DNV Business Assurance, Norway

1. Responden	t Profile
1.1 Please indicate the type of organisation on behalf of which you are responding to this consultation:	Academic/public health and healthcare specialised institution/organisation (e.g. Institutes and University Departments of Public Health, Quality, Healthcare, Clinical Excellence)
Please indicate level:	European Union umbrella organisation
Please indicate Member States representation:	Pan European
Please indicate for what the administration is responsible:	
1.1.1. Other (please specify):	DNV is an independent foundation that works with healthcare providers and system owners to improve patient safety and quality of care.
1.2 Please indicate the name of your organisation or centre:	DNV Business Assurance
1.3 Please indicate the country where your organsation/centre is located/has its headquarters or main representative office in Europe:	NO
1.4 Please indicate the number of EU Member States and EEA countries (Norway, Iceland, Lichtenstein) and accessing country (Croatia) in which your organisation conducts business/is represented:	31
1.5 If need be, can we contact you by e-mail to obtain further information on your submission?	Yes

1.5.1 Please provide an e-mail address where we can contact you:	stephen.mcadam@dnv.com
1.6 Please provide us with a contact person (incl jobtitle and daytime phone number):	Stephen McAdam Global Technical Director Healthcare +47 90822616
1.7 Please provide additional contact details if needed:	DNV, Veritasvein 1, 1322 Høvik, Norway. WWW.DNV.COM

2.1 How would you describe your organisation's knowledge of CoE and HSHC?	High
2.1.1 Space for further comments:	
2.2. What aspects or domains related to the topic of CoE and HSHC would correspond to your organisation's key knowledge? (cross any that applies)	Professional performance, clinical practice, quality and safety of specialized healthcare Assessment/evaluation/certification of clinical practice and healthcare providers
2.2.1. Space for further comments:	Working as an independent third party (eg assessment or accreditation) of specialised healthcare providers across the EU gives DNV a unique insight into the diversity of practices within Europe.
2.3 Is highly specialised healthcare a priority in your organisation's strategies and work plans?	Somewhat
2.3.1 Space for further comments:	
2.4. What specific field of healthcare services/specialities are most relevant for your centre/organisation's field of work?	No opinion

2.5.1 Please describe your role in such actions/projects:	A core activity of DNV is standard development and we have developed many standards for or with healthcare providers or authorities but not specifically for
2.6. Has your organisation been involved in projects/activities supported by the Commission in relation with HSHC or professional and echnical criteria/standards in highly specialised healthcare?	No
2.7. Do you have concrete examples based on your own organisation's experience or could you provide us with references or links to documents related with professional criteria and standards in highly specialised healthcare/CoE or HSHC (e.g. quality criteria, guidelines, consensus documents)?	No
2.7.1 Space for further comments:	
2.13. What is the scope of the network?	
2.14. Which kind of network?	
2.14.1 Space for further comments:	
2.15. Would you be interested in applying to the process to be considered Centre of Excellence of the future European Reference	
Network? (1 = not interested at all, 5 = very interested)	

3. Proposed criteria for ERN (scope, general and specific criteria)

3.1 Criteria related with diseases or conditions in ord	der to be considered under the scope of the ERN
3.1.1. Need of highly specialised healthcare	4
3.1.1.1. Complexity of the diagnosis and treatment	4
3.1.1.2. High cost of treatment and resources	2
3.1.1.3. Need of advanced/highly specialised medical equipment or infrastructures	4
3.1.2. Need of particular concentration of expertise and resources	4
3.1.2.1. Rare expertise/need of concentration of cases	3
3.1.2.2. Low prevalence/incidence/number of cases	3
3.1.2.3. Evaluated experiences of Member States	2
3.1.3. Based on high-quality, accessible and cost-effective healthcare	4
3.1.3.1. Evidence of the safety and favourable risk-benefit analysis	5
3.1.3.2. Feasibility and evidence of the value and potential positive outcome (clinical)	5
3.1.4. Do you recommend any additional criteria or option that would effectively address the issue?	No
3.1.5. Would you prioritise or suggest any concrete disesase or group of diseases to be addressed by the future ERN according to the above criteria?	No

3.2. General criteria of the centres wishing	g to join a European Reference Network
3.2.1. Organisation and management	4
3.2.2. Patients empowerment and centered care	4
3.2.3. Patient care, clinical tools and health technology assessment	5
3.2.4. Quality, patient safety and evaluation framework policies	5
3.2.5. Business continuity, contingency planning and response capacity	2
3.2.6. Information systems, technology and e-health tools and applications	3
3.2.7. Overall framework and capacity for research and training	3
3.2.8. Specific commitment of the management/direction of the centre/hospital to ensure a full and active participation in the ERN	4
3.2.9. Do you recommend any additional option that would effectively address the issue?	No
3.2.9.1. Space for further comments:	

3.3. Specific criteria regarding the areas of expertise		
3.3.1. Competence, experience and good outcomes and care	5	
3.3.2. Specific resources and organisation:	4	
3.3.2.1. Human resources	5	

3.3.2.2. Team/centre organisation	4
3.3.2.3. Structural conditions	3
3.3.2.4. Specific equipment	4
3.3.2.5. Presence and coordination with other required complementary units or services	3
3.3.3. Patient care pathways, protocols and clinical guidelines in the field of expertise	4
3.3.4. External coordination, care management and follow-up of patients	4
3.3.5. Research, training, health technology assessment in the field of expertise	3
3.3.6. Specific information systems	3
3.3.7. Do you recommend any additional criteria or option that would effectively address the issue?	No
3.3.7.1. Space for further comments:	Given the prominent role the CoEs will have for coordinating care, disseminating knowledge and training we believe all should be committed to implementing a robost quality and safety system.