche, will ich selbst bestimmen und entscheiden. Die Einschränkungen und Verbote sind sinnlos und verhindern die Wettbewerbsfreiheit sowie Markenrechte. Jugend- und Nichtraucherschutz ist ja gut und recht, nur man kann alles übertreiben.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die EU mischt sich allgemein ZU SEHR in Dinge ein, die einfach nichts angeht. Als erwachsener Bürger will ich mich nicht von der EU bevormunden lassen. Ob, wo und was ich rauche, will ich selbst bestimmen und entscheiden. Die Einschränkungen und Verbote sind sinnlos und verhindern die Wettbewerbsfreiheit sowie Markenrechte. Jugend- und Nichtraucherschutz ist ja gut und recht, nur man kann alles übertreiben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die EU mischt sich allgemein ZU SEHR in Dinge ein, die einfach nichts angeht. Als erwachsener Bürger will ich mich nicht von der EU bevormunden lassen. Ob, wo und was ich rauche, will ich selbst bestimmen und entscheiden. Die Einschränkungen und Verbote sind sinnlos und verhindern die Wettbewerbsfreiheit sowie Markenrechte. Jugend- und Nichtraucherschutz ist ja gut und recht, nur man kann alles übertreiben.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Die EU mischt sich allgemein ZU SEHR in Dinge ein, die einfach nichts angeht. Als erwachsener Bürger will ich mich nicht von der EU bevormunden lassen. Ob, wo und was ich rauche, will ich selbst bestimmen und entscheiden. Die Einschränkungen und Verbote sind sinnlos und verhindern die Wettbewerbsfreiheit sowie Markenrechte. Jugend- und Nichtraucherschutz ist ja gut und recht, nur man kann alles übertreiben.

**additional comments**

Die EU mischt sich allgemein ZU SEHR in Dinge ein, die einfach nichts angeht. Als erwachsener Bürger will ich mich nicht von der EU bevormunden lassen. Ob, wo und was ich rauche, will ich selbst bestimmen und entscheiden. Die Einschränkungen und Verbote sind sinnlos und verhindern die Wettbewerbsfreiheit sowie Markenrechte. Jugend- und Nichtraucherschutz ist ja gut und recht, nur man kann alles übertreiben.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Anika Ackenhusen

#### **email**

anika.grossmann@gmx.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

27

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

In demokratischen Gesellschaften sollten die Bürger über tun und lassen (sofern Gesetzeskonform) selber entscheiden dürfen. Eine "Einheitspackung" sowie "Einheitszigarette" schränken mich in meiner freien Wahl doch erheblich ein! Zigaretten sind "legale" Drogen, also darf hier der Individualismus nicht verloren gehen! Wir sprechen ja auch nicht über eine "Einheitsflasche" beim Alkohol! Wenn ich mal einen Abend lang zu viel geraucht habe, brauche ich in keine Ausnüchterungszelle etc.... habe ich mal zu viel getrunken, oder bin gar Abhängig vom Alkohol, dann werden mir Therapien ermöglicht usw usw usw. Einem Raucher wird keine Entwöhnung gezahlt! Also möchte ich auch selber entscheiden!

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich will, dass meine Schachtel und Ihr Inhalt bleibt, wie es ist!!!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eckehard Petsch

**email**

ejc\_familie@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
i like snus very much

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
plain packaging helps criminals

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

as we talk about legal products, they should be handled like this.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lehr

**email**

hplehr@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Albert Mazat

**email**

albert\_mazat@bat.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

i made good experiences with reducing my smoking by using snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

white packages can be copied easier

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

cigarettes and fine cut are legal products!!!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mathias Humm

**email**

schuetze1968@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no commend

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Stahl

**email**

jstahl1@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pitter Thomas

**email**

tpitter86@googlemail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information



**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DREHER, Werner

**email**

dreher.werner@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEINE weitere Veränderung mehr - lasse es wie es ist ( waren genug Änderungen )

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

laufend Änderungen - geht an den Alkohol für Jugendliche

**additional comments**

Alkohol - Kaufverbot für Jugendliche und Kranke

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

laufend die Änderungen und die "Melkereien" auf Preisniveau - unverständlich

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

immer die Raucher

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

geht auf die "Säufer" und den illegalen Schmuggel

**additional comments**

Grenzen vorw. Osten mehr kontrollieren

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Grenzkontrollen

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Tiefpreise und Milliardenverluste für Zigaretten

**additional comments**

Kontrollen

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kontrollsystem an Grenzen, Schwarzmarkt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wie gesagt

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Simon Vaistina

**email**

vaistina@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

To buy tabak you should idendificate you age with you id (no matter how old you are).

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roswitha Drath

**email**

r.drath@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komm.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Wutzler

**email**

frankwutzler@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Verbraucher hat das Recht auf freie Produktauswahl und Sortimentsvielfalt. Die Änderungen bevormunden die Verbraucher!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Jeder Verbraucher hat das Recht auf freie Produktauswahl und Sortimentsvielfalt. Die Änderungen bevormunden die Verbraucher!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Verbraucher hat das Recht auf freie Produktauswahl und Sortimentsvielfalt. Die Änderungen bevormunden die Verbraucher!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Verbraucher hat das Recht auf freie Produktauswahl und Sortimentsvielfalt. Die Änderungen bevormunden die Verbraucher!!!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Verbraucher hat das Recht auf freie Produktauswahl und Sortimentsvielfalt. Die Änderungen bevormunden die Verbraucher!!!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Verbraucher hat das Recht auf freie Produktauswahl und Sortimentsvielfalt. Die Änderungen bevormunden die Verbraucher!!!

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Politowski

#### **email**

Hpolitowski@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Erschwerter Zugang zu Tabakwaren machen diesen für unsere Kinder interessanter und Schmugglern erleichtert es das schmugeln man denke an das Alkohol verbot in der USA

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
No Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Christian Schiller

**email**  
fl0wkrates@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
26

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No government or institution should impose a adult citizen in health care issues.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
...

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
...

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

## **which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Mira Mind

##### **email**

mind@gmx.de

##### **country**

Germany

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

#### **regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralph-Peter Kuhn

**email**

Ralph-peter\_kuhn@bat.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

snus helps to reduce smoking cigarettes

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

legal products should be seen by all consumers

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Trummer Ingeborg

**email**

gaux@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cliff Olesch

**email**

colesch@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

i had a good experience with snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

white packs are easier to copy

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

cigarettes are legal products and should be seen by any consumer

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

klaus-juergen sachse

**email**

klaus-juergen.sachse@tankstelle.de

**country**

germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Sawitzky

**email**

brigittebems@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
i want to be able to buy snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

the rules that we have right now are good enough

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the rules we have are okay the way they are

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

i want to see all brands and choose mine

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer Vinzentz

**email**

rainer\_vinzentz@bat.vom

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

i want to switch from cigarettes to snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

white packs can be copied easier

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

as a smoker i want to see the whole sortment and decide which brand to choose

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Koop

**email**

koop@koopmunkation.de

**country**

germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

a change of the directive would dramatically reduce my consumer choice of a legal product.  
the current directive is clearly sufficient enough!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Reiner

**email**

starsend@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban

**which one2**



Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Gross

**email**

alex@lochsberg.net

**country**

Federal Republic of Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Wörlein Karlheinz

**email**  
gkwoerlein@yahoo.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
66

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Manfred Bolle

**email**  
manfred@bolle77.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
53

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Entmündigung der Bürger

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**  
kemper

**email**  
kemper.hattingen@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
56

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
no comment

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thiedemann

**email**

masterdth@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Wagner

**email**

Michael\_Wagner@MWConsult.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Damocless

**email**

Timo.Hanisch@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michał Piotrowski

**email**

piotrowski77@interia.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roland Leuter

**email**

roland.leuter@unitybox.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Sklomeit

**email**

Frank@sklomeit.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gab solange keine Probleme mit dem rauchen, bis die Idioten von der EU 90% der gehirnmasse wegoperiert bekamen. Sie wollen doch nur eine Existenzberechtigung haben - sie sind völlig überflüssig - lassen die uns Bürger doch endlich mal in Ruhe selber entscheiden was wir wollen - inwieweit wollen sie uns weiter bevormunden? Wir sind mündige Bürger und können selber entscheiden!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

JA, bitte schafft die EU-Idioten ab! Sie handeln zudem gegen das Grundgesetz der Deutschen und das sieht gleichbehandlung vor. Diese ist nicht mehr gegeben. Mag das Bundesverfassungsgericht entscheiden - denn, sie bedrohen die Existenz von Millionen von Geschäftsleuten, nehmen vielen Mitbürgern jeglichen Spaß am leben - aber sie können dieses hier ja garnicht lesen da sie zuwenig aktive Gehirnzellen haben!

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Da gibt es keine Probleme

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Da gibt es kein Problem, das einzige Problem das es gibt ist, daß diese Formulare irregulär sind da nicht in deutsch abgefaßt - sie grenzen leute aus die kein englisch verstehen!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

da gibt es keine probleme - die Probleme sind eu hausgemacht!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Probleme sehen nur die EU Idioten

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

was soll der Quatsch der EU?

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Tibor Mosch

#### **email**

tibor\_mosch@yahoo.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Guld

**email**

Robert.guld@online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine erweiterung ist nicht notwendig

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Timm Stetten

**email**

timm@tistside.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Renate Dienersberger

**email**

Dienersberger@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There are already too many regulations concerning peoples' free decisions how they want to live - no reason to even think about more stupid installations of dictatory.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

The answer "No change" I've chosen only because it's the less stupid of these two possibilities. The right answer would be: No Directive at all! But you didn't give us this choice.

**additional comments**

Yes: Who pays all the millions of Euros to finance this war against smokers? And for what real effort?

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

See first site

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

See first site

**additional comments**

see first site

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

See first site

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No prohibition at all. We are adults. This can be the only message on the "problem" which is not at all one.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

People are not as stupid as you'd like them to be - at least not all people.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

See third site

**additional comments**

See third site

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No regulation. Who do you think you are to "regulate" free customers?

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Looks like you want to be a teacher for a big kindergarten - who are you to think that it's on your hand to give permission?

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

See first site

**additional comments**

Just think over what - and for which clients - you are working for!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schley, Christian

**email**

nutssquirrel@web.de



**country**  
Germany

**age**  
27

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ronaldo Picard

**email**

ronmind@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lucas von Gwinner

**email**

me@lvwinner.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ralf Miold

**email**  
heraldestate@hotmail.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es handelt sich um eine unzumutbare Einmischung in die persönlichen Freiheiten des mündigen Bürgers

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

keine weiteren Angaben

**additional comments**

keine weiteren Angaben

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Keine weiteren Angaben

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

keine Angaben

**additional comments**

keine Angaben

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Angaben

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Keine Angabe

**additional comments**

Keine Angabe

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Angabe

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

keine Angabe

**additional comments**

keine Angabe

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Angabe

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

keine Angabe

**additional comments**

keine Angabe

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Angabe

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

keine Angabe

**additional comments**

keine Angabe

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Schöne

#### **email**

schoenecarola@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

26

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sebastian Reiter

**email**

sebastianreiter@rocketmail.com

**country**

Austria

**age**

21

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Oppalach

**email**

J.Oppalach

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentat

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FrankPosenau

**email**

frank-aposenau@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hannelore Funke

**email**

renee2212@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Sitku Nóra

**email**  
sitkunora@freemail.hu

**country**  
Magyar

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
Ellentmondásos a tervezet.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**  
A snüsszel kapcsolatos svéd példa alapján a füst mentes dohánytermékeket tiltás helyett inkább reklámozni kellene. Tagállami hatás körben érdemes hagyni.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Az egészség csomagolás bevezetés a feketekereskedelem növekedéséhez vezet.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Tagállami szinten a kérdéskör megoldott.

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

Nem átgondolt tiltó szabályozás dohánytermelők munkahelyeit veszélyezteti. Magyarországon 10000 ember megélhetését ellehetetlenítheti.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Túlzott szabályozás a feketekereskedelmet növeli, a termelői érdekeket sérti.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sitkuné Sónyák Ildikó

**email**

sitkuneildiko@gmail.com

**country**

Magyar

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ellentmondásos a tervezet.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

A snüsszel kapcsolatos svéd példa alapján a füst mentes dohánytermékeket tiltás helyett inkább reklámozni kellene. Tagállami hatás körben érdemes hagyni.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Az egység csomagolás bevezetés a feketekereskedelem növekedéséhez vezet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tagállami szinten a kérdéskör megoldott.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nem átgondolt tiltó szabályozás dohánytermelők munkahelyeit veszélyezteti.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Túlzott szabályozás a feketekereskedelmet növeli, a termelői érdekeket sérti.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Silvia Abolis

**email**

silvia.abolis @ cnh.at

**country**

Österreich

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sitku Ákos

**email**

sitkuakos@gmail.com

**country**

Magyar

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ellent mondásos a tervezet.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Tagállami hatás körben kell hagyni a snüsszel kapcsolatos kérdéskört.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Az egység csomagolás a feketekereskedelmet növeli.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tagállami szinten a kérdés kör megoldott.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A tiltó jellegű szabályozásnak megfelelő tudományos alapokon kell nyugodnia. Magyarországon 10000 ember megélhetését veszélyezteti.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A túlzott szabályozás a feketekereskedelmet növeli, a termelői érdekeket hátrányosan befolyásolja.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carsten Lührs

**email**

carsten424@aol.com

**country**

germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dierk Holle

**email**

dh@mixmod.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Wejda

**email**

thomas.wejda@michelangelo.ag

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die diktatorischen Eingriffe in das Leben des Menschen im Zeichen des EU (WHO)  
Gesundheitseahnes muß ein Ende haben !!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Keine Option, da es kein Problem gibt !

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es macht keinen Sinn völlig überzogene Greuelbolder darzustellen. Bei Warnhinweise zur Spielsucht ist ja auch kein Selbstmörder auf dem Lottoschein.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sitku András

**email**

sitkuandras@gmail.com

**country**

Magyar

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ellentmondásos a tervezet, mert már a hatáskör definiálásakor tiltásokat fogalmaz meg bizonyos termékkörre anélkül, hogy erre vonatkozó részletekkel szolgálna - legyen szó akár a bevezetőről, akár a konzultációs anyag egyéb fejezeteiről.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Ennek a kérdéskörnek a szabályozását tagállami hatáskörben lenne érdemes meghagyni. A füst mentes dohány termékeket (snüss) tiltás helyett inkább reklámozni kellene.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Véleményem szerint az egészségvédő feliratok méretének eltúlzása nincs arányban az elérni kívánt céllal. Az egyen csomagolás bevezetése egyértelműen utat nyit a feketekereskedelem növekedésének.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tagállami szinten a kérdés kör megoldott, és a tagállamok szuverenitásának fenntartása szükséges.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

a tiltó jellegű szabályozásnak megfelelő tudományos alapokon kell nyugodnia, nem pedig szubjektív értékelésen. Az FCTC 9-10 cikkelyeinek vitái alapján is látszik, hogy egy nem átgondolt tiltó szabályozás dohánytermelők munkahelyeit veszélyezteti így Magyarországon 10000 ember megélhetését veszélyezteti.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A túlzott szabályozás a feketekereskedelmet növeli és így a legális értékesítés csökkenésén keresztül a termelői érdekeket hátrányosan befolyásolja.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tim Neumann

**email**

tomshally17@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Werner HUBER

**email**

ladakh2000@gmx.net

**country**

Austria

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Derzeitige Regelungen ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Walter Burger

**email**

wa.bu@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

70

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**



No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Felix Weber

**email**

felix.weber@lixam.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

20

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

ban smoking completely!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

korsar

**email**

korsa77@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comments

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Bragagna

**email**

bragagna@web.de

**country**

AUSTRIA

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Horst laue

**email**

laue-bremen@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

72

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die jetzige Situation ist für den Verbraucher schon schlimm. Der mündige Bürger wird entmachtet.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCHAAL AUGUST

**email**

firmaschaal@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Hanno KLOPF

**email**

luftfahrer2000@yahoo.de

**country**

Austria

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bär Rainer

**email**

rbb-rainer-baer@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Irene Burghart

**email**

irene.burghart@tabak-burghart.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
50

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Heiler

**email**

info@jjheiler.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Nina Mangold

##### **email**

nina\_m257@yahoo.de

##### **country**

Germany

##### **age**

25

##### **gender**

female

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

No Comment

##### **which option**

No change

##### **additional comments**

Rauchen wurde sowieso schon an allen öffentlichen Orten, Clubs, Cafes, Bars, Restaurants usw. verboten, im Prinzip darf ich nur noch Zuhause rauchen oder vor der Tür. Ich finde, damit wurde bereits genug in die Freiheit der Menschen eingegriffen. Ich kann in keinsten Weise nachvollziehen, warum jetzt auch noch ein Einheitsbrei in Sachen Geschmack, Verpackung usw. gekocht werden soll. Gegen die Bilder auf den Schächten, wie z. B. in der Schweiz ist meiner Meinung nach nichts einzuwenden. Warum aber alle Zigarettenautomaten abgeschafft werden sollen, ist unklar. Da man sich ja sowieso mit dem Ausweis/EC-Karte am Automaten identifizieren muss, dass man volljährig ist.

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No



**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Schokolade macht dick. Entwirft man deshalb ein Gesetz, das den Herstellern vorschreibt, dass alle die gleiche Verpackung nehmen? Nein. Weil wir in einem Land leben, in dem Werbefreiheit gilt. Und aus diesem Grund, ist es nicht in Ordnung, Tabakkonzernen, die Verpackung vor zu schreiben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Inakzeptabel

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hassulas Konstantinos

**email**

ritsa84@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ed Olittle

**email**

Edolittle@gmail.com

**country**

Belgium

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

I don't know why you want to ban all forms of oral tobacco, which is less harmful than cigarettes.

**additional comments**

You should have rules on e-cigs and other stuff, but don't just ban stuff that is less harmful.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Snus is not harmful - or not as harmful as cigs. You seem to say it is very harmful and that's not true.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Less harmful alternatives to cigs should be available to everyone and not just some people - like Swedes. You need to let all alternative products to be available for sales.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Some of the stuff you want to get rid of =- like numbers - give info. And other things don't give info. The way you put the question is just wrong. And how would it help to have nothing on the pack - how can I make a choice then? There are differences between different cigarettes and I need to find this out somehow - like from information on the pack. Even if you take this away from me, I will not stop smoking - I will just keep trying different "generic" packs until I find one I like. This is just a stupid idea.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Everybody knows the tobacco is what is harmful - who cares about the ingredients they add? The tobacco will kill you anyway.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Again, it's the tobacco that is bad for you. I don't get how adding other ingredients can make it worse. Some cigs have no ingredients and they taste awful - British cigarettes. But are they better for you? No.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't actually see any problem here. There are already huge restrictions - you know where you can buy cigs and you go there - you don't go there to look at displays but to buy what you already smoke.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Weinhold, Knut

**email**

KaWeiSoft@aol.com

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
52

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar.

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Mit der Regulierungswut der EU Regierungen muß endlich schluss sein. Wir sind alt genug selber zu entscheiden was wir tun.

**additional comments**  
Mit der Regulierungswut der EU Regierungen muß endlich schluss sein. Wir sind alt genug selber zu entscheiden was wir tun. Dies empfinde ich als einen Eingriff in meine Persönliche Freiheit.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markert Michael

**email**

markert-m@gmx.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No



**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Kalbreyer, Heiko

**email**  
Heikokaly@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**

Nachgewiesener weise beeinflussen Warnbilder auf den Packungen weder in positiver noch negativer Art das Kauf- und Rauchverhalten des Konsumenten.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans-Georg Feist

**email**

h.g.feist@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

60

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Keine Änderung

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Keine Änderung

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Keine Änderung

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katrin Wohlfahrt

**email**

katrin.wohlfahrt@online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sarah Troisch

**email**

s.troisch@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die bisherige Regelung reicht vollkommend aus

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Das Nichtrauchergesetz reicht doch schon aus, man soll es nicht übertreiben

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das Nichtrauchergesetz ist vollkommen ausreichend wieso muss man jetzt noch einheitliche schachteln machen??? Es reicht schon das die Raucher nicht mehr überall rauchen dürfen, man sollte es nicht übertreiben

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

das ist doch genau des was die Zigarette ausmacht

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Einheitliche Zigaretten des geht doch gar nicht das ist doch genau des was die Zigarette ausmacht

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es sollte jedem frei sein wieviele Zusatzstoffe in die Zigarette rein kommt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional comments**

Die Kontrolle vom Alter sollte wie gehabt überprüft werden, und auch dass man nur als Erwachsener an den Automaten Zigaretten kaufen kann

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ali Ekber Erdogan

**email**

erdogan-total@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claus Andréa-Röhmholdt

**email**

car@berlin.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die Maßnahmen sind ungeeignet, um das Ziel zu erreichen.

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Die Regelungskompetenz der EU geht bereits viel zu weit, die überstaatliche Einmischung in Grundrechte der Bürger sollte beschnitten statt ausgedehnt werden!

**additional comments**  
Grundsätzlich besteht in dem Feld kein Handlungsbedarf. Das Recht auf freie Entfaltung der Persönlichkeit umfasst auch das Recht auf Selbstschädigung und unvernünftiges Verhalten. Das kann auch nicht deswegen eingeschränkt werden, weil die Kosten von der Allgemeinheit zu tragen sind - das sind sie bei z.B. Sportverletzungen (in Deutschland Kosten von ca. 5 Mrd./Jahr) auch und trotzdem gilt Sport als gesund und niemand käme auf die Idee, ihn zu verbieten.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Von den Produkten geht keine Gefahr aus.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Welches Problem???

**additional comments**  
Wie auf der vorigen Seite: Die EU sollte nur das regeln, was wirklich nötig ist und dabei möglichst wenig in die Grundrechte der Bürger eingreifen.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Problem, kein Regelungsbedarf. Außerdem halte ich die Maßnahme für geschmacklos und wirkungslos.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Es gibt kein Problem.

**additional comments**

Wie auf der vorigen Seite: Die EU sollte nur das regeln, was wirklich nötig ist und dabei möglichst wenig in die Grundrechte der Bürger eingreifen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Keine weiteren Maßnahmen nötig.

**additional comments**

Wie auf der vorigen Seite: Die EU sollte nur das regeln, was wirklich nötig ist und dabei möglichst wenig in die Grundrechte der Bürger eingreifen.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar.

**additional comments**

Wie auf der vorigen Seite: Die EU sollte nur das regeln, was wirklich nötig ist und dabei möglichst wenig in die Grundrechte der Bürger eingreifen.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es besteht kein Handlungsbedarf. Zigaretten sind legale Produkte, eine Zugangsbeschränkung ist nur lästig für den Verbraucher, aber letztlich wirkungslos.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar.

**additional comments**

Wie auf der vorigen Seite: Die EU sollte nur das regeln, was wirklich nötig ist und dabei möglichst wenig in die Grundrechte der Bürger eingreifen.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rittinger, Jan-Philipp

**email**

phil\_ritt@yahoo.co.uk

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ts wrong to place citizens under disability. Adults are self responsible for the own health. It the own decicion. Im tired that the governments want control more and more parts of our own private live!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Would be better to Inform the Citizens about the risks. With Information on TV, Spots. Make sure that childs learn about drug risks (not only tobbaoco) in kindergardens and school. Prohibitions are not the right way.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rittinger, Jan-Philipp

**email**

phil\_ritt@yahoo.co.uk

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ts wrong to place citizens under disability. Adults are self responsible for the own health. It the own decicion. Im tired that the governments want control more and more parts of our own private live!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Would be better to Inform the Citizens about the risks. With Information on TV, Spots. Make sure that childs learn about drug risks (not only tobbaeco) in kindergardens and school. Prohibitions are not the right way.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andree Pankratz

**email**

doesi@arcor.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
28

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Deutschland kümmert sich schon sehr gut um Raucher/nicht Raucherschutz

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Deutschland kümmert sich schon sehr gut um Raucher/nicht Raucher schutz

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Deutschland kümmert sich schon sehr gut um Raucher/nicht Raucher schutz

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Deutschland kümmert sich schon sehr gut um Raucher/nicht Raucher schutz

**which option**  
No change



**additional options**

**additional comments**

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Deutschland kümmert sich schon sehr gut um Raucher/nicht Raucher schutz

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Deutschland kümmert sich schon sehr gut um Raucher/nicht Raucher schutz

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erhard Ruthner

**email**

office@destille.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Wulf-Henrik von Krosigk

**email**

wulf-henrik@vonkrosigk.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations****which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Dave

**email**  
nexus@hotmail.com

**country**  
France

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
'E'U Dictation is bullshit

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
see above

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
bullshit

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU will not be able to set up a new UDSSR with the pharma lobby

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

We don't need it.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andre Ficker

**email**

skater72@arcor.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
No. Let the people be free. We, as citizens, do NOT want another socialist, marxist, communist, nationalsocialist or other form of dictatorship in Europe. And the EU ist on its way to cut away our freedom and to become yet another bureaucratic monster dictatorship. It is nothing about health and health costs, ist just about having something to do for those thousands of public workers who are overpaid and not useful for any kind of real work.

**additional comments**  
no

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
how shall I when it is not possible for native english speakers to find out what you want? It is unbelievable that hundreds of millions of people in the EU are protected from taking part in policy making by using just one language and there specific vocabulary on the problems field.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

which definition? There is no definition on this singular webpage.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

this is the only point of sense so far.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Josef Graßl

**email**

josef.grassl2@tankstelle.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen



**name**

Hans-Joachim Wibbing

**email**

hajowib@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die Raucher dürfen nicht kriminalisiert werden.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Umsetzen der Beamten für die Überführung von echten Kriminellen (große Steuerhinterzieher und Drogenhandel)

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

der Bürger ist für sich selbst verantwortlich.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

jeder kann rauchen was er möchte

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keinkommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mario Dötsch

**email**

big1860@t-online.de

**country**

germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

its ok

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

its ok

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

its ok

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

its ok

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

its ok

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer Göhner

**email**

ar.goehner@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine größeren Warnhinweise und keine Bildwarnhinweise oder Plain Packaging für Zigarren erforderlich. Zigarrenraucher sind Genießer, die in der Regel nicht inhalierten und nur gelegentlich rauchen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU-Mitgliedsstaaten müssen sich auf Gebühren und Sanktionen einrichten.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Schwerpunkt bei Ingrediententest soll darauf gelegt werden, ob die Iгредиerten zu Toxizität im Tabakrauch führen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Display Ban geht doch nur zu Lasten der kleinen Marken ab Markt. Die etablierten, grossen Marken würden davon profitieren.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Schwerin

**email**

schwerin@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Das Problem ist der Tabak aus Osteuropa , dies einschränken , besser verhindern.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Hat keinen Einfluss auf den Verbraucher

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andy Schiemann

**email**

Andy\_Schiemann@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Hans O. Weiss

**email**  
ho@white-star.de

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comments

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comments

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comments

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comments

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Kühnemund

**email**

fakuehnemund@fatality.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

das ist keine Demokratie mehr - sondern eine Diktatur. Ich werde nur noch in meiner persönlichen Freiheit beschnitten. Kümmert Euch lieber um den Jugendalkolismus und der Zunahme des Drogenkonsums.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich bin dafür, das Tabakwaren nur noch in ausgewählten Geschäften erhältlich sind. Keine Zigarettenautomaten und weg aus dem Supermarkt in der Kassenzone (Tankstellen natürlich auch).

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Das schlimmste in unsere Umwelt, sind nicht die Raucher, sondern die Microbenzole aus den Dieselfahrzeugen. Also weg mit diesen STINKERN - die zerfressen unseren Körper.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

FREIHEIT DEM RAUCHGENUSS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

FREIHEIT FÜR RAUCHER

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

FREIHEIT FÜR RAUCHER

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

FREIHEIT FÜR RAUCHER

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Doris Weiss

**email**

doris.weiss@phoelia.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no comments

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no explanations

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no explanations

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no explanations

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no explanations

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Roland Schäfer

#### **email**

schaefer.stahnsdorf@arcor.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

46

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Ein freier und vielfältiger Markt für freie und selbstbestimmte Bürger sollte unsere Ziel sein.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Ich will keine Einheitsverpackungen. Diese vorgeschlagene Regelung ist in meinen Augen der Vorbote der Gleichmacherei auch für andere evtl. gesundheitsgefährdende Produkte oder aller der EU nicht genehmen Produkte und gleicht einer Beformung. Die EU-Kommissare sollten ihre Kraft den wirklich wichtigen Dingen widmen, nämlich Armutsbekämpfung, Klimaschutz, soziale Gerechtigkeit, Terrorabwehr usw. usw.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die bestehenden Regelungen für den Verkauf von Tabakprodukten sind gut genug. Wenn der Verkauf sich daran hält, dann gibt es keinen Grund für eine Verschärfung.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

peter drichel

**email**

pdrichel@web.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Hannelore Mielke

#### **email**

hannelore\_mielke@bat.com

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

57

#### **gender**

female

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Caroline Beer

**email**

caroline.beert-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die bestehende Tabakrichtlinie ist mehr als ausreichend. Wir Bürger können eigenverantwortlich handeln und brauchen nicht noch mehr Gängelbänder!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Über die Risiken des Tabakkonsums ist jeder Bürger ausreichend aufgeklärt und kann für sich selbst entscheiden ob er Snus konsumieren will

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir Bürger sind nicht blöd. Es wird geraucht mit oder ohne Warnhinweise u.s.w. Es ist auch vollkommen egal, ob die Packung rot, grün oder weiss ist

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die geltende richtlinie ist mehr als ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es sollte den mitgliedsstaaten weiterhin freistehrn,die zusatzstoffe zu regulieren

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wer rauchen will,der raucht.Meiner Meinung nach wird die Raucherei durch die ganzen Verbote für Jugendliche nur noch interessanter

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl Grapentin

**email**

kgrapentin@versanet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Guido Trauscheidt

**email**

trauscheidt@web.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dannel, Veronika

**email**

dannel.erhardt@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
62

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No



**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Andreas Zieris

**email**  
posstmaan@yahoo.com

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
32

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thorsten Jahn

**email**

jumbo@gmx.li

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
41

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It's dictatorship

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
It's dictatorship

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It's dictatorship

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It's dictatorship

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It's dictatorship

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It's dictatorship

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Pletz

**email**

Architekt.Pletz@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Da ist ein erhebliche Eingriff in meine freiheitlichen Bürgerrechte.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich will farbige zigarrenkisten

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es geht euch einen dreck an was ich rauche

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich betrachte das zigarre rauchen als eines meiner bürgerrechte

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich will dass ihr xxxxxx mich nicht bevormundet

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mike Gavric

**email**

mike.gavric@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Birgit Lueneberg

**email**

birgitslaedle@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No



**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Laubsch

**email**

station.chemnitz.helbersdorferstrasse@omv.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jörg Nitsch

**email**

js.nitsch@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Attila Erkal

**email**

aterockz@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't want to be treated like a criminal just because I smoke. I feel punished and restricted in my freedom to choose what I want.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I think pictures will not change anything. Information about smoking is dangerous is well known.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Maurer

**email**

0490@tamoil.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Goßweiler

**email**

thogo2002@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

30



**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schulz Stephan

**email**

sms04@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

to sell Tabak only in skops with a zertification (same as in Italy or France)

**additional comments**

to sell Tabak only in skops with a zertification (same as in Italy or France)

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

to sell Tabak only in skops with a zertification (same as in Italy or France)

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

to sell Tabak only in skops with a zertification (same as in Italy or France)

**additional comments**

to sell Tabak only in skops with a zertification (same as in Italy or France)

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

to sell Tabak only in skops with a zertification (same as in Italy or France)

**additional comments**

to sell Tabak only in skops with a zertification (same as in Italy or France)

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

to sell Tabak only in skops with a zertification (same as in Italy or France)

**additional comments**

to sell Tabak only in skops with a zertification (same as in Italy or France)

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

to sell Tabak only in skops with a zertification (same as in Italy or France)

**additional comments**

to sell Tabak only in skops with a zertification (same as in Italy or France)

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michelle Wurziger

**email**

mwrox@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul Heinen

**email**

paul.heinen@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The principles of subsidiarity is not being sufficiently recognised. Any regulation must be based on a.) competency for such regulation - which in this case is doubtful and b.) scientific evidence that regulation is beneficial (in this case to public health), which in many cases is doubtful as well.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Consumption of smokeless tobacco products by adults does not have any potential to be harmful to the health of others. EU commission does not present scientific evidence to support benefit of ban on smoke free tobacco products.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no proof that health warnings work the better, the bigger they are. There is no additional information contained in pictorials. Awareness of possible negative health impact of smoking among citizens 100% under present conditions.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

If national authorities had difficulties in analysing, registering and comparing data, it would be their initiative. Since it is not, one must assume that national authorities judge the problem to be under control. Hence the matter should remain in national authorities' hand - principle of subsidiarity.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Problem definition is not based on scientific evidence. 'Attractiveness' is a very subjective criterion and not a scientifically objective.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cross-border sales possibly undermine national regulation initiatives. Some member states have banned internet sales of tobacco products, others have different regulations. Point on vending machines is obsolete: commission stated in Nov 2009 that there is no need for action (report on eu concil recommendation 2003/54/EC).

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rolf Blum

**email**

rolf@der-kfz-pfandleiher.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO comment



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dersch Michael

**email**

info@allguth-dersch.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
walter haar

**email**  
waldihaar@yahoo.de

**country**  
germany

**age**  
36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

elsser, andreas

**email**

elsser.andreas@hotmail.com

**country**

germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Kürner

**email**

dirk.kuerner@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Option 2

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Option 2

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Torsten Dix

**email**

dixx1@yahoo.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

burocracy bullshit

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

burocracy bullshit!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hüseyin Yildirim

**email**

cafeblu@hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

age  
37

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reinhard Heinrich

**email**

reinhard13@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the planned changes will not limit the consumption

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

each manufacturer lives on his brand design

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Weingärtner

**email**

moontrap@kdf-kaefer.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir sind freie Bürger und wollen frei entscheiden was wir kaufen Wir brauchen keine Bevormundung durch das EU-Parlament

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

NO

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir brauchen keine zusätzliche regelung, es ist bereits alles geregelt.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Die EU soll sich doch bitte um wichtigere Probleme in Europa kümmern.

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderungen des aktuellen gesetzes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Pelleter

**email**

Martin@Pelleter.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
48

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
Es ist eine Frechheit diese Formulare nur in englisch bereit zu stellen. Noch besteht Europa aus einzelnen Ländern und nicht jeder ist des englischen mächtig. Da Politiker für die Menschen ihrer Länder da sind und nicht umgekehrt sollte es wohl möglich sein die Formulare in der jeweiligen Muttersprache vor zuhalten.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**

von meinen Kindern weiß ich, dass es mittlerweile schon einen Sammlermarkt für die tollsten Bilder auf Zigarettenpackungen gibt. Kein Mensch lässt sich durch Bilder oder weiße Schachteln vom Rauchen abhalten.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Noch können die Menschen für sich selbst entscheiden, und das ist auch gut so und sollte auch in Zukunft so bleiben. Niemand braucht Brüssler Oberlehrer die meinen jedem erklären zu müssen wie das Leben funktioniert und dabei noch ganz ungeniert Tabaksteuern in Milliardenhöhe kassieren.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar



**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lissa Susanne

**email**

susanne.li@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir sind mündige Bürger!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sabrina Kelechian

**email**

brinaki@freenet.de

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S. Tsibis

**email**

stamatia.t@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Meyer

**email**

info@iwm-foto.de

**country**

Biedenkopf

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Martini

**email**

michael.martini@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kern

**email**

The.Doctor.46@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be



replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Oldorf

**email**

poldorf@unitybox.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

57

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es ist nicht in Ordnung, die Vielfalt der Tabakprodukte in der Werbung, bzw. Verpackungsdarstellung, hier insbesondere auch der Zigarren und des Pfeifentabaks, mittels einer Einheitsverpackung zu beschneiden, bzw. einzuschränken. Ich halte es für ein Stück Ausdruckskultur, welches völlig unnötig per Gesetz beschnitten werden soll. Hier ist jeder Verbraucher selbst in der Lage zu beurteilen. Ich wehre mich entschieden gegen eine Bevormundung durch die Behörden. Im Zweifelsfalle wird es alternative Wege geben das zu umgehen!

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
i don't see the problem!!

**additional comments**  
Dieses Verordnung darf nicht kommen!

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Keine Beschneidung der Freiheit der Bürger!

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
nein

**additional comments**  
nein

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich brauche keine Belehrungen, ich informiere mich täglich mit Zeitungen und Internet. Außerdem bin ich Arzt und verstehe selbst etwas davon!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Rauchen ist gesundheitsschädlich, darüber kann informiert werden. Aber nicht in reißerischer Aufmachung mit Krebsbildern etc.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

nein

**additional comments**

Ich finde es ganz schlecht, daß ich als Deutscher hier "englische Fragen" gestellt bekomme. Was für ein Bürgerservice der EU. So lassen sich keine Sympathien für die EU erreichen!

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nein

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nein

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alzer Andreas

**email**

skyschuels@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Blödsinn

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Kramm

**email**

werbung-sam@bannispost.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es darf keine Einheitspackungen oder Präsentationsverbote geben. Der Bürger muss frei entscheiden können, ob er raucht oder nicht. Für viele gehört auch die Marke mit seinem Packungslayout zu einer grundlegenden Entscheidung. Das Rauchen sehr gesundheitsschädlich ist wissen auch die Raucher. Sie zahlen dafür einen hohen Preis bei den Zigaretten und auch bei den Krankenkassen. Mit der Tabaksteuer tragen Sie sehr für das Allgemeinwohl bei.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Eine Ausweitung der bestehenden Richtlinien darf nicht stattfinden.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Es gibt bestimmte Erzeugnisse, die es nicht geben muss. Hier könnte man sicherlich Produkte wie snus verbieten. Auch andere Tabak- und Rauchwaren sollten auf die gesundheitlichen Probleme des Rauchens hinweisen. Hier wäre eine Ausweitung der Richtlinie angebracht. Grundsätzlich sollten die Inhaltsstoffe eines Produktes ausschlaggebend sein für seine Beurteilung.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Informationen auf der Verpackung sollten alle Inhaltsstoffe sowie Herkunft des Tabaks enthalten. Der Warnhinweis "Rauchen gefährdet Ihre Gesundheit" sollte wegfallen. Die Raucher wissen selbst, das Rauchen gesundheitsschädlich ist. Abstoßende Bilder darf es nicht geben.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Verstärkt sollte man über die Gefahren des Rauchens in den Schulen berichten. Vorsorge ist immer noch am Besten. Auch Informationsabende für Eltern gehören dazu.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Die Informationen dürfen allerdings nicht einen großen Teil der Packung einnehmen.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Zugang zu Tabakwaren ist heute schon sehr schwer. Eine weitere Erschwerung ist absolut unnötig. In einem Fachgeschäft möchte ich ein Produkt auswählen dürfen. Hierzu muss ich es auch sehen. Ein verstecken der Ware ist totaler Quatsch.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rasch, Bernard

**email**

bernard.rasch@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
53

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
im not a Baby

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

zé do rock

**email**

ze@zedorock.net

**country**

germany

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

There is as much a problem with smoking as there was with jews, communists and moslems.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

There is as much a problem with smoking/tobacco as there has been with jews in the past and with moslems in the present. A certain minority doesn't like another minority, and with the help of a certain industry (in this case the pharma industry) convinces the majority that there is a big problem with that minority, and the more that minority is persecuted, the more the majority thinks that minority is a problem.

**additional comments**

Stop trying to transform 1/4 of the population in criminals. If you want to get popular among the majority, keep doing what you're doing. If you want to fight for more health in the EU, fight cars and planes.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Active smoking is a personal option. It is good for the nerves but bad for the lungs. Chili is good agens "bad" bacteria in the boddy but bad becaus it destroys own cells too. Same for milk, sugar - you name it. Concerning passiv smoking: thare is no duality helthy-unhelthy, thare is only a duality 'very unhelthy vs little unhelthy'. Compare the relativ risk for passiv smoking and sitting (for colorectal cancer), for milk (lung cancer), and eeven with activ smoking and you'l see: the risk for passiv smoking is hardly statisticly significant, thus cant be considerd 'very unhelthy'. And the association of a consumption behavior with a certan deseese doesnt meen the loss of a single our of life expectancy - wy hasnt thare been a single studdy about the loss of life expectancy for passiv smoking? I'm quite sure: either such a studdy was made and nothing statisticly significant came out, so they hid the studdy, or they'v never done such a studdy becaus that is wat they feerd. If a person travels from 'Europe to Thailand, she's alone responsible for the transformation of 500 liters of kerosene in air poison. Only an obsesd antismoker would say that the 5 or 10 cigarettes smoked by a smoker in that flite (in the times ware smoking was stil alowd in flites) is worse than the burning of 500 liters of kerosene. Wy isnt the EU fitting areplanes? And cars? Just becaus it wouldnt be poppular as fitting smokers? I'v talkd to quite a few doctors so far. They either hav no idea of studdys about passiv smoking (except for saying "everybody knows it!") or thay give you links to studies that prove some unhelthiness of passive smoking. If you give relative risk numbers for other products and show them how small the numbers for passive smoking is, no answer comes except maybe "rubbish!".

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roland Weiss

**email**

roland-weiss@chello.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin gegen eine Änderung der bestehenden Gesetze vor allem wenn die Rechte der Raucher noch weiter eingeschränkt werden und so unsinnige Sachen wie generische Verpackungen gefordert werden, allerdings bin ich für eine Ausweitung der Kennzeichnungspflicht d.h. auch für Kräuterzigaretten und für nikotinhaltige Getränke.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Die EU sollte weniger verbieten und mehr informieren. Es sollte der Druck auf die Tabakindustrie erhöht werden damit sie ihrer Aufklärungspflicht nachkommen, ABER es kann doch nicht sein, dass die EU ihren Bürgern alle Rechte entzieht! Ich bin gegen eine Bevormundung!!!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Für alle Länder sollten die selben Gesetze gelten, ohne Ausnahmen!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Informieren nicht verbieten ist die Lösung

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Sadrozinski

**email**

franksadrozinski@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christoph Bellwinkel

**email**

christoph@bellwinkel.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine weitere Einschränkung des Genusses von Tabakerzeugnissen jeder Art verstärkt die bereits bestehende Diskriminierung der Tabakkonsumenten in der EU.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine weiter Verschärfung der Warnhinweise auf Verpackungen von Tabakerzeugnissen wird ebenso wirkungslos bleiben, wie die derzeit aufgedruckten. Diese dienen eher der Belustigung als einer Warnung vor dem Rauchen. "Hilfe ich habe Spermatozoen und weiß nicht was das ist".

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tabakprodukte dürfen bereits jetzt nur von Volljährigen erworben werden. Eine weitere Verschärfung der Verkaufsvorschriften verschärft die bereits bestehende Diskriminierung von Tabakkonsumenten in der EU.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Regina Stabbert

**email**

Regina.Stabbert@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolf Oliver Fritz

**email**

wolf.oliver.fritz@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zerfowski

**email**

Peter.Zerfowski@email.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

klaus wicher

**email**

cuxhaven@score-tankstellen.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Maßnahmen werden nicht den gewünschten Erfolg erzielen

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Bessere Aufklärung jugendlicher. Mehr Kontrollen zwecks Einhaltung des Jugendschutzgesetzes

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Die Maßnahmen werden nicht den gewünschten Erfolg haben, Sie werden nur Arbeitssituationen verschlechtern ggf. Arbeitsplätze und Existenzen kosten

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Horst Lauber

**email**

horst.lauber@googlemail.com

**country**  
Germany

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Axel Ketterer

**email**

axel.ketterer@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Dominik Treiber

#### **email**

dominik-treiber@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Es darf nicht alles reglementiert werden

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Die EU soll sich nicht in das Privatleben der Menschen einmischen

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Es dürfen nur Erwachsene rauchen und die sollten mündig sein. Mündige Bürger sollen nicht bevormundet werden.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional option**

Es gibt Gefährlicheres wie Rauchen!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es muss nicht alles reguliert sein

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es muss nicht alles reguliert sein

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ahmet Erol

**email**

amed-89@hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
21

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
es ist schwachsinn einheitsschachteln herzustellen

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vida

**email**

19312@one.lt

**country**

Lithuania

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Christen

**email**

Michael.Christen@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- distortion of competition - other

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

More education at school

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Toni Übler

**email**

toniue@googlemail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Bianca Schmidt

**email**  
B.Sdt@gmx.net

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
18

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Bader

#### **email**

bader-rohm@arcor.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **gender**

female

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Tausende Leute würden ihren Arbeitsplatz verlieren, wenn die Tabakindustrie dermassen eingeschränkt wird! Und der Staat würde zusätzlich dabei auch verlieren.....

### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Weil das die ganze Tabakindustrie betrifft und der Staat auch was verliert!

### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Wie schon zuvor erwähnt, werden einige Einbussen eintreten - Tabakindustrie am Abgrund!

### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
wie bereits erwähnt.....

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
wie bereits erwähnt.....

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**  
Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Martin Briese

**email**  
mb11@online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich sehe keine Problematik. Die Produkte sind legal und werden von Erwachsenen konsumiert. Dieser Konsum ist freiwillig und jeder hat die Freiheit sich über die Dinge, die er konsumiert zu informieren.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Es müssen Informationen zu einem Produkt verfügbar sein, damit jeder in der Lage ist, sich dafür oder dagegen zu entscheiden. Aufklärung hlate ich für wichtig - keine Verbote.

**additional comments**

Aufklärung statt Bevormundung

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Über ein solches Produkt muß die Bevölkerung aufgeklärt werden. Ein Verbot führt zu keiner Lösung. Ich bin für eine Freigabe, wie auch der Kautabak freigegeben ist. Eine detaillierte Produktbeschreibung mit den Vor.und Nachteilen halte ich aus dem Aspekt der Gesundheit und Entscheidungsfeiheit heraus für unabdingbar.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich kann mir nicht vorstellen, dass Warnhinweise (egal wie groß und bunt) der Aufklärung über ein Produkt dienen. Es ist gut, dass es einen Warnhinweis gibt, jedoch ist es nachweislich so, dass in Ländern mit grafischen Warnhinweisen der Konsum in keiner Weise eingeschränkt wurde. Ich halte diese Vorgehensweise für nutzlos. Es macht vielmehr Sinn, Flyer in die Verpackung einzufügen in der detailliert über das Produkt und die Risiken geschrieben wird. Mit bunten Bildern erreicht man kein verantwortungsvolleres Umgehen mit einem risikoreichen Produkt.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Aufklärung in Wort und Schrift als Flyer in der Verpackungen sind nachhaltiger und detaillierter als Schreckensbilder, die eigentlich keinen interessieren.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich fühle mich als Konsument ausreichend über die Inhaltsstoffe informiert. Ich kann auf der Internetseite eines jeden Herstellers die Zusatzstoffe ansehen.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Jeder Hersteller sollte verpflichtet sein Inhaltsstoffe zu veröffentlichen. Die Art der Zusammensetzung sollte nicht öffentlich gemacht werden. Dies würde Fälschern eine minderwertige Kopie des Produktes zu erstellen undenkbar einfach machen.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich mag als Konsument die Vielfalt und möchte diese nicht durch Regulierungen eingeschränkt haben. Eine Aufklärung über die Inhaltsstoffe halte ich für wichtig, damit ich dann entscheiden kann, ob ich dieses Produkt möchte oder nicht.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Mehr Informationen über den einzelnen Inhaltsstoff halte ich für wichtig. Die Entscheidung sollte nicht bei der EU, sondern beim Konsumenten liegen ein Produkt zu konsumieren.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt bereits geltende Regelungen, die alles besagen, was für den gesundheitspolitischen Prozess sinnvoll ist. Es gilt nur diese bestehende Regelungen besser durchzusetzen. Es gibt die Auflagen für den Handel eine Altersverifizierung durchzuführen und ebenso wird diese im Automaten gewährleistet. Ich sehe keine Verbesserung der Situation mit schärferen Reglementierungen.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Ulf Lehmborg

#### **email**

ulf.lehmborg@arcor.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

38

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes



**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Fries

**email**

augenblick@gmx.eu

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Bevormundung der Tabakkonsumenten, wie in der letzten Zeit vorangetrieben, verstößt in größerem Umfang gegen die Grundsätze der Gleichbehandlung und freien Entscheidung. Es wird derzeit mit unlauteren Mitteln versucht, schon fast eine Art Verfolgung und Unterdrückung von Tabakkonsumenten voranzutreiben. Dagegen werden viel gefährlichere Drogen, wie zum Beispiel Alkohol, weiter verharmlost und teilweise sogar gefördert.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Der Nichtraucherschutz bzw. die derzeitigen Warnungen vor den Gefahren des Rauchens reichen vollkommen aus.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitigen Regelungen sind absolut ausreichend.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitigen Regelungen sind ausreichend

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Haase

**email**

jn.haase@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

es ist gut wie es ist

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es ist gut so

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die bisherige regelung ist gut

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die bisherige regelung ist gut

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die bisherige regelung ist gut

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heilmann, Torsten

**email**

GTWH-Wyhra@t-inline.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Meyer

**email**

juergenmeyer@versanet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Everybody should decide individually-not the EU. It would lead to losses of the monthly ,income of the independent shop owners. There would be no free trade anymore.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Consumer information at the moment is more than sufficient in Germany.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Everybody knows that smoking is not healthy - but the decision should not be made by the EU.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Different tastes should be offered in future - freedom for the smokers.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Eckert

**email**

thomas.eckert@sap.com

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Norbert Lansing

**email**

service@avia-vreden.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jason Paigniannes

**email**

jasonpai@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**  
Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Steffen Brinkmann

**email**

steffen@tobaccohousebrinkmann.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Norbert Hertrampf

**email**

Norbert.Hertrampf@kabelmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar (außer, dass dies bereits der dritte Versuch ist, diese Umfrage zu beantworten. Mir scheint, die Seite wird zu Absturz gebracht, wenn die Antworten nicht wie erwünscht, gegeben werden

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar (außer, dass dies bereits der dritte Versuch ist, diese Umfrage zu beantworten. Mir scheint, die Seite wird zu Absturz gebracht, wenn die Antworten nicht wie erwünscht, gegeben werden

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar (außer, dass dies bereits der dritte Versuch ist, diese Umfrage zu beantworten. Mir scheint, die Seite wird zu Absturz gebracht, wenn die Antworten nicht wie erwünscht, gegeben werden

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar (außer, dass dies bereits der dritte Versuch ist, diese Umfrage zu beantworten. Mir scheint, die Seite wird zu Absturz gebracht, wenn die Antworten nicht wie erwünscht, gegeben werden

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar (außer, dass dies bereits der dritte Versuch ist, diese Umfrage zu beantworten. Mir scheint, die Seite wird zu Absturz gebracht, wenn die Antworten nicht wie erwünscht, gegeben werden

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar (außer, dass dies bereits der dritte Versuch ist, diese Umfrage zu

beantworten. Mir scheint, die Seite wird zu Absturz gebracht, wenn die Antworten nicht wie erwünscht, gegeben werden

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steffen Günter

**email**

steffen.guenter@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I see no problem, if not everything in this world is controlled.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

There is no problem.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem, stop controlling everything.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

(Always get redirected here – now the 3rd time) There is no problem, stop trying to control everything.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Smoking is not healthy, every smoker knows about that.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Philipp Jacobsohn



**email**

philipp@synopsys.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Restrict Tobacco Sales. Should only be sold in pharmacies. No access to tobacco products for minors. Alos, it is necessary to raise taxes on tobacco products. Taxes should be equalized in all EU-countries in order to prevent smuggling.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick Leingruber

**email**

riddleford@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die EU-Kommission soll sich nicht in alles einmischen. Wir sind mündige Bürger und können selbst entscheiden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Die EU-Kommission soll sich nicht in alles einmischen. Mündige Bürger können selbst entscheiden.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die EU-Kommission soll sich nicht in alles einmischen. Mündige Bürger können selbst entscheiden.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die EU-Kommission soll sich nicht in alles einmischen. Mündige Bürger können selbst entscheiden.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die EU-Kommission soll sich nicht in alles einmischen. Mündige Bürger können selbst entscheiden.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die EU-Kommission soll sich nicht in alles einmischen. Mündige Bürger können selbst entscheiden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

W. Hünnekes

**email**

willihue@t-online.de

**country**

BRD

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Kollmannsberger Christian

**email**  
christian.kollmannsberger@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
28

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tobias Rentsch

**email**

trentsch@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mitlmeier Alexandra

**email**

schmucker-johann@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ohne Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hämmelmann

**email**

shop-haemmelmann@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Herbert Grimberg

**email**

HGrimberg@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nicht notwendig

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Es ist kein Problem, so wie es die Kommission darstellt

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nicht notwendig, Selbstentscheidung der Bürgerinnen und Bürger

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Warum will die Kommission soetwas regeln, Unsinn

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Auch hier gilt das Entscheidungsrecht jedes Einzelnen

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ein legales Produkt soll auch verkauft werden können

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

L. Oertle

**email**

zumato@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

adult people should know what they do. if you think, people are misled and would behave different without brand design you are wrong! let the people decide themselves what they consume as long as it is legal. at least, the smoking industrie delivers bilions of taxes to the state. so dont kill this industrie

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

because someone out there is thinking the wrong direction

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

same

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

same

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

same

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Buchwald

**email**

buchwald.s@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

18

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Frank Thalmann



**email**

ft\_postfach@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

this idea will be not successful to decrease smoking

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maringer Christian

**email**

ft-maringer@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jessica scholz

**email**

jessi.scholz@gmail.com

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

s.braeuer

**email**

simonebraeuer67@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christoph heene

**email**

c.heene@gaponline.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

make no sense

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

it depends to the customer - not fair for industry

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no com

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

..

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Herrmann

**email**

Ulrich-Herrmann@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not nessecary

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

We all know what we do. And at the end of our life we must die all. Smokers and nonsmokers.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Sebastian Groß

**email**  
coachensberger@googlemail.com

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
32

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
simply not true

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
dont agree

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
do not agree

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
do not agree

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Calpam Tankstelle

**email**  
calpam2003@aol.com

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
50

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nicht nötig

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Nicht Nötig

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Nicht Nötig

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nicht nötig

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
nicht nötig

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nicht nötig

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

nicht nötig

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nicht nötig

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

nicht nötig

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nicht nötig

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nicht nötig

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Mader

**email**

mader.w@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I believe the change are useless and impact democratic rights of individuals.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Living in a democracy is a privilege. But if the democracy decides to regulate individual decisions, the privilege becomes a burden, meaning I am not allowed to live as I want as state dictates.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The consumer is informed sufficient and has the chance to inform himself even further. Consider consumer information like for Tobacco for the car manufacturing industry?

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Klever

**email**

bernd.klever@asadelhabano.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernhard Kirsten

**email**

bernhard.kirsten@bevisual.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Vielleicht sollte die EU lieber endlich die Pharnalobby stoppen - oder den Lobbyismus allgemein? Noch besser sollte sich die EU auf das zurück besinnen, was sie ursprünglich war: eine Europäische Wirtschaftsunion.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Gesundheitsthemen sind nicht Aufgabe einer "Wirtschaftsunion"!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die Informationen auf den Verpackungen reichen bereits jetzt vollkommen aus.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ergibt keinen Vorteil für den Verbraucher.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

überflüssig

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das Augenmerk sollte eher auf Sicherung von Arbeitsplätzen gerichtet werden, statt auf grundlose Vernichtung?



**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Die EU sollte den Gesundheitswahn und die Hetzjagd auf den Tabak mit sofortiger Wirkung stoppen!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralph Jürgen Schneider

**email**

rjschneider2003@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Bürger sollte selbst entscheiden

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nicht nötig

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nicht nötig

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nicht nötig

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Flanitzer Wolfgang

**email**

w.flanitzer@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Detlev Eikelmann

**email**

d.eikelmann@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Armin Potreck

**email**

a.potreck@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
54

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Xaver Neureiter

**email**

neureiter@xarre.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Marcel C.

**email**  
marcel\_christ@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
23

**gender**  
male

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Axel Lehmann

#### **email**

al@axel-lehmann.com

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

35

#### **gender**

male

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Die Diskussion geht komplett am Problembereich vorbei. Gesundheitspolitisch sinnvoll wäre die Eindämmung von unkontrollierter Schmuggelware. Zudem werden Genussraucher durch die aktuellen politischen Entscheidungen dazu gedrängt, sich immer weniger über die Produkte zu informieren und immer billiger zu konsumieren. Umso hochwertiger die Tabakwaren sind, um so weniger werden gesundheitsgefährdende Aromastoffe und minderwertige Rohstoffe dem Endprodukt beigemischt. Es sind diese politischen Entscheidungen, die für Raucher tödlich werden. Der aufgeklärte Bürger wird sich auch in Zukunft nicht von der Politik gängeln lassen und Auswege finden - mit unbekanntem Ausgang.

### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

Eindämmung nicht kontrollierter Schmuggelware auf die europäischen Binnenmärkte. Mehr Informationsmöglichkeiten (Literatur, Beratung in Tabakgeschäften, Presse, Online) für Bürger, sowohl über einzelne Tabakprodukte als auch über die Möglichkeiten, mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören. Verringerung der Steuerbelastung um Steuerflucht in unkontrollierte Bereiche zu vermeiden. Auf keinen Fall Plain Packing, da der Raucher dann nicht mehr zwischen Original und Fälschung unterscheiden kann. Keine bildlichen Warnhinweise, da der Raucher sich über die Gefahren des Tabakkonsums bewusst ist. Bildliche Warnhinweise würden nur durch Nutzung von Zigarettenetuisß, Aufklebern und Überziehkartons umgangen

oder gerade von Jugendlichen als "Sammelbilder" genutzt; wie dies auch schon bei den derzeitigen Warnhinweisen der Fall war. Kein Raucher nimmt diese Warnhinweise ernst.

#### **additional comments**

Die vorgeschlagenen Änderungen werden gesundheitspolitisch zum Fiasko werden. Diese Politik ist tödlich. Tabakwarenhändler, die den Kunden beraten können, werden sich nicht mehr am Markt halten können. In der Industrie werden Arbeitsplätze verloren gehen. Im Ergebnis werden diese Änderungen folgendes bewirken: - Wegbrechen von Steuereinnahmen - Zunahme von Schmuggel. - Unkontrollierte Gesundheitsfolgen. - Verlust von Arbeitsplätzen in der Industrie und im Handel. - Begünstigung von alternativen Genuss- und Suchtprodukten (Alkohol, weiche Drogen, Arznei)

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **comments**

kulturelle Unterschiede und auch unterschiedliche Bräuche sollten in der EU bestehen bleiben dürfen. Es kann nicht sein, dass alle Bürger in der EU gleich gemacht werden sollen. Es gibt genügend Studien, die Snus auch positive Eigenschaften anerkennen.

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

Genussraucher interessieren sich nicht für die Warnhinweise. Auch jüngste Umfragen bestätigen, dass bildliche Warnhinweise für den Verbraucher völlig uninteressant sind. Die Diskussion geht an der Realität gänzlich vorbei.

##### **which option**

No change

##### **additional option**

Verringerung der Steuerbelastung. Bessere Beratung in Tabakfachgeschäften. Stärkung der additivfreien Zigaretten und Feinschnittsorten. Begrenzung der Billigprodukte, die mangelhafte Rohstoffe verwenden. Förderung von Genussrauchen - statt Suchtverhalten.

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

##### **additional options**

Diese Meldemechanismen überfordern insbesondere die kleinen Hersteller von hochwertigen

Genussprodukten. Viele Hersteller von Zigarren und Pfeifentabak werden diese Auflagen finanziell und technisch nicht erbringen können. Folge wäre eine Marktkonzentration, und eine Zunahme, genau der Produkte, die eigentlich nicht gewünscht ist. Der Zigarren und Pfeifenmarkt würde noch stärker zurück gehen, die Zigaretten- und Feinschnittindustrie würde hierdurch gewinnen. Letztendlich würden Genussraucher zu Suchtrauchern.

#### **additional comments**

Es wäre am sinnvollsten, wenn sich die EU mal mit einfachen Tabakhändlern unterhalten würde, damit sie mal einen Bezug zum wirklichen Leben erhalten. Alle hier gemachten Vorschläge sind nicht durchdacht und werden in der Praxis genau ins Gegenteil umschlagen. Holen Sie sich Hilfe von Experten.

#### **regulation of ingredients**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

Eine Änderung würde Konzentrationsprozessen in der Industrie zugute kommen. Dann gibt es in der EU demnächst nur noch Marlboro, Camel, JPS und noch fünf weitere Marken. Gerade im Bereich der Genussprodukte Zigarre, Zigarillo und Pfeife würde dies zu vernichtenden Ergebnissen führen.

##### **which option**

No Change

#### **access to tobacco products**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

Die Ansätze erfassen die Problemsituation nicht und sind kontraproduktiv.

##### **which option**

No change

#### **additional option**

Die derzeitigen Regelungen sind vollkommen ausreichend. Eine weitere Beschränkung würde nur dem Vertrieb von Tabakprodukten aus grauen Kanälen und den Zulauf von Tabakwaren ausserhalb der EU begünstigen. Die Folgen der Prohibition sind bekannt. Hier werden ähnliche Fehler begangen und der Bogen überspannt. Es wird gegenteiliges erreicht werden, um so mehr reguliert wird. Den Kunden würde die Möglichkeit genommen, sich im Geschäft oder im Internet über die Produkte zu informieren. Zigarettenautomaten besitzen bereits die Altersüberprüfung.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd-Horst Peter

**email**

b-h-p@aral-tankstelle.online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Oberle

**email**

michael\_oberle@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

- prohibition of tobacco advertisement - ban of using tobacco products on all public spaces and all working places - prohibition of tobacco product vending machines

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Hilpert

**email**

Hilpy@web.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Vernichtung von Existenzen.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Florian Schmilinsky

**email**

schmili@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Dinge, die Sie ansprechen sind keine Probleme. Es geht hier nur um Stimmungsmache!

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**

Lasst die Leute rauchen, wenn es ihnen Spaß macht

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Wie eben schon gesagt

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie eben gesagt

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie eben gesagt

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

So eine besch... Umfrage! Hier kommt ja immer das gleiche!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

bereits beantwortet

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Warum gibt es diese Umfrage nur in englisch? Soll das ein Hinderniss oder gar eine Diskriminierung andere europäischer Sprachen darstellen?

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Theiss

**email**

uwe.theiss@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es wird schon genug geregelt. In der EU leben mündige Bürger. Man muss ihnen nicht alles vorschreiben. Überlasst es dem Bürger ob er sich sowas reinzieht oder nicht.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Es wird schon genug geregelt. In der EU leben mündige Bürger. Man muss ihnen nicht alles

vorschreiben. Überlasst es dem Bürger ob er sich sowas reinzieht oder nicht.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es wird schon genug geregelt. In der EU leben mündige Bürger. Man muss ihnen nicht alles vorschreiben. Überlasst es dem Bürger ob er sich sowas reinzieht oder nicht.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es wird schon genug geregelt. In der EU leben mündige Bürger. Man muss ihnen nicht alles vorschreiben. Überlasst es dem Bürger ob er sich sowas reinzieht oder nicht.

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Darius Cechanavičius

#### **email**

daroks@gmail.com

#### **country**

Lithuania

#### **age**

38

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Increase of HW provides only temporary effect. Also, it may violate the brand ownership rights. Inserts efficiency will be very low (analogue with drugs industry)

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Fees and sanctions are under responsibility of each member state and should not be regulated on EU level

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Attractiveness criteria is very subjective and may be misused

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Display ban could increase illegal trade. Also it violates the rights of the consumers

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Juan Rodriguez Pedregosa

**email**

juope1000@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**age**

29

**gender**



male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tina

**email**

kleintina-shiva@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

das kann ja nicht die lösung für alles sein, immer auf die raucher loszugehen... ich bin nichtraucher und finde das echt nicht gut!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Dafür werde ich nicht bezahlt Ihnen vorschläge zu machen... Auf jedenfall ist das was sie mit diesen vorschlägen machen wollen nicht effektiv!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Jeder muß selber wissen was er tut! Außerdem zahlen die Bürger schon genug!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-kein kommentar-----

**additional comments**

--NO---

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-keinn kommentar---

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

jeder kann selber entscheiden! Die bürger zahlen auch dafür!

**additional comments**

-No\_

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

jeder kann selber entscheiden.

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wie gesagt jeder kann selber entscheiden! die bürger sind ja nicht dumm!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Strobach

**email**

aon.914777283@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not agree fully with the scope of the problem definition and also with the leading questions.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

The scope of the Directive should not be extended but the measures have to be changed to be effective.

**additional comments**

Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise für die Wirksamkeit von Plain Packaging bestehen nicht. Verschiedene Länder haben eine Einführung von Plain Packaging erwogen, es dann aber wegen fehlender wissenschaftlicher Nachweise wieder verworfen, zum Beispiel Kanada und Litauen. Plain Packaging bedeutet eine illegale staatliche Enteignung von Markenrechten. Eine Umsetzung in deutsches Recht würde gegen einige verfassungsrechtlich gewährleisteten Grundrechte verstoßen - darunter Artikel 14 GG (Eigentumsgarantie), Artikel 12 GG (Berufsfreiheit) und Artikel 5 GG (Meinungsäußerungsfreiheit). Plain packaging verstößt außerdem gegen Artikel 34 AEUV (Warenverkehrsfreiheit). Zudem würde bei Plain Packaging, durch das Fehlen anderer Möglichkeiten zur Unterscheidung, zunehmend der Wettbewerb über den Preis laufen. Wenn Tabakprodukte billiger werden, steigt der Konsum. Der Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz wird so unterlaufen. Darüber hinaus wird es Plain Packaging der organisierten Kriminalität viel einfacher machen, Packungen zu imitieren und so dem illegalen Handel Tür und Tor öffnen. Beispiele für plain Packaging finden sich in der Praxis zum Beispiel beim Drogenverkauf auf der Strasse. Dem Tabakkonsum würde somit ein illegales Image gegeben, das - siehe Auswirkungen der Alkoholprohibition in USA - zu Kriminalität verleitet und den Konsum tendenziell sogar anheben könnte.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Ein grundsätzliches Verbot von smokeless tobacco products ist wissenschaftlich nicht fundiert und daher nach derzeitigem Wissensstand nicht gerechtfertigt. Es lässt sich ua nachweisen, dass suchtgefährdete Personen in der Regel nach einem Entzug ihr Suchtverhalten weiter fortsetzen und durch andere Suchtmittel ersetzen.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die vorgeschlagenen Größen für die Warnhinweise wären gleichbedeutend mit Plain Packaging (s. unten). Den Herstellern bliebe kein Platz mehr für ihre Logos bzw. für die

Kommunikation mit dem Verbraucher. Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format ist oder je näher er sich an der Packungsoberseite befindet. Zudem existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. In Großbritannien haben zwei Jahre nach Einführung der Bildwarnhinweise die Versuche, mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören, signifikant abgenommen. Laut Eurobarometer versuchten dort im Jahre 2009, verglichen mit 2006, 14 Prozent weniger Raucher das Rauchen aufzugeben. Ähnliche Beobachtungen konnten in Belgien gemacht werden. Dort nahm die Verbreitung des Rauchens nach Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen sogar zu.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Die Warnhinweise führen bei vielen Konsumenten zu Protesthaltung und sind daher kontraproduktiv. Wenn der Tabakkonsum wirklich derart volkswirtschaftlich schädlich ist, dann sollten die Staaten die extrem hohen Tabaksteuern zweckgebunden für Aufklärungsarbeit (zB in Schulen, Medien etc.) verwenden. Dies erscheint gesundheitspolitisch wesentlich effektiver als später allfällige Krankheitskosten zu tragen. Die Warnhinweise sollten auf normales, gut lesbares Ausmaß reduziert werden.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Zigaretten mit und ohne Zusatzstoffe sind gleichermaßen schädlich für die Gesundheit. Raucher sollten nicht in die Irre geführt werden durch derartige diskriminierende Regulierungsvorschläge. trotzdem bin ich dafür, Zusatzstoffe anzuführen. es sollte jedem persönlich möglich sein, bestimmte Stoffe identifizieren zu können und in persönlicher Entscheidung zu meiden.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Ein Werbe- und Präsentationsverbot für bzw. von Tabakwaren würde den Binnenmarkt nicht verbessern und es fehlen stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise für die Wirksamkeit. Daher fehlt der EU Kommission die Grundlage, um eine dermaßen unverhältnismäßige und diskriminierende Maßnahme in die Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie aufzunehmen. Nach einer Studie des Institute of Economic Affairs hat das Verbot der Produktpräsentation in Kanada nicht zu einem Rückgang des Konsums geführt. Stattdessen hat der Verkauf illegal gehandelter Produkte zugenommen. Und auch dieses Verbot verstieße gegen elementare Rechtsgrundsätze: Gegen Artikel 34 AEUV (Warenverkehrsfreiheit) sowie gegen die verfassungsrechtlich gewährleisteten Grundrechte nach Artikel 12 (Berufsfreiheit) und Artikel 5 (Meinungsäußerungsfreiheit) des Grundgesetzes. Ein Präsentationsverbot wird den innergemeinschaftlichen Handel nicht fördern, sondern behindern. Das wiederum widerspricht der grundlegenden Zielsetzung der Tabakprodukt-Richtlinie. Selbst der RAND-Report räumt ein, dass ein solches Verbot „erhebliche wirtschaftliche Auswirkungen auf den Einzelhandel haben würde“. Der BTWE geht derzeit von 100.000 betroffenen Verkaufsstellen aus, wovon 7.500 in der Existenz bedroht wären und damit 20.000 Arbeitsplätze gefährdet. Überdies würde auch das Präsentationsverbot den illegalen Handel fördern: Entfernt man Tabakerzeugnisse völlig aus dem Blickfeld, wird es für Konsumenten schwierig, den Unterschied zwischen Originalprodukten und geschmuggelter beziehungsweise gefälschter Ware festzustellen. Darüber hinaus wird der Tabakkonsum in die Ecke der Kriminalität gedrängt (siehe Anmerkungen zu Plain Packaging: Gibt es in der Praxis bei Strassenhandel von Drogen. Ist auch aus Zeiten der Alkohol-Prohibition in USA bekannt.). Solange der Konsum von der EU nicht explizit verboten ist, sollte die informierende Werbung nicht verboten werden.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

L. Bode-Schnurbus

**email**

lb-s@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
white boxes for cigarettes is ok. but NOT for cigars!

**additional comments**  
it is ridiculous to think that unique boxings and packages for cigars especially (not for cigarettes...) would help in any form to prevent smoking related cancers or anything else. Packages of cigars especially are very unique and belong to the enjoyment of cigar smoking. Kids don't smoke cigars. Adults can decide themselves what to buy, smoke or do else. Stop regulating my life!! It's my own decision, not yours!

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change



**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Peter Kohler

**email**  
petermkohler@gmail.com

**country**  
Austria

**age**  
62

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The consumer is pretty well informed by the media about the risks. More information does not help but creates more costs. Staying under the shower for longer time does not make you more wet.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

if parents cannot inform their children about risks, how can government close that link.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SB Tankstelle Jennifer Krause Dorneburgerstr.18 44652 Herne / Germany

**email**

sb-tankstelle1@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
alles gut

**additional comments**  
schlechte meinung

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Schmitt

**email**

markus.schmitt@tankstelle.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

41

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tobias Kalleder

**email**

tobias@kalleder.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

23

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Buchholz

**email**

mbholz@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

59

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ein großer Teil des Zigaretten-Umsatzes in Berlin und den neuen Bundesländern wird nicht über den geregelten Ladenverkauf sondern illegal über den Schwarzmarkt (Vietnamesen) getätigt. Diese Schwarzmarkt hat zum großen Teil Mafia ähnliche Strukturen und konnte bis heute durch Zoll und Polizei nicht abgestellt werden! Eine Behinderung der offiziellen Verkaufswege würde insbesondere diese Mafia-Strukturen stärken. Insgesamt würde der Zigarettenkonsum also kaum sinken. Den Entscheidern in der EU möchte ich hier nur die Dokumentation über die Prohibition in den USA zu Ansicht empfehlen (ist im TV-Sender Pheonix ausgestrahlt worden).

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Nicolas Stockhammer

**email**

nicolas.stockhammer@chello.at



**country**

Austria

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christophe Mayca

**email**

chrimgca@aol.com

**country**

France

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

2 directions à poursuivre: 1. tous les produits du tabac, y compris ceux qui ne se fument pas 2. tous les produits qui se fument, incluant d'autres substances que le tabac, jusqu'au cannabis

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Si des produits comme le snus sont légalement vendus dans certains pays de l'UE, ils devraient l'être dans l'ensemble de la communauté

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cette action vise davantage à censurer et sanctionner l'industrie du tabac que mieux informer les consommateurs. Or tout le monde sait maintenant que le tabac est dangereux pour la santé.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Je n'y peux rien si Sanco n'arrive pas à mettre les Etats-Membres d'accord entre eux

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Là aussi, la question est de mettre les Etats-Membres d'accord entre eux. Si les analyses de toxicité des ingrédients donnent des résultats clairs, ça ne devrait pas être trop difficile à faire. Etablir une liste positive me semble impossible.

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Toutes ces propositions sont contre-productives et ne font que rendre les conditions économiques des détaillants plus difficiles. D'autre part, lorsqu'un système de license est en

place comme en France, les choses sont claires, on sait de l'extérieur si un endroit vend du tabac ou pas. Etendez donc le système de license à tous les pays de l'UE.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

unterberger

**email**

trafik.unterberger@aon.at

**country**

austria

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Daniel Rentzsch

**email**  
daniel@rentzsch.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
34

**gender**  
male

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Wenn sich der Tabakmarkt diversifiziert hat, dann doch eben durch die restriktive

Gesetzgebung der EU und der Mitgliedsstaaten. Es gibt schlicht kein ernsthaftes Problem, welches unter der Subsidiaritätsklausel Änderungen an der Richtlinie zulassen oder gar bedingen würde.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Am Besten für den gemeinsamen Raum der Freiheit und des Rechts wäre es natürlich, die Richtlinie aufzuheben oder massiv einzuschränken.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Diese Problembeschreibung lässt jede ernsthafte Auseinandersetzung mit dem Thema vermissen, eine Fussnote auf eine Meinungskundgebung ist wohl kaum seriös. Wenn die EU und viele Mitgliedsstaaten schon gegen Passivrauch kämpfen, sollte das effektivste Mittel, diesen effektiv einzuschränken, zugelassen werden - nämlich smokeless tobacco.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Im Interesse des Binnenmarktes ist das Verbot von Snus nicht nur aufzuheben, sondern dessen Verbreitung in Übereinstimmung mit den bewährten Prinzipien (Cassis-de-Dijon) sicherzustellen.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die angebliche Problembeschreibung zeigt ein abstossendes Menschenbild. Wenn die Kommission der Auffassung ist, alle knapp 500 Millionen der Einwohner der EU sind so dumm, dass sie ausdrücklichere Hinweise darauf brauchen, dass Rauchen schädlich ist, ist das europäische Projekt wohl endgültig gescheitert. Es geht hier schlicht um blossen, dumpfen Aktionismus.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Die vorgegebenen Antwortmöglichkeiten zeigen schon die protototalitäre Ideologie - es gibt m.E. keinerlei belastbare Hinweise darauf, dass die bisherigen Massnahmen hinsichtlich hoheitlich vorgegebenen Packungsdesigns irgendwelche Auswirkungen haben. Diese sind demnach zurückzunehmen bzw. zumindest den Mitgliedsstaaten zu überlassen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Verbunden mit einem effektiven Schutz - siehe Problemdefinition - der Geschäftsgeheimnisse der Wirtschaft.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das ist kein Problem, sondern Föderalismus

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das Problem besteht doch hier eher darin, dass einzelne Mitgliedsstaaten unter dem Vorwand eines vermeintlichen Gesundheitsschutzes den Binnenmarkt unterminieren.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Im Gegenteil ist die Kommission aufgerufen, gegen die angegebenen massiven Verletzungen der Warenverkehrsfreiheit vorzugehen.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gellert Papp

**email**

gellert.papp@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

klaus Hoffmann

**email**

klaus.hoffmann@tankstelle.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Regulierungen sind bereits umfassend und mehr als ausreichend. Man sollte dem Bürger auch seine Entscheidungsfreiheit lassen.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Lassen Sie die Gesellschaft und den Markt entscheiden. Die bereits bestehenden Regularien sind Bevormundung genug.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Es gibt kein wirkliches Problem. Die Gesetzgebung zum Jugend- und Nichtraucherschutz sind bei entsprechender Beachtung mehr als hinreichend um diese Gruppen zu schützen. Alles was darüber hinaus geht, sind ungerechtfertigte Eingriffe in die persönlichen Freiheitsrechte und in einen sich sehr gut selbst regulierenden Markt.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Man sollte aufhören die Raucher einerseits durch stetig steigende Steuern zu melken und sie andererseits permanent stärker zu diskriminieren. Der Blick der Politik sollte geschärft werden für andere Risikogruppen, die Volkswirtschaftlich deutlich schädlicher sind und die steuertechnisch sehr viel weniger zu den Staatshaushalten beitragen.

**additional comments**

Die politik sollte sich um die wirklich wichtigen Belange der Menschen kümmern, dann wären Vorkommnisse wie in Stuttgart oder Gorleben nicht notwendig. Die Menschen sollten mehr Mitentscheidungsmöglichkeiten haben. Dann wäre auch die Politikverdrossenheit deutlich geringer. Wie das wirklich gesehen wird, beweist auch diese Befragung. Der Level zur Teilnahme ist erstens durch die verwendete Sprache höher gelegt. Warum steht dieser Fragebogen nicht jedem Menschen in seiner Muttersprache zur Verfügung? Zweitens ist diese Möglichkeit, seine Meinung zu äußern, fast eine Geheimsache, da es nicht wirklich öffentlich gemacht wird, daß man an dieser Stelle seine Meinung sagen kann. Erst der Hinweis durch Interessengruppen hat vielleicht einige Menschen zu dieser Seite geführt.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wei bereits ausreichend informiert wird.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Lasst uns einfach mal in Ruhe.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erich Weber

**email**

antogimo@gmx.net

**country**

Austria

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sebastian

**email**

S.Saelzle@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
28

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
i said no

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Fuck YOU if I said no I don't want to give a Comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
see last comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
see last comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
see last comment

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
JUAN FRANCISCO NAVARRETE VILCHES

**email**  
jfnavarrete@ono.com

**country**  
SPAIN

**age**  
50

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Torsten Heinrich

**email**

admin@inclutus.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

To smoke tobacco or not is a deliberate descicion. The only purpose of a government is to protect its citizens from one another. If it does more, it starts protecting the people from themselves. Now tell me: Which right gives a EU-Burocrat to determine my private life? Smoking must be banned below 18, because children aren't master of themselves. But whatever adults do is their own responsibility. So every matter to forbid them or making it harder to use their own right to harm themselves is dictatorial! Every man has a right to live healthy, but he has not the duty to do so!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Give the people a choice. Smoking is legal and it must be legal to do it among others, too. There's no lsocial reason why specific smoking bars or cigar lounges were closed for non smoker protection. No body had forced non smokers into that bars or lounges!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Its a free choice. No government has the right to determin the live of a free citizen. If he wants to harm himself, it must be his right to do so!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**



No

**explanations**

smoking is a free choice, everyone knows that smoking is harmful.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

free choice, baby! You don't have a right to interfere with my private live!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Free choice! The EU has no right to impose anything that matters into the private live of free people!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is already banned unter 18, and that's at that's needed. Adults have a choice and have their own responsibility!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Josephine Davidovic

**email**

finchen-4@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

24

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Florian Paul

**email**

dj\_paranoia@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
why??

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

...

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

...

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

...

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Martens

**email**

martens@m-martens.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Groos Rüdiger

**email**

ruediger.groos@campus.lmu.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
the problem is no problem, and if the government keeps on acting in the life of citizens, it's not good

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
freedom for the people

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Olaf Weigert

#### **email**

oweigert@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

44

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment!

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**



No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

Astonishing efforts to make smokers second class humans! Crazy...

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Schulz

**email**

rs@schulz-riskmanagement.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Es sind genug Regelungen vorhanden..

**additional comments**

Wir Bürger sollten ein Selbstbestimmungsrecht behalten dürfen...

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jana Szabo

**email**

jana\_szabo@web.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
30

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Sedlmayer

**email**

david@sedlmayer.org

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lauterbach , René

**email**

r.m.lau@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Daniel Stock

**email**  
d.stock@gmx.at

**country**  
F.R. of Germany

**age**  
33

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Koepfer

**email**

caiustarquitius@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It costs a lot of tax money and is unnecessary.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Grown up people should be able to make their own decisions

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Grown up people should be able to make their own decisions, when to buy what, and what to sell when and to whom.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

helmut ziegler

**email**

anne\_helmut@diemedienagenten.de

**country**

germany

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zigaretten mit und ohne Zusatzstoffen sind gleichermaßen schädlich für die Gesundheit.  
Raucher sollten nicht in die Irre geführt werden

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

André Kleck

**email**

andrekleck@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Anybody should have their own decision of smoking or not.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The governmental engagement is too much.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Smoking is not the problem. The people, who means, everything have to be required is the problem.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Everybody knows about the risks of smoking. The decision for or against smoking doesn't depends on more consumer information.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kleboth Herbert

**email**

herbert.kleboth@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

These warnings are useless. I think they will shock at first time, but then consumers will ignore them. I don't think that light coloured packages are "light".

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Richard Nachbar

**email**

Richard.Nachbar@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

29

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no komment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no komment

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Den Rauchern fallen die Hinweise auf den Verpackungen sehr wohl bereits auf.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**



no komment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no komment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no komment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manfred Leucht

**email**

mleucht@muenchen-surf.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bukowski, Sylvia

**email**

buksyl@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

OSwald Hackl

**email**

homa72@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Plain Packing würde einen wichtigen Teil der Europäischen Wirtschaft vernichten.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Hohe Kosten für den Handel, ohne wirklichen Nutzen. Reine Vernichtung von Volksvermögen. Entspricht nicht der Erwerbsfreiheit und der Selbstbestimmung der EU Bürger.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Schlinkert

#### **email**

schlinki36@freenet.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

45

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

quatsch zigaretten zu verstecken, freuen sich nur die Schmuggler und ich als ehrlich steuerzahlender händler werde mit denen auf eine stufe gestellt

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar, schön dies nur auf englisch zu haben, so umgeht man meinungsäußerungen von bürgern

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

schreibt doch in allen sprachen der EU schließlich übersetzt ihr auch eure formulare

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich bleib dabei in europa gibt es viele sprachen und im zeitalter des internet kein problem in jeden land die eigene sprache

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans-Andreas Permin

**email**

h-a.permin@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Komentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rolf Scheidt

**email**

rolf.scheidt@neuf.fr

**country**

France

**age**

69

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

I strongly request the tobacco industry to disobey and to eliminate these stupid text boxes like "smoking kills" from the packs.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel

**email**

odinsmask@hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

matthias.neumann

**email**

goldenb.neumann@gmail.com

**country**

germany

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional option**

remove brand as much as possible. make outward appearance not appealing by using brown paper as base color. shocking photos are a very good idea.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Bilkenroth

**email**

klaus.bilkenroth@bilkenroth.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
24

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Schwarz, Daniel

#### **email**

super\_daniel\_@gmx.net

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

curtails the peoples' rights of autonomy of decision

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

no comment

### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

no comment

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

just more bureaucracy

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

just more bureaucracy

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fabri

**email**

c\_fabri@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kwein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommwentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reiner Klös

**email**

hei.rei@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Lassak

**email**

andreas\_lassak@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Hempel

**email**

Metaphysik@online.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
46

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Meine Gesundheit ist meine Entscheidung. Meine Steuern wollt ihr fürs Rauchen, dann hört mit den Vorschriften auf!

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Meine Gesundheit ist mein Ding. Begnügt Euch damit mich mit der Tabaksteuer abzuzocken.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Non

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Non

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Javier Moreno Navarro

**email**

jnavarro4@ono.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

marthell schiller

**email**

marthell.schiller@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich denke nicht, dass es förderlich ist, die richtlinien zu verschärfen.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

es ist bereits ein rückgang an der front der raucher zu verzeichnen und teilweise ein ärgernis nicht mehr überall zu seinem feierabendbier eine zig. rauchen zu können. und wenn man den faden etwas weiter spinnen möchte: angenommen, es würde eine weitere welle des rauchverbotes kommen, was passiert mit den arbeitnehmern der industrie, der ausbildungsplätze die dieser industriezweig bietet...wollen wir weitere arbeitslose oder pleitegehene fernen? NEIN!!!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

das ist eine gute möglichkeit weitere arbeitsplätze zu schaffen und den wettbewerb anzukurbeln

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

das ist eine gute möglichkeit weitere arbeitsplätze zu schaffen und den wettbewerb anzukurbeln



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich selber habe im ausland zig.mit bild-warnhinweisen gekauft, diese halten mich nicht davon ab zu rauchen. ich erinnere mich an einen film aus dem biologieunterricht, der von raucherbeinen etc gehandelt hat, danach sind wir erstmal auf den schock eine rauchen gegangen.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

ich selber habe im ausland zig.mit bild-warnhinweisen gekauft, diese halten mich nicht davon ab zu rauchen. ich erinnere mich an einen film aus dem biologieunterricht, der von raucherbeinen etc gehandelt hat, danach sind wir erstmal auf den schock eine rauchen gegangen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es wäre mir wichtiger die inhaltsstoffe von lebensmitteln zu deklarieren als die eines solchen genussmittels, dann müsste alkohol auch deklariert werden oder die zusammenstellung meiner kerzen

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ob nun mit oder ohne zusatzstoffe rauchen ist geschmackssache. mit schmeckt einfach besser

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es ist bereits schwerer für minderjährige rauchen zu können, finde ich auch gut. aber es einem erwachsenen, der gerne raucht, zu verbieten, ist ja dann fast wie in den 50er jahren wo der playboy unter der ladentheke verkauft wurde und man sich dafür förmlich schämen musste. Wir sind deutschland/eu und nicht "ich trinke alkohol on einer papptüte - USA "

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cristina González Soria

**email**

cris1805@ono.com

**country**

spain

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hannes Stoellner

**email**

fam.stoellner@sol.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

36

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pan

**email**

dobiniwieda@gmx.de

**country**

GERMANY

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Torsten Kretschmann

**email**

default.mail@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problembeschreibung unterscheidet nicht zwischen Zigaretten und Zigarren. Während Zigaretten häufig nur durch die Gestaltung der Verpackung zu unterscheiden sind, ist es bei Zigarren wichtig, durch die Verpackung Informationen über Herkunft und Qualität des Inhalts zu erhalten. Eine Flasche Rotwein kann ja auch Bordeaux oder Beaujolais enthalten. Darüberhinaus ist der Wettbewerb nicht behindert. Der Konsument ist mündig genug, sich entsprechend der lokal gültigen Normen zu orientieren.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Im Rahmen des Subsidiaritätsprinzips sollte es den Mitgliedsstaaten überlassen bleiben, eigene Regeln zu definieren. Gerade die Vielfalt macht die Stärke Europas aus: in varietate concordia

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Tillessen Jörg

#### **email**

info@office-schroeder.de

#### **country**

Deutsch

#### **age**

40

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dominik Vay

**email**

dominik.vay@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Bockshecker

**email**

www.d.bockshecker@vodafone.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die geplanten Maßnahmen der EU-Kommission sind wirkungslos. Sie bevormunden den Verbraucher und treiben Tabakwaren in die Illegalität. Außerdem fallen den viele Jobs in Einzelhandel, Tabakindustrie und Werbeindustrie weg.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Die momentanen Denunziationen gegenüber der Tabakindustrie sind schon schlimm genug. Man sollte es beim aktuellen Stand belassen oder die Sanktionen sogar zurücknehmen.

**additional comments**

Die EU-Kommissionen sollten sich eher Gedanken darum machen, wie man Arbeitsplätze schaffen kann, nicht, wie man sie vernichtet. Außerdem sollte die ständige Bevormundung der Bürger aufhören. Nicht nur in diesem Bereich. Was das europäische Volk jetzt braucht, sind Entscheidungen mit gesundem Menschenverstand und nicht sinnlose Sanktionen und Verbote gegen bestimmte Industriezweige.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Es ist schon absolut richtig, gerade junge Menschen dazu zu bringen, nicht mit dem Rauchen anzufangen, aber nicht durch Verbote und Gängelungen des mündigen Bürgers. Hier ist

Aufklärungsarbeit gefragt! Es wäre zum Beispiel viel sinnvoller, Spots im Fernsehen zu schalten, die Jugendliche (und natürlich Erwachsene) auf die Gefahren des Rauchens hinweisen.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Wie schon oben geschrieben: Aufklärung statt Denunziation, Gängelung und Arbeitsplatzvernichtung!

**additional comments**

In Brüssel sollten viele Entscheidungen besser durchdacht und abgewogen werden. Auch der Bürger sollte besser eingebunden werden, da er ja derjenige ist, der mit all den Gesetzen und Erlassen leben muss. Gerade beim Thema Tabak sieht man wieder einmal, wie realitätsfern in Brüssel gearbeitet wird.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Stoppt die Arbeitsplatzvernichtung und lässt den Bürgern wenigstens die Freiheit, selbst über ihr Leben zu bestimmen!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Ein Raucher wird nicht mit dem Rauchen aufhören, weil die EU die Verpackungen mit Warnhinweisen zukleistert. Rauchen ist eine Sucht, die ärztlich behandelt werden muss.

**additional comments**

Es wäre viel effektiver, dem Raucher eine kostenlose Möglichkeit zu bieten, seine Sucht therapieren zu lassen. Viele würden das Angebot annehmen.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Es ist richtig, die Inhaltsstoffe auf der Verpackung anzugeben, wie bei Lebensmittel auch, damit man weiß, was drin ist.

**additional comments**

Nein.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist absolut sinnlos, die Tabakwaren alle gleich schmecken zu lassen. Daraus ergibt sich für niemanden ein Vorteil.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Alles beim Alten lassen.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**additional option**

So, wie es jetzt ist, ist es gut.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heiko Poerz

**email**

heiko@poerz.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Horst Bilkenroth

**email**

info@bilkenroth.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bei den Marktsegmenten ENDS und Kräuterzigaretten von Binnenmarktverzerrung zu reden ist angesichts des Volumens eine Verhöhnung des Begriffes. Und bei eben diesem Marktvolumen ein VERSAGEN (!! eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus in der EU zu befürchten ist eine erhebliche Überreaktion, die „Brüsseler Regulierungswut“ als Vorurteil bestätigt.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Wie richtig dargestellt ist SCENIHR nur eine Stellungnahme und keine wissenschaftliche Untersuchung. Die schwedische Regierung hat Bedenken dieser Art beim Gebrauch von Snus nicht vorgetragen.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option****additional comments**

Aus meiner Erfahrung heraus ist oraler Tabakgenuss durchaus dazu geeignet, den Ausstieg aus dem Zigarettenkonsum zu erleichtern. Außerdem ist mir KEIN Fall bekannt, bei dem ein Kautabakkonsument das zigarettenrauchen angefangen hätte. Ich haben in all den Jahren nur den umgedrehten weg erlebt. Das gilt übrigens auch für das Schnupfen.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Hier wird wieder durch eine bewusste Übertreibung ein sachlicher Untergrund für die Harmonisierung vorgetäuscht. Unterschiedliche Etikettierungen haben mitnichten Auswirkungen auf den Binnenmarkt!! Welcher Spruch in welcher Sprache auf einer Packung zu finden ist, interessiert den Konsumenten grundsätzlich kaum (nur interessierte Nichtraucher) – ganz gewiss nicht, wenn er der Sprache des entsprechenden Landes nicht mächtig ist. Das ist in den meisten Fällen so! Nur die unterschiedlichen Steuern verzerren den Binnenmarkt. Das ist sicherlich allen bewusst. Also dient der Hinweis nur als polemisches Beiwerk. Das Raucherbewusstsein hat sich an die Aufdrucke genauso gewöhnt wie an Störgeräusche im Alltag. Das ist normales Verhalten. „Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale werden zunehmend zum Anlocken von Verbrauchern sowie als Förderung für den Verkauf der Produkte und für das Marken-Image eingesetzt.“ Diese Feststellung zeugt von erschreckender Ignoranz. Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale werden seit der Einführung der Zigarette in der Industriegesellschaft zur „Förderung des Verkaufes“ eingesetzt – wie bei allen Produkten. Da ist nichts „zunehmend“ - allenfalls anders (Die Bildchen aus der Kaiserzeit oder der Adenauerära sprechen einfach niemanden mehr an.) Es war Wunsch der Gesundheitsbeauftragten, Zigaretten mit weniger Nikotin und Teerstoffen herzustellen. Die sind dann schon vor Jahrzehnten (nicht erst jetzt) mit den inzwischen verbotenen Begriffen wie „leicht“ und „mild“ auf den Markt gebracht worden. Dabei hat man natürlich diese Sorten auch gestalterisch abheben müssen von den „kräftigen“ Marken. Das hat der Verbraucher in 30 – 40 Jahren „gelernt“ . Die verbleibende Restfläche auf deutschen Zigarettenschachteln ist mitnichten geeignet, „Vorstellungen von Glamour, Luxus und Freiheit heraufzubeschwören“. Die Warnhinweise lenken durch ihre eigene Penetrierung über Gewöhnung von sich ab.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Vermutlich ist es richtig, dass die Verbraucher die von den „EG-Gesundheitsministern“ geforderten Werte-Angaben auf den Schachteln unzureichend interpretiert werden. Aber warum stehen sie dann da? „Einige Verbraucher könnten sich sogar dazu entschließen, lieber zu rauchen oder ihren Verbrauch an Zigaretten mit niedrigeren Gehaltswerten an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid zu steigern, anstatt aufzuhören.“ Dieser Absatz ist eine Beleidigung der europäischen Bürger! Es zeigt ganz klar die Grundhaltung der Eurokraten (aber auch vieler Landespolitiker) gegenüber dem einzelnen Bürger: Er ist zu dumm, selber zu entscheiden, wir müssen für ihn sorgen, ihn vor sich selber schützen! Aus dem Grund fängt doch kein Mensch das Rauchen an! Hier zeigt sich die hochmütigen Arroganz der gesamten Antiraucheraktion. „Alte“ Studien zum Wasserpfeifen rauchen gibt es nicht und welche neueren sollen es den sein, wie belastbar sind sie. Ich kenne aus der Praxis keinen Konsumenten, der Rauchen im allgemeinen und Wasserpfeifenrauchen im besonderen für unbedenklich hält. Seien Sie versichert, unappetitliche Bildchen helfen nicht, da wird dann halt in Etais umgepackt. Bei generischen Verpackungen werden die Verbraucher sich auf weniger, vermutlich stärkere Zigarettensorten konzentrieren, eine Präsentation wäre überflüssig. Das führt zu Zuläufen jetzt schon starker Marken und leistet einer quasi Monopolisierung Vorschub. Die hier immer wieder ins Feld geführte Binnenmarktverzerrung



wird dabei dann tatsächlich gegeben sein.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional comments**

Die Option 3 zeigt, wie bewusst ein großer operativer Aufwand getrieben werden soll, um die Produktion zu verteuern. Hier wird mit „Kanonen auf Spatzen geschossen“.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Die Optionen 2a und 2b sind in Deutschland schon verwirklicht und Zigarettenautomaten stellen in anderen EU-Ländern keinen bedeutenden Faktor dar. Bei Option 2c stellt sich die Frage, was unter EINER Marke verstanden wird. Wenn das bedeutet, dass z.B. bei „Marlboro“ nur noch eine Schachtel im charakteristischen „Rot“ im Regal stehen darf, keine anderen Ausprägungen (lang, ohne Filter, anderer Geschmack, Menthol, u. s. w.) dann käme das einer Marken-Enteignung gleich. Unabhängig von den rechtlichen Fragen, was soll das bewirken?? Dieses arrogante Vorgehen gegenüber dem doch mündigen Verbraucher wird weiteren Widerstand hervorrufen und ist nett formuliert „nicht zielführend“. Option 3ff: Wollen Sie ernsthaft alle Pakete auf Tabakprodukte überprüfen? oder wie soll ein Verbot des Tabakversandes durchgesetzt werden? Bei einem Verbot von Verkaufsförderungen, wie sollen das veränderte Produkte mit gesundheitlich günstigeren Rezepturen im Markt etabliert werden? Totalverbot?? - danach der Alkohol und dann das Fett und alle auf den Turnplatz???

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Ullrich

**email**

ealex79@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Forbid selling and production of nicotine and alcohol and permit usage of THC containing drugs.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heiko Bleier

**email**

Heiko.Bleier@live.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Regulierung der Eu geht aktuell zu weit!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Auch das sollten die einzelnen Staaten selber klären!

**additional comments**

Grundsätzlich sollte die EU Fragen die die Bewohner der Eu betreffen, in einem "einfachen" (nicht wie diese Befragung), in ALLEN Sprachen verfügbaren, Verfahren erfragen. Die

Bürger sollten eine einfache Möglichkeit bekommen, zu den Entscheidungen eine Abstimmung zu geben.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hartmut Wischnat

**email**

hartmut@wischnat.net

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSA MARIA ALVAREZ GARCIA

**email**

roswin07@hotmail.com

**country**

SPAIN

**age**  
28

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

claudio balbi

**email**

claudio.balbi@yahoo.es

**country**

spain

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coments



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Siems

**email**

vermietungdachau@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die bestehenden Regelungen reichen aus und haben sich bewehrt. Weitere Einschränkungen führen nicht zum gewünschten Erfolg und greifen übermässig in die Rechte beteiligter (mündige erwachsene Konsumenten, legale Produzenten von Tabakerzeugnissen, legale Händler der Tabakwarenbranche).

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Keine weiteren Empfehlungen.

**additional comments**

Keine weiteren speziellen Kommentare.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchfreie Tabakprodukte geniessen eine langjährige Tradition bei erwachsenen mündigen Rauchern. Die Herstellung unterliegt strengen Regeln. Ein Eingriff würde überässig in die Rechte beteiligter Personen eingreifen und ist nicht zielführend.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Keine weitere Empfehlung

**additional comments**

Keine speziellen Kommentare.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein spezieller Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Keine speziellen Empfehlungen

**additional comments**

Keine speziellen Kommentare.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine speziellen Hinweise.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Keine speziellen Empfehlungen.

**additional comments**

Keine speziellen Kommentare.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine spezielle Erklärung hierzu.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Keine weiteren Empfehlungen hierzu.

**additional comments**

Keine weiteren Kommentare.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine spezielle Erklärung hierzu.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Keine speziellen Erklärungen hierzu.

**additional comments**

Keine speziellen Kommentare hierzu.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Carlos Tarazona

#### **email**

carlos.tarazona@gmail.com

#### **country**

Spain

#### **age**

44

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **recommend option**

Extend the smoking ban to electronic cigarettes. As far as I know, they release nicotine in the environment. In addition, it's not clear the long term effects on their users.

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans-Rainer Mehlem

**email**

hans-rainer.mehlem@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no need for further restrictions on free citizens as already existing

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

There is no problem. Stop this kind of regulation!

**additional comments**

EU Commission should concentrate on real problems such as combatting poverty, free trade and so on. Not on private habits such as smoking. Next would be regulation on nutrition, sports, sex, religion - what else?

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Totally nonsense

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

as I said, it's totally nonsense to discuss this issue further

**additional comments**

see above

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Free human beings should decide on their own what's good or not

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**additional option**

Let free people decide what they want or not !

**additional comments**

See above

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is enough protection in place. I do not see any benefit from increasing measures.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gero Pischke

**email**

GeroPischke@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

hans schwarz

**email**

ym12de@googlemail.com

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

too much governmental regulation

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

the eu does not have the right to rule my private life. if eu continues to try, eu will disappear over the next decade and I will fight for this

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

this is pure manipulation. eu does not have the right to regulate everything. if this continues, the eu needs be shut down in their current function

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nobody understands it, makes no sense

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

does not make sense

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

people are free to decide , they do not need you, the eu

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Morian, Hans Peter

**email**

hpmorian@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

let the people be free and dont care about us you idiots !!!!

**additional comments**

Let me live my life !!!!!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Please give me my peace and dont ask silly qestions

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

it seems that you are the greatest idiots ofv the world

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Tim Draeger

#### **email**

em\_27@gmx.net

#### **country**

deutschland

#### **age**

24

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

weil es totaler schwachsinn ist und der staat durch "UNS" raucher soooo viel geld bekommt!!!!

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

man kann doch einfach alles so lassen wie es is....

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

schwachsinn... jeder weiß doch das rauchen schädlich ist und was dadurch passieren kann

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

schwachsinn

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

bleibt doch jedem selbst überlassen

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Chris

**email**

w\_chris75@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
No

**additional comments**  
no

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mühl

**email**

andreamuehl@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

everyone can decide for himself

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

there isn't any problem....everybody decides for himself !!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

there isn't any problem....everybody decides for himself ! We don't need any educations from the government !

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

there isn't any problem....everybody decides for himself ! We don't need any educations from the government !

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

there isn't any problem....everybody decides for themselves ! We don't need any educations from the government !

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

there isn't any problem....everybody decides for themselves ! We don't need any educations from the government !

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Florian Peters

**email**

f.peters@debitel.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

rose, kerstin

#### **email**

rose1402@web.de

#### **country**

germany

#### **age**

45

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

kein kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

kein kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

kein kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maresch

**email**

Michael@miniter.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wenn Eiropa so weiter verbietet, werden wir bald alle in Uniformen gekleidet sein, furchtbar gesund sein und ein absolut langweiliges gleichgeschaltetes Leben führen müssen. Warum verbietet Europa nicht die Hunde? Die sind gesundheitsschädlicher als Passivrauchen. Oder das Skifahren? Europa soll sich gefälligst aus den Vorlieben, den Wünschen, den Gewohnheiten und den legalen Suchten seiner Bürger heraushalten. Ich erlaube dem Staat nicht in mein Privatleben hineinzuregieren. Wenn er es doch tut, werde ich mit zivilem Ungehorsam und Staatsberweigerung reagieren.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Stop it!

**additional comments**

Stop it!!!!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Stop it!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Stop it!

**additional comments**

Stop it!

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Stop it

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Stop it

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Dieser Fragebogen ist genau so bürokratisch wie das dauernde VerbietenS

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Stop it!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Verbieten, verbieten. Mein Privatleben geht den Staat nichts an

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Stop it!

**additional comments**

Stop it!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Schubert

**email**

apc.hanf@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jacques-Antoine DURET

**email**

jaduret@yahoo.com

**country**

France

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Snus consumption in sweden is widelw spread, however there is no evidence of specific increase in disease related to tobacco derived product in sweden (<http://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Snus>).



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tim Speckenmeyer

**email**

t.specky@gmx.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finde es nicht gut, das Zigaretten und Tabakwaren unter dem Ladentisch und im Einheitslook verkauft werden sollen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

elena motu

**email**

www.ellm.gr

**country**

greece

**age**

24

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

people health it is not a game for industries and trade

**additional comments**

industries are responsible in many ways for many many problems of humanity .EU have to put real limits and laws between legal and illegal

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no comments

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no comments

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Vending machines to be banned

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Birger Gente

**email**

ego@birger-gente.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

hesse sylvia

**email**

sylviatechert@gmx.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

45

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marta Ramos Reyes

**email**

martaramos\_r@hotmail.com

**country**

Spain

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**  
decker

**email**  
kallendresser@gmx.de

**country**  
deutschland

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
xxx

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Drescher

**email**

ulrike-drescher@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die Freiheit und Selbstständigkeit, frei zu entscheiden, ist völlig unterbunden. Einige wenige Leute wollen entscheiden, was für mich gut ist

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zu viele verschiedene Auffassungen zu den Verzeichnissen. Diese erst vereinheitlichen und vorallem vereinfachen und dann weitersehen

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

keine weiteren Verwirrungen angeben. Geschmack der Raucher entscheidet

**additional comments**

Raucher kaufen , was Ihnen zusagt. Schädlich ist es eh. Egal welche Warnungen man zu den Zusatzstoffen dann noch geben würde

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
es sollte schon den freien Bürgern selbst überlassen werden, ob er zu den Rauchern gehört oder nicht. Der Handel kann doch nicht den "schwarzen Peter" immer bekommen

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Gerhard Wimmer

**email**  
gerhardwimmer@yahoo.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
50

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Malte Hohensee

**email**

maltehohensee@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

21

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Changing the guidelines for legal products in a harsh way like it has been proposed can't be justified in a "Free Europe", where a market economy exists. Either the product tobacco will be declared illegal, or all tobacco products should be treated like any other goods. A text - or graphic warning on the package may be alright, as long similar warnings will be applied to other unhealthy products, like alcohol and fat food.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

A smokeless tobacco product offers an alternative that doesn't harm innocent people (no passive smoking anymore)

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Plain packaging is no method that should be used in a free market economy. Improving the customer information, though, can lead to a more reasonable way to deal with smoking

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The flavour and additions to cigarettes distinguish brands from each other. A common cigarette without any additions comes close to a "communism - standard - cigarette"

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Different additions provide different brands, and are therefore a good addition to a free market economy

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No specific comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Meinhard Messner



**email**

FirmaMessner@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Komment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no Kom.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kom.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kom.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steven Köhler

**email**

steven.koehler@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Bockhop

**email**

hans.bockhop@t-online.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
39

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Meines Erachtens wird hier ein Genussmittel, dessen übermäßiger Konsum gesundheitsgefährdend ist, willkürlich ausgewählt. Ich mag die Welt mit ihren Wahlmöglichkeiten, so wie sie ist.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Freizügigkeit für Waren ist wichtig. Was in einem Mitgliedsland hergestellt wird, sollte in den anderen Ländern auch erhältlich sein.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
In meinem Umfeld ist jeder Raucher und Nichtraucher über die gesundheitlichen Gefahren des Rauchens informiert. Unabhängig von der Farbe, Größe und Gestaltung der Warnhinweise. Ich habe festgestellt, dass unabhängig davon auch informierte Raucher und Nichtraucher lieber Packungen kaufen (für sich selbst oder andere), die nicht mit Warnhinweise verunstaltet sind, sondern schön gestaltet. Dies spielt zum Beispiel bei Cigarren oder bei Mitbringsel aus dem Urlaub eine große Rolle. Überhaupt sehe ich viele fremdsprachige Warnhinweise in Deutschland, weil sich Konsumenten ihre Ware auch international beschaffen können, wenn sie national nicht mehr bezahlbar sind oder nicht mehr hübsch anzuschauen.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wenn ich Konditor wäre, würde ich ein Genussmittel herstellen, dessen übermäßiger Konsum gesundheitsgefährdend ist. Darauf würde ich vielleicht noch mit einem Warnhinweis hinweisen wollen. Aber auf keinen Fall würde ich das Rezept für meine beliebte Torte veröffentlichen wollen, so dass jede andere Konditorei oder jeder Haushalt die Torte nachbauen kann.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sippel

**email**

klaus\_sippel@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick Bilz

**email**

Patrick.Bilz@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---



## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jeanette Graber

#### **email**

jeanette.graber@hotmail.com

#### **country**

Switzerland

#### **age**

39

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Es fehlt an Erkenntnissen, dass grössere Warnhinweise wirksam sind.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Warum soll die EU in diesem Bereich zusätzliche Kompetenzen in Anspruch nehmen.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wichtig sind die Alterslimiten und das diese eingehalten werden.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Staat soll selber entscheiden und einhalten

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Drümmer, Hannelore

**email**

zigarrenhaus-druemmer@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jörn de Boer

**email**

joerndeboer@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Holger Schoenebeck

**email**

holger.schoenebeck@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment necessary

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no!

**additional comments**

no!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

You should take care for greater probs, f.e. poverty!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robin Greif-Kiefer

**email**

Robin.Greif@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

18

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finde das die Menschen heutzutage genug vor dem Gebrauch von Tabakprodukten gewarnt worden sind. Sodass jeder mündige Bürger selbst entscheiden kann ob er raucht oder nicht.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Astrid Rothaut

**email**

713919@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The directive is much too general and does only distinguish between Cigarettes (incl. "Kräutierzigaretten") and Snus. What about Pipe-tobacco and Cigars? I also fail to see how ENDS to fall under "tobacco" - they are well classified as pharmaceutical products. They should not simply be sold. I also agree, that nicotine-sweets need to be judged, but they also are no tobacco. You might want a "nicotine" products directive. I also demand a clear distinction between cigarettes and cigars - esp. as cigars are (usually, except if you put artificial taste stuff in them) 100% natural tobacco products and cannot (!) be compared with a chemical equation of cigarettes.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

As said above - you have to distinguish clearly the different tobacco products - you cannot simply say "cigarettes" and with that include loose tobacco (even if for cigarettes), pipe tobacco, cigars, snus. I also fail to see where the right of the individual is stated, to live his/her life with / without tobacco products. As long as tobacco has not been ruled a drug, everyone should be free to consume it as he/she likes.

**additional comments**

Nothing that would add value to this topic, even if I have a distinctive opinion on the interference of the EU into my private and personal decisions.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not see, how your suggestions could improve or support public health. As well: you do not consider that some people do not care. I agree, that the industry needs to provide the list of contents of a cigarette, but that should be on the package of the cigarettes and not be provided to the separate governments where each is treating it differently again. I don't think also, it makes sense to limit the content on nicotine and tar - people who need a higher dose will simply smoke more and with that have a much higher intake of chemical poison. Also: distinguish clearly cigars and cigarettes! Do not make uniform packaging - it does not fit the different products and would only make consumers find alternatives.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

As said before, I do not see an additional benefit to only provide a list of ingredients to the separate authorities. Pls. provide that information to the consumer. Also, an independent research office should check that the information provided per product is correct.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

As said before, if you limit the content of tar and nicotine, strong smokers will be forced to smoke more cigarettes than before (which surely is good for the tax authorities as long as the people do not smuggle) which will lead to a higher intake of chemical ingredients, which I would consider potentially more harmful than the tobacco itself (that is a GUESS - I have never analysed or studied what the differences are in case you smoke a pure tobacco product and a chemical treated product).

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

wyth, John Ross

**email**

jrwyth@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jens Grobe

**email**  
tabakshopgrobe@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joachim Kaiser

**email**

J.Kaiser-home@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Vollmer

**email**

pileus@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es besteht keinerlei Handlungsbedarf, das Genussverhalten der Bürger zu regulieren, ja, Regulierungsbestrebungen der EU empfinde ich als unzulässigen und undemokratischen Versuch diktatorische Maßnahmen zu etablieren, die bei der Ausgestaltung privater Freiheitsrechte der Bürger nichts verloren haben.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Es besteht in Zusammenhang mit Tabakgenuss kein Problem, dessen sich die Eurokraten annehmen sollten.

**additional comments**

Aufhebung aller bisherigen Richtlinien zur Regulierung des Genusses von Tabakerzeugnissen.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Wie bereits gesagt: Die EU soll sich aus der Regulierung des Genuss- und Konsumverhaltens der Menschen gefälligst raushalten. Es geht sie nichts an.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Keinerlei weitere Be- oder Einschränkungen im Umgang mit legalen Produkten.

**additional comments**

Rücknahme sämtlicher, geplanter Richtlinien zur Regulierung des Umgangs mit legalen



Produkten

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich wiederhole: Ich lehne jede Form der Regulierung des Genussverhaltens der Menschen durch die EUrokratie ab. Es geht die EU nichts an, wie die Menschen leben wollen.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Es ist ein Unding, dass dem Hersteller eines LEGALEN Genussmittels durch eine anmaßende Bürokratie Auflagen gemacht werden, die den Vertrieb des Produkts erschweren oder gar verhindern sollen.

**additional comments**

Die selbst ernannten Heilsprediger der EU sollten sich lieber um die wirklichen Probleme Europas kümmern und sich überlegen, wie die kulturelle Vielfalt bewahrt und gefördert werden kann, anstatt in einem völlig inakzeptablen Feldzug gegen Raucher Millionen EURO sinnlos zu verbrennen.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Europas Bürger sind mündig genug, selbst zu entscheiden, ob sie rauchen wollen oder nicht. Es bedarf weder schriftlichen, noch bildlichen Warnungen vor den Folgen des eigenen Verhaltens, sowas ist sanitaristische Anmaßung.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Es gibt weder Notwendigkeiten, noch das Recht, in einer FREIEN Gesellschaft LEGALE Produkte mit sanitaristischen Auflagen zu attackieren.

**additional comments**

Ich fordere die Rücknahme aller bereits erlassenen Regulierungsmaßnahmen, Bestimmungen oder Vorschriften, die in die Gestaltungsfreiheit der Verpackungen von Tabakprodukten eingreifen.

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich lehne jeden Eingriff in die Freiheit des Handels mit einem LEGALEN Produkt wie Tabak durch die EUrokratie entschieden ab.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Es gibt keinerlei Handlungsbedarf

**additional comments**

Nein, und dazu gibt es auch keinerlei Handlungsbedarf

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen Grund, den Handel mit einem LEGALEN Erzeugnis zu beschränken. Die EU soll sich hier endlich raushalten.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Es ist einfach unfassbar, mittels welcher Anmaßung sich die EU-Bürokratie hier in Bereiche einmischt, die sie definitiv NICHTS angehen. Handelsbeschränkungen, die im Grunde letztlich allein auf eine Prohibition zielen sind anachronistische Arbeitsbeschaffungsmaßnahmen für überflüssige Beamte und haben in einem modernen Europa nichts verloren.

**additional comments**

Sämtliche Ideen und Vorschläge zur Beschränkung des Handels mit Tabakerzeugnissen sind umgehend zurückzunehmen. Statt dessen sollte sich die EUrokratie lieber den wirklich brennenden Fragen unserer Zeit widmen.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ingolf Narr

**email**

narr.tornesch@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Free citizens have to go for themselves protect may decide. State regulations are contraproductive.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

The regulation fury deals nothing with the interests of the citizens and is to be finished.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

complete wrong beginning

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

complete wrong begin

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

./.

**additional comments**

./.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

complete wrong begin

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

\*

**additional comments**

\*

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

complete wrong begin

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

\*

**additional comments**

\*

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

complete wrong begin

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

\*

**additional comments**

\*

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Micha Diegelmann

#### **email**

diegelmann1a@aol.com

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

42

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No comment.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No comment.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ingo Rasch

**email**

ingo.rasch@online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

No

**additional comments**

No

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kraske

**email**

janos@kraske.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be  
banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bodo Kluge

**email**

Bodo\_Kluge@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe Keinen Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gabriel Gerster

**email**

gabriel.gerster@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Branscheidt

**email**

kdi@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

You are going to realize totalitarianism

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Guttman

**email**

ralf.guttman@hyperspace.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, daß die EU in ihrer derzeitigen rechtlichen Situation (keine parlamentarische Demokratie, sondern Beamten- und Rats Herrschaft) so wenig Einfluss auf die nationalen Gesetzgebungen haben sollte wie irgend möglich. Und: Wieso ist diese Befragung eigentlich nur in englisch?

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

peschek

**email**

pescheks@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No



**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Posdzech

**email**

m.posdzech@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

17

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Helge Nielsen

**email**

helge.nielsen@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

bin gegen jedweilige Änderungen

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

-

**additional comments**

-

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paulius

**email**

pauliusr400@gmail.com

**country**

Lithuania

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful

substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicolas Messmer

**email**

kikushi67@gmail.com

**country**

France

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Studies showed no link between snus and mouth cancer. Researchers said that it is from 90% to 99% less dangerous than a cigarette. This is not an honest ban...

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Unban snus

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Snus

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Nitz

**email**

nitz@genussverlag.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

64

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Weil es das Problem des Rauchens nicht lösen wird. Außerdem ist ein jeder freie Mensch für sich selbst verantwortlich.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Wir brauchen keine Bevormundung aus Brüssel.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tobias Schreiber

**email**

tobi@tobi-home.de

**country**

GERMANY

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Legendijk

**email**

Eriklagendijk@gmail.com

**country**

Nederland

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Astrid Stoiber

**email**

astrid-stoiber@holidayland.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claudia Kriebel

**email**

claudia-kriebel@holidayland.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Schneider Erwin

**email**  
KREUTER.erwin.schneider@t-online.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
75

**gender**  
male

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**

the intention of the european commission will kill the medium sized and liitle middle class firms of retail traders and will destroy thousands of jobs, without any benefit of promotion the aims of the european commission. the smugglers will built a monument for the commissioner for such an extraordinary idea.

**additional comments**

just stupid.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see above

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no need

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gisbert Gerlach

**email**

gisbert-gerlach@holidayland.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Antje Gerlach

**email**

antje-gerlach@holidayland.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Schönherr

**email**

esso.freiberg@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

32

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin Stationsleiter einer Tankstelle. Wenn wie geplant die Tabakwaren unter der Kasse verschwinden sollen, dann ist das erstens bei ca. 200 verschiedenen Produkten nicht möglich und zweitens kann ich meine Station schließen, weil der Krankenstand aufgrund von Rückenproblemen hoch ist, wenn sich die Verkäufer nach jeder Schachtel bücken müssen. Ich bitte dies zu beachten, auch der Gesundheitsschutz der Verkäufer darf nicht außer acht gelassen werden. Ein anderer Punkt sind die extremen Bilder, die dann auf der Schachtel abgebildet sein sollen. Auch wir als Verkäufer schauen uns dann täglich solche Bilder an - ist das den zumutbar?! Den Beruf eines Chirurgen oder Fleischers haben wir nicht gewählt.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christoph, Cymborski

**email**

Hr.Cymborski.Chr@freenet.de

**country**

Germnay

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fröhlich Benjamin

**email**

justforaim@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Because it destroys the industry. Big and also every little Shop. It restricts everyones free will. Everbody has the right to switch his meaning about a product and taste of this. What can i choose on my own in the future? Tobacco is just the beginning. The next are alcoholics, sports and so on. Who gives them the right to choose what is better for me? Or possibly the EU meanwhile has enough money in order to cover the huge amount on tobacco tax whats break up.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Till today i not paid for such decisions.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mihai Stanciu

**email**

mihai.f.stanciu@gmail.com

**country**

Romania

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**  
Remove herbal cigarettes (if they do not contain nicotine) from scope and consider the nicotine delivery products only, i.e include ENDS and smokeless tobacco products.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
The diversity of approaches and regulations in different member states shows a diverse understanding of the current Directive. I believe that first all member states should have a similar approach, and evaluate the consequences of this approach. Then, it may be decided to strengthen the measures already implemented with uniformity, across the EU. Currently we do not seem to have sufficient evidence that putting pictures on the packs reduces smoking. The amount of information given to the smokers in the past years made them fully aware of the risks. The ones who pick up smoking now are aware of the risks, the ones who do not quit are aware of the risks, so why enhance it? I rather suggest ensuring that for example all countries have the same regulations in terms of picture warnings. I sometimes travel within Europe and I did not see the picture warnings in many countries.

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Ensure that current directive are implemented uniformly in all EU countries, evaluate impact, that evaluate options.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional comments**  
I believe that the purpose of a EU directive is to standardise a process and streamline it.



Having different regulations in different countries increases the level of complexity for the national authorities and reduces the possibility to learn/implement best practices. Having one EU authority reduces this complexity and helps each country having faster access to reliable information and reducing unstandardized bureaucratic activities.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vissers B.A.M>

**email**

info@visserspartners.nl

**country**

Holland

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Neither bigger warnings nor picture warnings or plain packs are required in case of cigars. Cigar smokers are adults, enjoying a cigar on an occasional basis.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eu member states can already introduce fees and sanctions

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A display ban would effectively mean the end of most small (cigar) brands

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Michael Fiddeke

#### **email**

michael.fiddeke@ankstelle.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

55

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Ich finde es einfach zu weitgehend .

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eingriff in die persönliche Entscheidungsfreiheit

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

sven hesse

**email**

sven.hesse@ngi.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

35

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gabriele Wachtendorf

**email**

g.wachtendorf@niemeyer-cigarren.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zigaretten - sowie andere Tabakwaren - sind für mich legale Produkte.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Keine Veränderungen!

**additional comments**

Der volljährige Verbraucher soll weiterhin selbst frei entscheiden können.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Keine Veränderungen!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Keine Veränderungen!

**additional comments**

Keine Veränderungen!

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Veränderungen!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Der Industrie und dem Fachhandel wird durch Einheitspackungen geschadet, so dass ich die Gefährdung vieler Arbeitsplätze sehe.

**additional comments**

Jeder EU-Staat soll selbst entscheiden ob und welche bildlichen Warnhinweise es gibt.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Veränderungen!

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Verlust vieler Arbeitsplätze, da kleine Hersteller nicht "mithalten" können.

**additional comments**

Kleine Anbieter würden vom Markt verschwinden.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Veränderungen!

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Über die Zusatzstoffe sollte jeder EU-Staat selbst entscheiden können.

**additional comments**

Keine Geschmacksunterschiede bedeutet Einheitsgeschmack.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Veränderungen!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Die EU sollte nicht für die Bürger entscheiden dürfen, sondern jeder erwachsene Bürger sollte selbst für sich entscheiden können.

**additional comments**

Ich sehe meinen Arbeitsplatz - und den vieler anderer auch - akut gefährdet durch die geplanten Änderungen der EU!!!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FELBERBAUER Christine

**email**

chris.felberbauer@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

People should be free to make their own personal judgement, what they want to do. Smoke or not smoke is my personal freedom.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No



**comments**

I am a smoker for 45 years, and a very healthy person. So smoking cannot be the only problem to peoples health.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Protect the young. And give rational information. And don`t organise a "witch-hunt"!

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Information is not really objectiv.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Leave things as they are now. You have introduced enough limitations alreday (smoking bans in Restaurants and public buildings. Otherwise you will have to ban alcohol as well.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

christin lundius

**email**

christi.lundius@a-k-tiv.de

**country**

deutschland

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ziller

**email**

dieter@ziller.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
tobias suhr

**email**  
tobsuh@web.de

**country**  
deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marlies Sebastian

**email**

sebastian-kgs@t-online.de

**country**

BRD

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Uwe Piepenbrink

**email**

dirkuwepiepenbrink@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive



**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional comments**

I recommend to leave out the printing of too repulsive illustrations or graphic signs that might in fact attract "daring" young people more likely than just plain packages.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

meier

**email**

hamburg@ap.org

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Not logical.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Werner Könnecke

**email**  
wkoennecke@arcor.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
57

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
no Comment

**additional comments**  
no

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rüdiger Roselius

**email**

R.Roselius@gmx.de

**country**

Bremen, Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es reicht völlig aus, was die bisherige Regelung beinhaltet!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Warum? Die gesetzlichen Regelungen sind völlig ausreichend!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hartmut Sebastian

**email**

sebastian-kgs@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Christel Köhler

**email**  
christel.koehler@tankstelle.de

**country**



Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte nicht weiter vom Staat und Vom Europa-Parlament bevormundet werden, was ich zu tun und zu lassen habe und was ich kaufen soll oder nicht. Ich will frei konsumieren!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich empfinde die Warnhinweise als ausreichend und benötige keine weitere Ausführung der Gefahren durch das Rauchen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich benötige keine weiteren Informationen auf den Zigarettenschachteln.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich benötige diese Regulierung nicht.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich empfinde die Verkaufswege in Deutschland als sicher genug, um Jugendliche von den Produkten fernzuhalten. Ich setze mehr auf Aufklärung über die Produkte in Schulen und Bildungseinrichtungen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hertzschuch, Frank

**email**

f.hertzschuch@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Kunze

**email**

kunzecottbus@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
58

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Van Mierlo Bob

**email**

bobvanmierlo@gmail.com

**country**

Belgium

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No evidence that bigger warnings are more effective!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU member states can already introduce fees and sanctions

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

toxicity should be the basis for making decisions on tobacco ingredients

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence provided as to why bans would improve the functioning of the internal market or advance any public health objectives

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Leucht

**email**

leucht.markus@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

täger , wolfhard

**email**

wolfhard.taeger@reemtsma.de

**country**

germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Regelungen in Deutschland sind ausreichen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die gesetzlichen Regelungen die wir in Deutschland haben , sind ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ohne Zusatzstoffe schmecken Zigaretten nicht , keine zusätzlichen Regelungen

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weiteren Beschränkungen , Arbeitsplatzverluste

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lindner Evelyn

**email**

sasch\_evelyn@sbg.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Edith Treseler

**email**

Edith.Treseler@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mooseder Josef

**email**

tabakshop@vr-web.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

43

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freiheit geht über alles weniger regelungen mehr eigenverantwortung für die bürger wir sind europäer keine americaner

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

alkohol müsste eingeschränkt werden

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christopher Fehlhaber

**email**

christopher\_fehlhaber@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

21

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrich Wallner

**email**

wallner.ulrich@t-online

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No



**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bija

**email**

c.bija@ewetel.net

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ist alles OK

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Keine Änderungen

**additional comments**

Der Bürger soll selber entscheiden können

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Keine Änderungen

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Aufhebung des Verkaufsverbot

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderungen

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Es würde weniger Umsatz gemacht.

**additional comments**

Auf Warnhinweise in Bilddarstellung sollte man verzichten

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderungen

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Arbeitsplätze u. Ausbildungsplätze würden verloren gehen.

**additional comments**

Es könnten nur die Grosskonzerne überleben

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderung

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Es sollte jedes Land selbst entscheiden können welche Zusatzstoffe hinzugefügt werden

**additional comments**

Jeder Bürger hat einen anderen Geschmack

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderungen

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Die Tabakindustrie u. Einzel.- u. Großhändler sollen selber bestimmen können welche Zusatzbeschränkungen

**additional comments**

Die Arbeitsplätze sowie Ausbildungsplätze wären durch die Änderung der EU gefährdet.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Unsel, Rainer

**email**

unseltank@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

keinkOMMENTAR

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hoier

**email**

beep@hoier.net

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sascha Herbold

**email**

sascha.herbold@tankstelle.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wegen der Packungen fängt sowiso keiner das Rauchen an.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

--

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Barnáné Katona Mária

**email**

reka1026@freemail.hu

**country**

Magyar

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ellentmondásos a tervezet, mert tiltásokat fogalmaz meg bizonyos termékkörökre, anélkül, hogy erre vonatkozó részletekkel szolgálna.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Az EU-nak ezen kérdéskör szabályozásában több teret kellene engednie a tagállamoknak. A füstmentes dohánytermékeket a tiltás helyett inkább reklámozni kellene.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Az egészségvédő feliratok méretének növelése nem lesz arányban az elérni kívánt céllal. Az egyencsomagolás pedig utat nyit a feketekereskedelem növekedésének.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ez már megoldott kérdéskör, és a jelenlegi szabályozás elég konkrét direktívákat határoz meg. A dohánytermékek fogyasztóit, nem a csomagolás milyensége fogja befolyásolni az általuk fogyasztani kívánt termék megvásárlásában.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A tiltó jellegű szabályozásnak tudományos alapokra kell támaszkodnia nem pedig szubjektív, megfoghatatlan értékelésen. Jelenleg Magyarországon közel 10,000 ember foglalkoztatottságát, egzisztenciáját, megélhetését veszélyeztetné ez az átgondolatlan tiltó szabályozás.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A túlzott szabályozás egyenes út a feketekereskedelem növekedéséhez - a legális értékesítés csökkentése miatt kelet-európai országokból becsempészett, ellenőrizetlen összetételű dohánytermékek fogják ellepni az Európai Unió tagállamainak fekete piacát.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Reinberger Bei St Jost 2 35039 Marburg

**email**

Treinb8594@aol.com

**country**

35039 Marburg

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lietzmann, Carsten

**email**

carsten.lietzmann@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I'll be my self!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I'll be my self!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I'll be my self!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Routon Thomas

**email**

thomas.routon@gmail.com

**country**

France

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Snus is not as toxic as cigarettes are

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Packaging is the clue against fake cigarettes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the main problem is the toxicity of ingredients

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gertz

**email**

roneluk@netscape.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

überflüssiger Regulierungswahn

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

überflüssiger Regulierungswahn

**additional comments**

überflüssiger Regulierungswahn

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

überflüssiger Regulierungswahn

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

überflüssiger Regulierungswahn

**additional comments**

überflüssiger Regulierungswahn

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

überflüssiger Regulierungswahn

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Asta

**email**

direktorius@avs.lt

**country**

Lithuania

**age**

33

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Direktyvos apimtis galėtų būti praplėsta, tačiau neturėtų apimti draudimo naujiems oraliniams vartojimui skirtiems produktams

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nėra mokslinių įrodymų, kad vienodos pakuotės ar itin didelio dydžio įspėjamieji ženklai yra efektyvesni informuojant vartotojus apie žalą sveikatai. Tai nepadeda efektyviai siekti užsibrėžto visuomenės sveikatos tikslo

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kiekviena ES šalis-narė gali pati laisvai spręsti dėl mokesčių ir sankcijų, ir nėra būtinybės to apibrėžti ES Direktyvoje.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ingredientai turėtų būti reguliuojami tiriant tai, kaip jie įtakoja tabako dūmų toksiškumą.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nėra aiškiai apibrėžta, kodėl produktų rodymas prekybos vietose turėtų būti draudžiamas ar ribojamas. Nėra argumentų, kaip ši priemonė padėtų siekti visuomenės tikslų ar prisidėtų prie efektyvaus bendos ES rinkos funkcionavimo. Be to, Lietuvosrinkoje tai gali gerokai padidinti kontrabandos ir nelegalios prekybos tabako gaminiais mastą.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Lau

**email**

Kurd-lau@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Die Regulierungswut Eoropäischer Politiker ist unvorstellbar. Europäische Abgeordnete haben Jahrzehnte Kommunistische Regime verurteilt. Als EU-Bürger hat man nun das Gefühl unter den Deckmatel der Demokratie wollen EU Politiker insbesondere aus kleinen Ländern ähnliche Systeme schaffen. Die Bevormundung der EU-Bürger durch die EU Kommissare / EU Parlament geht einfach zu weit. Unter diesen Vorraussetzungen verliert man als Bürger die Lust an der EU. Regulierungen aus den Staatsparlamenten sind mehr als ausreichend.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Fischer

**email**

zigarrenhaus.prussler@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
52

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Keine Ausweitung des Gelungsbereiches nötig

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patzer

**email**

silkepatzer@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das Rauchen wird durch weitere Reglementierungen nicht weiter eingeschränkt. Es verführt eher noch, damit fast etwas "verbotenes" zu tun.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ein Erwachsener sollte frei bestimmen dürfen, welche Genussmittel, auch von welcher Marke, er zu sich nimmt. Die Markenentscheidung ist dabei ganz wesentlich. Was macht der Staat ohne die kompletten Einnahmen aus Tabaksteuern? Wie will er die daraus resultierenden Arbeitslosen und Steuerausfälle auffangen?

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar, die Neuregelungen führen zu weit

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderungen erforderlich

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oliver Grauel

**email**

olivergrauel@arcor.de

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bija

**email**

c.bija@cigarren-niemeyer.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Judith Theresa Kayenburg

**email**

judith\_kayenburg@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

23

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Scope of directive is absolutely legitimate, but I insist to commission a neutral society to research and to public scientific ratings in reference to the differing product groups for which the extension of the directive is planned. Furthermore every kind of regulation of tobacco products has to be justified by scientific results. This implies possible regulations or prohibitions for all products that comprise nicotine but no tabac.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigarettes and other tobacco products are (until now) legal products. Therefore I totally refuse the strict directive. In my opinion Europe is about to overregulate every citizen's lives. I insist that people should take responsibility for their actions and decision. I am sure that 98% of the European population know about the dangers of smoking and about diseases they might suffer from when they smoke. Nevertheless I address the court as a public prosecutor to keep the freedom of choice which is one of the most important civil rights we all want to defend. By the way: I don't smoke...

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

The consumption of smokeless tobacco products (of course only the consumption of well informed adults) does not endanger the health of other people and it does not restrict other people in their standard of living. The consumption is in everybody's self-responsibility. Marketing and sales must be possible.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There are no indications that a bigger warning hint is more efficient than a small one. People generally know that smoking is risky. Additional pictures do not help. On the contrary they are disgusting, but they don't give any satisfactory explanation. In addition people blurt more and more.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Marketing is a lawful instrument of communication and entrepreneurial operation. Companies should keep the chance to communicate their visions and ideas via design, logo and colours.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Information is important, therefore I support the idea of a reporting format.

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no solution for the destruction of competition due to a one-sided regulation of

products with additional ingredients. Furthermore this debate is not really scientific but ideologic. Hints that regulations or prohibitions could disturb an important element of sales strategies does not facilitate the health-political discussion.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Vending machines have already strong conditions and a good software to control the age of its users. There is no reason for forbidding vending machines as long as they are not free accessible to youths and children. This whole problem definition curtails the member states' individual freedom of legislation.

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional comments**

I think it is important to control the access to tobacco products. Nevertheless it is even more important to control import and export of tobacco products. The smuggling is a much bigger problem than the age verification difficulties. Not only the bad ingredients in cheap tobacco products but also the economic damage for Europe is a problem that not everybody is aware of. I suppose to increase sanctions and punishments for smuggling considerably.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carina Recknagel

**email**

carina.recknagel@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

23

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Because of the economic aspects for the companies and the employees.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Economic problems and effects for companies and employees

**which option**  
No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Economic Problems!

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No one should be limited on his use of tobacco products.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Flügel

**email**

juergen\_fluegel@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Möglichkeit einzelnen Veräuderungen zuzustimmen,

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine klaren Vergleichsmöglichkeiten in der EU Preiserhöhungen wegen Verwaltungsaufwand weitere Ausweitung der Europäischen Behörden

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sortenvielfalt soll erhalten bleiben

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Vernichtung von Arbeitsplätzen in der EU Verdrängung des Zigarettenhandels in den Schwarzmarkt Steuererhöhungen durch Wegfall von Tabaksteuer, Gewerbesteuer, Lohnsteuer Erhöhung der Ausgaben für Arbeitslosengeld

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANA VICTORIA PELAEZ

**email**

anavictoria.pelaez@wanadoo.es

**country**

SPAIN

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M<sup>a</sup> ALEXANDRA CAIÑO MALVIDO

**email**

BRICOSANDRA@HOTMAIL.COM

**country**

ESPAÑA

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Timm Lüers

#### **email**

t.lueers@niemeyer-cigarren.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

49

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Cigaretten, oder Gesamt-Tabakwaren, sind legale Produkte. Ein derartige Diskrimminierung, Be- u. Einschränkung, von legalen Produkten kann in einem Rechtsstaat oder Staatenbund nicht statthaft sein, widerspricht sogar den Grundprinzipien.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Alle Politiker(innen), die hier so dermaßen falsch die angedachten Maßnahmen der EU-Kommission verfolgen, hätten zum Beispiel nach der Geburt abgetrieben werden können. So wäre auch der gesamte volkswirtschaftliche Schaden geringer. Als EU-Bürger darf man sich schon sehr wundern, was "so" mit seinem Geld passiert - und vor allem, in welche Aktivitäten es investiert wird.

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

**additional option**

wie vorstehend

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wie vorstehend

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

wie vorstehend

**additional comments**

wie vorstehend

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wie vorstehend

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

wie vorstehend

**additional comments**

wie vorstehend

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wie vorstehend

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

wie vorstehend

**additional comments**

wie vorstehend

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wie vorstehend

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

wie vorstehend

**additional comments**

wie vorstehend

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Yassin Troudi

**email**

yassin.troudi@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

smoking

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich rauche gerne

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Wolfgang Schmid

#### **email**

wolfgang.schmid.otterfing@freenet.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

50

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No Comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No comment

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefanie Klinowski

**email**

stefanie.klinowski@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**



**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinn abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinn abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinn abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich halte es für unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinn abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinn abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinn abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind legale Produkte, die jeder Kunde auch sehen sollte, um seine Auswahl zu treffen. Es werden zahlreiche Arbeitsplätze in Industrie und vorallendingen im Handel (Kioske, Lebensmittelgeschäfte, Tankstelle etc.) in ganz Europa stark gefährdet.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harry Glass

**email**

hglass1@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The EC seem to be focusing on one problem area. There are many difficulties within the EC.. religious toleranz.. different regulation in other countries.. What about alcohol??.. non allowed drug addiction?? There are a thousand problems that should be addressed.. but NO just lets focus on this industry.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

If other industries were targeted as much as the tabak industry... WE the people should also have the right to decide what we want to do... Save money by halving the expenditure of the European parliament and all the civil service.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicole Müller-Lohse

**email**

n.mueller-lohse@niemeyer-cigarren.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist alles gut, wie es ist.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Keine Änderungen

**additional comments**

Konsumenten sollten selbst entscheiden können

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

keine Änderungen

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Aufhebung des Snus Verkaufsverbot

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderungen

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Es wäre langweilig, wenn alle Schachteln gleich aussähen.

**additional comments**

Auf bildliche Warnhinweise sollte man grundsätzlich verzichten.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderungen

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Arbeitsplätze würden verloren gehen, da mittelständische Unternehmen die Kosten nicht tragen können.

**additional comments**

Nur die großen Anbieter könnten überleben.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderungen

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Die einzelnen Länder sollten selbst entscheiden können, welche Zusatzstoffe hinzugefügt werden.

**additional comments**

Jeder Mensch hat einen anderen Geschmack.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderungen

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Zusatzbeschränkungen sollten nur von der Tabakindustrie bestimmt werden.

**additional comments**

Mein Arbeitsplatz wäre durch die Änderung der EU gefährdet.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicole Wartenberg

**email**

nici-one@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
39

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Raucher werden diskriminiert-das wird mit Alkoholikern nicht gemacht, diese werden noch geschützt und bekommen auf Krankenschein Entzugskliniken gestellt. Das kostet im Jahr mehrere Hunderttausend Euro. Allein die Krankheiten die bei den Alkoholikern anfallen und die Unfälle die die verursachen-teilweise werden sie frei gesprochen.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Freiheit für alle und alle Produkte

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Unterschiede bestimmen das recht der Geschmacksfreiheit, die Vielfalt liegt in jedem Bereich der Lebensmittelindustrie vor oder vollen sie nur eine Sorte Brot essen

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erika Londzeck

**email**

erika.londzeck@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Isidoro Corchero Corchero

**email**

isicorchero@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**age**

42

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Heinzl

**email**

guzzychris99@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is common knowledge that smoking is dangerous. There is no further need of information. Note the difference between taking care of the customer and paternalism.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Livia Londzeck

**email**

livia.londzeck@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dehn Ralf

**email**

info@esso-in-halle.de

**country**

D

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Schneider

**email**

markus.schneider@kreuter-vending.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No



**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitige Regelung ist vollkommen ausreichend

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Stuhr Gerhard

**email**  
g.stuhr@kabsi.at

**country**  
Österreich

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Doreen Buttler

**email**

Doreen\_bu@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Miriam Kitte

**email**

miri.kitte@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't think there is a need to extend the scope of the Directive. The EU should rely on the responsibility of the individuals and their free choice instead of regulating more and more products/services/issues.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I believe that there is no difference between advertising of tobacco products and advertising of other consumer goods. Tobacco products packaging is not more and more used for advertising reasons than any other products packaging. If the EU wanted to regulate all products with a negative health impact there is much more to regulate (alc, grease, sugar, computer games etc.)!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Health campaigns for people under the age of 18 which address the risks of nicotine, alcohol, drugs, excessive use of medical drugs etc. could be a useful tool to inform about related health risks. Similar campaigns aiming at people addicted and offering help to get away from excessive use can also help. In the end it's the free choice of the individual what he/she chooses to enjoy life - even with some health risks involved. We do not want to live in an overregulated country/EU where the states will take our responsibility and decide on our behalf what we are allowed to do and what not.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

It is essential that a common reporting format is defined which applies for all member states. There need to be clear definitions and a consistent reporting system. Otherwise there is no consistency in the way countries report on their ingredients and data cannot be compared.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Prechter

**email**

hans@prechter.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

H.W.P. Toonen

**email**

hals@iae.nl

**country**

Netherlands



**age**  
56

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Neither bigger warnings nor picture warnings or plain packs are required in case of cigars. Cigar smokers are adults, they enjoy their cigar on an occasional basis.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
EU member states can already introduce fees and sanctions.

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
The focus of ingredients should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A display ban would effectively mean the end of most small (cigar) brands. These small cigar brands exist because of their quality.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Fischer

**email**

j.fischer@niemeyer-cigarren.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ohne Worte

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Alles muss so bleiben

**additional comments**

Ab 18 darf doch jeder selbst entscheiden --- oder ?????

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Alles so wie es ist

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Alles bleibt

**additional comments**

Alle Raucher sind 18

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Alles bleibt so

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Einheitspackungen tangieren das Marken - u. Patentrecht. Mein Arbeitsplatz ist unsicher.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

alles bleibt so

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

viele Arbeitsplätze gehen verloren

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

alles bleibt so

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Jeder Staat entscheidet die Zusatzstoffe zu regulieren

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Alles bleibt so

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Die EU sollte keine Kompetenz bei der Frage von Zugangsbeschränkungen ( Arbeitsplätzen ) bei Tabakerzeugnissen mehr haben siehe 2006 Quickie - Verbot in Deutschland

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Edda Born

**email**

e.born@niemeyer-cigarren.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich kann keinen Kommentar abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Ich möchte keine Änderung.

**additional comments**

Ich meine, es sollten keine Änderungen erfolgen und den erwachsenen Konsumenten sollte man die Wahlfreiheit lassen.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich kann keinen Kommentar abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Die Vermarktbarkeit rauchloser Tabakprodukte muss meiner Meinung nach gegeben sein.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Keine Änderung.

**additional comments**

Die EU-Mitgliedsstaaten sollten die bildlichen Warnhinweise selber regeln. Keinen Warnhinweis für Wasserpfeifen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderung.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Die kleineren Hersteller würden vom Markt verschwinden. Ich sehe dadurch meinen Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**additional comments**

Es wären nur noch große Anbieter auf dem Markt.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderung.

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

Der Einheitsgeschmack gefährdet die Existenz des Fachhandelssortiments.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderungen.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich sehe meinen 20-jährigen Arbeitsplatz durch Display Ban akut gefährdet.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kai Pigors

**email**

Kai.pigors@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

18

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I have no comment to this idea.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No change, it's good like it is at the moment.

**additional comments**

There shouldn't be any changes. The grown up people need their freedom.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

I'm a trainee and i want to finish my education without any problems.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Being more strictly on selling tobacco things at not grown people.

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I have no changes.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

I want to get tobacco in supermarkets and in gas stations. I don't want to walk 30 minutes to get a cigarette box with an ugly picture on it and where i don't even know which brand produced that cigarettes.

**additional comments**

No

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't want tobacco that tastes like nothing. That's really disgusting.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Small companys can't hold the changes and will disappear from the market.

**additional comments**

No

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't want to smoke tobacco that tastes like nothing.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

There should be both, tobacco with ingredients for the people who like it, and tobacco without ingredients for the other people.

**additional comments**

If every cigarette would tastes equal, noone would buy much diffrent cigarettes and MANY producers will disappear from the market.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No changes.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Each customer and citizen should decide for his own, and not get forced what to do by the EU!

**additional comments**

Im a trainee in a tobaccocompany and i don't want to loose my education. These changes that the EU want to do would me make definitely loose my work.

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Günther Kraus

**email**

presse-kraus@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Deshalb wird nicht weniger geraucht

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tabakwaren und Zigaretten nur noch in lizenzierten Fachgeschäften verkaufen. Keine dieser

Produkte mehr in Zigarettenautomaten, Supermärkten und Discounter und Tankstellen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bisherige Regelung völlig ausreichend

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**additional option**

Tabakwaren und Zigaretten nur noch in lizenzierten Fachgeschäften verkaufen. Keine dieser Produkte mehr in Zigarettenautomaten, Supermärkten und Discounter und Tankstellen.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dagmar Schroeder

**email**

schroeder\_hamoor@online.de

**country**

German

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kk

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kk

**additional comments**  
kk

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Chaperon Dominique

**email**

dominique.chaperon@gmail.com

**country**

France

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No automatic exclusion , we should base our decision on scientific basement

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Scientific measures shows that SNUS is not as dangerous as cigarettes

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not believe in the efficiency of such advertisements.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Keep control of the market (as today)

**additional comments**

Plain packaging does not respect the business trademarks and will allow criminal organization to enter the cigarettes market with illicite and uncontrolled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

All states could already introduce taxes & sanctions.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

This should remain under UE members responsibility.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The relations should only remain on te Health factor. ( Ingredients are more or less toxic )

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No proven benefits

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Oestmann

**email**

Jan.Oestmann@reemtsma.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No change needed!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No!

**additional comments**

No!

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No.

**additional comments**

No.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No change needed!

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

No!

**additional comments**

No!

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No change needed!

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No!

**additional comments**

No!

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

No.

**additional comments**

No.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr.P.-G. Henning

**email**

P.-G.\_Henning@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

61



**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
I thought I am a free citizen and as an grown-up I really would prefer to make my own decisions related to my private living. So please stay out of my privacy.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
I want to make my own decisions.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
There has been certainly more than enough info (agitation) around smoking.

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
There has been certainly more than enough info (agitation) against use of tobacco.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Don't treat smokers as you are doing since years.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Annemarie Streng

**email**

annemarie.streng@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johannes Streng

**email**

johannes.streng@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Santambrogio Silvia

**email**

s.santambrogio@yahoo.com

**country**

Switzerland

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not think it is a problem. Give more information to people and let them choose.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

The governments should give the right to the people to choose if they want to smoke and if

they smoke give them a chance to choose a product which they like. The current warnings on the packs clearly indicate the potential risks and therefore the consumers have the information they require.

**additional comments**

If this is done on cigarettes then it should also be applied to all the food available in the supermarkets.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Information is never enough. The pictures currently on the packs are disgusting people which are not smoking but smokers themselves do not care. More information should be available on what smoking could cause to their health - written - maybe inside in the pack

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the ingredients make the taste.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ingredients are already subject to regulation as far as I know. You should better concentrate on food additives and real problems rather than this.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dietmar Bramböck

**email**

dietmarbramboeck@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich bin gegen diese Regulierung und diese Art von "Bevormundungen" eines volljährigen Bundesbürger. Zudem geht es langfristig insgesamt um die Erhaltung von Arbeitsplätzen !!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

thomas faust

**email**

thomas.faust1@freenet.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Erlsbacher

**email**

christian.erlsbacher@chello.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Again: There is no problem! There is already enough banning going on, so leave us alone!

**additional comments**

No.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

There is no problem!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

There is no problem!

**additional comments**

No.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

There is no problem!

**additional comments**

No.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem!

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

There is no problem!

**additional comments**

No.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem!

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

There is no problem!

**additional comments**

No.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

There is no problem!

**additional comments**

No.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susanne Valant

**email**

susanne.valant@muerznet.at

**country**

Austria

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DAL BOSCO ANNA AMERIS

**email**

merymorgan.tabacchi@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPIGOLON GASTONE

**email**

domonica@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

77

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CUNICO ANTONIO

**email**

lotto.cunico@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

64

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAGNON CLAUDIO

**email**

cpagnon@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASA ALBERTO

**email**

alberto.casa@cheapnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARIOLATO CLEY

**email**

info@alloggioalponete.it

**country**

Italy

**age**



**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRANCO ALESSANDRO

**email**

franco.vi@ilgrandecinema.com

**country**

Italy

**age**  
42

**gender**  
male

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FONTANA STEFANO

**email**

tabaccheriafontana@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LORENZIN ELISA

**email**

lorenzinnicola@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NICOLOSI ANDREA



**email**

niktaba@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NOALE MARIO

**email**

tabaccheria@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

74

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NARDELLO ELISABETTA FERNANDA

**email**

nardelloelisabetta@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCHIORETTO DIEGO

**email**

marchioretto\_diego@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

GATTO MARIO

#### **email**

LEOMARIOSILVIA@LIBERO.IT

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

45

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

VIGOLO VALLI'

#### **email**

vigolovalli@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

48

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARITONO MAURO

**email**

m.baritono@aliceposta.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TECCHIO GIANNI

**email**

tecchiogianni@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.



**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GASPARELLA SANDRO

**email**

sandro.gasparella@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BALBO VINCENZO

**email**

tabaccheriabalb2007@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MICHELOTTO LUIGI

**email**

fsimioni@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALBERGUCCI FRANCO

**email**

albergucci.franco@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access



**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RONZANI MARINA

**email**

tab.ronzani@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCHIORO VIRGILIO

**email**

greengarden19@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

70

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCORZATO VIRGINIA

**email**

g.comparin@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PENDIN RENZO

**email**

cardinale@cardinale.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE COL ALESSANDRA

**email**

rintintin94@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GAMBA TIZIANO

**email**

gambatiziano@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MORESCO DANIELA MARIA

**email**

ovetto@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANZARDO DOMENICA

**email**

barret3@inwind.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No



**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAROSO GERVASIO

**email**

gerry\_bar@inwind.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GAITO MARIA

**email**

tabaccheriagaito@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
37

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRIGO FRANCESCA

**email**

torneriabomitali@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MALENZA RICCARDO

**email**

tabaccheriaspaolodimalen@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BENETAZZO EMILIA

**email**

elisa.ma@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

86

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLLICELLI SILVANA

**email**

maevaperilongo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CORATO MARGHERITA

**email**

coratomargherita@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARBIERO MARIROSA

**email**

sigitechsrl@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis



of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TONIOLO FRANCA

**email**

bottegadifranca@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRESSAN MONICA

**email**

posta@monicatabacchi.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FONGARO GRAZIANO

**email**

cianofive@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIRARDELLO ANITA

**email**

francesca.bettale@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the



suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSATO MICHELE

**email**

rossatomichele@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AMADIO LUCIA

**email**

AMADIOL@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VALENTE CINZIA

**email**

irisvalente@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PANOZZO GABRIELE

**email**

mauri@keycomm.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**



Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BATTOCCHIO NICOLETTA

**email**

nicoletta@iperbattocchio.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MOCELLIN FLAVIA SANTA

**email**

info@zilioshops.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BATTAGLIA ENRICO

**email**

TAB.PIGNA@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUNARDON FRANCA

**email**

lunardontabaccheria@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FACCHINELLI MARIA GILIOLA

**email**

corras1@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FACCHINELLI MARIA GILIOLA

**email**

corras1@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE CANI ROBERTA

**email**

puntocontabile@decanisorelle.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE CANI LUISELLA

**email**

info@coverup.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUCCHIN ANTONIO

**email**

lucchinantonio@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZAUPA ILARIA MARIA

**email**

zaupailaria@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AGOSTI ONELIA

**email**

mila-perin@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
72

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GROTTO MARGHERITA

**email**

zuccato.dario@libero.it

**country**



Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CORATO SERGIO

**email**

corato.derizzo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DALLA VECCHIA VALENTINO

**email**

valdv@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE ANTONI RUGGERO

**email**

anna\_de\_antoni@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

GUAZZO ANNA

**email**

passarelli.rocco@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAMPAGNARO SABINA

**email**

sabina.campagnaro@ilsaggittario.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BALBONI FLAVIO

**email**

treniduemila@tiscalinet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCOPEL MAURIZIO

**email**

ssmarket@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BALASSO DANIELA

#### **email**

tabacdan@tiscali.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

35

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PASQUALON LORIS

**email**

francy.vitto@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SANTOLIN GIULIANO

**email**

giuliano.santolin@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAVIO ANTONIO

**email**

tabaccheria.savio@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an



appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCHETTO MARILLA

**email**

tabaccherianumerouno@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

74

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONOMO LUCIA TERESA

**email**

tabaccheriabonomo@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSO PAOLA ROSA

**email**

nontiscordardime.p@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SATTO MARIA LUGIA

**email**

caffer0e@bar-rialtosnc.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERTOLDO NATALINA

**email**

natalinabertoldo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VELLER VIRGILIO

**email**

vellertab@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRANCO GIOVANNI

**email**

tab353@vodafone.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARUFFATO ROBERTO

**email**

roberto.baruffato@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERTACCO GIUSEPPE

**email**

giuseppe.bertacco@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DAL SANTO SILVIA

**email**

tabaccheria.dalsanto@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FOSSER ANNALISA

**email**

chiaraperonato@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE FRANCESCHI SIMONE

**email**

ilchiosco@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAUGO ROMANO

**email**

romano.saugo@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
39

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DALLA VECCHIA ROSANNA

**email**

tabaccheriadallavecchiarosanna@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BISARELLO DIMITRI

**email**

bisak@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SARON CARLO

**email**

edicolaalponte@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SELLA RENZO

**email**

krisa@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RIGONI VALLIROSÀ

**email**

rikberin@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARUZZO PIO

**email**

tabaccheriamaruzzo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MERLO ADRIANA

**email**

p.sabrina80@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change



**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

NOSILIA DARIO

#### **email**

darionosilia@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

49

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCHIAVO FLAVIANO

**email**

schiavo\_it@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALLETTO MONICA

**email**

gallettomonica@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GASPARI ALBERTO

**email**

tabnet78@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SANDONA' SILVIA

**email**

edoardo.cobalchini@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TAGLIAPIETRA ROBERTO

**email**

alkermess@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MIOTELLO GIANPAOLA

**email**

whilly63@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANFRIN DIEGO

**email**

DIEGO11@SUPEREVA.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GASPARI ADRIANO

**email**

federicagaspari@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BETTINI ANGELO

**email**

total53@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PEROCCO ANDREA

**email**

tabaccheria.azimut@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ELIPANNI ROBERTO

**email**

ELIPANNI@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CREMONINI PAOLA

**email**

paolaandsimone@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MURARI SAMUELE

**email**

samaule@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSI ANNA ADELE

**email**

info@industrialservicesitalia.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DAL CER STEFANIA

**email**

anna3.f@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**



female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RESIDORI SAMANTA

**email**

ormy83@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CORRADI GIOVANNI

**email**

gioele111@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
46

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FERRARI FAUSTO

**email**

ferrarif15@ferrarifausto.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FIORIO CARLA

**email**

ccalafa@libero.it



**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

OLIVIERI ROBERTA

**email**

frglvr@inwind.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSIGNOLI VERONICA

**email**

TABACCHIROSSIGNOLI@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FERRARI MAICOL

**email**

cartolibreriamaicol@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONSAVER IVANA

**email**

ivana.bonsaver@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIGHI ROBERTO

**email**

ROBERTO.PIGHI2rgg@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MASCANZONI LUCIANO

**email**

annamariapaciuco@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MASCANZONI LUCIANO

**email**

annamariapaciuco@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the



profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASTIONI RENZO

**email**

paolo.castioni@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONIZZATO STEFANIA

**email**

tab\_cart.bonizzato.s@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BURATI BRUNO

**email**

jburati@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CALUZZI GIOVANNI

**email**

riccaluzzi@caluzzi.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

72

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults



**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schäfer, Kim Sarah

**email**

kim.schaefer1@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

20

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see BDA

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

see bda

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

answer as bda

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see bda

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see bda

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

answers as bda

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CORTESE ANGELO

**email**

angelo.cortese1961@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANZATI MIRIAM

**email**

manzati\_miriam@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CALIARI ROSANNA

**email**

delepa@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZALTRON ZITA

**email**

antoncast1@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

81

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COPPINI MARILENA

**email**

marilenacoppini@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FALLI ALESSANDRA

**email**

cortedelsole@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

70

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BEVILACQUA MARIA GRAZIA

**email**

uttis2002@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an



appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GOBBI DIEGO

**email**

rodi.g@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZAMBON ALESSANDRO

**email**

barbonaparte@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSETTO GIANLUIGI

**email**

rossetto.gianluigi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALLETTI STEFANO LUIGI

**email**

frenko19@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALBERGHINI BRUNO

**email**

bruno.alberghini@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AMBROSI ELENA

**email**

tab.ambrosi@inwind.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BENEDETTI LUIGIA TERESA

**email**

DELA.SILVANO@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

77

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Udo Peters

**email**

peters@finanzdienste-peters.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Einwohner Europas sind wohl sehr gut in der Lage einzuschätzen, wie schädlich Tabakkonsum ist. Bevormundung ist da wohl in keiner Weise nötig.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Die Einwohner Europas sind wohl sehr gut in der Lage einzuschätzen, wie schädlich Tabakkonsum ist. Bevormundung ist da wohl in keiner Weise nötig.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Einwohner Europas sind wohl sehr gut in der Lage einzuschätzen, wie schädlich Tabakkonsum ist. Bevormundung ist da wohl in keiner Weise nötig.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Einwohner Europas sind wohl sehr gut in der Lage einzuschätzen, wie schädlich Tabakkonsum ist. Bevormundung ist da wohl in keiner Weise nötig.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Einwohner Europas sind wohl sehr gut in der Lage einzuschätzen, wie schädlich Tabakkonsum ist. Bevormundung ist da wohl in keiner Weise nötig.

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Einwohner Europas sind wohl sehr gut in der Lage einzuschätzen, wie schädlich Tabakkonsum ist. Bevormundung ist da wohl in keiner Weise nötig.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRANCHI PIER GIORGIO

**email**

pgfranchi@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VIVIANI MARISA

**email**

marisa.viviani@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TOMEZZOLI GIOVANNA

**email**

tomezzoli.giovanna@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TODESCHINI CARLA

**email**

todeschinimarioecarla@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VESENTINI SUSANNA

**email**

vesentinisusanna@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MELOTTO LUIGI

**email**

melottol@melottoluigi.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAGANI UMBERTO

**email**

cafematinal@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RESIDORI GIAN LUIGI

**email**

info@gardagal.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this



statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DONINI MICHELE

**email**

doninimi@doninimichele.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUARDALBEN FRANCESCA

**email**

raffaello.marini@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAGALI NICOLA

**email**

cagalinicola@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOSCHETTO PIERINO

**email**

bet.silvia@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
51

**gender**  
male

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format



**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

STEVANELLA GIUSEPPE

**email**

barpepe@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Zöchling

**email**

robert.zoechling@zoechling.org

**country**

Austria

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MENEGHELLO ANNA CHIARA

**email**

annachiameneghello@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COSTANTINI PIERGIOVANNI

**email**

alessandro.costantini@quipo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CALEARO SERGIO

**email**

ric742@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

77

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NARDI FERDINANDO

**email**

ferdiverona@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AMBROSINI FEDERICA

**email**

scarperiloris@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DAL CORTIVO LUIGI

**email**

dalcortivolui@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

2010

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BENINI LUCIA

**email**

CHARLIEBEN@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**  
60

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUARIENTO GIUSEPPINA

**email**

edicolenegrari@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIAMENTI ANGELA

**email**

chiamentiangela@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VERONESE MONICA ANTONELLA

**email**

VERONE2a@veronesemonica.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LOVATO CINZIA

**email**

matteo.gobbetti@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANARA ELENA

**email**

kado\_elen@msn.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAESTRELLO PAOLA

**email**

paola.maestrello@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BENVENUTI BARBARA

**email**

barbaratosca@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change



**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DOLCI CLAUDIO

#### **email**

claudiodolci@tiscali.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

48

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAMBALDO NADIA

**email**

c.rambaldo@aliceposta.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SOFFIATTI ANNA

**email**

tabaccheriasoffiatti@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZAMPINI SERGIO

**email**

zampinis@barpace.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZANELLA LUIGI

**email**

SILVANO\_ZANELLA@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

84

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DAL DEGAN GASTONE

**email**

gadaldeg@gmx.net

**country**

Italy

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MINIATO STEFANIA

**email**

superlux2@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SACCOMANI BRUNO

**email**

sacco218s@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAZZON ANNA MARIA

**email**

waldez@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TIRAPELLE STEFANO

**email**

labottegadimaria@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FACCINCANI MARCO

**email**

faccincanimarco@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BELLESINI ANDREA

**email**

uff.segreteria@galvaniconsulting.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SEMPREBON GIORGIO

**email**

gringo.gio@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FALTRACCO RENATO

**email**

tabaccheriailcentro@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZANCANARO MADDALENA

**email**

tab\_zancanaro@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZANNONI DANIELA MARIA

**email**

jolkwza@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**



female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALBERTINI ELISABETTA

**email**

tabaccheria11@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GAIGA GIULIANA

**email**

gaigastefania@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
59

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARAI PATRIZIA

**email**

PA.VENTURINI@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZECCHINELLI ROMANA

**email**

bcinqua@tin.it



**country**  
Italy

**age**  
57

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ISOLANI DANIELE

**email**

daniele.isolani@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CONA NEREO

**email**

cona.n@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIGNOLA MONICA

**email**

info@bardolcevita.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ORietta MAGRINELLO

**email**

rebirth@ciaoverona.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DANIELI MARGHERITA

**email**

albergosoave@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

VENTURI ALESSANDRO

#### **email**

ale.ven@tin.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

37

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIMEONI MARIA GRAZIA

**email**

silvia\_873@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the



profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAZZOLA MARIA GRAZIA

**email**

tabmissmary@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE MARTINI MARICA

**email**

ma-ri-de@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANSELM I ALDO

**email**

AEOANSELM I@TISCALI.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

78

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CALESTINI ANGELA

**email**

info@calestiniufficio.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults



**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PEZZINI SIMONETTA

**email**

amorinoventurelli@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRANCHINI PAOLA

**email**

smorfiavaleggio@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POZZERLE CLARA GIOVANNA

**email**

maxdago@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MACCACARO UMBERTO

**email**

tabaccheriajolly@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

71

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSINI SILVIA

**email**

thecheck@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ACCORSI SARA

**email**

gigirizza72@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BELLOMI FEDERICO

**email**

federico.bellomi@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TURATI LORENZO

**email**

lorenzo.turati@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free



market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CICHERI BRUNO

**email**

b.cicheri@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

72

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FANTONI GIANLUCA

**email**

gianluca.fantoni@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LOMBARDO GIUSEPPE

**email**

GIULOMB@MSN.COM

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCHIOTTO LUCIANO

**email**

fiscale\_marchiotto@iol.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
63

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format



**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASERTA NICOLA

**email**

iz3sqv@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PETRONILLI ENRICO

**email**

elettrapetronilli@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PEZZO MARCELLINO

**email**

marcello.pezzo@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BELTRAME LUCIA

**email**

luciaely@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AVESANI BRUNA

**email**

rivendita63fs@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

68

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SARTORI LORETA

**email**

loreta.sartori@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GONZATO GIOVANNI

**email**

baralleghiaie2007@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CORRADINI WALTER

#### **email**

extremadura@tiscali.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

57

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**



No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GENTILIN MARISA

**email**

mattiamail17@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COSTA MIRANDA

**email**

dro.piras@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BROGGIO LISA

**email**

rivendita117@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VESENTINI ROBERTA

**email**

vesentiniroberta@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**



No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FILIPPI SIMONE LUIGI

**email**

simone.fil@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAGAGNA STEFANO

**email**

tabaccheria2000@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LAVAGNOLI SANDRO

**email**

barnataly@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RIZZI MARCO

**email**

tabaccheria.rizzi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**



No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIACOPUZZI ERICA

**email**

giacopuzzi@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZUANAZZI ANDREA

**email**

andrea.zuanazzi@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRAGANTINI CORRADO

**email**

corr.ary@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TIROZZI FRANCESCO

**email**

marinaz66@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

74

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerd Grosser

**email**

gerd.grosser@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PETTENELLA LUIGINA

**email**

gianni.giberti@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERTASO SILVANO

**email**

silvano.bertaso@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AMBROSI CARLA

**email**

info@ricevitoriambrosi.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRANCHETTO STEFANO

**email**

forranera@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BASSI LIVIANA

**email**

leisenmario@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MASCALZONI MICHELE

**email**

maska1971@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PERINA LUCIO

**email**

hg.pepe@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No



**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPONDA GIANFRANCO

**email**

OSCAR.SPONDA@POSTE.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

72

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CREA GIUSEPPE

**email**

giacomiservice@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Meinolf

**email**

tabakmann@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
BRUNO ROBERTO

**email**  
deusexmachina@alice.it

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
39

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FATTORI ADRIANO

**email**

FATTORIFABRIZIO@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COFFELE ANTONELLA

**email**

vanni.stanghellini@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MONTRESOR LUCIA

**email**

tabaccheria.montresor@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FERRANTE GABRIELE

**email**

ferrantegabriele@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products



consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FERRANTE LORETTA

**email**

lory.ferrante@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

STRAZZER GIOVANNI

**email**

gio.stra@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BAIOCCO MARIA TERESA

**email**

annamazzuoli@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NUZZO FRANCESCO

**email**

nuzzo.max@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PETTONI MARGHERITA

**email**

maikolino2008@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CROCOLI ANNA MARIA

**email**

butterfly\_1968@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE SANCTIS ROSANNA

**email**

vale\_de@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BORDINI GIUSEPPE

**email**

b.giuseppe@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

73



**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FABIANO VITO

**email**

fabianowalter@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FILOSCIA MAURO

**email**

MAURO.FIL@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**  
52

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARRONI SILVIA

**email**

silviamarroni@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AUSTINI BENEDETTO

**email**



tabaccheria.austini@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

69

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CANGIOLI DOMENICO

**email**

vima488@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

81

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Meinolf

**email**

tabakmann@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MASCAGNA BRUNO

**email**

mascagnabruno@thunder.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCHINI MILENA

**email**

milenamarchini71@libero.it

**country**

Italy



**age**  
39

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARGIACCHI PIETRO

**email**

bargiacchi.pietro@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TABARELLI DE FATIS CARMEN

**email**

CARTABAR@CELLENO.COM

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PROFILI MASSIMO

**email**

massimo.2@teletv.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**



MICHELI TAMARA

**email**

tamaratabacchi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VENTURA FABIO

**email**

fabio-ventura@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TRAZZI ITALIA

**email**

cdp75@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TOMEI AMERIGO

**email**

AMERIGO.TOMEI@TIN.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---



**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MASCIOLI MASSIMILIANO

**email**

massimilianom83@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CRESCENZI FRANCO

**email**

crescenzifrancofna@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARBONI GIUSEPPINA

**email**

fabio-ventura@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

EVANGELISTI FLORA

**email**

tabaccheriaevangelistifl@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.



**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRILLI GIULIANO

**email**

giuliano.grilli@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIAMBELLA AURORA

**email**

andreani83@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERTOLDI FRANCO

**email**

bertoldigobbis@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

STERBINI MARIA VITTORIA

**email**

soniaquintili@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access



**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GENTILI MARIA GRAZIA

**email**

mgentili@gmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cornelia Blach

**email**

blacor2@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't see any problem in the situation given

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Leave it as it is! Who shall pay for all this senseless stupidity? The smokers generally, finally all citizens with rising taxes - unbelievable and unacceptable. It's enough! We are responsible citizens and don't need special rules and laws in our own countries from a EU Government - especially not in this case. It's a shame to discuss about so silly things instead of solving the real problems of the poor people and kids in Europe!!!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change necessary

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't see a problem - we are responsible citizens!!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIARMATORI MARIO

**email**

mario.ciarmatori1@celleno.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BLASI MARIA GABRIELLA

**email**

sabba.giangik@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other



experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARILI FABRIZIO

**email**

annalisavalletta@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARENGHI OTTAVIO

**email**

marenghi1902@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MENGHINI ANGELO

**email**

catherineminciotti@email.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PASCUCCI ALBERTO

**email**

pascuccialb@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;



Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PEZZATO CARLO

**email**

pezzatocarlo@woow.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI TOMMASO BRUNO

**email**

alessandra.ditommaso@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

78

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCHIAVONE MICHELE ANTONIO

**email**

michele-s@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE GUIDI ANNA

**email**

messia90@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Asensio Masegosa

**email**

aquilessbenq@hotmail.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

The EU are hiding drugs like alcohol punishing only Tobacco.

**additional comments**

The EU are hiding drugs like alcohol punishing only Tobacco. Why in Spain can appear propaganda about alcohol and not about tobacco? why can appear in the tabacco boxes lung destroyed and in the alchool bottles not appear livers destroyed?. It believe me a shame, every year up the tobacco prices severals times and however the alcohol no, Why?.....

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARIANI LUIGI

**email**

CMARIANI@OPENACCESS.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOMARSI ANDREA

**email**

andy.bo@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BELLONI CARLO

#### **email**

belloni\_ba@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

55

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).



---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PALAZZI ROLANDO

**email**

rolandopalazzi@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TORTORELLI GIANCARLO

**email**

tortorellibuffet@msn.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FALESIEDI RITA

**email**

ggioiosi@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCIALANCA PIETRO

**email**

mauross@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an



appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCIALANCA MAURO

**email**

mauross@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NICOLETTI DANIELE

**email**

THE.SMOKER@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIRELLI GIUDITTA

**email**

FAUGIUDY@ALICE.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

67

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RICCI ANNA

**email**

francesco.gasbarri@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

80

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VENDITTI BARBARA

**email**

venditti3@vendittibarbara.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOMARSI DOLCISSIMA

**email**

book.89@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RICCI ANNA MARIA

**email**

edmondo.elisei@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

65

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZACCHEI FERNANDO

**email**

fernando.zacchei@inwind.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BELLUCCI MONICA

**email**

tabacchibellucci@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BIAGIOLA DANIELA

**email**

non-solo-fumo@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NARDI CRISTIANO

**email**

cristiano.nardi@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CELLETTI VALERIA

**email**

valeriacelletti@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RONCA SILVIA

**email**

magriniantoniooscar@yahoo.com

**country**

Italy

**age**  
44

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARDARELLI MIRELLA

**email**

cardarelli.mirella@inwind.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROMANI GIANNA

**email**

tranfapietro@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FEDERICI ANDREINA

**email**

tabfedevajano@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POLINO CARMELA

**email**

mir.gianluca@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIANFANA MARCO

**email**

cianfanamarco@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

EGIDI GIUSEPPINA

**email**

d.fiorenzo@teletu.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE ANGELIS MARCO

**email**

gabrielacutini@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change



**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DE LUCA CARLO

#### **email**

cdeluca60@yahoo.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

50

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DI MARCO STEFANO

#### **email**

stedimar@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

37

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SASSARA MAURO

**email**

mauro\_sassara@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PROIETTI BELLI LUIGI

**email**

luigiproiettibelli@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CENCIONI ELIA

**email**

marco\_tosini@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

80

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BUZZI ANDREA

**email**

djpella@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DEI EDELWEISS

**email**

edyd72@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RONCETTI FABIANA

**email**

tabaccheriafroncetti@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VAGNOZZI GIORGIO

**email**

vagiorgio@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARBETTA ORNELLA

**email**

totocom@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TONNICCHI LORELLA

**email**

lorellat@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PALMIERI REMO

**email**

KINGSBAR@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

67

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPAGNOLI ALDO

**email**

lottotab@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

67

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TEODORI PIA RITA

**email**

teodoririta@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BELLI SUSANNA

**email**

susanna.belli@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RICCI AUGUSTO

**email**

tabaccheriadeiportici@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**



male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TARSITANI VINCENZO

**email**

pitisano@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

81

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BAGNATO ROSA

**email**

amassara@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
66

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TUCCIO CATERINA

**email**

checcobonavena@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

68

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COSTANZO FRANCO

**email**

angelodelbar@virgilio.it



**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PUGLIESE GIANFRANCO

**email**

pugliesegianfranco@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PROVENZANO VINCENZINO

**email**

centrotim@yahoo.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAMPISE GELSOMINA

**email**

rullob@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

76

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CURRA' FRANCESCO

**email**

framan2003@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRETTI BRUNO

**email**

brettibruno@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LACQUANITI MARIA CONCETTA

**email**

tinovetere@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAZZA FORTUNATA

**email**

filip.mazza@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the



profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

MAZZITELLI GIUSEPPE

##### **email**

c.mazzitelli@inwind.it

##### **country**

Italy

##### **age**

45

##### **gender**

male

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VECCHI MARIA STELLA

**email**

madeddu.d@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MERCADANTE GIUSEPPE

**email**

mercadantegiuseppe@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FAZIO FILOMENA

**email**

vluigi84@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults



**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRECO ANTONIO PASQUALE

**email**

katiasmartc@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FANELLO LUCIA

**email**

fanellolux@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MERCURI MARIA

**email**

giomerc.70@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ADDOLORATO SALVATORE

**email**

addoloratoandrea@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ACCETTA GIUSEPPE

**email**

foxhunder@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BEVIVINO GRAZIELLA

**email**

stationcafe@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

71

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TRIPODI ANTONIO

**email**

TTRIPODI@TISCALI.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAGNOTTA GRAZIA

**email**

dpaglianiti@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

70

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free



market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PELAIA FRANCESCA

**email**

BARCINEMA.AURORA@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

65

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Borgfeldt

**email**

info@borgfeldt.net

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARILLARI MARIA ELISABETTA

**email**

gregorio.tassone@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NARDI DOMENICO

**email**

iolandasco86@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other



experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GENTILE BRUNO MARTINO

**email**

nicolabianconero@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TASSONE BRUNO

**email**

roberto.tassone2@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PETRACCA ANTONIO

**email**

epugliese.dominique@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

71

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZANGONE ROMANA

**email**

rivendita2tropea@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;



Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VALLONE CLOTILDE

**email**

tabaccherialapiazza@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RIZZUTO ANTONIETTA

**email**

jennyb1@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAGNATI MARISA

**email**

sarabarb@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASATI ANNA

**email**

villettevacanze@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASATI ANNA

**email**

villettevacanze@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FERRARI GREGORIO

**email**

gregorio\_ferrari@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PALATUCCI TERESA

**email**

antoniobertuccio@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALASTRO MARIA ROSARIA

**email**

galastrotabacchi@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of



toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE CARLO ADRIANA

**email**

adrianadecarlo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MESSINA SALVATORE

**email**

enzomessina74@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RUSSO MARIANGIOLA

**email**

ritavella@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
77

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAZZIOTTI FRANCESCO

**email**

info@lasiestahotel.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

81

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MERCURIO GIUSEPPE

**email**

antomercurio@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PALAZZO GUIDO

**email**

giancos.c@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALLO GIANCARLO

**email**

naartuso@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MALFERTHEINER ERICH

**email**

ERICH@ATLAS.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging



because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MACARIO GIULIA

**email**

ottaviano60@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

81

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIRONI SIMONETTA

**email**

simonetta.sironi@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CHIARENZA SALVATORE

#### **email**

sal.chiarenza@tiscali.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

38

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TANGA GERARDO

**email**

ste21.mac@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

70

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.



**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SALLEMI MARIA FILIPPA

**email**

carburantivital@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SETTANNI GIOACCHINO

**email**

na.pu@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TABORELLI PIERANTONIO

**email**

cristina.maffioletti1@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GAETANO FRANCESCO

**email**

info@gariesso.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

77

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MONTI ANGELA

**email**

tif010@montiangela.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RIZZELLI MATTEO

**email**

matteo.rizzelli@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANGLANI VINCENZO

**email**

pietroangl@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUPI LUCA

**email**

pntuff@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No



**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NUZZO ROSA

**email**

rossella\_1983@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GURRIERI SANDRA

**email**

sandra.gurrieri@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUZII LILIANA

**email**

patricktarquini@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANGINI CARMELA

**email**

carmela.mangini@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAPUTO GIOVANNI

**email**

tamoilpeschiera@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROTA ENRICO

**email**

rotaenrico@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TUNDO PINO

**email**

g.tundo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

STIEVANO FIORENZA

**email**

fiorenza.stievano@tin.it

**country**

Italy



**age**  
57

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BAGNATO ROCCO

**email**

ROCCO\_BAG@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARAMELLA PASQUALE

**email**

caramellapasquale@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BORGESI GIUSEPPE

**email**

s.b.service@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**



QUARTARARO ANTONINO

**email**

antmaur@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZUPPICCHINI FRANCO

**email**

zuppi59@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BELMONTE DANIELA

**email**

daniela.belmonte@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARBONETTI MARIELLA

**email**

MARIELLA.CARBONETTI@GMAIL.COM

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CALISTI IDA

#### **email**

idacalisti@hotmail.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

46

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

TOMEI IRENE

#### **email**

irenebar@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

31

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

QUARTARONE LUCIA

**email**

lusyquartarone@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUADAGNIN LUIGI

**email**

edicol51@guadagniluigi.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.



**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LA SELVA DANIELA

**email**

vittorio\_silvestri@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRATINA ELENA

**email**

ivanoelena@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BISOGNO FRANCESCA

**email**

francescabisogno@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ASTOLFI PAOLO

**email**

paolo8@teletu.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access



**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GAMBA GIANLUCA

**email**

gianlucaraffi@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CORNALI OLIVA

**email**

ENRICO.GELMINI@ALICE.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLOMBO MICHELA

**email**

michelacolombo2@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZAGGIA CRISTIANO

**email**

cristiano.zaggia@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PERRUCCI PAOLO

**email**

indirettadaltabacchino@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PREVIATO GIUSEPPE

**email**

le\_sbarre@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIANNI MARIA GRAZIA

**email**

tabtamara@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPADONI ROSA

**email**

alessiobenedetti97@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No



**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MUSIU RITA

**email**

musiurita.rita@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAVALLAZZI CESARE

**email**

c.cavallazzi@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
55

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IUSO MICHELE

**email**

iuso.michele@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOVERI LORENZO

**email**

lorenzo.boveri@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

siskou maria

**email**

siskou\_maria@hotmail.com

**country**

greece

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

den litourgoun sosta oi apokroustikes eikones

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARGELLI SIMONE

**email**

simobarg@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SUPERCHI MICHELE

**email**

baronesm@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRANDA FRANCESCO

**email**

pinkponknovemb@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;



Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GABRIELLI MARCO

**email**

MARCO\_SUSY@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GHIRARDELLI ANNA MARIA

**email**

ghiram@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VASTA GIUSEPPE

**email**

giuseppe266@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLLI PAOLA

**email**

PAOLA@GRUPPOCOLLI.191.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CANDINO GIOVANNI

**email**

bar.arlecchino@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NICOLIS SUSI

**email**

nicolisvr@sis.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PLUTINO FRANCESCA

**email**

a.latella@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FARACI BRUNO GIUSEPPE

**email**

barderby@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of



toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAVO GRAZIANO

**email**

fabriziosavo@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

INZERILLO GIUSEPPE

**email**

giuseppe\_inferillo@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LEPORATTI CATIUSCIA

**email**

ilpiccoloemporio@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**  
42

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FANELLI MAURO

**email**

tabaccheria9@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NEGRI ANDREA

**email**

andrianegri74@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VENA DANIELA

**email**

DANIELA.VENA@YAHOO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POLLICE GAETANO

**email**

bonita4@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NICOLAZZI ROBERTO

**email**

robertonicolazzi@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging



because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOSSETTO CINZIA

**email**

robmol52@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NAPOLITANO DAVIDE

**email**

dvdnpltn72@gmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

SCHINTU GIUSEPPINA

#### **email**

josto64@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

41

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

SATTA FRANCO

#### **email**

sapinu1961@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

33

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.



**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SANTELLI ROBERTA

**email**

nebil79@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TOMA ANTONELLA

**email**

luigiscarcella2008@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSI MARCO

**email**

inboccalupo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONARRIGO CONCETTA

**email**

c.bonarrigo@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUCINI CLAUDIO

**email**

lucioclaudio@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ASTA GIUSEPPE

**email**

peppeasta@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MICELI GIUSEPPE

**email**

mixpe@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IMPOCO GIUSEPPE

**email**

tabacchi.impocog@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No



**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARLUCCIO GIORGIO SALVATORE

**email**

carlucciogiorgio@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LA GANGA FABRIZIO

**email**

fabrizio@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GREGORI LARA

**email**

larag5@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PLONA MARINELLA

**email**

fratelliplona@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARCA SALVATORE

**email**

abrakadabra.garofalo@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FORMICONI ROBERTA

**email**

robertaformiconi@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

REITANO LILIANA

**email**

tabaccheriareitano@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LO MONACO MICHELE

**email**

scarpull1@tabaccherialomonaco.191.it

**country**

Italy



**age**  
76

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIEROPAN VERUSKA

**email**

edicolapieropan@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAIDA CALOGERO

**email**

maidacal@maidacalogero.191.it

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
35

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIESA MARCO

**email**

marcochiesa66@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**



PERSICO MARIA LETIZIA

**email**

MARIA.LETIZIA.PERSICO@ALICE.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sabine Haenel

**email**

haeneldd@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
FATTORE CHIARA MARIA

**email**  
nicola.gualano@drmotor.it

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
39

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FAVELLATO MARTINA

**email**

martinafavellato@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access



**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DAMIANI FRANCESCO

**email**

francescodamiani@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NEPA SANDRA

**email**

albertomacrini@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CUCCAGNA CARLO

**email**

carcucc@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIGLIARELLI LAURA

**email**

giobad@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**



No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARDILLO TERESA

**email**

cardilloteresa@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COSTA MARIA CONSOLAZIONE

**email**

corsobrunoalessandro@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LOMBARDO EMANUELE

**email**

EMANUELE.LO@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COTTONE FILIPPO

**email**

filippo.cottone@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No



**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TALARICO VALERIA

**email**

gullimaurizio@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PETRILLO ALESSANDRO

**email**

annapetrillo4@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
42

**gender**  
male

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GAVAZZI LAURA

**email**

nicola77@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RICCHIUTO CARMELO

**email**

tabakricchiuto@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIAFFREDA ANNA RITA

**email**

giaffredannarita@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COMMISSO FRANCESCO

**email**

vcommisso@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BABINI MARCO

**email**

babmarco@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUCHI MOIRA

**email**

moiralu@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**



The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRANCHI SONIA

**email**

FRANCHIFIDLI@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

ALFANO ANTONIO

#### **email**

gio.alfano@virgilio.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

32

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BORGIANNI ANDREA

**email**

ricevitoria.canova@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRECO EDOARDO CARLO

**email**

greco\_edoardo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IANCHELLO MARIAGIOVANNA

**email**

METE.M@TISCALI.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ACCORSI BRUNO

**email**

flori1969@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PANSINI GABRIELLA

**email**

gabriella.pansini@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MALCO MAURIZIO

**email**

map-map@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALTIERI VIRGINIA

**email**

mlraf@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

67

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NERI CRISTIAN

**email**

tabaccherianeri@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

EL AYACHI MOHAMMED

**email**

tabaccheriaelayachi@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CATALDI LUCIO LUIGI

**email**

silkrj@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ACCETTA BARBARA

**email**

barbaraaccetta@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAMISA ROSSELLA

**email**

WINNER.CLUB@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZANINI ENRICA ERMELINDA

**email**

enricazanini@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAFFAELLO GABRIELE

**email**

cartraff@tele2.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**



male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GATTO ALDO

**email**

tab.gatto@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZURAW WOLFGANG

**email**

baredentabacchi@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
48

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TRAMONTANA ANTONELLA

**email**

iltabaccacio@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Haenel

**email**

MichaelHaenel@pmintl.com



**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
49

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CUCCARO IDA

**email**

idacuccaro@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAVIO ADRIANA

**email**

apavanetto@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MIELE MORIS

**email**

toromorrismiele@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults



**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI STEFANO LUCA

**email**

lucadistefano4@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALUPPINI LAURA

**email**

tabaccheriacorlo@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SEVERINO GAETANO

**email**

francy68it@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI VENDRA ENRICO ROSARIO

**email**

enrico.divendra@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POLI LAURA

**email**

FALE90@INWIND.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MASSA GAETANO

**email**

tabacchimassa@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRASSIA VINCENZO

**email**

vincenzo.grassia@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IOVINE MARIA

**email**

tabacchi10@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this



statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SARTORI NADIA

**email**

tabaccheriagandg@gmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARTINES MARIA CONCETTA

**email**

cartomania-tp@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI SABATINO GABRIELE

**email**

atomik153@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COSTANZO CLAUDIA

**email**

costanzo.cla@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
28

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format



**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUERRIERO ANIELLO

**email**

illungoaddio@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE CHIRICO GIUDITTA

**email**

dechirico.giuditta@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FASANO TIZIANA

**email**

fasano.tiziana@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPINA DONATO

**email**

spinadon@spinadonato.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent



proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALESSANDRIA PALMIRA

**email**

g.scarzello@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

84

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FUCCILLO LUIGI

**email**

luigi.fuccillo@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

SICILIANO ELISABETTA

#### **email**

sicilianoelisabetta@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

48

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

STILO BRUNO

**email**

leoshowtime@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.



**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DEL BEN SANTE

**email**

ferramentadelben@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VIRZI' SINAGRA BARBARA

**email**

barbara.virzi@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARLUCCI GIOVANNI

**email**

giovanni.carlucci@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TOSO GIOVANNI

**email**

giosalietabacchi@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

64

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI MARTINO ROSSELLA

**email**

lucalullo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MORUZZO MARIAROSA

**email**

mariarosa.moruzzo@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPLENDORINI GIANLUCA

**email**

gianlucasplendorini@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BIUSO ANTONELLA

**email**

tabaccheriabiuso@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No



**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ERRICO ELENA

**email**

c.squillaci@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MERCURI MARCO

**email**

mercuri@ricevitoria23.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CINIERI ILARIA

**email**

19hilary85@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FUMAROLA GIOVANNI

**email**

fumarola7@spacemotors.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PASCARELLA ASSUNTA

**email**

riasu@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALFRE' ROSA MARIA

**email**

deborah.pettavino@tiscalinet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FALACE GIUSEPPE

**email**

giuseppe.falace@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PALAZZETTI DIEGO

**email**

cauto2@libero.it

**country**

Italy



**age**  
34

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MUSCARA' NUNZIO

**email**

n.muscara@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZENA MARICA

**email**

marica\_ica@msm.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PASTORE GIOVANNI

**email**

pastoregioanni68@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**



GAGGIANO GIOVANNI

**email**

tabaccheria.gaggiano@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAPIENZA VITO

**email**

vitosap72@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PULITI ANNA

**email**

pulitianna@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GARAMELLA NICOLO'

**email**

garame00@garamella.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

66

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CANNONITO TIZIANA

#### **email**

tabaccheriacannonitotiziana@virgilio.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

39

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MARCHETTI ROSANNA

#### **email**

tabaccheria\_rosanna@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

54

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAZZANTI MASSIMO

**email**

tabaccheriamanti@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIETROPAOLO ANTONELLA

**email**

anto\_pi.30@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.



**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRACCIONI MASSIMILIANO

**email**

m.braccioni@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DAMIANI VALENTINA

**email**

valentina.damianf5e5@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Smolny

**email**

Romansmolny@alice.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It seems that you see problems where many people in Europe don't. My problem is not "smoking of tabac". My problem is an european government that wants to rule us every part of our life. --> no european government less of problems in Europe

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Again, about which problem are we talking? It seems that you live in a different society than me because I see other problems where our great European government can't solve: international terrorism, abortions, financial crisis.

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Look on my explanation before

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

same as before

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Reduce the taxes for European politicians!

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The spirit of Europe was lost since in Brussels, Strasbourg and I don't know where else an European government was founded.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Let's make a proposal. I get back my Deutschmark and you can sell cigarettes below the table!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE GABRIELIS ROMINA

**email**

romina.degabrielis@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other



experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LANGHI DANIELE

**email**

langhitabacchi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PONTIERI SILVANA

**email**

ponti@pontieri-silvana.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CATALANO SALVATORE

**email**

totuccio82@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CRISPONI PIETRUCIA BARBARA

**email**

arricalmd@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;



Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAIOCCHI GIULIO

**email**

gmaj@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANGIOLINI CLAUDIO

**email**

susycla@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COSTARELLA ANGELA GRAZIA

**email**

micebao@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAFFEI FABIO

**email**

bertolacci.giuliana@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FANTUGINI FABIO

**email**

mary41191@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROTIROTI ROCCHINA

**email**

teresadegori@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

68

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VESPOLI PIETRO

**email**

vespolone@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAPISARDA GIUSEPPE

**email**

RAPISARDA31@INTERFREE.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of



toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolf Andreas

**email**

wolf-waischenfeld@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AVALLONE ANNA

**email**

luigi.la.pegna@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAVALLARO MICHELANGELO

**email**

michelangelocavallaro@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FELLECA MIRCO

**email**

iltrifoglio.centrosesto@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRANZONI FAUSTO

**email**

letizia-franzoni@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LENTI FABIO

**email**

FONTEPLINIO@HOTMAIL.COM

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MASI DANILO

**email**

dmcaffè70@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARROZZO RAFFAELE

**email**

carrozzoraffaele@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RUSSO SEBASTIANO

**email**

russo-sebastiano@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPETTOLI ANNA LUCIA

**email**

ANNALUCIAS@TISCALINET.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TASSINARI MASSIMILIANO

**email**

TASSINARITIMBRI@INFINITO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ANDREA ELIO

**email**

tabserena@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DOMENICI LUCIANA

**email**

omaretto87@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**  
50

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE FABRIZIO ARMANDO

**email**

pianetadellafortuna@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROTELLA FABIO

**email**

roccyroip@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI BENEDITTIS ROCCO

**email**

tredibar@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.



**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARONCELLI TIZIANA

**email**

rita\_roberto@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAISTO MARIA

**email**

tabaccheriamaisto@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FORMICOLA GIOVANNI

**email**

danilo.formicola@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

PASQUARIELLO SABINA

#### **email**

tabpasquariello@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

38

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**



No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SOBRINO MAURIZIO

**email**

loscarbocchiodisobrina@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAZZEO MARIA

**email**

marymazzeo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SACCHI FRANCESCO

**email**

TABACCHERIA40@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASATI TAMARA

**email**

cgcasati@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**



No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NOCERA GIOVANNI

**email**

riv.17sangiorgioacremano@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIBILLE BARBARA

**email**

sibax@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARMENI ANGELA MARIA

**email**

carmeniangelo@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLICCHIO NICOLA

**email**

eborsani@obw.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**



No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARABOLI MASSIMO

**email**

poteripiccoli@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASONI LUCA

**email**

lucacasoni2009@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Julia Wagner

**email**

Julia\_Wagner92@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI NAPOLI ANTONIO

**email**

toninodinapoli@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis



of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BASTIANELLI STEFANIA

**email**

m.notar@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CALIENDO VINCENZO

#### **email**

caliendio.vincenzo@virgilio.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

35

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Marta Chynek

#### **email**

marta.chynek@op.pl

#### **country**

Polska

#### **age**

38

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

No comment

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **additional option**

No comment

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

No comment

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI BLASI FRANCA

**email**

alessandro85.p@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No



**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GARZOTTO MARIA ANGELICA

**email**

moniasperotto@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRIDDINI SILVANA

**email**

bedorefabrizio@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**  
51

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAVARIEGO

**email**

hecla17@orange.fr

**country**  
FRANCE

**age**  
52

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Absence d'étude scientifique probante attestant des risques concernant les "tabacs sans fumée"

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
De nouveau, absence d'étude sur l'efficacité de paquets sans mention, aucune étude sur les mentions sanitaires déjà en place et absence d'étude sur des photos dites "CHOCS" Une probabilité démesurée de générer une économie souterraine tendant à s'exclure du marché officiel et laissant la contrebande ou autres achats non contrôlés et les achats transfrontalier supplanter l'achat officiel. Les paquets "NEUTRES" tendraient à renforcer une concurrence de fabricants préjudiciables aux buralistes français légalement et règlementairement organisés sous la tutelle de l'état français

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec comme conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voire apparaitre une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional option**

Absence de corrélation prouvée entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutte contre le tabagisme

**additional comments**

La France d'un réseau responsable, organisé et professionnel répondant au règlement de l'Etat et permettant le contrôle efficace de l'accès du tabac

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POTENZA FABRIZIO DOMENICO

**email**

fabrizio.potenza@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
56

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format



**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VAGLIA CORRADO

**email**

sportgarden@fastdigitel.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASTELLANO ANTONIO DOMENICO

**email**

toni-1960@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GORI CHRISTIAN

**email**

gori2004@vodafone.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MINGORI GIOVANNA

**email**

donatoamoruso@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COTTAFVI BARBARA

**email**

tab.milleidee@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PEDRONI MARCO

**email**

tabaccheriapedro@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIACENTINI DANIELE

**email**

piacentinidaniele@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

PACIFICI UMBERTO

#### **email**

giorgipac@tiscali.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

45

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**



No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BALLARE' GABRIELE

**email**

alice2marc@tele2.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CALDERA GIUSEPPINA

**email**

caldera.leonessa@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CORVI GRAZIANO

**email**

tabacchi21@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIOMBOLINI STEFANIA

**email**

tabac.stafficci@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**



No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Weege

**email**

wolfgangweege@yahoo.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

tobacco taxes do NOT belong into the hands of politicians - they have to go towards prevention and recoup of damages. Dispense tobacco only to carrier of a license, stop issuing licenses 18 month or so after introduction of a comprehensive anti-tobacco-bill. Why? Because the "rights" of addicted smokers are warranted, following generations are prevented from smoking.

**additional comments**

The tobacco industry has corrupted most governments all over the world. 6 million people die painfully, needlessly every year world wide from this dangerous drug yet there is no political will to change that! Bann politicians to take money from big tobacco! Bann tobacco

companies to "communicate" via lobbyists with any politician or scientist or journalist or advertising agency

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

bann sale of all tobacco

**additional comments**

all forms of tobacco (chewing, smokeless, suppository etc) are harmful!

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

sale of tobacco products only in special government oversight dispensary pos ban all advertising ban all "social" or "political" sponsoring from the tobacco industry

**additional comments**

Tobacco companies are merchants of death. They kill way more people then all illegal drug-cartells combined!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

currently there are no sanctions for breaking any rules relating to smoking

**additional comments**

prison sentence for politicians who take money from big tobacco!

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

additives must be banned because they are especially designed to hook children

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

in Germany tobacco is under food law. It has to be taken from there and brought into a law about controlled substances like opiates (Betaeubungsmittelgesetz)

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DESIRO' DARIO

**email**

dario89@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
41

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZISA ROBERTO

**email**

robi0167@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARCIDIACONO SANDRA

**email**

info@tabaccheriabunino.it



**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAROTTI ANDREA

**email**

carotti.francesco@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIARI PIER PAOLO

**email**

piertabacchi@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FERRARI ROSANGELO

**email**

tecnico.ferrari@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GARELLO ROSALBA

**email**

garellorosalba@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FURLAN ADRIANO

**email**

furlanad@furlanadriano.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BORDINO GIUSEPPE

**email**

fraxxx89@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

GIANNINI ANDREA

#### **email**

tabaccheriagiannini@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

36

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the



profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GARRASI SABRINA

**email**

sabrina.garrasi@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IANNACI GIUSEPPE

**email**

MONUMENTO@ALICE.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GESSAGA GIAMBATTISTA

**email**

TABA\_2\_GUANZATE@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAPIENZA LUCIA

**email**

ciccicarrello@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults



**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAURIZI ANNA

**email**

anna-maurizi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANTOGNOZZI FRANCESCO

**email**

f.antognozzi1@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LAI MARINELLA

**email**

sechi.antonella@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TERRANOVA GIOVANNI

**email**

6giovanni@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZANETTI ENRICO

**email**

tabaccheriafantasy@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

70

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CEPPI STEFANO

**email**

annalisa.ghersetich@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VITALE GIUSEPPINA

**email**

trattoria@labalconata.net

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANGILI LUCA

**email**

lukmang@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free



market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

APICELLA DANIELE

**email**

le2api@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LIGUORI GERARDINA

**email**

info@tabaccherialiguori.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BALLARIN ALMA

**email**

alma.ballarin@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PELLICANO' FRANCESCO

**email**

tabacchi.pellicano@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
32

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format



**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ENRICO MICHAEL

**email**

fmg.mail@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MORO CHRISTIAN

**email**

christianmoro@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MASUCCI MASSIMO

**email**

massimo.masucci@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAVALLIN MASSIMO

**email**

max72c@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FABBRI FABIO

**email**

fabbri-fabio@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FABBRETTI CATERINA

**email**

CATERFABBRE@IVE.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

URDICH LORENA MARIA

**email**

tabacchifutura@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Marcus Neumann

#### **email**

andrea\_marcus@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

44

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Komentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**



No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BORDIGNON ROBERTO

**email**

fb.supermrcato@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAURO GABRIELE

**email**

gabriele.mauro70@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VICARIO MARIA PINA

**email**

ristorante.garrone@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

INSALATA NICOLA

**email**

nicola.insalata@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VENEZIANO ANTONIA

**email**

tabacchiveneziano@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LEONE VINCENZO

**email**

tabacchileone@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASTAGNA ANTONIETTA

**email**

antoniettacastagna@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

64

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONANSEA BARBARA SIMONA

**email**

studiocacciolatto@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**



The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIALDINI SONIA

**email**

TabaccheriaGialdini@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LA GRUTTA PAOLO

**email**

egosagapo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRUFFA AUGUSTA

**email**

tabaccheria.bruffa@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LOCONTE MICHELA

**email**

smoke2010@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MACCIO PAOLA

**email**

paolamaccio@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'AMELIA MICHELINO

**email**

antnozzi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARALE ALBERTO

**email**

albertob.barale9@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DUROGATI ROLANDO

**email**

aaron.6@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RACCA PAOLO

**email**

ale.teto@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

INSANGUINE ENRICO

**email**

enricoinsanguine@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BIANCHI ANTONELLA

**email**

antonella.bianchi1@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GASPERETTI RENATO

**email**

tabacchi@01889130462.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRANATA IVAN

**email**

ivan.granata@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CUCCIATELLI DANIELA

**email**

cucciатели.daniela@inwind.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GABRIELLI ELISABETTA

**email**

l-articolo@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PESCIOLINI MAURIZIO

**email**

malatestaconcetta@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**



male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUERINI ANTONELLA

**email**

punto.bar@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

OLIVETTA ELISA

**email**

nadia.uccia@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
29

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AMODEO LUCIA

**email**

amodeotacchi@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Koenig

**email**

saarlenner@web.de



**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht - die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen, weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BLEVE ANTONIO

**email**

antobleve@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MIGLIORATI GIUDITTA

**email**

la-miglio79@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CINELLI ROCCO

**email**

palmieri.frosinone@ferrital.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FARACE VALERIA

**email**

faraceva@faracevaleria.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPITALERI MARCO

**email**

rivendita77@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SALA GIANCARLO

**email**

slamvt90@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CECCHI ANGELO FABIO

**email**

cecchifa@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'AMICO LUIGI GIOVANNI

**email**

sognierealta1993@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50



**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZANGA MARCO

**email**

centroservizicrespi@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VITALIANO PALMIRO

**email**

barapollo@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
61

**gender**  
male

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TORISELLI MARCO

**email**

marcotoriselli@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAMELE STEFANIA

**email**



tabaccheria.camele@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SONGA PIERANGELA

**email**

daniela.margiotta@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MINGRONE DALIA MARIATERESA

**email**

daliamingror@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIRILLO D'AGOSTINO GIOVANNA

**email**

leociri@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vernier Florian

**email**

florian.vernier@underwax.ch

**country**

SUISSE

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

J'ai décidé de fumer, c'est mon choix... je trouve que c'est une atteinte à la liberté que de communiquer de tels messages sur les paquets que j'achète tous les jours...

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Je sais déjà que c'est un produit qui peut être dangereux... pas besoin de le rappeler sur les

paquets...

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FABRIS MANUEL

**email**

MANUEL.FABRIS73@ALICE.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIANCALEONI ERICA

**email**

e.ciancaleoni@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PETRELLA CARLO

**email**

carlo.petrella@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Barbara Hammer

**email**

heilpraktikerin-hammer@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**gender**

female



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOSCO LUCA

**email**

bosco.ca@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TRINGALE SARA

**email**

barlabussola@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VACCANI VIRGILIO

**email**

virgiliovacani@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

64

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DONATI DONATELLA

**email**

fdonati@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**



No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SALUTO MARCO

**email**

marco.saluto@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE GIOIA ANTONIA

**email**

dcomorabito@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ORAZI ORFEO

**email**

ORFEO.ORAZI@ALICE.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IUNI FIORELLA RITA

**email**

iunifiorella@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**



No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARLETTI MARIA

**email**

carleTTimaria@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIOVANE MARIO

**email**

giovanemario@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIOVANE MARIO

**email**

giovanemario@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PRIMAVERA VINCENZO

**email**

vic6896@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SORGENTE PASQUALE

**email**

pasqualesorgente@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONOMETTI FRANCESCA

**email**

roberto.biccioli@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

69

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SALVATORI LUCIA

**email**

lavincente@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TANGA ANTONIO

**email**

filo.tanga@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

68



**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIAMPINI SILVANA

**email**

olimpio74@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
40

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ESPOSITO GIUSEPPE

**email**

espo81gius@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SANTOMAURO ANNA MARIA

**email**

stefano.delicio@fiat.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARALE ERALDO



**email**

nst.eraldobarale@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARZI ELISABETTA

**email**

differentone@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIECHIO ROSARIA

**email**

rosariachiechio@videobank.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIUNTINI LUCA

**email**

giuntinilux@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PEZZINI ROSSELLA RITA

**email**

TABACCHERIAPEZZINI@GMAIL.COM

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DELLA CASA ALBERTO

**email**

della-casa@hotmail.co.uk

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PARMEGGIANI SABRINA

**email**

rivendita410@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PRIVITERA SEBASTIANO

**email**

sebytabacchi@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other



experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PERILLO CARLO

**email**

barperillo@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALLO GINO

**email**

ginogallo@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROIATTI PAOLO

**email**

laroia00@roiattipaolo.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TARAMINO GRAZIELLA

**email**

japalella@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;



Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CUDA ELVIRA

**email**

correale.piero@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAMERA BARBARA

**email**

CAMERARO@TABACCHERACAMERA.191.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALESSANDRO GAETANO

**email**

gaetano.alessandro@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CALANDRA ALBERTO

**email**

alberto@tabaccheriadellastazione.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPINELLI FRANCESCO

**email**

spinel@spinelli-francesco.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SARACINO MARIA

**email**

iglazio@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIFFER LUIGI

**email**

luigi\_piffer@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SELMIN TATIANA

**email**

tatypollon@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of



toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUCARONI CLAUDIO

**email**

lucaroni@lucaroni.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COSTA LUCIANO

**email**

lucianocosta\_it@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARZULLO CESARE CARMELO

**email**

CESAREMARZULLO@VODAFONE.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**  
36

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIALLELLA BIAGIO

**email**

biagio.ciallella@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAROVILLANO NICOLA

**email**

CALUMET02@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPINGOLA FILOMENA

**email**

alessiacorzani@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IENCO FILIPPO

**email**

f.ienco77@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI BELLO LUANA

**email**

manuela.stagno@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging



because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LANZETTI MARIA CRISTINA

**email**

tabaccherialanzetti@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCHIONE LAURA

**email**

controventoo@inwind.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CECCOLINI PAOLO

#### **email**

pace61@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

49

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FORSENNATO LUIGI ANTONIO

**email**

marcoforsennato@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.



**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCARAFINO ANTONIO

**email**

antonioscarafino@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE VITA PASQUALE

**email**

anna\_devita1@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Peter

**email**

sdfknjdf@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

EMILI GIUSEPPE

**email**

info@casamema.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI RENZO ADRIANA CARMELA

**email**

epriolo@tiscalinet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LALLI ENRICO

**email**

crazybaraq@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AMATO MAURIZIO

**email**

maurizioamato4@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TEODORI SIMONE

**email**

alba.toniolo@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRAZZOLI SANTO

**email**

gaffuri.monica@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE PIANO IRMA

**email**

nuovaedile1@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAVANELLI PIETRO

**email**

ravanelli.pietro@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCOTTON ERIO

**email**

erio.scotton@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RIPARI ALDINA

**email**

eddi-living77@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
47

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PRENDIN LUCIO

**email**

lucioprendin@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARACCO FRANCESCA

**email**

pirabar@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BORGHI BRUNO

**email**

bo\_bruno@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.



**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FURNARO GIOVANNI

**email**

alfredotim@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FLORIDDIA LILIANA

**email**

floriddia.giovanni@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

24

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DECORATO GIOVANNA

**email**

ciliaorazio85@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CERETTA MARIA TERESA

#### **email**

enrico\_zamberlan@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

52

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**



No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TOMASELLI STEFANIA

**email**

stefania.tomaselli@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MOR FERDINANDA

**email**

lsaccani@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MELIOTA VITO

**email**

vito.meliota@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIARULLI LUCIA

**email**

alessio.super@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**



No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NENNA ANGELA

**email**

r.nenna@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASTANO ALDO

**email**

aldocastano@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAGUSA GIUSEPPINA

**email**

giusyragusa@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RIGATO GENNARO

**email**

rigato.andrea@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

66

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**



No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIANTA LAURA

**email**

cartolibreriapianta@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI MAURO SALVATORE

**email**

latabaccheria60@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONETTO FRANCESCO

**email**

checobon@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MOLINARI ADRIANO

**email**

molinari.adriano@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRANZA MARCELLO

**email**

marce@aruba.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCALI ROBERTO

**email**

scalihana@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARTINI MARIKA

**email**

marika15@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FERRARA LUCIA

**email**

ferrara.lucia@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46



**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GARDIN ENNIO

**email**

ENNIOGARDIN@TIN.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**  
50

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MISSANA LUCIO

**email**

MISSANA@BARMISSANA.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE ASCENTIIS LORENA

**email**

laurapazzly@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DA PRATO ANTONIO



**email**

darenzovg@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'AVANZO SALVATORE

**email**

salvatoreedavanzoriv2@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROCCO FRANCESCO

**email**

f.rocco.76@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCALERCIO ANNIBALE

**email**

annibalescalercio@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARONNA GIUSEPPA

**email**

filippo.spallino@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Roller

**email**

isroller@gmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
ARIEF SRI REA HARLINA

**email**  
gicarrubba@hotmail.com

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
55

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LATTARULO DONATO

**email**

tabacchilattarulo@fastwebmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROBIOGLIO MAURO

**email**

robiogliomauro@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**



No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAVAZZANO ANDREA

**email**

an73@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PETTI MARIA CRISTINA

**email**

crudeligiovanna@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POLLONI ANGELO

**email**

info@cafenina.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SONCIN MARIO

**email**

deabendata51@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALU' CROCIFISSA

**email**

nora55@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SANDRINI SARA

**email**

tabdituttodipiu@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARDIRI GIUSEPPE

**email**

ardiri.gflg@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MINTRONE MARIA LUISA

**email**

privativa3@libero.it

**country**

Italy



**age**  
40

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SMEDILE MARIA

**email**

ilenia.io@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRUCI DOMENICO

**email**

domenicofruci@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

2010

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sandra Segerer

**email**

zigeunerbaronin@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

REAN CONTO SAMUELE

**email**

samuele\_rc@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ERRICHELLO GIOVANNA

**email**

giovanna.errichiello@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LORENZINI MASSIMILIANO

**email**

centermarket2@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCHESI GIANCARLO

**email**

audrylu@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

72

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAMPAGNA FEDERICA

**email**

marchino.p@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32



**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE ROSSI MICHELA

**email**

nonsolotabacchi@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
47

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALIBRICO MARIANNA

**email**

glonny@katamail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAPPELLO BRUNELLA

**email**

brunellacappello@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MONTEVECCHI DIMITRI



**email**

m.dimitri@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PORCEDDA MARIO

**email**

angeloporcedda@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TESI CRISTIANO

**email**

info@caffeserraglio.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CANGIOLI RITA

**email**

btformica@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ORREA BARBARA

**email**

marco.orrea@patriziapepe.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

SIGISMONDI GIUSEPPE

#### **email**

giuseppe.sigi@tiscali.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

52

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

PAMPIGLIONE ANDREA

#### **email**

tabaccheriabollati@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

27

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TOSTI PIETRO GIORGIO

**email**

leclave@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

64

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other



experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MORETTI PAOLA

**email**

flybar@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAPALEO ROCCO

**email**

tersacataldi@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUARINO WALTER

**email**

waltersery@yahoo.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIOVANNONI MASSIMO

**email**

giovannoni.massimo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;



Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LONARDI FEDERICA

**email**

edicola.angolo@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUERRI DAVID

**email**

GUERRIDA1@GANDG.191.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARNAUDO CLAUDIO

**email**

claudio.arnaud@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jiri Kratochvil

**email**

vosa69@seznam.cz

**country**

czech republic

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

increase the size of health warnings or introduce the plain packaging would lead only to increase of illicit trade. It will not decrease the smoking incidence.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

cigarettes without ingredients are not safer, there is no need to ban them.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

display ban of tobacco products will have significant impact on increase of illicit trade.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**



citizen

**name**

RICCI CORRADO

**email**

ricci\_2005@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VETICOZ ANNALISA

**email**

adavetico@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

RASO FLAVIO

#### **email**

poker-sas@infinito.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

47

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BRUNO MARINA

#### **email**

momo3@tele2.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

34

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the



profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MOLINARI ENZO

**email**

bar.sanrocco@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MERLONI MARINA

**email**

merloni.marina@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAVARESE MARCO

**email**

tabaccaifigurelle@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

STOZZETTI ALESSANDRO

**email**

barmurillo@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults



**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CONTE MARIA MADDALENA

**email**

marilenaconte65@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KUHNREICH URIEL

**email**

KUHNREICHURIEL@TIN.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PORCU ANNA PAOLA

**email**

monicaporcu@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SACCHETTO ADOLFO

**email**

sacchettoadolfo@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CRISTÓBAL BAGUR FLORIT

**email**

onbike@hotmail.com

**country**

spain

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no hay problemas

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

el problema no existe

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LEPORATI MONICA

**email**

tabaccheriaquadrifoglio@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARTINI VALERIANO

**email**

v.martini@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MOLINELLI PAOLO

**email**

pipainversa@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PORRU ROBERTO

**email**

conticonti@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CARMAGNOLA MARIAPAOLA

#### **email**

ele.elecat@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

44

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TASSI SANTINA

**email**

elisaarcari@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

71

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DAL SANTO ARPALICE

**email**

roberto650@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

64

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other



experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARTINO VINCENZA

**email**

sil2004@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hendrik Kraan

**email**

hendrikkraan@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

21

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Every adult should have his or her own right to decide.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Nehl

**email**

david\_nehl@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Preiserhöhung um 100%

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIANGIACOMO ANDREA

**email**

barcorbino@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MOSCAGIURI FRANCESCA

**email**

francesca@clublagrottasaracena.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**



No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Radek Petran

**email**

radek.petran@nike.com

**country**

Czech Republic

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Stavajici stav je naprosto dostacujici.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

mel by existovat jednotny format pro vsechny tabakove spolecnosti.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

neexistuje zdrava ani zdrapejsi cigareta. toto opatreni nepovede ke snizeni spotreby tabaku, ale naopak způsobí narůst nelegálního obchodu.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

jakekoliv zakazy na vystaveni tabakovych vyrobku pouze povede k vyraznemu narustu nelegalniho obchodu.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CARTA TOMMASO

#### **email**

edicolanews@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

37

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DE BENEDICTIS LUIGINA

#### **email**

stefano\_1droma@virgilio.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

55

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PRANDINI DANIELE

**email**

daniele.prandini@tele2.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the



suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MONTIANI MARIA GRAZIA

**email**

checcoveru@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MILONE MASSIMILIANO

**email**

barmilo1@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PICELLI LUCA

**email**

l.picelli@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANGIUS ANDREA

**email**

ANGIUS.ANDREA@GMAIL.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**



Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANNO DIEGO

**email**

alex.manno@inwind.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI MICCO SAVINO

**email**

barcris2@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MELLANO PIETRO

**email**

mellanopietro@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VENTURA VITTORIO

**email**

vit.ven@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHATARD

**email**

kfedutheatre@orange.fr

**country**

FRANCE

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

IL n'a pas d'études scientifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des paquets neutres, des photos chocs ni même des plus grands avertissements sanitaires sur les paquets. La mise en place des paquets neutres risque d'entraîner une guerre des prix du tabac puisque cela restera le seul moyen pour les fabricants de se différencier. la mise en place de photos chocs sur les 2 faces des paquets ou de paquets génériques va pousser les consommateurs français à aller s'approvisionner sur les marchés parallèles du tabac (achat à l'étranger, contrebande ou internet) De telles mesures risquent de destabiliser et penaliser économiquement le reseau des 28000 buralistes français organisés dans un reseau réglementé et sous tutelle de l'état.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

obligation d'apposer des messages sanitaires photographiques niveaux de goudron, nicotine monoxyde de carbone remplacés par des info générales sur la nocivité des substances contenues dans les produits du tabac

**additional comments**

ces informations sur la nocivité des substances contenues dans les produits du tabac ne pouvant être placées sur le paquet seraient insérées à l'intérieur du paquet messages obligatoires sur les pipes à eau

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

risque de standardisation de la production avec pour conséquence une diminution de l'offre du fumeur adulte et responsable d'ou rrique de voir apparaitre une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

in n'y a pas de corrélation prouvée entre la dispârition des linéaires tabac et la lutte dontre le tabagisme la France dispose d'un réseau de 28000 buralistes préposées de d'administration responsables et professionnels seuls habilités à vendre du tabac. Ce réseau est fortement réglementé par l'état ce qui permet déjà de contrôler efficacement l'accès au produit du tabac

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRANDOLIN PAOLO

**email**

paolo@daluisa.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAVALLI BRUNO

**email**

tabaccheria.cavalli@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARBERA SARINA

**email**

cammarerisalvatore@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**



**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE RAZZA ROSSELLA TONIA TERESA

**email**

viziemanie@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
36

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kopp Uwe

**email**

koppuwe@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggelns weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggelns weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggelns weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggelns weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggelns weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggelns weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIMINO MARINA

**email**

livi.villani@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAFFEI MASSIMO

**email**

pollonigiancarlo@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

68

**gender**

male



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANDREOLI ALESSANDRO

**email**

TABACCHERIA\_ANDREOLI@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COPPOLINO MIMMO CARMELO

**email**

domenico.coppolino@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POZZI MARIA

**email**

grazia.pozzi@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
31

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARDOINO ANTONELLA

**email**

silvy84.s@libero.it

**country**



Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SERGI FRANCESCO

**email**

sisco\_66@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

OLIA EFISIO

**email**

itiricinque@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI BITONTO LEONARDO

**email**

dibitontoleonardo@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

SOLIDORO DAMIANO ROBERTO

**email**

kaoskaf@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AZZINI LUIGI

**email**

fabio6fabio@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BAGATTO NICOLINA

**email**

federica.pellizzari@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

64

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BELLONI MAURO

#### **email**

badmauro@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

33

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BENATTI ERIKA

**email**

benatti.erika@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BUSETTO DANIELA

**email**

roccia-pep@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIARONI ROBERTA

**email**

r.ciaroni@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE TULLIO ANGELANTONIO

**email**

tabaccheriapetroni@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an



appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BANZI GLORIA

**email**

gloria.banzi21@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FAVERO VALENTINA

**email**

f\_valentina@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CANTAFIO FRANCESCO

**email**

cantafio.francesco@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARELLA SONIA

**email**

carell.72sony@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASTRO IOLA VENERA

**email**

angel.gloria@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

64

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CECCHINI PAOLO

**email**

albemetauro@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSI MARIO

**email**

TIANA@TIN.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE BIAGGI ELIANA

**email**

freedom.el@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI RICO SIMONA

**email**

drugstore2004@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FIN ROBERTA

**email**

margheritabonzi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRATI PAOLO

**email**

info@barghiara.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GOFFI EMMA

**email**

emanuele.g@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GORI FRANCESCO

**email**

checcointer@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
33

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IANNACCONE DANIELA

**email**

iannaccone.daniela@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IARUSSI COSMO

**email**

cosmico2009@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LODOLINI ROBERTO

**email**

LAVAGOSTINA@TISCALI.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LINGUANTI ROCCO

**email**

rocco.linguanti.r7fu@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAESTRONI VITTORIA

**email**

tizimaestroni@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCHESI CRISTIANA

**email**

chiarayanyan@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GASTALDO ALESSANDRA

**email**

maiadiale@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change



**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

NANNI LUCIANO

#### **email**

lucio.n@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

38

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

GOBBI MELCHIORRE

#### **email**

debisognosi@tele2.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

42

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

NICOLIN MARIA ELENA

##### **email**

info@angolocarteria.it

##### **country**

Italy

##### **age**

52

##### **gender**

female

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Ricardo Jorge Marques Faria

##### **email**

ricardojmfaria@gmail.com

##### **country**

Portugal

##### **age**

51

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

The scope of the Directive can be extended to cover these other products, but this should not include an automatic ban on one category of product ( novel forms of oral tobacco ). Any bans should be based on scientific assessments.

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

There is no evidence that bigger warnings are more effective. There is no evidence that plain



packaging would improve the functioning of the internal market or have any public health benefits. It would make it easier for criminals to copy legitimate brands and would lead to an increase in illegal sales of cigarettes.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Member States can already introduce fees and sanctions. Enforcement of the provisions of the Directive should remain the responsibility of the Member States.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no clear problem stated as regards either vending machines or POSO. There is no evidence provided as to why bans would improve the functioning of the internal market or advance any public health objectives.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAGLIAROLI ACHILLE

**email**

maopgl@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAOLONI LUIGI

**email**

LUIGPAOL@MERCURIO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PERISSINOTTO NEREO

**email**

wingo52@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FERRARI MAURIZIO

**email**

FEMA1961@YAHOO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change



**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jürgen Schraven

#### **email**

juergen.schraven@arcor.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

60

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

i am a free citizen

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **recommend option**

no

#### **additional comments**

no

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAPARELLI SILVIA

**email**

silvia191181@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RIZZUTI FRANCESCO

**email**

rizzuti.francesco@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RICCA BENEDETTO

**email**

riccabenedetto@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SANSONE DI TUCCIO ANTONIA

**email**

matilde.taurisano@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BROGNARA GIANNI

**email**

giann.brognara@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GESU' MARIA LUIGIA

**email**

buccomino.luigi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

66

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DIGIACOMANTONIO NATALINO

**email**

digia74@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on



the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAPPA NICOLA

**email**

nico.pappa@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BELLINO TERESA ANNA

#### **email**

p.guelpa@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

49

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TONIN GIULIANA

**email**

lagenziana.gt@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RECHICHI PAOLA

**email**

ANDREATRIDENTE@TISCALI.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the



suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PEDONE VINCENZO

**email**

VICIO78@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PERRELLI DONATO

**email**

donato.perrelli1@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAMPION LEONARDO

**email**

lcampion@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CECCANTI LAURA

**email**

ceccantilaura@yhao.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**



Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ESPOSITO RITA

**email**

vecchiadogana@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CATONE GAETANO

**email**

salvatore.catone@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCHIAVETTI GABRIELE

**email**

gab.tab@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VARGAS HERNANDEZ MARIANELA DE JESUS

**email**

maryvargas@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRANDI VALERIA

**email**

smoke987@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARTIGNONI ROBERTO

**email**

tamyblue@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSA FAUSTO

**email**

tabaccheriarosa@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

URBINI ALESSANDRO

**email**

alessandro.urbini@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LORENZI CARLO

**email**

walter.vignali1969@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRISORIO TERESA

**email**

Lotito2009@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUGLIELMI MARGHERITA

**email**

baronegino@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
66

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TOSI ANDREA

**email**

tosibar@gmail.com

**country**



Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAVERA LUCIA

**email**

danimol@alice.it

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
31

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

WEBER MARA

**email**

maraweber@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCUDELLARI PAOLO

**email**

tabaccheriascudellari@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

LUCHINI LUCIA

**email**

bar.berti@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SELLI VITTORIO

**email**

vitselli@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FILIPPI ALDO

**email**

nico\_filip@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FERRARI VIVIANA

**email**

gf.vivianaferrari@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARALDI GIANCARLO

**email**

GIANCARLOARALDI@INTERFREE.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CORIO LORETTA

**email**

davidonnina@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NAPOLI AGATA

**email**

CARUSOSTEFANO2009@LIVE.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SOTTANI ROSA

**email**

danielaser@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an



appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CONVERSANO ANGELO

**email**

barbuffetfs@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRUPPUSO FRANCESCO

**email**

babypegasus2000@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARBIERI FABIO

**email**

barbierifabio@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VAZZOLER VANIA

**email**

ariel\_71@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ERMACORA PAOLO

**email**

edicola@segnalifumo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCHIAVON BRUNO ALDO

**email**

LEDBETTER@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PACIOTTI MARIA AZZURRA

**email**

tabaccheriaazzurra@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIOMETTO MARIA CRISTINA

**email**

cristina.giometto@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

REDA ROBERTO

**email**

rob.reda@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FANNI GIUSEPPINA

**email**

fanni.daniela@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PETRARCONE ANNA

**email**

rilliluigi@inwind.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

64

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIRAS ADRIANO

**email**

pirasadriano@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PARENTE DANIELA

**email**

cardilloteresa@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**  
40

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MATTEI MARCO

**email**

armando15@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BELENTANI MONICA

**email**

losi.michael@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MASSIRONI GIGLIOLA

**email**

giglio71@massironigliola.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VACCA ANTONELLO

**email**

casiddufrancesca@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VINAI MAURO

**email**

angolodellecose@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARLUCCIO EMANUELA COSIMA

**email**

manu.carluccio75@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIORPAES EDDA

**email**

flaviosoppelsa@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

71

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change



**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZINANI LUCIA

**email**

roberto.battecca@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZUCCHINI DANIELE

**email**

eibl@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BELLOPEDE FRANCESCA

**email**

ele\_marchi@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PASSERI FRANCESCO

**email**

fabrizio.cerasa@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUCENTE PIERINA

**email**

neni.s@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SUMMA DONATO

**email**

tabaccheriasumma@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RUSSO GIUSEPPE

**email**

oscaineto@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIACOMINO FLORIO

**email**

peoniabloom@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROCCA MARIASTELLA

**email**

handmo1@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI BERARDINO NINO

**email**

ninodiberardino@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NIVOLI GIANPAOLO

**email**

gianpaolo.nivoli@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MORI RAFFAELE

**email**

silviagiada@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLUCCIA OSVALDO

**email**

carlo.pasqua57@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SANTOSPIRITO GIUSEPPA

**email**

lucachiamonte@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FABRICATORE LORENZO

**email**

giadarella@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE MONTE FLAVIO

**email**

alferalut@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**



male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSATI STEFANO

**email**

ROSATI.STEFANO@INWIND.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARRA ENZO

**email**

braidoceluteam@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
34

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TOMEI ILENIA

**email**

ileniatomei@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIACHI SIMONE

**email**

simone.florence77@libero.it



**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIACHI SIMONE

**email**

simone.florence77@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE SANCTIS GIANLUCA

**email**

gianlucadesanctis@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BASSO BRUNO

**email**

giambibassi@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRONCHI MONICA

**email**

matrix@info-ser.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andres Rudolf

**email**

andirud@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAZZANO PATRIZIA

**email**

olivia.paola@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCACCINI ANDREA

**email**

marcaandrea@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI MAURO ALESSANDRA

**email**

sandradimauro79@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female



**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AMATO FRANCESCO

**email**

amatof@simail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALTOE' ROMEO

**email**

anny-1993@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LEANDRI MARIO

**email**

leandri.tab@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
67

**gender**  
male

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARTUCCI FRANCESCO

**email**

martuccifra@libero.it



**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TOFFOLO ALESSANDRA

**email**

alessandratoffolo@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PEZZOLI SILVIA

**email**

caffepausa@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAMERINI FEDERICA

**email**

tabaccam@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**



citizen

**name**

LI CALZI SALVATORE

**email**

riccardolicalzi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARBARO JOSEPH

**email**

jbarbaro@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

SANNINO RAFFAELE

#### **email**

sanninoraf@tele2.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

48

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCALONI CARLO

**email**

ticher@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the



profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

MORFU' INNOCENZA ANTONELLA

##### **email**

toninolaregina@libero.it

##### **country**

Italy

##### **age**

44

##### **gender**

female

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ELMO ARMANDO

**email**

ELMOSAS@YAHOO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ODETTO LAURA

**email**

odetto.tabacchi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VARINELLI MADDALENA

**email**

varinellimaddalena@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

66

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults



**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VERZI' GIOVANNI

**email**

giusiallegra@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CORSICO ALBERTO

**email**

alberto.corsico@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RUGARI FABIO

**email**

fabio.rugari@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARINELLI VITTORIA

**email**

nonsolo.tabacchi@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PARIS ALESSANDRO

**email**

info@tabaccheria-paris.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LOCATELLI MARIA GRAZIA

**email**

bruno.invernizzi.59@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOSCOLO VITTORIO

**email**

boscolopelo@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TUMMINELLO ANTONINO

**email**

centronautica@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free



market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MICHELINI MAURO

**email**

LORE1984@INWIND.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROCHELLA VINCENZINA

**email**

enza\_bar@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSINI LORENZO

**email**

lorenzo.rossini@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARDIOLI VANNA

**email**

DIECIDECIMI77@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**  
33

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format



**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERTINI PATRIZIA

**email**

ilpennacchio@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASSETTI ANDREA

**email**

daniela\_orsini@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAVIA PATRIZIA

**email**

ribalton@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAVALLARO MARIO

**email**

mariocav88@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PALELLA GIOVANNI

**email**

gvpalella@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MALCARNE MARINA

**email**

alessiadippol@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MASTROENI ANNA

**email**

annam.73@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

SOLINA ANTONINO

#### **email**

tabacchisolina@hotmail.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

46

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**



No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

VELLI CARMINE

#### **email**

cvelli@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

44

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAZZONE GIOVANNI

**email**

tabacchimazzone@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAFFEO NATASCIA

**email**

leroyciao@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ATANASIO SALVATORE

**email**

TURIATANASIO@TISCALI.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**



No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSI ANNA MARIA

**email**

AMROSI1@HOTMAIL.COM

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARATELLA MAGDA

**email**

baratella.magda@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DIMICCO GIOVANNI

**email**

iltabaccaio@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POETI UMBERTO

**email**

anticatabaccheriaalcastello@teletu.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**



No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLUCCI ANTONIO

**email**

colucci.antonio40@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

70

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Guenther Stephan

**email**

Andy-der-Preller@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Die totale bevormundung des Bürgers wird hiermit vorschub geleistet. Wir wollen keinen zweiten DDR Staat.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

wir wollen so weiter machen wie bisher

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wir wollen so weiter leben wie bisher

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wir wollen nichts ändern und auch wieder in Bayern (Deutschland) rauchen in den Kneipen und Pubs

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weiter machen wie bisher

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

rauchen darf jeder der Alt genug ist und wir wollen unsere Freiheit nicht abtreten

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI LORENZI FRANCESCO

**email**

dilore11@dilorenzitaacchi.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FLABOREA DINO

**email**

fmonja@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MULE' ELISABETTA

**email**

elisa.mule@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRENCI VINCENZO

**email**

grenci.vincenzo@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Depre

**email**

Depre@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAPORITO TIZIANA

**email**

eicsas@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAGGIOLU MARIANNA

**email**

seba.cuffietta@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RECCHIUTI NADIA

**email**

fixit16@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MOSTICONE FABIO ARMANDO BENEDETTO

**email**

fabiomost@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VINCINI CORRADO

**email**

vincinicorrado@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change



**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

GENIALE ANTONIO

#### **email**

a.geniale@virgilio.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

35

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

PECCI STEFANIA

#### **email**

riv.1203@tiscali.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

57

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GHIANO SIMONA ELEONORA

**email**

tabacchi1703@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI GRUSO MAURIZIO

**email**

maurizio.digruso@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LIARDI FERNANDO

**email**

playsport2@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

QUARTILI DONATELLA

**email**

giugno3.70@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOTTIGLIERI ANNALISA

**email**

ann.bot@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROMUALDI ROBERTO

**email**

thomasromu@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CRAPANZANO GIUSEPPA

**email**

crapanzano1@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VIGANO' CARLO GIACOMO

**email**

massimo79@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPINI GUSTAVO

**email**

Paolofognini@tiscalinet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

66

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAGGIO LISEMILIA

**email**

lisemiliamaggio@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LANZAFAME ALESSANDRA

**email**

CHRISTIANCASTORINA@TISCALI.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MIRARCHI GIUSEPPE

**email**

maria.mir@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FERRANTE AURELIO

**email**

aurelio1182@excite.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jerzy Trzaskowski

**email**

siera-1962@o2.pl

**country**

POLSKA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Proponowany ogólnie zakaz bez żadnych merytorycznych i naukowych podstaw jest nieuzasadniony i dyskryminujący

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Bez komentarza

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ujednolicenie paczek papierosów przyczyni się do rozwoju szarej strefy i przyniesie odwrotny skutek.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

W Polsce istnieją jasne zasady raportowania składu papierosów do ministerstwa zdrowia

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Władze powinny dążyć do ograniczenia używania tylko takich składników w produkcji papierosów które powodują szkodliwość papierosa

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Proponowany przez Komisję Europejska zakaz pokazywania papierosow przez sprzedawcow w sklepach ingeruje w zasady wolnego handlu

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARTINANGELO IDA

**email**

lcavallaro@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLLA CLAUDIA

**email**

marco.claudia2005@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CONGIU ROBERTA

**email**

robertacongiu@HOTMAIL.COM

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

URSO PIETRO

**email**

urso.riv17ceglie@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No



**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIETROPAOLO MAURIZIO GIUSEPPE

**email**

pietropaolotabacchi@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BROGI PATRIZIO

**email**

silvestri.tiziana@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

2010

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AURELI MARIA SECONDA

**email**

LUCAVANNINI2000@JAHOO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PASSERA GIULIANA

**email**

g.morini@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MORO BORIS

**email**

boris.moro@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AGIZZA LUIGI

**email**

luigi.agizza@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hofer Petra

**email**

petra.hofer@gmx.net

**country**

Austria

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt meines Erachtens keine wissenschaftlichen Beweise dafür, dass größere Warnhinweise oder Bilder besser geeignet sind, potenzielle Raucher vom Rauchen abzuhalten bzw. Raucher davon zu überzeugen mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören. Auch bei Plain Packaging gibt es bis dato keine Beweise dafür, dass sie eine Auswirkung auf die Gesundheit der Bevölkerung haben. Plain Packaging würde vielmehr Fälschungen und illegalen Handel mit Tabakprodukten forcieren, weil die Fälschungssicherheit der Produkte nicht gewährleistet werden kann.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Rechtsdurchsetzung ist Angelegenheit der Mitgliedstaaten und nicht der EU. Auch wenn die EU dieses Prinzip einführt, würden die Beiträge für Gebühren und Sanktionen zwischen den Mitgliedsstaaten unterschiedlich ausfallen. Dies deshalb, da es in die Kompetenz des jeweiligen Staates fällt. Eine Verbesserung des Funktionierens des Binnenmarktes ist somit auf diese Weise nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bei der Evaluierung von Zusatzstoffen sollte ganz grundsätzlich darauf ausgerichtet sein, ob deren Einsatz die toxische Wirkung des Zigarettenrauchens erhöht. Es sollte daher nicht von der Attraktivität der Stoffe ausgegangen werden, da diese nahezu ausschließlich auf der subjektiven Kriterien fußen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die hier dargestellte Problemstellung zielt nicht auf gesundheitspolitische Probleme im Zusammenhang mit Tabakkonsum und die Verfügbarkeit der Produkte ab. Weiters werden keinerlei Beweise für die Annahme angeboten, dass derartige Verbote den Binnenmarkt stärken aber zu einer Reduktion des Tabakkonsums führen würden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RUOCCO EURO

**email**

scudillopetroli@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VIAZZANI GIOVANNI

**email**

giovanniviazzani@infinito.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCOTULLI ALESSANDRA

**email**

alessandramarcotulli@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRANDI ETTORE

**email**

grandiettore@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COPPA MIRIAM

**email**

MULTIBAR@INFINITO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

48



**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

USAI ROSETTA

**email**

TAB.ESSELUNGA@TISCALI.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**  
43

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BINELLO SILVIA

**email**

tabacchiagliano@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOGGIONE LAURA

**email**

ileniab1979@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIBRARIO CRISTHIAN



**email**

cristhiantab@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RANZATO GIANLUCA

**email**

dina.ranzato@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RADIS ANNA

**email**

moncalvo2@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRAZZOLI DANIELA

**email**

tabaccheria.chezdelio@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PONTICIELLO ANTONIO

**email**

flora.ad@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DALLAN ELVIO

#### **email**

elviodallan@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

38

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BIONDI LIVIANA

#### **email**

lilbra@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

62

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CRISCI ANGELA

**email**

manuelaorologio@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other



experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PARLAFANTI MARIA TERESA

**email**

tabaccherialevante@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GULOTTA LUCIA

**email**

cinquemani23@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VINCENTI FRANCO

**email**

erikvincenti@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLLER ELIDE

**email**

michele\_malesardi@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;



Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAISTRI PATRIZIA

**email**

pm84@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CABANI VALERIA

**email**

a-beppe@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

STOCCHERO ENRICO

**email**

stokhenry@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TELESE ROSARIO

**email**

rosariotelese@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FIETTA VALENTINA

**email**

vale.fietta@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAMELI GIAN FRANCO

**email**

no.toto@TISCALI.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FERRI SCILLA

**email**

tabaccheria.ferri@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MELIS MASSIMO

**email**

maxmels@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of



toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IRMINO GIOIA

**email**

gioia85@gmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASSINI DARIO

**email**

DARIOCASSA@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COZZITORTO SIMONE

**email**

tabaccheria3@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
35

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PICCIONI MARIA GIOVANNA

**email**

mariagiovanna.piccio@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MALTINTI CINZIA

**email**

marinella.rosi@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

STRAPETTI GIAMPIERO

**email**

piero.strapetti@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE DONNO ANTONIO

**email**

tattadedo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALANTE RENATO

**email**

GALANTERENATO@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging



because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALBI MAURIZIO

**email**

lacartina@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASELLI TOMMASO

**email**

tabaccheriagl@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

LAVAGGI TIZIANA

#### **email**

titti.tl@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

32

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

NOTARANGELO MICHELINA

#### **email**

akkenaton@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

39

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.



**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PEGORARO FABRIZIO

**email**

pegoraro.fabrizio@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAGRO LUCA

**email**

magro@sunrise.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIAZZON ANNALISA

**email**

annalisa.piazzon@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Reuter

**email**

duc666@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sie diskreminieren einen Großteil der Bevölkerung.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ANNIBALE GIOVANNI

**email**

leti\_data94@gmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERNARDINI MANOLA

**email**

mannygirasole@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARCARO EGIDIA

**email**

biagio.nini@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SILVANI IDA

**email**

f.ciambotti@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis



of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAVI DANIELE

**email**

tabaccheriagirasole@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AMENDOLA GIOVANNI

**email**

amendolagiovanni@aol.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

POLLINA VITO

#### **email**

polvito@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

32

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NOVELLI CINZIA

**email**

cinzia.novelli@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the



suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claus-Werner Schlueter

**email**

cromlech@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAMEIRANO ANNA

**email**

luigi.cameirano@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephan Schreiber

**email**

stephan@sschreiber.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

INNOCENZI LIVIO

**email**

monticimini@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DEL ROSSO FEDERICO

**email**

fededelrosso@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BICEGO PATRIZIO

**email**

patriziobicego@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARIANI MARCO

**email**

lisarighini@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUIDI GAUDIANO

**email**

gaudianus@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RIVIERA NICOLA

**email**

nicola.riviera@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
37

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ALESSIO DOMENICO

**email**

mimikkio@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MISTURA FEDERICA

**email**

fe24111979@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIANFRANCESCO LIBERATA

**email**

lgianfrancesco@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IMBORNONE ROSA

**email**

francierosi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI BLANDA ROSARIO

**email**

rosario.diblanda@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANSEVINI ENRICO

**email**

enricoansevini@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AMBROSINO ROSSELLA

**email**

am.rossella@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change



**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CARUSO SEBASTIANO

#### **email**

carusielloosnc@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

57

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

PIRA CLAUDIA

#### **email**

robertopintus64@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

39

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANCORA MAURO

**email**

amauro76@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CUCCA SALVATORA

**email**

infogiuseppesca@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DAVINI PIETRO

**email**

pietro.davini@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TONOLI RAFFAELLA

**email**

email.raffaella@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gudrun Pichler

**email**

gpichler@liwest.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Der Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie sollte durchaus die angegebenen Produkte beinhalten. Ohne zuvorige wissenschaftliche Überprüfung der Produkte in Hinsicht auf ihre Schädlichkeit für den menschlichen Körper sollte jedoch kein Verbot ausgesprochen werden.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt meines Erachtens keine wissenschaftlichen Beweise dafür, dass größere Warnhinweise oder Bilder besser dazu geeignet sind, potenzielle Raucher vom Rauchen abzuhalten bzw. Raucher davon zu überzeugen, mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören. Auch bei Plain Packaging gibt es bis dato keine Beweise dafür, dass sie eine Auswirkung der Gesundheit der Bevölkerung haben. Plain Packaging würde vielmehr Fälschungen und den illegalen Handel mit Tabakprodukten forcieren, weil die Fälschungssicherheit der Produkte nicht gewährleistet werden kann.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Zur besseren Kennzeichnung der Inhaltsstoffe könnte man z.B. Zahlen verwenden, die mit Hilfe eines anderen Messverfahrens (zB Health-Canada-Messverfahren) ermittelt wurden. Dadurch würden die Verbraucher präzisere Informationen über die Messwerte bekommen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Rechtsdurchsetzung ist Angelegenheit der Mitgliedsstaaten und nicht der EU. Auch wenn die EU dieses Prinzip einführt, würden die Beträge für Gebühren und Sanktionen zwischen den Mitgliedsstaaten unterschiedlich ausfallen. Dies deshalb, da es in die Kompetenz des jeweiligen Staates fällt. Eine Verbesserung des Funktionierens des Binnenmarktes ist somit auf diese Weise nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bei der Evaluierung von Zusatzstoffen sollte ganz grundsätzlich darauf ausgerichtet sein, ob deren Einsatz die toxische Wirkung des Zigarettenrauches erhöht. Es sollte daher nicht von der Attraktivität der Stoffe ausgegangen werden, da diese nahezu ausschließlich auf subjektiven Kriterien fußen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die hier dargestellte Problemstellung zielt nicht auf gesundheitspolitische Probleme im Zusammenhang mit Tabakkonsum und die Verfügbarkeit der Produkte ab. Weiters werden keinerlei Beweise für die Annahme angeboten, dass derartige Verbote den Binnenmarkt stärken oder aber zu einer Reduktion des Tabakkonsums führen würden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NICOLINI CARLO

**email**

lgmat@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products



consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marina Dettmann

**email**

m.dett@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BONI PAOLO

#### **email**

boni.paolo@teletu.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

38

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOSCOLO MARIANGELA

**email**

mariangela.boscolo@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

OGGIAN ALESSIA

**email**

naida.oggian@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the



suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPENA MAURIZIO FRANCESCO

**email**

aneps@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERTINI IVAN

**email**

www.tabbertini@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPATA NICOLA

**email**

pippistyle@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI PASQUALE ANNA

**email**

dorazio\_luca@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**



Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BILATTI IVANO

**email**

ivanofunni@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Klein

**email**

thomas.klein@autohaus-koessler.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bisherige Regelung ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar, bisherige Regelung ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar, Bisherige regelung ausreichend

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Regelungen in den einzelnen Staaten ausreichend

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

RUSSO MIRKO

#### **email**

mirkojolli1975@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

35

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BIANCHI ROSSELLA

**email**

nadiabrescianini@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.



**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TARANTINO LUCA

**email**

piacentiniserena@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONTEMPI DAVIDE

**email**

davide.bontempi@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PECILLI ROBERTO

**email**

pecilli.roberto@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRAZIANI PALMIERI CESARE

**email**

stefanograzianip@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ariane Jaczak

**email**

arianejarczak@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCARAPECCHIA ANDREA

**email**

andrea\_scarapecchia@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FEMMINO' EMILIO MARIA

**email**

tabaccheriatiburtina@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RIVA TERESA SONIA

**email**

teresa.riva@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**



No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLTRI LUISA

**email**

nicola.castagna@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BALLARINI FRANCESCA

**email**

franci68.ballarini@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASAROTTI AUGUSTINO

**email**

tab.ago@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GASPARRI MASSIMO

**email**

barzodiaco@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**



No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPINELLO SALVATORE

**email**

tabacchispinello@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZILIOLI MASSIMILIANO

**email**

tabaccheriazilioli@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FABRIS NICOLA

**email**

nicolaeva@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GAVOTTO FLAVIO

**email**

censa1@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**



No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIACHETTI TIZIANA

**email**

lbcfa59@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BELFIORE MIRELLA

**email**

armando.baldinucci@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NICOLA

**email**

jacques.nicole0736@orange.fr

**country**

France

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Il n'y a pas d'études scientifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des paquets neutres. Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des photos choc, ni même de plus grands avertissements sanitaires sur les paquets. La mise en place de paquets neutres risque d'entraîner une guerre des prix du tabac (puisque cela restera le seul moyen pour les fabricants de se différencier<sup>o</sup>). La mise en place de photos chocs sur les 2 faces des paquets ou de paquets génériques va pousser les consommateurs français à aller s'approvisionner sur les marchés parallèles du tabac (achats à l'étranger ou de contrebande ou sur internet). De telles mesures risquent de déstabiliser et pénaliser économiquement le réseau des 28 000 buralistes français organisés dans un réseau réglementé et sous tutelle de l'état

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec comme conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voir apparaître une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

**additional comments**

Il n'y a pas de corrélation prouvée entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutte contre le tabagisme. La France dispose d'un réseau de 28 000 buralistes, préposés de l'administration responsables et professionnels, seuls habilités à vendre du tabac. Ce réseau est fortement réglementé par l'état ce qui permet de contrôler déjà efficacement l'accès au produit tabac.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCARPELLINO AGNESE

**email**

mariaflorio69@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

68

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No



**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARINI LAURA

**email**

lauram973@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE GASPARI STEFANIA

**email**

stefi.antonio@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE GASPARI STEFANIA

**email**

stefi.antonio@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Machad

**email**

rovimi@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**



No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCALZO SALVATORE

**email**

salvatore.scalzo1970@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SOSTARO CHIARA

**email**

centrostudicart@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FALCONE IVAN ARCANGELO

**email**

immaroma@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MENICUCCI MARCELLO

**email**

marullo@inwind.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products



consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FUSAROLI RICCARDO

**email**

r.fusaroli@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LORENZINI GIULITTA

**email**

sem.meris@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCOTTI ANTONIETTA

**email**

scottiantonietta@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PROIA ALESSANDRA

**email**

antonio.proia@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CERRA DONATELLA

**email**

excapitano@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carola Peter

**email**

rovimi@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Maass

**email**

r\_maass@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ist ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

ist ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ist ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ist ausreichend

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ist ausreichend

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALCANTRA GIUSEPPE

**email**

giuseppe.alcantra@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANCINI ANTONELLA

**email**

edicola4mura@gmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUCCHETTI FRANCA

**email**

bettybia@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARSANTI SANDRO

**email**

stefani\_barsanti@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARTINELLI LUCA

**email**

samantacesaretti@rocketmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change



**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CUPELLI ALESSANDRA

**email**

alessandracupelli78@vodafone.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MORDACE SIBINO

#### **email**

sibinsbar@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

55

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROMANI PATRIZIA

**email**

cmstazioneesso@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christine Heerlein

**email**

jasmin 2516@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

EL MORSI KALIFA FABIO

**email**

angolo.bar@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRAMBILLASCA STEFANO

**email**

kingbar.srl@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE LUCA DANIELA

**email**

cri.ale@aol.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TALOTTA MARIA

**email**

fra\_boris@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on



the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LANGIU MASSIMILIANO

**email**

massim\_l@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

GUIDETTI TIENNO

#### **email**

s.agniese@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

56

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CRESCIMANNO MARIA

**email**

annaliaincerti.mo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUPPI ANDREA

**email**

dani.luppi@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the



suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BIGARELLI FABRIZIO

**email**

TABACCbc@tabaccheriagianna.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LATINI BEATRICE

**email**

malietabacchi@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DELEDDA FRANCO MARTINO

**email**

vgdservice@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ilona Walther

**email**

walther-Arnstadt

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SACCARDI MAURO

**email**

mau.sac@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RASCHITELLI ELISA

**email**

t1059@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Juergen Krueger

#### **email**

juergen4766@arcor.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

44

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional option**

kein kommentar

#### **additional comments**

kein kommentar

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change; Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARINO CALOGERO

**email**

POSTA@MARESUD.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christoph Waldl

**email**

alex.waldl@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Der Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie sollte durchaus die angegebenen Produkte beinhalten. Ohne zuvorige wissenschaftliche Überprüfung der Produkte in Hinsicht auf ihre Schädlichkeit für den menschlichen Körper sollte jedoch kein Verbot ausgesprochen werden.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt unseres Erachtens keine wissenschaftliche Beweise dafür, dass größere Warnhinweise oder Bilder besser dazu geeignet sind, potentielle Raucher vom Rauchen abzuhalten bzw. Raucher davon zu überzeugen, mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören. Auch beim Plain Packaging gibt es bis dato keine Beweise dafür, dass sie eine Auswirkung der Gesundheit der Bevölkerung habe. Plain Packaging würde vielmehr Fälschungen und den illegalen Handel mit Tabakprodukten forcieren, weil die Fälschungssicherheit der Produkte nicht gewährleistet werden kann.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Zur besseren Kennzeichnung der Inhaltsstoffe könnte man z.B. Zahlen verwenden, die mit Hilfe eines anderen Messverfahrens (zB Health-Canada-Messverfahren) ermittelt wurden. Dadurch würden die Verbraucher präzisere Informationen über die Messwerte bekommen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Rechtsdurchsetzung ist Angelegenheit der Mitgliedsstaaten und nicht der EU. Auch wenn die EU dieses Prinzip einführt, würden die Beträge für Gebühren und Sanktionen zwischen den Mitgliedsstaaten unterschiedlich ausfallen. Dies deshalb, da es in die Kompetenz des jeweiligen Staates fällt. Eine Verbesserung des Funktionierens des Binnenmarktes ist somit auf diese Weise nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Es sollte ein gemeinsames, auf EU-Ebene eingerichtetes Meldeformat entwickelt werden, und zwar auf Grundlage der vorhandenen, von der Kommission im Mai 2007 veröffentlichten Formate. Dabei sollte darauf geachtet werden, dass jedes Meldesystem die Geschäftsgeheimnisse von Herstellern entsprechend schützt. Wie bereits zu Frage 1) ausgeführt, können die Mitglieder bereits Registrierungsgebühren erheben und Sanktionen für die Nichtbefolgung von beliebigen Aspekten der Richtlinie einführen, sodass Option 3 nicht zielführend erscheint. Aus diesen Gründen wird empfohlen, die Option 2 zu wählen.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Fokus sollte bei Evaluierung von Zusatzstoffen darauf ausgerichtet sein ob deren toxische

Wirkung des Rauchen erhöht.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zielt nicht auf gesundheitliche Probleme ab. Keien Beweise, dass Verbote Binnenmarkt stärken oder zur Reduktion des Tabakkonsums führen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONANNI LEANDRO

**email**

bonannileandro@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ABBRUZZESE TERESA

**email**

tabaccheriarosella@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

24

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI LAURO PASQUALE

**email**

pasqualedilauro1@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CERLINI GIACOMO

**email**

cerlinigiaco@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASTELLANI STEFANIA

**email**

clmai54@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE SANTIS PIETRO

**email**

pietrodes76@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSI GINO

**email**

valenix@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male



**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Lukiman

**email**

hans@ascend.web.id

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cigar smoking is in every way different from cigarette smoking. It is not marketed in the mass media, it does not target youngsters, it is not a thing of addiction or compulsion. Cigar and cigarette smoking should not be put in the same basket, nor subject to the same blanket legislation.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Clearly target cigarettes ONLY. Leave cigar smokers alone.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cigar smoking should be treated as different from cigarette smoking.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cigars are made from 100% natural materials and not pumped full of chemicals like cigarettes.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cigars only have one ingredient, which is fermented tobacco. No extra labelling needed above what already exists.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cigars naturally limit sales because of the significantly higher price point compared to cigarettes. It is much less likely for an underage smoker to buy a Cohiba Esplendido, than it is for him or her to buy a pack of Marlboros.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SEGATORI LUISA

**email**

pistone67p@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

64

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI BARTOLOMEO SUSANNA

**email**

susyepihappy@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SANTERAMO MICHELE

**email**

tabacchi56@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARINI PATRIZIA

**email**

ACCIUGHINA1@INWIND.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIRONE BAMBINA

**email**

pompabosch@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RICCIO MARIA ROSA

**email**

giorka@iol.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

68

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RISO ANTONIO

**email**

antonioagip@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**



**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIUMELLI MARIA GIUSEPPA

**email**

bookinternetshop@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
48

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MICCOLI VINCENZO

**email**

arimicc@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MINI DANIELE

**email**

mini.daniele@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRANZOTTO ANDREA



**email**

info@velablu.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAOVILLA MARIA ROSA

**email**

samu@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIARDINA PATRIZIA

**email**

mauryforever@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POSTIGLIONI ENZO

**email**

rm2824@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---



**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zbigniew Szkarłat

**email**

zbigniewszkarlat@wp.pl

**country**

POLSKA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

NO COMMENT

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

NO COMMENT

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
MANIN MONICA

**email**  
tabaccheriamanin@gmail.com

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
35

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GERVASO FABIO

**email**

fabio.gervaso@lideaweb.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PETRELLI STEFANO

**email**

stefano345@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DURANTE PIERINA

**email**

nunzioepierina@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**



**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CACIOPPA ROMINA

**email**

info@occhiblu.info

**country**

Italy

**age**  
33

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ESPA LUCA

**email**

thegemels@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCAPICCHI VITTORIO

**email**

scapicchi@portal.pac2000a.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DOIMO ALEX



**email**

a.doimo@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOLZAN RENATA ROBERTA

**email**

chiesluigi@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MORELLO NICOLA

**email**

nicolamor69@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOLZONELLO PAOLO

**email**

mapa2005@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

ATZORI ENNIO

#### **email**

norma-scotton@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

50

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONATO PAOLA

**email**

fabio.bis@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Janine Walter

**email**

marko-janine@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
ROSSI ALESSANDRO

**email**  
a\_976@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector' s operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TOMASETTO NICOLETTA

**email**



oscar\_to@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CANAL KATIA

**email**

CANALK00@canal.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DELUIGI LAURA ELSA MARY

**email**

veruscabianchi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

24

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MATTEI ROBERTINO

**email**

jambumatata@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
DANI PIERO

**email**  
niccolodani@hotmail.it

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
54

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

SARTI ELENA

#### **email**

elena\_sarti@virgilio.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

27

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GAMBULLO DAVIDE

**email**

davide.gambullo@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FIENI LUCA

**email**

luca.fieni@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other



experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONETTI MARIA RITA

**email**

MARIARITA\_BONETTI@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Annemarie Graf

**email**

annegraf.ag@gmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

21

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
CIAMPA VENANZIO

**email**

ciampa.venanzio@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZAFFARONI GAETANO CORRADO

**email**

ZAFFARONITURATE@GMAIL.COM

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**



citizen

**name**

BOULANGER

**email**

boulangerdominique@orange.fr

**country**

France

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

« Il n'y a pas d'études scientifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée. »

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

« Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des paquets neutres. Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des photos chocs, ni même de plus grands avertissements sanitaires sur les paquets. La mise en place de paquets neutres risque d'entraîner une guerre des prix du tabac (puisque cela restera le seul moyen pour les fabricants de se différencier). La mise en place de photos chocs sur les 2 faces des paquets ou de paquets génériques va pousser les consommateurs français à aller s'approvisionner sur les marchés parallèles du tabac (achats à l'étranger ou de contrebande, ou sur internet. De telles mesures risquent de déstabiliser et pénaliser économiquement le réseau des 28 000 buralistes français organisés dans un réseau réglementé et sous tutelle de l'Etat. » (PS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

« Cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec comme conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voire apparaitre une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits. »

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

« Il n'y a pas de corrélation prouvée entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutte contre le tabagisme. La France dispose d'un réseau de 28 000 buralistes, préposés de l'administration responsables et professionnels, seuls habilités à vendre du tabac. Ce réseau est fortement réglementé par l'Etat ce qui permet déjà de contrôler efficacement l'accès au produit tabac. »

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KNOLLENBERGER MATTHIAS

**email**

info@knollenberger.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRANDUARDI ANDREA

**email**

barbaff@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Werner Bürmann

**email**

pfeifenlaedchen-w.b.design@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MOTTA MARCO ABRAMO

**email**

INFO@NONSOLOFUMO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSI ENZO

**email**

river.bar@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRAZIOLI MARIO

**email**

mariograzioli.79@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAPORICCIO ANTONIA

**email**

SIMONASABATINI56@GMAIL.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAGINI FEDERICA

**email**

FNCSAN@TIN.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

36



**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LOPARDO MICHELA

**email**

mi.lopardo@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
28

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LOIA VINCENZO

**email**

pisabella79@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IORE MARIA ROSA

**email**

marinella.fiore@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCANDURA CONSOLAZIONE



**email**

76j@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BORSI MANUELA

**email**

bar\_marisa@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPARACINO ATTILIO

**email**

attiliosparacino@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MACCARINELLI DESIREE

**email**

maccdesy@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SUPERTI SILVANO

**email**

supertisilvano@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VANFRETTI SARA

**email**

TABACCHERIA102@YAHOO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CRISTIANO DAVIDE

#### **email**

cristianotab@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

25

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

CRISTIANO DAVIDE

##### **email**

cristianotab@libero.it

##### **country**

Italy

##### **age**

25

##### **gender**

male

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other



experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SALAMINA GIOVANNI

**email**

tabaccheria.ilpavone@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CATANESE FILOMENA

**email**

FIENAC09@CATANESEFILOMENA2.191.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mandy Krantz

**email**

faithy@live.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAGANI ARNALDO

**email**

mariab@calzificiotognoli.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**



citizen

**name**

ESPOSITO PIER PAOLO

**email**

caffetteriaeuropa@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PANETTA GIOVANNA

**email**

giopane76@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCALLI ADRIANO

**email**

marcalliadriano@eutelia.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BALDUCCI ANNA

**email**

annottola@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the



profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ACERBI CESARE

**email**

valsesiana@cesare-acerbi.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DELLA PERUTA MONICA

**email**

30caserta@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COSTUMATO GENNARO

**email**

jerry24@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANGELINI CESIDIO

**email**

cesidioangelini@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults



**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VENTURA GIUSEPPE

**email**

federica@autocenterap.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ernst Tochtermann

**email**

ernst.tochtermann@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI FIORE MARISA

**email**

tabaccheria.martini@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**  
65

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FABIONERI FABRIZIO

**email**

INFO@FABIONERI.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRACCETTI DOMENICO

**email**



DBRACCETTI@GMAIL.COM

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PERITI ALBERTO

**email**

camillaperiti@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RIEFOLO FRANCESCO

**email**

antonio.riefolo@fastwebmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARDONE ROBERTO

**email**

giuslib30@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCALERA VITO

**email**

info@barmoderno.net

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

SCHIRALLI COSIMO

#### **email**

cosimo.tab@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

40

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MORELLATO VALTER

**email**

tabaccheriaalduomo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MASCENA CARLA

**email**

fabrizio.giz@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other



experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRASSI ALESSANDRO

**email**

carminecaia@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASTIGLIONE RAFFAELE

**email**

r.castiglione@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPERANZA SALVATORE

**email**

nuralema@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Georg Schirmer

**email**

hschi@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANGIPIA FRANCESCO

**email**



smangiapia@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BORRELLI GIORGIO

**email**

giorgioborrelli58@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DUO' DAGOBERTO

**email**

andybar73@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRUNELLI EZIO

**email**

ezioredenda@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TENEREZZA PIETRO

**email**

CAROLINA.TEN@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLECCHI LUCA

**email**

lucacolecchi@pizzalto.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Sylwester Kraus

#### **email**

s.kraus@upcpoczta.pl

#### **country**

Polska

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

No comment

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

No comment

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No comment

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POLIDORI MARINA

**email**

tabaccheria.polidori.marina@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LO GIUDICE MARIAGRAZIA

**email**

tabloguidice@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**



female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

cosima

**email**

cosima-1994@live.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSI FRANCESCO

**email**

tabacchirossi1977@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

OLIVIERI DANIELE

**email**

cironero@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SANTONI ILARIA

**email**

tabaccheriahavana@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on



the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SINCERI GIANLUCA

**email**

gianluca.sinceri@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ABBAFATI ANTONELLA

**email**

ragioneria@affile.org

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE VIVO MARIA

**email**

barmirror@inwind.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TOESCHI UMBERTO

**email**

toeschi.umb@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

74

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the



suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GREGORI MAURIZIO

**email**

gregori12@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BO PAMELA

**email**

pamela.bo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

OLIVATO MICHELA

**email**

olivato.michela@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZARRILLO FRANCO

**email**

franco\_zarrillo61@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**



Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MONTEFUSCO AGATA

**email**

agata.montefusco@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ESPOSITO BARBARA

**email**

blube@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAZZOLDI ENRICA

**email**

giornalitabacchimazzoldi@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GILLES

**email**

j-gilles@orange.fr

**country**

FRANCE

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Aucune étude a prouvé l'effet de photos choc ni de messages plus importants en surface des emballages. L'effet pourrait même être contraire en incitant les gens qui sont hostiles à ces photos et messages à s'approvisionner sur des marchés parallèles ou sur internet. De telles décisions entraineront la mort des 28000 buralistes de France

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cela risque de standardiser le produit et de ne plus offrir de choix au consommateur responsable. Cela facilitera d'autant plus la contrefaçon.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional option**

Il n'y a pas de lien établi entre la disparition des linéaires et la lutte contre le tabagisme. En France, le tabac est vendu dans un réseau de buralistes responsables et représentants de l'Etat et qui garantissent la vente dans un cadre légal.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VITELLA FRANCESCA

**email**

info@vitellafrancesca.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CUZZOLIN GILDA

**email**

casonato@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stoll Valeri

**email**

valeri.s@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

erwachsene können selbst entscheiden, was und wo sie rauchen. hat EU Regierung nichts anderes zu tun, als alles zu verbieten?

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BELGRADO TANIA

**email**

marta.giamma@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change



**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BOSCOLO CHERUBINA

#### **email**

boscolo.jessica@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

37

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MOCALI STEFANIA

**email**

stefania.mocali@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARGILLI RICCARDO

**email**

petrolbaci@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MELEGARI MARCO

**email**

melega15@melegarimarco1.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SARTORI RUGGERO

**email**

alimsartori@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PUCCIO ANGELA BEATRICE

**email**

ergbar@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPINA ALESSANDRO

**email**

alexpalomava@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NAVA LUCA

**email**

luca75n@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DALL'ACQUA LUCA

**email**

lory75st@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GAZZOLI PATRIZIA

**email**

ilmiscuglio@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MENCARELLI ANDREA

**email**

mencarellia.a@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZIINO MARIA

**email**

mariaziino@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RUGALI MAURIZIO

**email**

maurizio.rugali@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIESA MORENA

**email**

momi\_CM@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARBAGALLO SALVATORE

**email**

agora@casbanet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MASSIMIANI LUCA

**email**

lucamassi@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**



male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASALI PIER GIORGIO

**email**

itapulvirenti@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TRITRINI PIERO

**email**

tritrinipiero@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
42

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ACCHILLE SANDRA

**email**

sandradacchille@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPAGGIARI GIAMPAOLO

**email**

tabaccheriaspaggiari@gmail.com



**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RICCI MARIA TERESA

**email**

paolacoppa@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

69

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MONTORSI LORENZO

**email**

riv3\_fiorano@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POLIDORI MAURO

**email**

poli.a-87@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLOSIO ANTONELLA

**email**

tabaccheria.alborgo@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCELZO DONATO CATALDO

**email**

e.scelzo@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI GIOIA ERMELINDA

**email**

supersister\_betta.ros.silvia@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BONAVENTURA LIVIO

#### **email**

livio.bonaventura@virgilio.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

57

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the



profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GAROFALO FRANCESCO

**email**

francescogarofa1971@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOATTO CRISTIAN

**email**

cristianboatto@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GABRIELE LUCREZIA

**email**

sprintdig.r@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LI PETRI ELISABETTA

**email**

salvatore.bavosa@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults



**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

QUARRATO ANTONINO

**email**

grham@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Volz

**email**

volz.m@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
on command

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no command

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no command

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Andrzej Kwiecień

**email**  
andrzej.kwiecien@pmintl.com

**country**  
POLSKA

**age**  
52

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIERGENTILI MARCO

**email**

marcotili@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DRUETTA BATTISTINO

**email**

tinogen@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No



**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Friedrich ÖHL

**email**

foehl@utanet.at

**country**

Österreich

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There are different nicotine delivery systems beside the ENDS like "nicorette", so that if it is wanted, nicotine should be banned generally.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

There is no reason for me to change from national legacy to an EU directive.

**additional comments**

Nicotine is not the only legal drug, so that it's restriction seems to be a hunting against smokers, while alcohol for example is still out of discussion.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Do you believe, that consumers think, that white wine has less alcohol than red wine? It's just the same. I've never seen a product without using the package as an advertising tool.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no need to harmonize national regulations

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RUELE EGINIA

**email**

e.ruele@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARANO ANTONELLA

**email**

anrybar@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRONZA TIZIANA

**email**

tiziana\_fronza@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VENCHI ANDREA

**email**

andrea@meskio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIMION PIERNICOLA

**email**

pnicola.s@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PERETTI MARIO

**email**

3356585514@tim.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COZZI ANTONIO

**email**

tabacchicentronova@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUARDINI ANNA

**email**

cartoleriagt@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARDINALETTI ALESSANDRO

**email**

erbarella@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Heinrich

**email**

stefan.heinrich@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

-

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

POCHETTI CHIARA

#### **email**

chiarapok@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

36

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**



No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GHIRIGATO MATTIA

**email**

MATTIA.GHIRIGATO@TISCALI.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LONGO MARIA PATRIZIA

**email**

salignanotabaccheria@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ALESSIO GAETANO

**email**

dalessiogaetano@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARINO DOMENICO

**email**

mimmo\_81@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**



No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MUZIO GUIDO

**email**

muzio.guido@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TOSI ADRIANA

**email**

piccinelli.gilda@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FROSIO GIULIO

**email**

gloglo1992@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SASUNNA LOREDANA

**email**

loredana.sasunna@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**



No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAZZESCHI JACOPO

**email**

Jacopo\_piazzi@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAROLI ANDREA

**email**

andreacaroli2005@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRACHEA FRANCESCA

**email**

fracheafra@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPINELLI ANGELINA

**email**

alex5smp@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SANTANIELLO SILVIO

**email**

santaniello@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TORREGIANI GIOVANNI

**email**

giovannitorregiani@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LANZANI STEFANO

**email**

gualtieri.elena@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MUSCIANISI CARMELA

**email**

carretti80@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59



**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUIDOTTI NATALE MARIA

**email**

gestioniacadorna@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
60

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARILE MICHELE

**email**

www.michelebarile@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAPROTTI MARCO

**email**

caffe\_nazionale@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MUSUMECI SALVATORE



**email**

benvenuto\_201@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ERRICO SALVATORE

**email**

tab35na@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FIOR DANIELA

**email**

daniela.fior@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASAROTTI MATTEO

**email**

matteocsr@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CRESCIA ELISABETTA

**email**

elisaidee@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DRAGO TANIA

#### **email**

td77@inwind.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

33

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BACCARIN STEFANIA

**email**

baccastefiraffia@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

VILLA MARINELLA

##### **email**

asfondrini@tin.it

##### **country**

Italy

##### **age**

53

##### **gender**

female

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other



experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERTONE RINALDO

**email**

RINBER@TIN.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRANCUCCI ROBERTA

**email**

roberta.francucci@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAFFAINI DANIELE

**email**

tabaccheriaraffaini@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SALVADOR ILARIA

**email**

ilariasal@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;



Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARTOLOTTA GABRIELE

**email**

gabrielebartolotta1@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PARAPINI LUIGI MARIA VITO

**email**

scaletta96@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ACHILLE ELENA ROSINA

**email**

sergio.donofrio.168@istruzioni.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CANDELORO GIOVANNI

**email**

gianpasss@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE LUCA GIUSTINO

**email**

giastylive@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARONCELLI ELISA

**email**

bigdreamcafe@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BEVILACQUA MARINA

**email**

marina-bevilacqua@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

66

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CENNI ALBERTO

**email**

albertocenni@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of



toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARBIERO MICHELE

**email**

barbieromichele@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AMARISSE FEDERICA

**email**

giuseppe\_dalmonte@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Helmut Hermes

**email**

hermes.kumhausen@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BIAGIOLI DUILIO

**email**

daniele.biagioli01@yahoo.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

74

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults



**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CESTARO MARIO

**email**

mario@mariobarba.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

64

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE MARTINO GIOVANNI

**email**

rosyegabriele@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAVALLARI DANILO

**email**

gianpiero@villacorte.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

71

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MASSUOLI ILARIA

**email**

brunomassoli@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASAGRANDE ALESSIO

**email**

casagrande.alessio@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIONCOLINI SANDRO

**email**

sandrocioncolini@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PALOZZO ALESSIO

**email**

ozzolap@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CORPIERI SILVIA

**email**

simonecorpieri@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this



statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VOCINO SILVIO

**email**

silviovocino@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MORBIDELLI STEFANIA

**email**

raffaele.perrino@yhao.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRANCHI MIRIA

**email**

massimop.pieri@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARROBIO PAOLA

**email**

illengoluca@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
55

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format



**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IUALE' ALBERTO

**email**

alberto.pse@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FONTANELLA GIUSEPPE PIETRO

**email**

miliardo1948@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrea Paulik

**email**

andreas.paulik@huissel.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht

ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

NANTIAT MARCO

#### **email**

tabaccherianantiat@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

37

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROCCATELLO FLORIANA

**email**

bonfire71@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Emir Islambasic

#### **email**

emir.islambasic@huissel.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen

gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche

„Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Josef Stefan

**email**

josef.stefan@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemendarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemendarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an

Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettensautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettensautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Deric

**email**

stefan.deric@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen

Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und

Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht

thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TOCCANE ANDREA

**email**

panificiotoccane@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bernd broghammer

**email**

bernd.broghammer@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je

größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ORCIARI DANIELE

**email**

danieleorciari@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PALUMBO MICHELE

**email**

micambo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BAROLLO CECILIA

**email**

barolloceci@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

74

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis



of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VERARDI BARBARA

**email**

simonaebarbara@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCALZERI PATRIZIA

**email**

pasqal@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

ROBAZZA LORENA

#### **email**

losteria-malacco@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

40

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VECCHI BARBARA EMILIA

**email**

gigi-gat@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the



suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAMBELLI STEFANO

**email**

mambo700@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΣΙΑΜΠΙΑΝ ΧΟΥΣΣΕΪΝ

**email**

chous1905@hotmail.com

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Δεν λειτουργούν σωστα οι αποκρουστικες εικονες

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Θεσπιση ομοιομορφου μοντελου συστατικων

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Εισαγωγή κοινου τυπου συστατικων σε επιπεδο Ε.Ε.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MOSCIATTI MARIA CRISTINA

**email**

mariacristina.mosciatti@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
45

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LIBUTTI ARCANGELO

**email**

arcangelo.libutti@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NERI MARCO GUGLIELMO

**email**

richi05@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NAPOLI STEFANO

**email**

napolimirko@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIRRINCIONE MARIA CONCETTA

**email**

concetta.cirrinzione@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

leszek wesolowski

**email**

lwesolow@poczta.fm

**country**

POLSKA

**age**

50

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

NO COMMENT

**additional comments**

NO COMMENT

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

NO COMMENT

**additional comments**

NO COMMENT

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change



**additional option**

NO COMMENT

**additional comments**

NO COMMENT

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

NO COMMENT

**additional comments**

NO COMMENT

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

NO COMMENT

**additional comments**

NO COMMENT

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NO COMMENT

**additional comments**  
NO COMMENT

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GANZ PAOLA

**email**

antimo.passaretti@tele2.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FIGONI ROBERTO

**email**

ciroca15@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DAL PORTO RICCARDO

**email**

RICDALPO@TIN.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DELL'ELCE GIANLUCA

**email**

vale.cambi@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LOMBARDI GABRIELLA

**email**

gabriellalom@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BORNIA LORETTA

**email**

caffequotidiano@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ABATE ROSA

**email**

abate.ros@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PISACANE VINCENZO

**email**

roberto.pisacane@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**



No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ANZI PIETRO ANTONIO

**email**

danzip@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANDILE MARGHERITA

**email**

donatoverre@inwind.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

65

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MOSTI MILENA

**email**

mils1@inwind.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARA ROBERTA

**email**

tabacchicara@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RASPANTI RACHELE

**email**

anto1@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CORAN PAOLO

**email**

p.coran@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerlinde Aue

**email**

gerlinde.aue@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je

größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Scherle

**email**

stefan.scherle@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder

gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

walter Strom

#### **email**

walter.strom@huissel.de

#### **country**

germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss

daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die

Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAGANINI SIMONE

**email**

ferro048@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LANDI GEMMA

**email**

capricorno666@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MATTEUCCI GIANDOMENICO

**email**

m.andreuccetti@meiassociati.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI RAIMONDO EDDA

**email**

monica.annalia@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

70

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VICENZETTO MARCO

**email**

m.vice@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No



**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RUSSO MARINA

**email**

tabaccheria\_marinarusso@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MOROTTI ROBERTO

**email**

tabaccheriaportarimini@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SALVATORI ELENA

**email**

elesalvatori@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SORBINI MASSIMO

**email**

sorbo@39hotmai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PILAT IULIA

**email**

fonzie\_90@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IMPERATO ANIELLO

**email**

giulianialberto389@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIZZILEO QUINTINO

**email**

pz.quintino@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RONCARATI MONIA

**email**

belentani@alice.it

**country**

Italy



**age**  
39

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PROCK MARIA MAGDALENA

**email**

MODEMARLEN@DNET.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

69

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

WEGER FRANZ

**email**

wegerfranz@dnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ANNUNZIO CLAUDIO

**email**

claudiodannunzio69@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**



SCANSANI LORETTA

**email**

osimo.bellezza@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Grossmann

**email**

markus.grossmann@huissel.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus

Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen

würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CRETAZ ROBERTO

**email**

robertoc77@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Avin Krasniqi

#### **email**

avin.krasniqi@huissel.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No



**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemendarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemendarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

## **questions on reporting**

### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

### **which option**

No change

## **regulation of ingredients**

### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

### **which option**

No Change

## **access to tobacco products**

### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über

Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettensautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettensautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kurt Dettinger

**email**

kurt.dettinger@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner

wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft.

Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAROCCO FRANCA

**email**

alessandra.de-santis5@tele2.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

68

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LISI GERARDA

**email**

barlira@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE SIMONE MARIA ROSARIA

**email**

desimaros@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LIBERTO MARCO

**email**

trinacria@campingtrinacria.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BECCACECE PAOLO

**email**

p\_beccacece@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

26

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARNONE VINCENZO

**email**

arnonevincenzo@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy



**age**  
38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIRILLO EMANUELA

**email**

RIVENDITA16@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANFRONI NADIA

**email**

adribald@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LO MONACO FRANCESCO

**email**

lomonacofrancesco76@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**



CAMMARATA MASSIMILIANO

**email**

tabacchicammarata@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARAMIA ENZO

**email**

francocaramia@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MASOLA MARIA

**email**

maria.masola@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PILI LAURA

**email**

abisclaudia80@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

24

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BERSANETTI VITTORIO

#### **email**

campobar@tiscali.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

40

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MODONESI ANGELA

**email**

angelamodonesi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CATALANO GIUSEPPE

**email**

monicatotino@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DONATI FLAVIO

**email**

flavio\_1967@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.



**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Eitelbuss

**email**

daniel.eitelbuss@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der

Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zoran Praizovic

**email**

zoran.praizovic@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der

Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinationaltätigen Groß-

und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettensautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettensautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Günter Linderer

**email**

linderer@ross-kg.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf ein mögliches Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigeode

rzigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkte (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht alleine aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format.

Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweis erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten.

Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeführt, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden.

Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Markedient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und damit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger.

Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht.

Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälscher die Arbeiter leichter und das Problem des Schmuggels weiterverschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt.

Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.



**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden.

Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzlich dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde.

Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf odereinein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehenden nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keineswegs sachgerecht, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage.

Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage.

Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-

Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden.

Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert.

Die Fragen nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keineswegs sachgerecht, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards

orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung.

Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i. d. R. unter strengem gesetzlichen Auflagen und nationalen Regelungen.

Der Problembeschreibung stimmt nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen.

Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC aus November 2009 festgehalten hat: Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend.

Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen.

Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen eine grenzüberschreitende Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist.

Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten - z. B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes - den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automaten aufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, dies aus so beeinträchtigen und ihn nicht erleichtern.

Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat – diese Kompetenz ist in den EU-Verträgen festgelegt.

Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzelermächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hingepprüft wurde, ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. Dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlicher Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automatenvertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise.“

Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs- bzw. Bezugsstellen verschwinden.

Die Problembeschreibung verschweigt wesentliche Sachverhalte, die für die abschließende Bewertung von Bürgerinnen und Bürgern von Wichtigkeit sein können.

Beispielhaft sei hier eine Stellungnahme der Deutschen Bundesregierung vom 01.04.2010 im Rahmen einer Kleinen Anfrage der Fraktion Bündnis 90/Die Grünen im Hinblick auf ein generelles Automatenverbot genannt:

„Im Rahmen der Verhältnismäßigkeit sieht die Bundesregierung die bereits existierenden gesetzlichen Vorschriften und die in Vollzug dieser Vorschriften erfolgte Umsetzung der technischen Sicherung von Zigarettenautomaten durch Alterskontrolle per Geldkarte oder europäischem Führerschein als derzeit ausreichend und als die mildesten Mittel an, um das Abgabeverbot von Tabakwaren durch Automaten an Minderjährige wirksam zu regeln.“

#### **which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Fabian Ziegler

#### **email**

zieglerfabianziegler@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

23

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional comments**

Ich halte generell ein Verbot von Tabakprodukten jeglicher Art für eine Bevormundung und unzulässige Einschränkung der Freiheit der Bürger. Jeder Erwachsene sollte selbst darüber entscheiden können, ob er Tabak konsumieren und die gesundheitlichen Gefahren auf sich nehmen möchte oder nicht.

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Tabakkonsum hat in Europa, vergleichbar mit Alkoholkonsum oder Autofahren, eine lange Tradition und ist fester Bestandteil der Kultur. Zu dieser Kultur gehören auch unterschiedliche

Tabakmarken. Diesen Marken sollte man das Recht geben, sich durch Ausgestaltung der Verkaufsverpackung von anderen Marken abgrenzen zu können, genauso wie dies z.B. bei Biermarken, Automarken oder Marken in jedem anderen Bereich des Konsums auch der Fall ist.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Einzigste Änderung der jetzigen Regelung, die mir sinnvoll erscheint, ist die Informationsbeilage im Innern der Verpackung. Hier kann umfangreich und sachlich über Gefahren des Tabakkonsums und die Möglichkeit von der Sucht frei zu kommen aufgeklärt werden. Eine solch objektive Aufklärung ist einer subjektiven Aufklärung durch Schockfotos, die dem Konsumenten aber keine weitere Information über den Tabakkonsum vermittelt, vorzuziehen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**additional comments**

Um den Zugang zu Tabak für Minderjährige einzuschränken, wäre es der effektivste Weg, den Tabakverkauf komplett auf spezialisierte Einzelhändler zu beschränken, zu deren Geschäften jediglich Erwachsene Zugang haben. Dies würde ein Verbot von Verkaufautomaten und ein Verbot von Tabakverkauf in z.B. Supermärkten voraussetzen. Wird der Tabak in einer Atmosphäre verkauft, zu der nur Erwachsene Zutritt haben und in der

man von Fachpersonal beraten wird, das den Konsumenten über Gefahren des Tabakkonsums aufklärt, kann man auf Einheitsverpackungen und übergroße Warnhinweise auf Verpackungen, die die Souveränität von Tabakmarken einschränken, verzichten. Außerdem ist von einem Verbot des Tabakversandhandels abzuraten. Es gibt hunderte unterschiedliche Pfeifentabakmarken und selbst die meisten Fachhändler verkaufen nur einen Bruchteil davon. Möchte man als Konsument einen eher seltenen Tabak kaufen ist man auf den Versandhandel angewiesen, gerade in ländlichen Regionen. Ein Verbot des Versandhandels würde für viele Pfeifen- und Zigarrenraucher eine empfindliche Beeinträchtigung ihres persönlichen Genussempfindens bedeuten. Meiner Meinung nach ein inakzeptabler Eingriff in die persönliche Freiheit.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

SCARPATO LAURA

##### **email**

roby.malga@magistudio.it

##### **country**

Italy

##### **age**

42

##### **gender**

female

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSETTI FIORENZA

**email**

verona2@petsplanet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access



**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BADONI GIANMARCO

**email**

gianmarcobadoni@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROLANDO AGOSTINA RAFFAELA

**email**

raffaelanovembre@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAGONI LUIGI

**email**

info@magoni.biz

**country**

Italy

**age**

71

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAROSONE GIORGIO

**email**

giorgiowalter@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRAVINA SOCCORSA

**email**

matteo\_pistillo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MALATESTA NICO

**email**

nichissimo@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerd Hohlmann

**email**

gerd.wremen@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
die maßnahme ist unverhältnismäßig, überzogen und letztendlich gemessen am aufwand unwirksam.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Qualität wäre von Schund nicht mehr zu unterscheiden. Gleichmacherei wird das Rauchen an sich nicht verhindern. Je mehr das Rauchen in die tatsächliche oder gefühlte Illegalität gedrängt wird, je interessanter wird es für den Konsumenten. Auch die Einnahmen des Staates werden weiter sinken (siehe Prohibition in Amerika), der Konsum aber kaum abnehmen.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Geht mir zu weit. Zustimmung kann ich dem Verbot von Automaten.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MELANI ROBERTO

**email**

SERENA.MELANI@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access



**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DESSI' ELISABETTA

**email**

roberto.camba@vodafone.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAZZITELLI FRANCESCO

**email**

harley.tex@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZOMPI MICHELA

**email**

tabaccheria122@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TALA GIOVANNI

**email**

vannitala@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**



No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZANICHELLI ROBERTO

**email**

amarco1@aliceposta.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DAMATO MASSIMILIANO

**email**

anticatabaccheriadelcorso@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Horst Korth

**email**

horst.korth@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das

Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete

Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VINDIGNI GIORGIO GIUSEPPE

**email**

giorgio.vindigni@vindigni.it



**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Brauer

**email**

bernd.brauer@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BENEVENTI IVAN

#### **email**

ivanbeneventi@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

28

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERRUTI SIMONE

**email**

caterina@eurostudiosrl.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.



**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANERA ENRICO

**email**

astegianodimanera@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BUZZITTA ANNA

**email**

isidoro.miceli@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MIRTO ELENA MARIA

**email**

carmelolagattura@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DONI MASSIMILIANO

**email**

patrizia@studiogotri.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PATRONE FEDERICO

**email**

patronefederico@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BEVILACQUA MAURIZIO

**email**

ostoale@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MOSCONI SILVANO

**email**

silvano.mosconi@caribia.net

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reinhard Zakel

**email**

reinhard.zakel@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der



Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz,

Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Richard Schmidbauer

**email**

richard.schmidbauer@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen

Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemendarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemendarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine

Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage.

Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MACCHIA LORENZO

**email**

edicola.macchia@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

XAUSA PIERALDO

**email**

pieraldox@live.it



**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRANCATO SONIA

**email**

soniabrancato@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOCCANERA CRISTIANO

**email**

cristianoboccanera@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

STOCCHETTI FILOMENO ELISEO

**email**

eliseo75@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRUSCHI TIZIANO

**email**

ambra.bacci@teletu.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BALDO GIOVANNI

**email**

info@la-pipa.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

VELIERI ROSA

#### **email**

rosavelieri@virgilio.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

39

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CALABRESI GABRIELE

**email**

tabacchi21@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the



profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONCIARELLI GRAZIANO

**email**

gbonciarelli@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ODOARDI MARTINA

**email**

muschio.cdc@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

stringi francesca

**email**

FRANCOSTRINGI@YAHOO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NOCE ANGELO

**email**

nocecostruzionisrl@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults



**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCUCCI CARLA

**email**

simarcucci@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

crotti morena emilia

**email**

GIULIORIVA@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BATTISTA LUCIANO

**email**

lucianotabacchi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TASCA GIOVANNI

**email**

brunotsc@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this



statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

magro sergio antonio bruno

**email**

FRANCES25@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alberto Platé

**email**

alberto.plate@pmintl.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Nothing to add

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Markus Hefti

**email**  
inet@pgvz.ch

**country**  
Switzerland

**age**  
48

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Derzeit fehlt es an wissenschaftlich gesicherten Erkenntnissen, dass grössere Warnhinweise

wirksam sind. Es ist auch nicht gesichert dass einheitliche Verpackungen den Gesundheitsschutz fördern

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bereits jetzt können Mitgliedsstaaten Gebühren und andere Sanktionen vorsehen. Dies soll weiterhin den Mitgliedsstaaten überlassen werden und nicht der EU.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus hinsichtlich der Bekanntgabe und Registrierung von Zusatzstoffen sollte alleine darauf liegen, ob durch diese Zusatzstoffe die Toxizität von Tabakrauch erhöht wird oder nicht.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es besteht hier keine Problematik. Wichtig ist in diesem Bereich einzig, dass die bestehenden Vorschriften, vor allem in Bezug auf Alterslimiten eingehalten werden. Dazu sind die Staaten besser in der Lage als die EU.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Schlotz

**email**

ralf.schlotz@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder



gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VILARDI ANNA

**email**

hotelristorante407@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

78

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Martin Wohlfart

#### **email**

martin.wohlfart@huissel.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen

gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche

„Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CORSETTI RITA

**email**

TABACCHERACORSETTIRITA@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**



Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

battaglia raul

**email**

raul.battaglia@libero.it

**country**

iTALY

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS

TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRASSI PATRIZIA

**email**

MISACIAO@YAHOO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PARISI MARIACONCETTA

**email**

parisimariaconcetta@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

19

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

porrello carmelo

**email**

tabaccheriabonfiglio@virgilio.it

**country**

iTALY

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format



**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ASTOLFI MAURO

**email**

sardella\_8210@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI STEFANO ISABELLA

**email**

camisiro@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ostini silvia

**email**

CRIS7323@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A

HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL

REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GULISANO VINCENZO

**email**

portoempedoclesette@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CORATTI LOREDANA

**email**

trisbarlotto@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

desideri angelo

**email**

MARIALUISZ.TOTI@YAHOO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FOCACCIA SABINA

**email**

lemurecaffè2002@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FIDELFO GABRIELE

**email**

fidelfogabriele@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mazzucco simona

**email**

PAVANICESARE@TISCALI.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Hackius

**email**

markus.hackius@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

### **which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harald Pingthep

**email**

Harrypingthep@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise



vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit

einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BALDINI ANTONELLA

**email**

teldat@dataservicesnc.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

felice angelo

**email**

BRANNINO@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VINAI FABRIZIO

**email**

fabrythedog@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

21

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

minoia riccardo

**email**

MINIBARMINOIA@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

HERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE cANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PESAVENTO EGIDIO

**email**

m\_p\_82@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

vinai cinzia

**email**

MAURO.BOSCO.69@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

36

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LAUDANDO MARIA TERESA

**email**

teresaldl@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent



proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katharina Krause

**email**

Schnegge666@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
No comment!

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment!

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment!

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
vecchiarelli selina

**email**  
VECCHIARELLI.SARA@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**  
iTALY

**age**  
31

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PORQUEDDU PAOLO

**email**

tabaccheria52@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Volker Mai

**email**

maitrucker@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**



Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht

ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Alwin Schmiedt

#### **email**

awin.schmiedt@huissel.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine

massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die

Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E

Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

cinelli giancarlo

**email**

CINELLI\_GIANCARLO@TISCALI.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IPPOLITI DANIELE

**email**

ippolitidaniele@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

marini cinzia

**email**

PAOLO.G@TISCALI.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS

STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CRIZMAN MANUELA

**email**

franca.cris@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

tassi mauro

**email**

MECMAX1995@TISCALI.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**  
31

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

STENTA MARCO

**email**

stentamarco@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nadja Kwidzinski

**email**

N\_Kwidzinski@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALESSANDRINI LUCA

**email**

lucatabacco82@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

brescia anna

**email**

scalisi88@hotmail.it

**country**

iTALY

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Rita Lüer

**email**

rilueer@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus

Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen

würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michaela Kuti

**email**

michaela.kuti@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen

gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche

„Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE CUGNI MARIA ELENA

**email**

fortunello2004@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**



Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

elia christian

**email**

TABACCHIQUADRIFOGLIO@GMAIL.COM

**country**

iTALY

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS

TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POTENZIANI DANILO

**email**

ristorantelarena@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reiner Oestreich

**email**

reiner.oestreich@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich sehe keinen Bedarf für zusätzliche Regelungen.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Nationale Gesetzgebung der einzelnen EU-Mitgliedsstaaten beibehalten.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Einheitspackungen behindern meine Auswahlmöglichkeiten durch Wegfall optischer Differenzierung - "Schockbilder und größere Warnhinweise klären nicht zusätzlich auf sondern diskriminieren lediglich den Tabak- konsumenten und die Händler. Produkt-Fälschungen und illegaler Vertrieb werden massiv gefördert. Irgenwann gibt es nur noch ein kleines , billiges und qualitativ fragwürdiges Einheits-Sortiment - und keine Fachgeschäfte mehr mit übersichtlicher Auswahl und Beratung und Aufklärung vor Ort.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Keine Änderung der bisherigen nationalen Regelungen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weiterer, höherer bürokratischer Aufwand und höhere Kosten belasten kleinere Hersteller und Importeure u.U. so Stark, daß diese Ihre Produkte nich mehr rentabel vermarkten können = Reduzierung der Angebotsvielfalt und letztendlich von Arbeitsplätzen.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Keine Änderung der bisherigen Regelungen.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Erweiterung der EU-Zuständigkeit nicht erforderlich - Mitglieds staaten können und sollen selbst regulieren und kontrollieren.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Keine Änderung der Zusatzstoffeeerungung.

**additional comments**

Ich lege Wert auf Geschmacksvielfalt im Tabak-Angebot - Reduzierung der Zusatzstoffe führt zum Eiheitsgeschmack.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Begrenzter Zugang zu Tabakwaren in Deutschland - auch im Sinne des Jugendschutzes - ausreichend geregelt. Daher nationale Regelungen beibehalten.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Keine Änderung.

**additional comments**

Verbote oder Beschränkung der Präsentation von Tabakwaren sind nicht nur unsinnig sondern beschränken massiv die Rechte von Verbrauchern und Handel - Ich möchte die Ware , die ich kaufe vorher sehen und frei auswählen können - der Händler kann seine Waren nur verkaufen, wenn er seinen Kunden sein Angebot präsentieren kann . Wobleiben hierbei spezialierte Fachgeschäfte? Deren Existenz würde grundsätzlich in Frage gestellt bzw.vernichtet.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

carone maria francesca

**email**

CARONEMF@TISCALI.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT



PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GAVIANO SILVIO

**email**

gavianos@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

zanda simone

**email**

SIMONEZANDA85@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A

BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FICILI MASSIMO

**email**

massimoficili@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

cannata carmela agata

**email**

GIANNIRUSSO58@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

79

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No



**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS,  
PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS  
TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND  
CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT  
LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL  
REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND  
IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK  
OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE  
(COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZAMBONI LAURA

**email**

camilla.ceccarelli@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bacci guido

**email**

GUIDO.BACCI@GMAIL.COM

**country**

iTALY

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAOLI STEFANIA

**email**

stefano.tesi.78w4@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen



**name**

pavoni paola

**email**

NOVENOVEUNO@NOVENOVEUNO.191.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE

FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK

OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAVALLERO MARIA CRISTINA

**email**

cavallerocristina@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

simeone michele

**email**

MIKESIME@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LA PIETRA PREZIOSA

**email**

giusycaruso@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

beccarini diana

**email**

MONIFAB@LIVE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

HERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE cANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SUPRANI LUCA

**email**

luca18000@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

carbone maddalena

**email**

MARIO.LE@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

83

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE SIMONE TERESA

**email**

brandielisa@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent



proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

berti sara

**email**

MARCELLOBERTI@ALICE.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A

HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL

REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Meisetschläger, Bernd

**email**

bernd\_meisetschlaeger@bat.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

weniger schädlich, in anderen Ländern bereits erlaubt.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Legale Produkte brauchen Ihre Authentizität.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Legale Produkte brauchen Ihre Authentizität.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Legale Produkte müssen sichtbar verkauft werden dürfen.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich möchte sehen, was ich kaufe.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE SIMONE ADELE

**email**

mario1410@msn.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roland Schill

**email**

Nachie87@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus



Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen

würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

scifo sandra

**email**

SANDRA.TABACCHERIA@GMAIL.COM

**country**

iTALY

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A

BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrich Woworsky

**email**

ulrich.woworsky@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner

wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft.

Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROTA ROSANNA

**email**

nisuli@vodafone.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

70

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

fanizza maria

**email**

CLAUDIOPORLISI@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE cANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MERIALDO ORNELLA

**email**

sandramerialdo@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Arne S. Wehlitz

**email**

ASW@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
32

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

sarti ines



**email**

LALUMART30@HOTMAIL.CO.UK

**country**

ITALY

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT

PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CRISTOFOLI STEFANO

#### **email**

ciaostefanino@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

35

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Gerd Köster

**email**

info@alto-lc

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
tozzi gianluca

**email**  
METALSPRIGGAN1@YAHOO.IT

**country**  
iTALY

**age**  
36

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCIDA' ROSA ALBA

**email**



rosaalba.scida@poste.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

cifarelli pasquale

**email**

ERMINIAROSADI@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON

MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

VENTRELLA FRANCESCO

#### **email**

tabdelcentro@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

58

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Andreas de Morais Monteiro

##### **email**

andreas.monteiro@t-online.de

##### **country**

Germany

##### **age**

51

##### **gender**

male

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich halte es für äußerst unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauche, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde im Geschäft auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**



citizen

**name**

Roland Bayer

**email**

roland.bayer@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise

vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit

einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerd Gotter

**email**

Gerd.Gotter@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen

gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche

„Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSMINI ARMANDO

**email**

armi71@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**



Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bertani elisabetta

**email**

ITACA.SV@GMAIL.COM

**country**

iTALY

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS

TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

WEBER LUCA

**email**

info@weberstore.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

castellacci carlo

**email**

CARLOCASTELLACCI1973@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GINESU SANDRO

**email**

ginesusandro@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mandetta matteo

**email**

TOBACCO33@ALICE.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON

MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DI PASQUALE MASSIMO

#### **email**

dipasquale.massimo@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

41

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

arrichiello pasquale

**email**

FIGRELLA.MARTELLATO@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A

BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Blum

**email**

robertblum@kabelbw.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner



wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft.

Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Lohmüller

**email**

Uwe.Lohmueller@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts-

und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger.

Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit

Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COCCO FERNANDA ANTONIETTA

**email**

galantemaria@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

costantini aldo

**email**

LACOCCINELLA2005@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

iTALY



**age**  
42

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI DOMENICO CARLO GIACOMO

**email**

micaela\_rossi@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

panzera giuseppe

**email**

INFO@DINOSHOTEL.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption. In other experiences – see Canada – important losses have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Christoph Sasse

##### **email**

chsasse@yahoo.de

##### **country**

Germany

##### **age**

40

##### **gender**

male

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

Only one group of European citizens will be limited in following their needs and wishes. After all research, tobacco use is not at all to be set equal with hard drug abuse. Advertising tobacco products is already forbidden in many European countries, trade has been limited already. The new Directive would be unjust, especially for non-addict-smokers (and not every smoker is an addict), compared to, e.g., heavy drinkers, who easily can obtain their beverages in most European countries. Advertising beverages without any risk warnings is still possible in many European countries. People should be taught that tobacco abuse is harmful as every abuse of things is, but the new Directive seems to be just a new chapter in the public and well accepted witch-hunt on smokers.

##### **which option**

No change

##### **recommend option**

Make a new Directive in teaching people about possible health risks occurring when consuming things without focussing on only one issue. Make people fit to decide for themselves and don't follow the American way of building a nursery state. Don't forget that Europe was the place of the Enlightenment during the 18th century. Don't forsake this tradition.

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Again: A single ban on tobacco products is i.m.h.o. no solution .

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Consumer information must be made possible for everything which can be bought. When there is a need to inform a possible customer about the health risks which may arise by consuming the product, the content of the product must be shown as well. A ban on every advertisement for things which could harm would mean to ban advertisements on cars either way.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**



No

**explanations**

Access to these products should only be limited by age. Postal expediting or shipping tobacco products should be possible as it is with all other goods.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DELLA VALLE ANIELLO

**email**

DEVASRL@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

panizzoli irene

**email**

TABACCHERIACENTRALE1@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harald Hopp

**email**

Harald.Hopp@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BARBIERI ELISA

#### **email**

tabaccherian1@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

33

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

di sciascio anna maria

**email**

ANMAGAFE@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS

TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. in other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PERRONE MARIO

**email**

martabacc@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

tiraboschi stefano

**email**

ANOBASIL@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Hauser

**email**

Hauserjam@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten.

Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit



ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist

nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sabine Rupprecht

**email**

sabinemaja@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **regulation of ingredients problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DUCHI DANIELA

**email**

d.duchi@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Isabelle Pätz

**email**

info@haus-isabelle.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

piraccini paolo amedeo

**email**

INFO.ROCOSPALAZZOLO@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PROVENZANO SABINA

**email**

sab.prov72@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

gaglianone anna rosa

**email**

ANNAROSAGAGLIANONE@LIBERO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GAGLIARDI FRANCESCO

**email**

tabaccai.ro@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

farina luigi

**email**

luigi.farina@tiscali.it

**country**

ITALY

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL

AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK

OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FOCACCIA SABINA

**email**

lemurecaffè2002@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAPINI AZZURRA

**email**

pazzurra@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susanne Just

**email**

uwe.sanne@aror.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**



No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der

Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anna Kuti

**email**

annakuti@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der

Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinationaltätigen Groß-

und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettensautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettensautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

cerroni umberto luciano

**email**

U.CERRONI@ALICE.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALASSI FRANCO

**email**

fghi20@libero.it



**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZUCCONI DANIELA

**email**

daniela\_zucconi@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

lello marco

**email**

TABACCHI.LELLO@ALICE.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON

MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RASPA ALESSANDRA

**email**

fabercamp1976@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the



profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE LUCA STEFANIA

**email**

caffetteriadamassimo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wassink

**email**

wassink.r@upcmail.nl

**country**

Netherlands

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRESCHI CARLO

**email**

valfry@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bianchi linda

**email**

LINDA.BIANCHI.3Q3K@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZAMBOTTI CINZIA

**email**

cindy@virgilio.it



**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARBIERI GIANNI

**email**

giorgia@lynx2000.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

macchi fabio massimo

**email**

CFU\_FABIO@LIBERO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON

MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LAVINO VITO

**email**

ginolavino@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the



profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CORRADO QUINTO

**email**

moraait.e@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

zilio marco

**email**

TABZILIO@TISCALI.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LENZO TIZIANA

**email**

ricciardivittorio@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Beate Keller

**email**

Raffi61@live.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder

zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären



die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Benjamin Frey

**email**

benjamin.frey@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche

Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltenen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltenen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den

Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot

oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FALA' GIUSEPPE

**email**

christian.79@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GASPARI GIANFRANCO

**email**

GASPGFR@TIN.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

romanelli ornella

**email**

ROMANELLI.ORNELLA@TISCALI.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON

MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZANDRINO PAOLA

**email**

zandrinojulie@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PRESTA LAURA

**email**

laurapresta@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

prior fabio

**email**

GINA91\_STE88@YAHOO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASTELLINO MARIA GRAZIA

**email**

g.scivoletto@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

REZZA VERONICA LUCIA

**email**

franc.rossini@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

barbonetti alessandro

**email**

A.BARBONETTI@TISCALI.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT

REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Doris Rudzky

**email**

JRKart@T-Online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des

Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERNARDI SARA

**email**

gavioli.antonio@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Axel Raißer

**email**

axel.raisser@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen

pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

STRANGIS AGOSTINO

**email**

smstrangis@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

possidente andrea

**email**

ANDREA@POSSIDENTE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A

BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VALVANO MARIA FELICE

**email**

avvmarinaroselli@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

71

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAVASIO PIER GIUSEPPE

**email**

pg.ravasio@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

schwarzer patrizia

**email**

PASCHWAR@TIN.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

HERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE cANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. aLSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIODI PAOLA

**email**

tabacchi136@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

EVANGELISTI PAOLO

**email**

cornerperseo@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRECO PAOLO

**email**

gianlucagreco65@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
73

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format



**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

del giovane massimiliano

**email**

IZZIBLU@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PASCUCCI MAURIZIO

**email**

info@puntostile.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BILLI GIANLUCA

#### **email**

rivend1f@billitabacchi.191.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

47

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

perrozzi aldo

**email**

APERROZ@TIN.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

2010

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.



**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS

TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. in other experiences – see canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MABURZIO DEBORAH

**email**

rivendita11@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANCINI CARLO

**email**

tabacchi.mancini@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

de marco luca

**email**

VIGGO@EMAIL.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POMPA MARIA GRAZIA

**email**

ivamara@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RUSSO EUGENIO

**email**

eug.russo@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

prunner franz

**email**

franzprunner@yahoo.de

**country**

iTALY

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

URBINATI PAOLO

**email**

stefano99@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

RIZZI SILVIA

**email**

marilenadonato@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nick Weise

**email**

nick.weise@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus

(Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermischen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst

hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sylvia Schülke

**email**

sylvia.schuelke@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der



nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RENY

**email**

RENYMARTINE@HOTMAIL.FR

**country**

FRANCE

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

aucune étude scientifique ne prouve les risques des produits du tabac sans fumée

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

pas d'études prouvant l'efficacité des paquets neutres pas d'études prouvant l'efficacité des paquets avec photos chocs ou avertissements sanitaires plus importants le problème de la mise en place de ce genre de paquets va pousser le consommateur français à s'approvisionner sur des marchés parallèles (achat sur internet, achat transfrontalier, achat de contrebande) et risque de mettre en faillite un grand nombre de buraliste français et déstabiliser un réseau étatique parfaitement structuré

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec pour conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voire apparaître une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefait

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

il n'y a pas de corrélation prouvée entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutte contre le tabagisme la France dispose d'un réseau de 28000 buralistes, préposés de l'administration responsables et professionnels, seuls habilités à vendre du tabac. Ce réseau est fortement réglementé par l'Etat ce qui permet déjà de contrôler efficacement l'accès au produit du tabac

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

carli alessandro

**email**

SHAUNARD@HOTMAIL.COM

**country**

iTALY

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLABERARDINO MAURIZIO

**email**

maurziocolaberardino@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LATINI ELISA

**email**

elisa@starsysd.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

corvi claudia

**email**

CESCACELI@YAHOO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE,

POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALLI GIANLUCA

**email**

giangal4@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
31

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bruno concetta

**email**

FRANCESCOLARDI@GIUSTIZIA.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SILVAGNI PIER LUIGI

**email**

giuliasilvagni@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**



The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

gatti germano

**email**

GATTI.GERMANO@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. in other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARI DANIELE

**email**

cari.dan@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sigrid Reisch

**email**

sigrid.reisch@feine-dinge.eu

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemendarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemendarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an

Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettensautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettensautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARASCO FRANCESCA RENATA

**email**

riv48fg@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katharina Hipp

**email**

mkhipp@kabelbw.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts-

und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger.

Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit

Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

costanzo clara

**email**

INFO@BARCOSTANZO.COM

**country**

iTALY

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen



**name**

PERRI FRANCESCO

**email**

ciccio.p@tiscalinet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

caverni lino

**email**

L.CAVERNI@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT

LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSA RITA

**email**

lacolpogrosso@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

seniga donato

**email**

DONATO.SENIGA@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access



**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS,  
PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS  
TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND  
CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT  
LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL  
REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND  
IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK  
OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE  
(COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FINELLI GIOVANNI

**email**

finelligiovanni@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

conti eleonora

**email**

SIMONECONTI1989@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS

STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SURACI MARIA

**email**

TABACCHIVIAROMA@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patric kraft

**email**

patric.kraft@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Doris Sattler

**email**

doris.sattler@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus

Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen

würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

casalegno brunella

**email**

DANILO.PREGNO@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A

BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAZZORANA CRISTIANA

**email**

mzz.cris@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products



consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mastropietro paolo

**email**

RISTORANTEGIULIO@FASTWEBNET.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS,  
PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS  
TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND  
CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT  
LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL  
REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND  
IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK  
OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE  
(COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TIETTO PATRIZIA

**email**

barbirillo@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

iaquone gabriella

**email**

IL.TABACARTAIO@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRANCESCHELLI MARTA



**email**

CONSILVIO.CARLA@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PLONER AGNES AGATHA

**email**

leimir@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

71

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

saitta salvina

**email**

ANTO.MS@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A

HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL

REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MATTEI GIANCARLO

**email**

regina.nastri@vodafone.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.



**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

tomassoli ester

**email**

STUDIOETHICA@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Holger Reinschmidt

**email**

reinschmidtg@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder

zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären

die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sascha Sheikh

**email**

sascha.sheikh@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche

Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den

Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot



oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SETTESOLDI MORENO

**email**

eurogas2000sac@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mobilio bruno

**email**

MOBILBR1975@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS

SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MARINI GABRIELE

#### **email**

ricevitoriak1@tiscali.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

28

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

schiaivoni alberico

**email**

SCHIAVONIALBERICO@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.



**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS

TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. in other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COSTANTINI LAURENZINO

**email**

cost.l@mailtrust.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

galligani manuela

**email**

MANUELAG.73@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERTOLACCI DAVID

**email**

david.bertolacci@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bertoli francesca

**email**

BERTOLIFRANCESCA72@ALICE.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Seetha Langley

**email**

seetha.langley@reemtsma.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
50

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MORETTI MAURO

**email**

mauro.putto@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

iannone debora

**email**

DEBOIANN@GMAIL.COM

**country**

ITALY

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Timo Schmidt

**email**

Timo.S@gmx.de



**country**  
Germany

**age**  
36

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen speziellen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Ich habe keinen speziellen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**  
Meines Wissens ist SNUS weitaus weniger gesundheitsschädlich. Warum darf SNUS in anderen Ländern nicht verkauft werden? Ich habe von einigen Freunden aus Schweden gehört, dass Sie von Cigaretten auf SNUS umgestiegen sind - dies wäre doch auch in anderen Ländern eine tolle alternative !

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen speziellen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**

Die Fälscher schreien laut hurra - endlich ist es noch einfacher und billiger Marken zu fälschen und illegal nach Deutschland einzuführen. Der Jugendschutz wird die organisierte Kriminalität eher nicht interessieren und was denn da so genau in den Cigaretten steckt ist wohl auch eher nicht mit den strengen Auflagen in der EU zu vergleichen. Warum sollten weniger Menschen rauchen nur weil die Packungen weiß sind? Was geschieht mit Markenrechten und wie steht es hier um die Meinungsfreiheit?!?

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen speziellen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen speziellen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen speziellen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Tabak ist ein legales produkt wie viele andere auch. Warum sollte es versteckt werden? Alkohol und andere genussmittel wie Kaffee oder Süßigkeiten werden doch auch offen und deutlich aggressiver angeboten als die ohnehin schon hoch regulierten Tabakprodukte mit den ohnehin schon weitreichenden Werbebeschränkungen... Hier werden dem Handel doch lediglich kosten aufgedrückt und das recht der Tabakhersteller auf den freien Wettbewerb und die freie Meinungsäußerung auf das übelste unterlaufen.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

ACCARDO VINCENZO

#### **email**

accardoandreariv81@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

29

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

satta antonio

**email**

ALESSANDROSATTANET@TISCALI.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS

TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. in other experiences – see canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SANTORO FILIPPO

**email**

andreagol4@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;



Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

maccaroni sabrina

**email**

SABRINA.MAC@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MACCIONI KATIA

**email**

k.maccioni@maccionienardi.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Dedecek

**email**

peter.dedecek@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen

Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine

Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage.

Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MIRTO RAFFAELLA

**email**

info@cascinaveja.com

**country**



Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

muti luana

**email**

ROBERTO.MELOSI@MAIL.IT

**country**  
iTALY

**age**  
51

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Rainer Nimmerfroh

#### **email**

nimmerfroh@t-online.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Begründung: Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine

massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die

Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettensautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettensautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche

„Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DAMIANI GABRIELE

**email**

gabbrona@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

taccogna paola lucia

**email**

CHIARALOPARCO@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS

TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TAMBE' DEBORAH

**email**

debby.skina@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

22

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

giacomelli silvia

**email**

SILVIA.GIACOMELLI@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCABROSETTI MAURIZIO

**email**



ikosstradella@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AILLAUD

**email**

lelosange@hotmail.fr

**country**

france

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Il n'y a pas d'études scientifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des paquets neutres. Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des photos chocs, ni même de plus grands avertissements sanitaires sur les paquets. La mise en place de paquets neutres risque d'entraîner une guerre des prix du tabac (puisque cela restera le seul moyen pour les fabricants de se différencier). La mise en place de photos chocs sur les 2 faces des paquets ou de paquets génériques va pousser les consommateurs français à aller s'approvisionner sur les marchés parallèles du tabac (achats à l'étranger ou de contrebande, ou sur internet. De telles mesures risquent de déstabiliser et pénaliser économiquement le réseau des 28 000 buralistes français organisés dans un réseau réglementé et sous tutelle de l'Etat. » (PS

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec comme conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voire apparaitre une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

Il n'y a pas de corrélation prouvée entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutte contre le tabagisme. La France dispose d'un réseau de 28 000 buralistes, préposés de l'administration responsables et professionnels, seuls habilités à vendre du tabac. Ce réseau est fortement réglementé par l'Etat ce qui permet déjà de contrôler efficacement l'accès au produit tabac. »

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

novella aniello

**email**

MEX1978@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS

SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Achim Schönherr

#### **email**

prinzacim@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Rauchen basiert auf einer uralten Tradition, die gewahrt werden muss! Kein passionierter Zigarrenraucher (oder auch Raucher anderer Tabakwaren) möchte seine wertvollen Zigarren mit Einheitsverpackungen, langweiligen Bauchbinden oder Ausnahmebildern versehen bekommen!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TADDEI ANDREA

**email**

TADDEI-ANDREA@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**



**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

cameroni antonella

**email**

antonella.cameroni@libero.it

**country**

iTALY

**age**  
50

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CERQUETELLA CRISTINA

**email**

massimo.camilli@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Toni,Ruh

**email**

toni70@o2online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

- Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich Überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analysen der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. - - Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten ( jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

-

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten z.B.aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen.

**which option**

No change

---



**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

crosara elisa

**email**

GIGI.AGRATI@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. in other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIOLI STEFANO

**email**

stepioli84@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

iacino pietro

**email**

SERAFINO\_CARUSO@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS,  
PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS  
TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND  
CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT  
LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL  
REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND  
IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK  
OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE  
(COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GENTILE PAOLO ANGELO

**email**

fedro1@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

casalino nicoletta

**email**

GIACOMO\_CASALINO@TISCALI.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SEMENZATO ALESSIA

**email**

alessiaseme@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

marchese vincenzo

**email**

BARLALOCOMOTIVA@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS

SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRIGOLATO JANETTE

**email**

janettegrigolato@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**



No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Umhöfer, Helmtrud

#### **email**

umhoefer-gmbh@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Friedrich Hein

**email**  
friedrich.hein@huissel.de

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche

Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltenen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltenen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den

Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot

oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manuel Mbala

**email**

manuel.mbala@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change



## **regulation of ingredients**

### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

### **which option**

No Change

## **access to tobacco products**

### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

### **which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

pellegrinotti maria grazia

**email**

ALBERGOILLAGO@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT

LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MORACA MARIA ELENA

**email**

elena.moraca@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIOFFRE' MARIAGRAZIA

**email**

antogiof@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mangolini enrico

**email**

MANGOLINIENRICO@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRAGA DAVIDE

**email**

ombrettabraga@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector' s operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

papiri luciano

**email**

MONKEY82@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT

OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PEZZOLI MONICA

**email**

barpozza@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
43

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

angeloni gianluca

**email**

RIIHAN@TIN.IT



**country**  
iTALY

**age**  
38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZACCARIA CARMELO

**email**

EUROMARKETZACCARIA@ALICE.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

de bortoli rudi

**email**

TABACCHERIADBRUDI@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

HERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jakub Chrostowski

**email**

bigrat@poczta.onet.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No comment

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen



**name**

SCARSELLI ELISA

**email**

e.scarselli@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans-Dieter Jersch

**email**

bluesurver@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus

(Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermischen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst

hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Schneidemesser

**email**

Sch-Dieter@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der



nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

rampinini giorgio

**email**

GIORGIO.RAMPININI@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TRIVELLI LUCA

**email**

trivelliluca@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

greco sara

**email**

MATTEOTAMONE@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CECCATELLI SIMONE

**email**

cecca.simo@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

palange raffaele

**email**

CIAORAF@ALICE.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT

PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIANDOMENICO MARIA DANIELA

**email**

ilbaretto.mt@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

de matteis manfredo

##### **email**

WWW SERENA91@LIBERO.IT

##### **country**

iTALY

##### **age**

47

##### **gender**

male

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A

BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ODDI SERGIO

**email**

lincontrobar@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products



consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

canali yuri

**email**

YURI.YURI@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS,  
PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS  
TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND  
CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT  
LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL  
REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND  
IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK  
OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE  
(COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MEONI MARCO

**email**

mameo@tiscalinet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mascherano daniela

**email**

MARSHA\_EIRE@HOTMAIL.COM

**country**

iTALY

**age**

69

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARATTO GIANNI



**email**

me3352@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIANCHETTI BENEDETTA MARIA

**email**

tabaccheriacianchetti@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

amico stefania

**email**

STEFYAMICO@MSN.COM

**country**

iTALY

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A

HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL

REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PECORINI GIUSEPPINA

**email**

fpsupermercati@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

66

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.



**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

pierro valerio

**email**

TABACCHERIPIERRO@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS,  
PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS  
TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND  
CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT  
LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL  
REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND  
IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK  
OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE  
(COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CESARINI MARIA TERESA

**email**

montefusco3@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ricci frabattista chiara

**email**

C-RICCIFRAB@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT

REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Stenzel

**email**

ragna\_tolsten@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

37

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TARULLI LIVIO

**email**

talinotes@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

scaranaro debora

**email**

ALESSANDRO.FRABETTI@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLARUSSO MARIA EDVIGE

**email**

tabaccherialobelisco@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

fabbri valeria

**email**

INFO@ANNAEROBERTO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format



**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dietmar Sachs

**email**

dietmarsachs@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht

ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Frank Feil

#### **email**

frank.feil@huissel.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine

massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die

Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E

Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Verena

**email**

verenalehmkuhl@aol.com

**country**

Germandy

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No



**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**additional option**

kk

**additional comments**

kk

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kk

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kk

**additional comments**

kk

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DIGAETA MAURIZIO

**email**

nadsan@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

di vincenzo nicola

**email**

JOYTAB@TISCALI.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CATTALINI ANDREA

**email**

andrea.cattalini@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

rolando paola



**email**

A\_N\_2009@LIBERO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT

PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAULORICO ALESSIA

**email**

mauloricoalessia@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Franz-Josef Hönig

**email**

hoenig@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mucelli michela

**email**

MUCELIMICH@LIVE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**



DOVI' PAOLA BETTY

**email**

dittadovi@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Franz

**email**

chfranz@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

giaretta gabriele

**email**

FANTASYSPACE@TELE2.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VASSALLO MARIA FRANCESCA

**email**

gaetanofalsone@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

de facci cristina

**email**

EDICOLA.LAQUERCIA@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS

STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSI BERLUTI GIORGIO

**email**

rigos2010@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

zanin andrea

**email**

ALCAPITELLO@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**  
38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FIDI MARIO

**email**

mario.fidi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASTELLANI NADIA

**email**

a.marchetti@marchetti-studio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

sacchini eleonora

**email**

ELEONORASAC@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. in other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROMANO FABIO

**email**

tabacchifabio@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

sbano domenica

**email**

PRINCIPESSADS@LIBERO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BIMBI ENRICO

**email**

enicobimbi@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

acquaviva antonella

**email**

LAGO22@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Grzegorz Chynek

**email**

grzechxxl@wp.pl

**country**

Polska

**age**

42

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ingrid Theurer

**email**

ingrid.theurer@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der

Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinationaltätigen Groß-



und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettensautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettensautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Kaupp

**email**

frank.kaupp@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die

Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht– die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen

der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen

vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SUINO ELISABETTA

**email**

betta\_smoke@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
26

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

pallini livia

**email**

EUG.RUSSO@ALICE.IT

**country**  
iTALY

**age**  
42

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.



**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Bonacker

**email**

martin.bonacker@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FANTINI UGO

**email**

alessandra.de-santis5@tele2.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

72

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

grassi gaetano francesco

**email**

GAETANOGRASSI@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS,  
PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS  
TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND  
CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT  
LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL  
REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND  
IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK  
OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE  
(COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

dejardin

**email**

thierrydejardin@orange.fr

**country**

france

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**



Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

will increase the dealer market

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

as

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAPONE SIMONA

**email**

serviceantincendio@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

pacioni nicoletta

**email**

GIOVANNA180@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

HERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT

LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RITAROSSO VINCENZINA

**email**

maxgatta@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

guagliardo marco

**email**

MARCOASL1915@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ute Kiral

**email**

Kirallite@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche

Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemendarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemendarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen

konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettensautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettensautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ASTROLOGI REMO

**email**

info@tabaccheriacorsini.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

gambarelli natascia

**email**

TABACCHERIANUMERIMAT@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format



**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CREMARO FILIPPO

**email**

paiper1@infinito.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

zirondelli alessandro

**email**

ZIRO60@ALICEPOSTA.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE

ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

sALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

tHERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. iN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE cANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND

IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IANNUZZI MARCO

**email**

marco.iannuzzi@tessutiannuzzi.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

silvestri sabino

**email**

FRANDESCADICHIO@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS,  
PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS  
TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND  
CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT  
LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL  
REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND  
IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK  
OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE  
(COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALIETTA ANDREA

**email**

galiettaandrea@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Julia Rieger

**email**

juliarieger90@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche

Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemendarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemendarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen

konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettensautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettensautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nelia Maria Rodrigues

**email**

greeneyes\_504@hotmail.com

**country**

Portugal

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

qualquer decisão deve ser tomada com base em termos científicos

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

não há demonstração factual que avisos de saúde enorme noa maços e maços genericos diminuam o consumo e além do mais podem facilitar a fuga a impostos através da contrafação.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

não considero importante porque os maços já tem o necessário

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

não há provas científicas que os ingredientes sejam nocivos para a saúde.

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

o acesso as maquinas de tabaco inibe a incidencia de tabaco junto dos menores porque estas tem bloqueio, dai a dificuldade de acesso.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZANROSSO ALBINO DONATO

**email**

zanrosso.donato@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

turco massimo

**email**

TURCOTAB@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALLO SALVATORE

**email**

raggrasso@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bianchi maria

**email**

MARIAOTTAVIA.BIANCHI@ALICE.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TORRE ELVIRA

**email**

favonio@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

gagliano maria luisa

**email**

MARIALUISAGAGLIANO73@LIBERO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT

PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BUFFELLI COSIMO BARTOLO

**email**

tabaccheriabuffelli@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

64

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

perrucci anna

**email**

ANNA.PERRUCCI@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A



BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SESTITO ROBERTA

**email**

AXOSANDRO@YAHOO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ferri chiara

**email**

CHIARA-FERRI@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS,  
PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS  
TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND  
CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT  
LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL  
REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND  
IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK  
OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE  
(COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRUNZO GIANLUCA

**email**

aloaconte@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

demontis anna maria

**email**

IRENCE@TISCALI.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

48

**gender**



female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BIAGINI EMANUELE

**email**

tabaccheriabiagini@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

lorenzini sabrina

**email**

LORENZINI.STEFANO@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE

FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK

OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SALLEMI SALVATORE

**email**

info@sallemicarburanti.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other



experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

morosini vincenzo

**email**

BLOB@WOOOW.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SALLEMI SALVATORE

**email**

info@sallemicarburanti.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

di stefano maria rosa

**email**

PUNTOCARNET@TISCALI.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

HERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAMPOLMI OMERO

**email**

omero.campolmi@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No



**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marlene Rockelmann

**email**

marlene.rockelmann@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des

Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

moresi andrea

**email**

MINU70@LIVE.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON

MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAPUTO MARIA LETIZIA

**email**

delgrippoangelo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the



profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANDUCHI ALESSANDRO

**email**

alessandromanduchi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bocci caterina

**email**

BOCCICATERINA@LIBERO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FAVINI PIERANGELO

**email**

pierfav@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

brignoli marco

**email**

BRIGNO72@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

HERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer, Krüger

**email**

Reiner.Krüger@wersanet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

- Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich Überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analysen der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. - - Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten ( jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Der Begriff attraktiv ist ein subjektives Kriterium für eine Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten z.B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lorita Hettich

**email**

lorita.hettich@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen

Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemendarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemendarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine

Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage.

Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

URSIDA VERONICA

**email**

patrizio.emidi@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

filiacci augusto

**email**

FILIACCI.AUGUSTO@ALICE.IT



**country**  
iTALY

**age**  
30

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PONZANELLI ELENA

**email**

imeon98@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

vicinanza danilo

**email**

DANILOVICINANZA84@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS

TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. in other experiences – see canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANGELONI SABATINO

**email**

sabatino.angeloni@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;



Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

di felice antonio

**email**

GLIANGELI75@YAHOO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAHIM FARIDA

**email**

tabaccheriapietrarelli@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roswitha Kollmann

**email**

roswitha.kollmann@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen

Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemendarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemendarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine

Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage.

Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

cecchini iris

**email**

IRIS.CECCHINI@ALICE.IT

**country**



iTALY

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCARPA GIAN ANDREA

**email**

gianandreascarpa@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

costantini lorenza

**email**

BARLIT96@BARLITTLE.191.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

HERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CESARO BRUNO

**email**

bruno.cesaro04@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults



**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

aloigi nancy

**email**

MARIO.ALOIGI@ALICE.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BIAGI MILVIA

**email**

biagimilivia@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FOUHETY

**email**

t.fouhety@orange.fr

**country**

france

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

« Il n'y a pas d'études scientifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée. »

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

« Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des paquets neutres. Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des photos chocs, ni même de plus grands avertissements sanitaires sur les paquets. La mise en place de paquets neutres risque d'entraîner une guerre des prix du tabac (puisque cela restera le seul moyen pour les fabricants de se différencier). La mise en place de photos chocs sur les 2 faces des paquets ou de paquets génériques va pousser les consommateurs français à aller s'approvisionner sur les marchés parallèles du tabac (achats à l'étranger ou de contrebande, ou sur internet. De telles mesures risquent de déstabiliser et pénaliser économiquement le réseau des 28 000 buralistes français organisés dans un réseau réglementé et sous tutelle de l'Etat. »

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

« Cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec comme conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voire apparaître une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits. »

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

« Il n'y a pas de corrélation prouvée entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutte contre le tabagisme. La France dispose d'un réseau de 28 000 buralistes, préposés de l'administration responsables et professionnels, seuls habilités à vendre du tabac. Ce réseau est fortement réglementé par l'Etat ce qui permet déjà de contrôler efficacement l'accès au produit tabac. »

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Schaal

**email**

thomas.schaal@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts-

und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger.



Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit

Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

decorato costantino

**email**

INFO@TABACCHERIADECORATO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DECORATO COSTANTINO

**email**

info@tabaccheriadecorato.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VALDEGAMBERI ANTONELLA

**email**

leonealessandro86@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

colella giuseppa

**email**

MARTINO.CIACCIA@LIBERO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. in other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALONZI ANGELO

**email**

alonzi.angelo@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

macchione franca

**email**

FRANCAMACCHIONE@YAHOO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrea Prokein

**email**

andrea.prokein@huissel.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen

Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und



Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht

thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MESIANO ORSOLA

**email**

silvia.margheriti@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

visigalli denise

**email**

DENISEVISIGALLI@YAHOO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

22

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SOLARI FRANCESCA

**email**

delia\_\_\_\_@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

pedrotti florinda

**email**

DIEGOO\_90@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT

LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TOLA PIER QUIRICA

**email**

piertola@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sylvia Umlauf

**email**

sylvia.umlau@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete

Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

grassi marco

**email**

BARRIPOS@TISCALI.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No



**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

HERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FANUCCHI LORENZA

**email**

lorenzafanucchi@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

65

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

lovat luigina

**email**

EDICOLASOSPIROLO@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCHETTI LISA

**email**

lisa.31180@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

graziani dario

**email**

DARIO.GRAZIANI@GMAIL.COM

**country**

iTALY

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE

ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

sALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

tHERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. iN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE cANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND

IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUCIANI CESARINA

**email**

giammi\_1967@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

falavena marilena

**email**

PADDU86-M@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS,  
PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS  
TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND  
CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT  
LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL  
REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND  
IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK  
OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE  
(COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'AMICO MARIA PAOLA

**email**

antonio\_esposito@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

rossi massimo

**email**

ROSSIMAS4@TABACCHIMODERNO.191.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE

OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FERRARA VINCENZO

**email**

tabaccheriaferrara@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Sattler

**email**

david.sattler@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je

größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**



battistella mauro

**email**

SCIOLTS.MAURO@LIBERO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL

AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK

OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

busmann jan

**email**

jbusmann@dannemann.com

**country**

germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

all people should have their own choice to collect their product easily

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FABBRO MARIA CRISTINA

**email**

tabaccheria.fabbro@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

tonnina massimo

**email**

MASSIMOTONNINA@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT

OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAMONI UMBERTO

**email**

umberbar@gmail.com

**country**

Italy



**age**  
40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

rubino raffaele

**email**

S.BRANCA@HTLAB.IT

**country**  
iTALY

**age**  
63

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DALLE MOLE MORENO

**email**

info@tabaccheriamn.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Carmen Kronenbitter

#### **email**

carmen.kronenbitter@huissel.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine

massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermischen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die



Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E

Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ponchione luigi giuseppe

**email**

LUIGIPONCHIONE@HOTMAIL.COM

**country**

iTALY

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS,  
PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS  
TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND  
CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT  
LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL  
REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND  
IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK  
OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE  
(COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIPRESSO DIONISIO

**email**

cipressod@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bernardo pietro

**email**

PIEBERNA@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS

STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

EVANGELISTA ANNA

**email**

fabilella86@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**



**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Schimowsky

**email**

dirk@schimowsky.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt kein Problem. Die EU ist ein freies Gebiet mit freien Bürgern, welche nicht jeden ihrer Schritte vordiktiert bekommen möchten

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Wo ist das Problem. Es besteht noch nicht einmal das Problem des Passivrauchens

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Notwendigkeit einer Regulierung

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt schliesslich einen Binnenmarkt innerhalb der EU

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ceccherini morena

**email**

TABACCHERIAMORENA@TISCALI.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS,  
PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS  
TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND  
CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT  
LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL  
REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND  
IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK  
OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE  
(COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

INCARDONA GIOVANNI

**email**

kiaracom-86@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

contini rosangela

**email**

ROSANGELACONTINI@EMAIL.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE

OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Antje Graepel

**email**

Antje.Graepel@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein

nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DEVINO ROBERTO

**email**

rositarta@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

righini lorenzo

**email**

ILMAGNIFICO66@HOTMAIL.COM

**country**

ITALY

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL



AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK

OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

STAMPETTA EDI

**email**

JADE.EDDY@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mastroviti gianluca

**email**

GIOICORY@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI STEFANO MANUELE

**email**

distefanomanuele@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Antje Graepel

**email**

A-Graepel@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder



zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären

die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

murianni vincenzo

**email**

VINMUR71@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAPA MANUELA

**email**

rocky72lamborghini@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSETTI ENZO

**email**

albergoalpi@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.



**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

rancati barbara

**email**

BARBARARANCATI@TISCALI.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE

FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

HERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK

OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSETTI SCERLY

**email**

tramontino@teletu.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

zanchetta oliviero

**email**

S.F.MARTINI@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PERBELLINI ALBERTO

**email**

perbe68@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No



**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jessica Mast

**email**

jessica.mast@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder

zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären

die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

cacioli carlo

**email**

BAREUROPACUSAGO@GMAIL.COM

**country**

iTALY

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAPODIVENTO NICOLA

**email**

nicola.capodivento@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bertoncelli nicoleтта

**email**

BONIZZONI.GIOVANNI@FASTWEBNET.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON

MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

PITOCCHI FRANCESCO

#### **email**

pitocchitabacchi@virgilio.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

26

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

garattoni maria catia

##### **email**

CASALI.DAVIDE@LIBERO.IT

##### **country**

iTALY

##### **age**

41

##### **gender**

female

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A

BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCRIVANI PIERPAOLO

**email**

annarosascrivani@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products



consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bergami sabrina

**email**

BERGAMISABRINA@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rita Rath

**email**

rita.rath@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche

Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den

Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot

oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE GREGORIO ENRICO

**email**

enrico.degregorio@ngi.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIRE

**email**

jmsire18@wanadoo.fr

**country**

france

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

" il n'y a pas d'études scientifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée."

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

"aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des paquets neutre. aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des photos chocs encore moins les grands avertissements sanitaires sur les paquets. la mise en place de paquets neutres risque d'entraîner une guerre des prix du tabac (puisque les fabricants n'auront que ce moyen pour ce différencier) la mise en place de photos chocs sur les deux faces des paquets ou de paquets génériques va pousser les consommateurs français à aller s'approvisionner sur les marchés parallèles du tabac (achats à l'étranger ou de contrebande,ou sur internet. De telles mesures risquent de déstabiliser et pénaliser économiquement le réseau des 28000 buralistes français organisés dans un réseau règlementé et sous tutelle de l'ETAT

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

" cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec comme conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voir apparaître une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

"il n'y a pas de corrélation prouvée entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutte contre le tabagisme. La FRANCE dispose d'un réseau de 28000 buralistes préposés de l'administration, Responsables et Professionnels, SEULS habilités à vendre du tabac. CE RESEAU est fortement REGLEMENTE par L'ETAT ce qui permet déjà de contrôler EFFICACEMENT l'accès au produit TABAC

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

agnoletti livio

**email**

AGNOLETTI.LIVIO@ALICE.IT

**country**  
iTALY

**age**  
49

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

COLLI NICOLETTA

#### **email**

nicolettacolli@hotmail.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

42

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

monosi mauro

**email**

IOMARTINA@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.



**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS

TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. in other experiences – see canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SILVESTRO SAMUELE

**email**

silvestrotabacchi@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

la greca gabriele

**email**

ILPASTINA@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARCURI ROBERTA

**email**

arcuri.roberta@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ramona Hörrmann

**email**

Ramona.Hoerrmann@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen



Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemendarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemendarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine

Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage.

Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BACCO MANUEL

**email**

baccomanuel@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

de bernardi marco

**email**

OSTERIASANGIACOMO@BEM.IT

**country**  
iTALY

**age**  
44

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DI MATTIA ROBERTO

#### **email**

robitrancio@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

47

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**



No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BECCO NELLO EMILIO

#### **email**

toce.becnel@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

57

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

huber matthias

**email**

ALVER@DNET.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A

BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRUNDU LIDIA PASQUA

**email**

m.francab70@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARSEGLIA MASSIMO

**email**

tabacchimarseglia@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No



**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

tossio dario

**email**

DTOSSIO@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

HERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CUSUMANO CARMELA

**email**

nuovasombrero@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TROIANO CARLO

**email**

tabaccheriatroiano@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BORRELLI GENNARO

**email**

realff28@illerop.191.it

**country**

Italy



**age**  
61

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

pelucchi danilo rodolfo

**email**

LA\_COLLINETTA@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RIZZELLO COSIMO

**email**

carrizcr@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MORRONE FRANCO TINDARO

#### **email**

morronetabacchi@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

46

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

rosa stella

**email**

BUSACCA78@GMAIL.COM

**country**

iTALY

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS

TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. in other experiences – see Canada – important losses have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CILIA EMANUELE

**email**

cilia86@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SACCOMANI GIAMPIETRO

**email**

giampietrosaccomani@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

camerini edoardo

**email**

TABACCHERIASANSOVINO@LIBERO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIARAMONTE ANNA RITA

**email**

lexcar1@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

EMILIO ALESSIO

**email**

alessioemilio@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bigoni enzo

**email**

ENZOBIGONI@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PEDRELLI ISABELLA

**email**

isabella.pedrelli@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MELAS ALESSANDRO

**email**

vincenzocontu@interfree.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

franco erica gabriella

**email**

FRANCO.ERICA@TISCALI.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

HERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT

LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIGNORELLI ROSARIO

**email**

studiopomodoro@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NICOLINI GIOVANNA

**email**

tabaccheria\_22@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

maira giuseppe

**email**

GIUSEPPE.MAIRA@INWIND.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAINO CLAUDIO

**email**

luisa.maino@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASSON CRISTIAN

**email**

to\_mare\_omo@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Simon Pfaff

**email**

simon.pfaff@huissel.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die



Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von „Tabakerzeugnissen“ muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. Oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemendarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemendarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen

der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Eine Darstellung, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analyse der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen

vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU 27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dieser folgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/E Caus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufrieden stellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch in der jährige Personen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BADELLINO TIZIANA LUCIA

**email**

maurocn@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
33

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

salvi paolo

**email**

SALVIPO@TISCALI.IT

**country**  
iTALY

**age**  
36

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MONTANARO CARLA ANGELA

**email**

carlitos68@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change



**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

SANTI MARCO

#### **email**

why80@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

30

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

brighi aurelio

#### **email**

TABACCHERIABEBIO@SIMAIL.IT

#### **country**

iTALY

#### **age**

46

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS

TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

HERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Canan Ceylan

**email**

cananceylan@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

24

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FABBRI UMBERTO

**email**

misternumerouno@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRIFONI MAURIZIO

**email**

maurizio.grifoni9538@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

coppola christian

**email**

christian.coppola@libero.it

**country**

iTALY

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE

FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK

OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PALMISANO FABIAN

**email**

fabian.palmisano@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERTON PIETRO GIACOMO

**email**

pietrogb@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

zoboli fabio

**email**

FABIETTO81@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIRCHIA DOMENICO

**email**

mimmosirchia@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TONELLO SABRINA

**email**

info@ricevitoriagai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PISCHEDDA MASSIMO

**email**

mascinnibar@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

provaso mauro

**email**

ELISA.PROVASO@YAHOO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VENEZIANI GRAZIELLA

**email**

studiocominelli@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLAUTTI QUINTO

**email**

quinto.colautti@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

danieli giuliano



**email**

DANIELS1@TISCALI.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT

PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARDAIOLI MORENO

**email**

cardaioli@tiscalinet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALLO ZAIRA

**email**

gallovalter@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bertolotti stefano fiorenzo

**email**

BERTOLOTTI.S@GMAIL.COM

**country**

ITALY

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;



Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrés Álvarez

**email**

andres.alvarez@ineco.es

**country**

España

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

There is no problem

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

ANDALORO ANTONINO

#### **email**

toninoandaloro@virgilio.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

42

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RUSSO ALFREDO

**email**

alfredoq8@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

savio nicola

##### **email**

INFO@PICCINICLIMATIZZATORI.IT

##### **country**

iTALY

##### **age**

48

##### **gender**

female

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A

BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults



**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CELANTE DENIS

**email**

baralfontego@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANENTE GIULIANA

**email**

sansov@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

lo biondo giorgio

**email**

GIO.TABACCHI@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

HERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE cANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. aLSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MENALE GAETANO

**email**

mechibros@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No



**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IMPERATORE GIUSI

**email**

giusi.imperatore@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

27

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

piovano marco

**email**

PIOVOHOOD@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**  
31

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIMINELLA ROBERTO

**email**

erre15@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAGGI BARBARA

**email**

baresso@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**



The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VASILAKAKIS PETROS

**email**

petrosfb@gmail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

sisti sonia

**email**

ROBSON675@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS

TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE BENEDETTO CAMILLO

**email**

giuseppe\_debenedetto@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FALLENI SCILLA

**email**

augusto.bizzi@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of



toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bigioni stefano

**email**

STE.BIGIO@TISCALI.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANARA LUCIANO

**email**

manara47@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZACCHELLO LORIS

**email**

zacchello@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bauch, Udo

**email**

aufn.bauch@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Ich glaube nicht, dass diese Beschränkungen dass Rauchen eindämmen

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar



**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

pezzoli maria grazia

**email**

danilo.acquaroli@tin.it

**country**

iTALY

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MACCIONI SERGIO

**email**

sermaccioni57@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCHIGNOLI SIMONA

**email**

bledar13@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

arnault

**email**

regine.arnault17@orange.fr

**country**

france

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

il n'y a pas d'études scientifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des paquets neutres aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des photos chocs, ni même les grands avertissements sanitaires sur les paquets la mise en place des paquets neutres risque d'entraîner une guerre des prix, car cela restera le seul moyen pour les fabricants de se différencier la mise en place de photos chocs sur les deux faces sur les paquets ou de paquets génériques va pousser les consommateurs français à aller s'approvisionner sur les marchés parallèles du tabac achat à l'étranger, contrebande, ou internet, de plus elle risque de déstabiliser et pénaliser économiquement le réseau des 28000 buralistes français organisés dans un réseau réglementé et sous la tutelle de l'état

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec pour conséquence la diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voir apparaître une augmentations des produits du tabac contrefaits.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

Il n'y a pas de corrélation prouvé entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutte contre le tabagisme, la France dispose d'un réseau de 28000 buralistes, préposés de l' administration responsables et professionnels, seuls habilités à vendre du tabac. Ce réseau est fortement réglementé par l'état, ce qui permet déjà de contrôler efficacement l'accès au tabac

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

busi marco

**email**

MAB.CAMCAFE@GMAIL.COM

**country**  
iTALY

**age**  
40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRAZIANO EMANUELA

**email**

graziano.e@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

FORTE DANIELE

#### **email**

tabaccheriaforte@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

36

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bonelli giacomo

**email**

jaklume@yahoo.it

**country**

iTALY

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.



**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS

TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. in other experiences – see Canada – important losses have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZOCCHEDDU VALERIA

**email**

valeria83b@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GHENO MICHELA

**email**

michelaghen@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

di giovanni elena

**email**

MARCOILLI@LIBERO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONFILI JACOPO

**email**

jacopobonfili\_86@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRUNO MARIA ANTONIETTA

**email**

siben55@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

lorenzoni tatiana

**email**

TATTI46FOREVER@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCACCO CRISTINA

**email**

scaccobar@cheapnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

MATTEI ANTONELLA

**email**

tabaccheriadalmazia@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VINCI DANIELE

**email**

danielvinci@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bifulco assunta

**email**

FUMO.SPERANZA@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption. In other experiences – see Canada – important losses have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAPORALETTI ROBERTA

**email**

lucapiercamilli@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**



Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BANZI MANUELA

**email**

manuela.banzi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

coldebella dora

**email**

DORACOLDEBELLA@ALICE.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TREVISAN ORIANA

**email**

casadelsigaro@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SFORZA ELSA

**email**

michelini-agostino@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

67

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free



market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

fontana graziella

**email**

MARCOECCOMELLI@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIULIETTI MARIA GABRIELLA

**email**

manedi02@giulietti00.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LIVULPI ANGELA

**email**

micheleolivieri66@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

verni' nicola

**email**

VERNI.RACANO@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE



FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK

OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VERSARI GIANLUCA

**email**

VERSARI.GIANLUCA@FASTWEBNET.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TRAINO NICOLA ROCCO

**email**

nicolatraino48@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

marchini luigia

**email**

MARCHINI.LUIGIA@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

64

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONCORAGLIO CARMELO

**email**

boncor00@boncoragliocarmelo.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**



No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BATTISTETTI NICOLETTA

**email**

battistetti.n@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

miceli francesco

**email**

VINCY\_\_88@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE,

POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANTOCI ANTONIO

**email**

toniantoci@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
44

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PITTORE ANTONIO

**email**

antonio.cleopatra@libero.it

**country**



Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PANCALDI SERGIO

**email**

sergiopancaldi@tiscalinet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

casella giovanni

**email**

TABACCHICASELLA@TISCALI.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT

PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SACHELI EMILIANO

**email**

sacheli@aliceposta.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the



profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Kelvin Pohler

#### **email**

kelvinpohler@hotmail.com

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

33

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCHI LOREDANA

**email**

loredanamarchi@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

lupo tommaso

**email**

QUATTROGTD@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT

REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARLUCCI FLORIANA

**email**

flocarl@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PASQUALE LISA

**email**

lisapasquale@libero.it

**country**

Italy



**age**  
35

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

brescia luca

**email**

DRAKEPUB@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIULIANO VINCENZA

**email**

gp.giuliano3@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

COPPO ETTORE

#### **email**

coppo.ettore@virgilio.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

67

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bravetti mariella

**email**

DEBORACAV@LIVE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS

TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. in other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MACCIONI MAURO

**email**

mauro.maccioni@fastwebnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIENA CATIA

**email**

ele.siena@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LAUDADIO CLAUDIA

**email**

laudadio.claudia@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

carotti luca

**email**

TAB10CAR@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

HERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARINI GIANCARLO

**email**

giancarlo.arini@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PERUCCA MASSIMILIANO VLADIMIR

**email**

massimiliano633@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

buriola diego

**email**

BURIOLA.DIEGO@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAMOZZI ZAIRA

**email**

camozzizaira@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TONIOLO MIRELLA

**email**

info@ristorantefaresin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

vanni giulio

**email**

GIULIO1981@TISCALI.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. in other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PEZZUOLO VALERIO

**email**

tabaccheriapezzuolo@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BANI STEFANO

**email**

BARGIGI@LIVE.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

carrozzo francesco

**email**

t1m.cf@tiscali.it

**country**

iTALY

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Neuber

**email**

stefan.neuber@reemtsma.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
CANDINI SABRINA

**email**  
ebonan@alice.it

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
42

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PALUDI NATASCIA

**email**

LUCANATY2000@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

micale sandro

**email**

MICHELLE442002@YAHOO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VINCIGUERRA PAOLA

**email**

VINCIPAOLA@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

OLIVETTI MICHELE

**email**

superdenver@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Müllenmeister Mark

**email**

muellenmeister@me.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
No Commend

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Free will

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no commend

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no commend

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no commend

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

crozzoletto simona

**email**

F.MAZZALI@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SUCCU ANTONIA ANGELA

**email**

lu.goddi@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

67

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

gioppato orietta

**email**

DANESING@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALBERTIN FRANCESCO

**email**

francesco.albertin@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

zanini stefano

**email**

TABAKI560@YAHOO.IT

**country**  
iTALY

**age**  
41

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

FUSCO MARIA

#### **email**

peppolino82@hotmail.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

50

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

maugeri giuseppa

**email**

DANIELE-TABACCHI@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS

TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. in other experiences – see canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BALSAMO SERAFINA

**email**

serafinab@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

2010

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

oliboni elisa

**email**

ELISAOLIBONI@YAHOO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FASOLATO PRIMO

**email**

primof02@primofasolato.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

alvisi agostino

**email**

AGO.ALVIN@ALICE.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LAUCIELLO FRANCESCO

**email**

antonioldimo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LANFRANCA MARIA

**email**

tabaccheriadinisi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

giachi deborah

**email**

RIVENDITA411@TISCALI.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL



AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK

OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MIGLIACCIO TERESA

**email**

edo.ceglie@otmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SATTO ADRIANO

**email**

scarabeo55@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

equatore antonio

**email**

ANTONIO.EQUATORE@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS,  
PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS  
TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND  
CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT  
LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL  
REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND  
IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK  
OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE  
(COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SALA CARLA ANGELA

**email**

vicky.silver@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

66

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**



No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIAPELLO CLAUDIO

**email**

claudio.chiapello@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAGNANI ELENA

**email**

planetsport2000@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ferrero fiorella

**email**

CESCO.CASTA@TISCALI.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MERLINI SIMONA

**email**

simonamerlini@fastwebmail.it

**country**



Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FERRI RITA

**email**

26101971@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

talevi simone

**email**

TABACCHERIATRY@GMAIL.COM

**country**

ITALY

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT

PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MANCA FABRIZIO

#### **email**

manca.fabr@tiscali.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

32

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the



profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ISRAELI SABINA

**email**

sabisra@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

marchetti massimo

**email**

MASSIMOMARCHETTI2002@LIBERO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

2010

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAMMARANO DOMENICO

**email**

cammaranodomenico@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROMANO PIETRO

**email**

doncamillo26@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

brembati rosangela

**email**

ROSANGELA\_BREMBATI@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT

REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZENI MATTIA

**email**

zenirenzo@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

22

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BORELLI STEFANIA

**email**

stefanotab1950@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
57

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

barbieri raffaella

**email**

MATTEA@EMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI MATTEO ASSUNTA

**email**

tabrosa@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

PIERLEONI EMANUELE

#### **email**

pierfed@supereva.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

35

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

carbotta paolo

**email**

MICHELE.CARBOTTA@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS

TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

there is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. in other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GASTAUDO GIOVANNA

**email**

marketboissano@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**



Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FADDA ANTONIO COSTANTINO

**email**

faddant@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

belluschi pierluigi

**email**

BELLUSCHIPIERLUIGI@LIBERO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BIANCO FRANCO LINO

**email**

riv.n1monteverde@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FAZZI ISABELLA GIOVANNA

**email**

tabacchidellatorre@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

female



**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mardinli kitti

**email**

KITTI\_MARDINLI@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUARINI CLARA

**email**

claraguarini@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

65

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI BERNARDO PAOLO ELIO

**email**

pctabacchi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

necchio leonilde

**email**

LEONILDE2006@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT

LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PETRUZZIELLO CARMINE

**email**

carmine.petruzziello@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PETTENE GIORGINA

**email**

7scalini@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

maestrello sabrina

**email**

FABIOTBINOTTO@TISCALI.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PICCIRILLI VITTORIA GIULIANA

**email**

albertozappacosta@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joerg Lehmann

**email**

joerg.lehmann@beucke.de

**country**

germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Verbraucher soll selbst entscheiden. Keine Entmündigung

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARONCELLI SAMUELE

**email**

samudj@msn.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

20

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

gori paola

**email**

TABACCHERIAGORIPAOLA@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE

ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

sALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

tHERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. iN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE cANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND



IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUPACCHINI DANILO

**email**

resimona@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE CANDIDO GERMANA

**email**

clelia.viscardi@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

74

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARASCO FABRIZIA

**email**

FABRIZIA71@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

coronati gina

**email**

VITO.TE1411@GMAIL.COM

**country**

iTALY

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUERRA ORNELLA ANNA

**email**

tempimoderni@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUCIANI LUCA

**email**

millanta@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

dalfino camillo

**email**

CAMILLO.DALFINO@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BELTRAME SILVIA GIORGIA

**email**

happysissy71@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIBILIO ANNA RITA

**email**

annaritasibilio61@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

benefico maria

**email**

BENEFICOMARIA@LIBERO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON

MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MAIORANO CINZIA

#### **email**

cinzia.maiorano@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

40

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MUCCIOLO ANTONELLA

**email**

antonmucciolo@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the



suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Axel,Ehling

##### **email**

ca.Ehling@t-online.de

##### **country**

Deutschland

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

- Eine Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitprinzip.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

- Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich Überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analysen der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. - - Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten ( jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufsstellen verschwinden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerbert Vissers

**email**

lseuren@vissersolie.nl

**country**

nl

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

barcellini simona

**email**

SIMOBARCELLINI@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARGENTIERO CATERINA

**email**

catiargentiero@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector' s operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this



statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VARIO ALBERTO

**email**

varioalberto@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

logozzo giuseppe

**email**

LOGOZZOJOSEF@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ANGELO IGNAZIO

**email**

ignazio\_dangelo@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

23

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FERRANTE NICOLA

**email**

nicolaferrante@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging



because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Volker Koblitz

**email**

v.koblitz@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Erwachsene sind alt genug für sich selbst bestimmen. Durch Alkohogenuss passiert mehr -  
Gewaltdelikte, Unfälle - mit schlimmeren Folgen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Raucher kennt die Gefahren

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

bisherige Maßnahmen genügen

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

vitolo rosario

**email**

VITOLOANGELO@ALICE.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

tHE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PILERI FINALBA

**email**

tabsangiovanni@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

69

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIAS GIOVANNA

**email**

sias.micron@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Francisco Javier Díez Guardiola

**email**

javi10g@telefonica.net

**country**

Spain

**age**

40

**gender**

male

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bizzo fabrizio

**email**

BAR-ALICE@LIBERO.IT

**country**

iTALY

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE RISKS RELATED TO SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PROVING THAT BIGGER WARNINGS DETERMINE A BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUGGESTED MESSAGES; NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE USE OF A PARTICULAR GRAPHIC AND THE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HARMFUL TOBACCO WOULD BE IS ALREADY OF A HIGH LEVEL, ALSO ON THE BASIS OF THE EXISTING WARNINGS; NO VALUE ADDED BY THE INCREASE OF INFORMATION; NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE FULFILMENTS OF SECTOR'S OPERATORS AND OF TOBACCO RETAILERS; FULL AVERSION TO PLAIN PACKAGING BECAUSE OF THE CONFUSION ON MANUFACTURERS IDENTIFICATION BRANDS, WITH A CONSEQUENT PROLIFERATION OF ILLEGAL TRADE OF COUNTERFEITED AS WELL AS SMUGGLED PRODUCTS.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO PROVE IN ADVANCE WHICH IS THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF AN INGREDIENT IN TERMS OF TOXICITY; TARGETING LISTS IN ADVANCE, POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY, WOULD BE IN CONTRAST WITH THIS STATEMENT; RISK OF PRODUCT STANDARDISATION WITH A CONSEQUENT REDUCTION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE MARKET AND THE RISK OF INCREASE OF COUNTERFEITED PRODUCTS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

SALE TO BE CARRIED OUT ONLY WITHIN A NETWORK OF SPECIALIZED SHOPS, PROVIDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE LICENSE, WHICH MAIN ACTIVITY IS TOBACCO SALE.

**additional comments**

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DISPLAY BAN AND CONSUMPTION BENT. IN OTHER EXPERIENCES – SEE CANADA – IMPORTANT LOSS HAVE BEEN RECORDED FOR THE TOBACCO RETAILERS: STRUCTURAL REORGANIZATION OF THE SHOP, HIGHER MANAGEMENT COSTS AND IMPORTANT REDUCTION OF THE PROFITABILITY WITH THE CONSEQUENT RISK OF GIVING UP THE BUSINESS. ALSO INCREASING OF ILLEGAL PRODUCTS SALE (COUNTERFEITED AND SMUGGLED).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MERONI GIUSEPPINA

**email**

mardespi@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GOBBI GIAMPIERO

**email**

giampi255@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petra Hutschreuther

**email**

petra@feldis.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

female



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---