# MEETING OF THE SUBGROUP ON TRACEABILITY AND SECURITY FEATURES

#### ~ SUMMARY RECORD~

Date: 14 February 2019

**Place: Brussels** 

#### 1. Welcome and Introduction

SANTE welcomed all participants to the second Meeting of the Subgroup on Traceability and Security Feature of the year. The Chair outlined that the meeting was composed of an open and a closed session. During the open part of the meeting, repository providers and all appointed ID issuers were invited to participate. The main aim of this part was it to provide a forum for discussion among service providers involved in the traceability system and regulators. During the closed part of the meeting, participation was restricted to public authorities. The Chair reminded the group that the minutes from the webinar of 12 February would be considered as a part of the minutes of Meeting of the Subgroup of 14 February. Minutes of the previous meeting were still in circulation for comments.

The Chair presented the agenda for the open part of the meeting. The group approved the agenda. No additional points were added.

# 2. Presentation by 'Dentsu' on modalities of the secondary repository services

Dentsu Aegis Network Switzerland AG, provider of the secondary repository, gave a presentation on the latest updates related to the establishment of the secondary repository and the router, including technical aspects of the envisaged system structure. The group was informed that draft technical specifications and the draft data dictionary had been shared with repository providers and ID issuers for initial comments. Final publication of these documents would be 21 February 2019. Dentsu furthermore outlined different aspects of data integrity and confidentiality and how these would be safeguarded within the system. The presentation also set out the timeline for further steps in the implementation process. Dentsu then presented the outcome of the first technical workshop with other service providers, which took place on 7 February 2019. In this regard, Dentsu thanked other repository providers and the ID issuers for the good and productive cooperation. Finally, Dentsu outlined a number of open issues that had arisen during the workshop, which the group then discussed.

On verification of company information in the context of requests for identifier codes, the group agreed that this was the responsibility of individual ID issuers, who would enter into a business relationship with the requesting parties. This was also necessary to settle any fees charged. The verification could take place ex-ante or ex-post, but ID issuers should take into account the required time limits for issuing identifier codes, as well as the volume of requests expected in the initial phase of the system.

On the possibility for ID issuers to allow for speedy issuing of UIs, SANTE reminded that the legislation provided for a time limit of two working days (for electronic delivery) and that the requesting parties had the possibility to cancel any request by means of a recall message within one working day. Where, upon wish of the requesting party, the ID issuer agreed to speed up the issuing of UIs within a shorter time frame than the legally required two working days, the group agreed that the requesting party should be informed about and effectively assume the financial risks. Once the UIs are generated and issued, the recall message becomes ineffective and all fees related to the generating and issuing of such UIs as well as their storage in the repositories system apply and will be borne by the requesting party. From that moment, the unwanted UIs can be only deactivated.

On registration requirements in the case of vending machines (VMs) and vending vans (VVs), SANTE referred to previous discussions of the Subgroup, as well as the messaging requirements set out in Annex II to the Implementing Regulation. VMs fall into the category of first retail outlets and had to be registered as facilities. VVs, on the other hand, demonstrated a specific mode of transportation and the van used to carry out the transport would be identified through the information on the 'transport vehicle' in the respective dispatch message that was sent to the traceability system.

On the question of transloading vs. short-term storage, SANTE referred to Q5 of the published Questions & Answers document on the website, which clarified that, regardless of its duration, storage had to be differentiated from transloading events.

SANTE thanked Dentsu for the very valuable presentation and the good progress that had been made, also considering the time constraints under which all parties operated.

# 3. Introduction and feedback from providers of primary repositories

The Chair invited providers of primary repositories present in the room to give a short presentation and feedback. Overall, all providers confirmed and thanked for the good cooperation with Dentsu. First tests with economic operators had been initiated and showed promising results.

One repository provider asked whether recalled and non-validated messages would have to be kept in the repository system. SANTE reminded that all information recorded in the system had to be stored in accordance with the applicable retention periods. The legislation required the secondary repository to contain a copy of all data stored in the primary repositories. It followed from this that all messages transmitted to the primary repository, even those that did not pass the validation process, had to be forwarded to the secondary repository. The failed validation had to be appropriately reflected in the records of the concerned repositories.

Another participant raised a question regarding the splitting of transactional information that economic operators transmitted to the router. Splitting would always involve the risk

of harming the integrity of the data. SANTE took note of these remarks. In terms of transactional data, it explained that splitting of a message should only take place at the level of the data fields related to the unit level unique identifiers concerned. The latter allowed for identifying the primary repositories to which the transactional information had to be forwarded by the router. Other data fields, such as total net sum, should not be split but a full copy of these data fields should be forwarded to each of the primary repositories concerned.

# 4. Discussion between public authorities, ID issuers and providers of repository services

One Member State enquired into the possibility to provide national authorities with access to Dentsu's 'knowledge base', which contained the technical documents for ID issuers and repository providers. Dentsu informed Member States that that it would create special profiles for national authorities and for national administrators.

On validation of the information in requests for unit level UIs, in particular the existence of identifier codes (i.e. EOIDs, FIDs and MIDs), the legislation required that this must take place at the level of the secondary repository. More specifically, the router, which will receive each generated UI for validation before it gets transmitted to the primary repository. Upon the request of a number of Member States, Dentsu offered to provide a separate interface that would allow ID issuers to receive confirmation on the existence of foreign identifier codes (i.e. such that were generated by another ID issuer). For reasons of data protection, this information would be limited to a simple existence check. SANTE clarified that this was an additional feature, not required under the legislation. While it would enable ID issuers (if they wished to do so) to carry out pre-validation checks before generating UIs, it would not remove the obligation of the final and official validation of generated UIs to take place at the level of the router.

One service provider asked for clarification on the application of Article 4(5) of the Implementing Regulation. SANTE reiterated that there was a legal obligation for Member States to appoint an ID issuer and to ensure the operational functionality of that entity in time.

On the deactivation of UIs, it was clarified that the repositories system had to ensure that unique identifiers not used within the six months timeframe of validity were automatically deactivated. In addition, such deactivation could also take place manually and at an earlier point in time, either executed by a national authority (e.g. in the context of an enforcement action) or by the economic operator itself (e.g. where a pre-ordered set of UIs was no longer needed / where it failed validation and could not be used).

Another question concerned an information request regarding the graphical interfaces that would be made available to public authorities. Dentsu thanked for this intervention and responded that it would be happy to engage with Member States in relation to their wishes (e.g. specific automatic query functions). Dentsu promised to look into the possibility of organising a specific meeting with Member States to discuss this matter more in detail. Member States agreed and thanked for this proposal.

One participant noted that it would be desirable to receive further information on the look-up tables, i.e. the flat files. SANTE recalled that each ID issuer would be responsible for preparing and regularly updating the flat files which were necessary for determining the information compressed in the product code (see Article 8(1)(c) of the

Implementing Regulation) in the offline mode. In line with Article 20(3) of the Implementing Regulation, the full collection of all the flat files maintained by the ID issuers should be stored with the secondary repository. That will allow the authorities to download the flat files from one place for regular updates of the portable reading devices. SANTE clarified that the flat files should not be mistaken for the registries of all economic operators, facilities and machines. Information-wise the former overlapped with the latter only insofar as the UIs combined with the flat files were supposed to inform about the mandatory content of message 2.1 of Annex II, including the extraction of information from the concerned identifiers used in that message.

Another Member State asked SANTE whether the competent ID issuer appointed in one Member State only had to register economic operators located on its own territory. SANTE referred to the rules on competence, as set out in Articles 14, 16 and 18 of the Implementing Regulation. Member States should furthermore take into account that rules on the request of identifier codes for importers were different. Some participants noted that they carried out verification checks on requesting entities (e.g. based on the VAT number). During the discussion, the registration obligations for the purpose of issuing identifiers were distinguished from the administrative registration of manufactures and importers that the ID issuer would need to carry out for the purpose of charging the fees for generating and issuing UIs. In response to a follow-up question, SANTE confirmed that the derogation in the second sentence of Article 4(1) of the Implementing Regulation did not affect the rules on competent ID issuers in relation to requests for identifier codes. An ID issuer competent for a Member State, who applies the derogation, therefore may have to process requests for unique identifiers originating from economic operators with identifier codes assigned by other ID issuers.

Finally, one of the participants sought clarification on the data element 'other economic operator ID' in message 1.1 of Annex II. SANTE explained that this information was mainly of use in two specific cases. First, importers who placed their products on more than one national market would receive identifier codes from all competent ID issuers. Second, if an economic operator, who operated under one single legal entity, was responsible for facilities in two or more Member States, it would receive identifier codes from all competent ID issuers. The data element in question would allow for proper linking of all identifiers belonging to the same economic operator. During the discussion, it was underlined that, in most cases, multinational corporations operated through their national subsidiaries, which constituted separate legal entities. Hence, this second example was less likely to occur in practice.

The Chair closed the discussion and informed the group that the open session had ended. All representatives not belonging to a Member State delegation were asked to leave the room. SANTE thanked service providers for their participation and for the interesting and valuable debate that took place.

#### 5. Update from Member States on ID issuer

The Chair announced that the first part of the closed session meeting would be dedicated to the status of the ID issuer appointment in Member States. SANTE stressed again the importance for Member States to appoint an operational ID issuer in time. Timely appointment remained the highest priority for the Commission at this point. During the update round, SANTE presented the answers from Member States to the questionnaire on the ID issuer, which were collected in the morning. The answers provided at the meeting would be annexed to the summary record of the meeting. On other aspects (competent

authority, UI delivery method, Article 4(1) derogation, etc.), Member States were asked to send any updates that may have occurred directly by e-mail. The latter was important in particular with respect to the application of the second sentence of Article 4(1) IR for which SANTE intended to make a list available online. This would be especially helpful for stakeholders and ID issuers.

As soon as the update round was concluded, SANTE informed the group that, due to the absence of some Member States, the promised informal vote on the two options of the reading of the term 'machine' would have to be postponed to the next Subgroup Meeting.

SANTE also encouraged Member States to appoint a national administrator as soon as possible.

#### **6. AOB**

One Member State wished to clarify whether internal financial transactions taking place within the same company should be recorded. SANTE stressed that the legislation is clear on that point in that every financial event related to an invoice, payment and order needs to be recorded in the system following the occurrence of the event and once it can be linked to the UIs concerned. This also meant that, where no invoice is issued, no transactional data needs to be recorded.

Another Member State enquired whether a harmonisation of UIs at the EU level was foreseen. SANTE explained that the legislation, within its boundaries, leaves a certain degree of freedom to ID issuers who are ultimately responsible for generating and issuing UIs. To that end, Article 8 and Article 11 of the Implementing Regulation set out the required structure and content of unit and aggregated level UIs generated by the ID issuers. Where the UIs are generated by economic operators, Article 10 of the Implementing Regulation specifies that individual codes must be generated in accordance with ISO/IEC 15459-1:2014 or ISO/IEC 15459-4:2014. These requirements set out the basis for ensuring uniqueness of the codes. With regard to encoding and reading of UIs in optical format, the legislation stipulates the permitted data carriers. In this regard, the reading of UIs by scanners should furthermore be facilitated by means of integrating data qualifiers and separators into the UI string, in line with ISO/IEC 15459-3:2014, which is intrinsically linked to ISO/IEC 15459-2:2015 referred to in Article 3(4) of the Implementing Regulation.

Several participants raised questions as to the reading of Article 15(7) TPD in relation to the obligation of manufacturers to provide economic operators with the equipment necessary for the recording of tobacco products purchased, sold, stored, transported or otherwise handled. Notably, it was discussed whether this provision should be read as a one-time obligation or rather a continuous obligation. The group agreed that a one-time payment to economic operators would not be compliant with the obligations set out in that provision. In particular, Article 15(7) required that the equipment provided to economic operators must enable them to read and transmit the recorded data electronically to the repositories system. Reading and transmitting of data was an ongoing obligation that applied to economic operators for as long as they would be involved in the trade of tobacco products. The group regarded it was unlikely that any equipment provided would be able to fulfil this obligation over a longer period without requiring maintenance, or even replacement.

One Member State informed the Commission that a manufacturer in their country had not yet notified the Commission about the proposed provider of the primary repository and the related data storage contract, and was wondering whether notifying was still possible. SANTE responded that every notification received would be treated within the required deadline of three months, as set out in Annex I of the Implementing Regulation. At the same time, SANTE reminded that enforcement of the legislation on tobacco traceability was the responsibility of Member States.

On the deactivation of an economic identifier code by authorities in duly justified cases, SANTE explained to the group that a deactivation of the code would have a 'cascade effect' in that it would lead to the automatic deactivation of all related facility and machine identifier codes (see Article 15(4) and 17(4)). It was reminded that an alert could be introduced in the system in order to avoid that a deactivated economic operator attempts to register a second time.

Finally, the group discussed whether Union legislation on tobacco traceability set out a requirement for manufacturers/importers of tobacco products to reimburse the development of a mobile app, which could be used by national authorities to read the information encoded into UIs. Participants agreed that no such requirement was contained in Union legislation per se. However, Article 8 of the FCTC Protocol stipulated that each Party to the Protocol may require the tobacco industry to bear any costs associated with that Party's obligations under this Article.

# 7. Closing remarks

The Chair thanked the participants for the valuable discussion during the meeting. Participants were reminded about the upcoming meetings. Minutes of today's meeting, including Member State updates on the ID issuer, and questions discussed during the webinar session of 12 February will be circulated for approval.

The Chair closed the meeting.

# List of participants

Austria (Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs, Health and Consumer

Protection and Ministry of Finance - Tax and Customs Administration)

Belgium (Excise & Customs and FPS HEALTH

FPS Health and Food Chain Safety and Environment)

Bulgaria (National Customs Agency)

Croatia (Agencija za komercijalnu djelatnost and Customs Administration)

Cyprus (Department of Customs and Excise, Republic of Cyprus and Permanent

Representation)

Czech Republic (Ministry of Agriculture and STC)

Denmark (Danish Safety Technology Authority)

Estonia (The Ministry of Finance of Estonia)

Finland (Supervisory Authority for Welfare and Health)

France (FRENCH CUSTOMS)

Germany (Bundesdruckerei GmbH and Bundesministerium für Ernährung und

Landwirtschaft)

Greece (Independent Authority for Public Revenues and Ministry of Finance,

General Secretariat for Information Systems)

Hungary (National Tax and Customs Administration)

Ireland (Department of Health and Office of the Revenue Commissioners)

Italy (Custom monopolies agency)

Latvia (State Revenue Service)

Lithuania (State Tax Inspectorate Under the Ministry of Finance of the Republic of

Lithuania)

Luxembourg (Customs and Excise Administration and ID issuer Luxembourg)

Malta (Customs Department)

Netherlands (Ministerie van Volksgezondheid, Welzijn en Sport and Belastingdienst)

Poland (Ministry of Finance)

Portugal (Imprensa Nacional Casa da Moeda)

Romania (C.N. Imprimeria Națională S.A. and National Agency for Fiscal

Administration)

Slovakia (Ministry of Finance, Financial Directorate and Slovak Permanent

Representation)

Slovenia (Ministry of Health of the Republic of Slovenia)

Spain (Agencia Tributaria. Ministerio de Hacienda y Administraciones Públicas

Comisionado para el Mercado de Tabacos. Ministerio de Hacienda y Administraciones Públicas and Ministry of Finance.)

Sweden (Public Health Agency Sweden)

United Kingdom (HM Revenues and Customs)

# **Observers**

Norway (Norwegian Ministry of Health and Care Services and Norwegian

Directorate of Health)

**Commission**:

DG SANTE Filip Borkowski

Jan Hoffmann

Sascha Maria Löwenstein

Anna Mirandola Jean-Marie Misztela

DG OLAF Clare Twomey

Markus Goerres

# Annex I Update from Member States on appointment/operation of ID issuers

AUSTRIA	
Name of ID issuer	Monopolverwaltung (state monopoly agency)
Name of subcontracted	Bundesrechenzentrum (Austrian Federal Computing Center)
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	In house
Date of appointment	13.10.2018
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	LEAT1
code	
Date of operation	Test phase will start in March 2019
(definite or best	
estimate)	

BELGIUM	
Name of ID issuer	INCERT (most possible option)
Name of subcontracted	No
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Ministerial decree
Date of appointment	April
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	
code	
Date of operation	
(definite or best	
estimate)	

BULGARIA	
Name of ID issuer	Printing Works of the Bulgarian National Bank
Name of subcontracted	Yes
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Resolution of the National Assembly
Date of appointment	November 2018 / State Gazette of 27.11.2018
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	LEBGR
code	
Date of operation	April 2019
(definite or best	
estimate)	

	CROATIA	
Name of ID issuer	Agencija za komercijalnu djelatnost d.o.o. (AKD D.O.O)	
Name of subcontracted	-	
party		
(if applicable)		
Method of	Ordinance	
appointment		
Date of appointment	Date of appointment-Ordinance entered into force on	
(definite or best	19.07.2018_State Gazette, No. 61/2018 from 11.07.2018	
estimate)	National application of Article 4(1)-derogation- Ordinance on	
	Amendements of Ordinance- entered into force on	
	15.12.2018, State Gazette, No. 110/2018, from 7.12.2018.	
Unique identification	LEAKD	
code	Information related to unique identification code of appointed	
	ID issuer has been made publically available	
	http://wp1.edifice.org/iso-15459-license-plate-2/list-of-	
	license-plate-codes-assigned-by-edifice/	
Date of operation	March 2019	
(definite or best		
estimate)		

CYPRUS	
Name of ID issuer	Greek ID issuer - General secretariat of information systems
Name of subcontracted	-
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	-
Date of appointment	-
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	LE GR 1
code	
Date of operation	-
(definite or best	
estimate)	

# **CZECH REPUBLIC**

Name of ID issuer	State printing works of securities
Name of subcontracted	Atos IT Solutions
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Resolution of the Government of the Czech Republic
Date of appointment	17.10.2018
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	LESTC
code	
Date of operation	March 2019/April 2019
(definite or best	
estimate)	

DENMARK	
Name of ID issuer	Wordline SA
Name of subcontracted	
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Public procurement
Date of appointment	05.03.2019
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	LEWL2
code	
Date of operation	Ready to be tested in March
(definite or best	
estimate)	

ESTONIA	
Name of ID issuer	-
Name of subcontracted	-
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Contract after negotiation
Date of appointment	ASAP and in time
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	-
code	
Date of operation	-
(definite or best	
estimate)	

FINLAND	
Name of ID issuer	To be determined/ Decision will be made on the 12 <sup>th</sup> of
	March 2019
Name of subcontracted	To be determined
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Tender for a concession (procurement process)
Date of appointment	Beginning of April 2019
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	To be determined
code	
Date of operation	May 2019
(definite or best	
estimate)	

FRANCE	
Name of ID issuer	-
Name of subcontracted	-
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Decree State Council
Date of appointment	Estimated March 2019
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	-
code	
Date of operation	20.03.2019
(definite or best	
estimate)	

GERMANY	
Name of ID issuer	Bundesdruckerei GmbH
Name of subcontracted	-
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Legal act / contract / decree
Date of appointment	Best estimate: In due time
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	QCBDR
code	
Date of operation	Best estimate: The ID issuer will be fully operational on 10 <sup>th</sup>
(definite or best	May 2019.
estimate)	

GREECE	
Name of ID issuer	General secretariat of information systems
Name of subcontracted	Pending (contract with subcontractor to be signed in next
party	weeks)
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Ministerial Decree
Date of appointment	26.06.2018
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	LEGR1
code	
Date of operation	-
(definite or best	
estimate)	

HUNGARY	
Name of ID issuer	ND Nemzeti Dohanykereskedelmi Nonprofit Zrt.
Name of subcontracted	Still cannot be named (ongoing)
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Decree 72/2018, Government decree
Date of appointment	06.05.2018
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	LEHU1
code	
Date of operation	Still depends
(definite or best	
estimate)	

IRELAND	
Name of ID issuer	Allexis s.r.o.
Name of subcontracted	N/A
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Public procurement
Date of appointment	End of February 2019 (estimated).
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	QCALL
code	
Date of operation	1 May 2019
(definite or best	
estimate)	

ITALY	
Name of ID issuer	Custom Monopolies agency
Name of subcontracted	-
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	National decree
Date of appointment	April 2019
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	Not yet
code	
Date of operation	April 2019
(definite or best	
estimate)	

LATVIA	
Name of ID issuer	VAS "Latvijas Radio un televīzijas centrs
Name of subcontracted	SIA "ZZ Dats"
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Law
Date of appointment	29.12.2018
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	IAC not acquired yet
code	
Date of operation	Operational by end of the March
(definite or best	
estimate)	

LITHUANIA	
Name of ID issuer	State tax inspectorate
Name of subcontracted	Worldline and Insoft
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Public procurement for subcontractor
Date of appointment	January 2019, finished
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	After agreement/contract with company Worldline on March
code	15 <sup>th</sup> Waiting response from 'AIM' for prefix 'KLT'
Date of operation	As soon as possible
(definite or best	
estimate)	

LUXEMBOURG	
Name of ID issuer	Incert G.I.E.
Name of subcontracted	-
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Ministerial Decree
Date of appointment	23.11.2018
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	-
code	
Date of operation	End of March
(definite or best	
estimate)	

MALTA	
Name of ID issuer	Opsec
Name of subcontracted	N/A
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Public negotiation procedure
Date of appointment	13.11.2018
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	Now issued through ITSA: WAAØ
code	
Date of operation	End of April 2019
(definite or best	
estimate)	

NETHERLANDS	
Name of ID issuer	ATOS
Name of subcontracted	Worldline
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Concession
Date of appointment	31.01.2019
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	Ordered
code	
Date of operation	01.03.19 – pilot
(definite or best	20.03.19 – roll-out phase
estimate)	20.05.19 – go live

	POLAND
Name of ID issuer	Polish Security Printing Works (PWPWS.A.)
Name of subcontracted	N/A
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Legislative process is still in progress
Date of appointment	April 2019
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	QCPWPW
code	
Date of operation	No later than 15 May
(definite or best	
estimate)	

PORTUGAL	
Name of ID issuer	Imprensa Nacional Casa de Moeda - INCM
Name of subcontracted	N/A
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Decree
Date of appointment	Concluded 15/02/2019
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	It will be based on GS1
code	
Date of operation	02.05.2019 / Start testing in March
(definite or best	
estimate)	

ROMANIA	
Name of ID issuer	Compania Nationala Imprimeria Nationala S.A., The
	national printing house of Romania
Name of subcontracted	N/A
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Government decision (No. 1020/2018 M.O.F 38/15.01.2019
Date of appointment	15.01.2019
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	LECNI
code	
Date of operation	Recently appointed, not clear yet.
(definite or best	
estimate)	

SLOVAKIA	
Name of ID issuer	Datacentrum (Public Authority under the Ministry of
	Finance)
Name of subcontracted	Subject of public procurement
party	
(if applicable)	
Method of appointment	Legal act
Date of appointment	01.05.2019
(definite or best	
estimate)	
Unique identification	LEDTC
code	
Date of operation	To be determined after appointment
(definite or best	
estimate)	

SLOVENIA		
Name of ID issuer	Not yet appointed	
Name of subcontracted		
party		
(if applicable)		
Method of appointment	Public tender will be published online. The legal base for	
	tender was adopted on 7.03.2019. It was published in OJ on	
	8.3 (OJ, No 14 14.2019)	
Date of appointment	May 2019	
(definite or best		
estimate)		
Unique identification		
code		
Date of operation	May 2019	
(definite or best		
estimate)		

SPAIN		
Name of ID issuer	Fabrica Nacional de Moneda y timbre (www.fnmt.es)	
Name of subcontracted	Not yet decided	
party		
(if applicable)		
Method of appointment	Ministerial order HAC 1365/2018 (BOE OJ nr. 308-	
	22/DEC/18)	
Date of appointment	Definite: 23.12.2018 (BOE (OJ) nr. 308 of 22.12.2018)	
(definite or best		
estimate)		
Unique identification	1E	
code		
Date of operation	20 of March (testing)	
(definite or best	20 of May (production)	
estimate)		

SWEDEN		
Name of ID issuer	Public Health Agency Sweden appointed by 1 March, but	
	actual ID issuer will be procured	
Name of subcontracted	Procurement started (last day for tender 19 <sup>th</sup> of March)	
party		
(if applicable)		
Method of appointment	Public procurement	
Date of appointment	End of April	
(definite or best		
estimate)		
Unique identification	Pending procurement	
code		
Date of operation	May 2019, with possible delay	
(definite or best		
estimate)		

UNITED KINGDOM		
Name of ID issuer	De la Rue	
Name of subcontracted	Atos	
party		
(if applicable)		
Method of appointment	Concession contract	
Date of appointment	February 25 <sup>th</sup> 2019	
(definite or best		
estimate)		
Unique identification	QCGDLR	
code		
Date of operation	In time for May	
(definite or best		
estimate)		