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Impact statement
Safety for me is CRUCIAL and means:

1. **Blood collected** through the **safest possible practices** i.e. voluntary non-remunerated blood donations.

2. **Well-screened** and **appropriately processed** RBCs – Every step quality assured and supervised by experts.

3. **Sufficient blood** to keep my haemoglobin level to those levels appropriate to support my bone marrow function and prevent medical complications associated with the pathology of thalassaemia.

4. **Existence of backup plans** for emergency crises that threaten adequacy and safety of blood and stability and resilience of healthcare systems.

Speaking to you as a person who requires LIFELONG monthly (or even more often) red blood cells transfusions
A person with TDT, and other α-thal, β-thal & SCD cannot survive without lifelong, frequent red blood cell transfusions

From the age of 6 months until now I have had to be transfused with 1126 units of blood which translates to two times a month.

I have to receive Piriton injections due to the allergic reactions I’ve experienced from the numerous blood transfusions I’ve undergone.

On occasions I had to wait for hours or days for blood availability.

I have been infected with HCV resulting in a Liver transplant operation.

SAFE & ADEQUATE BLOOD IS OUR LIFE COMPANION
# Shortcomings from the 2019 evaluation – Need for common, up-to-date technical rules

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Patients are not fully protected from avoidable risks because some rules are out of date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Legislation does not mitigate risks for BTC donors and for children born from donated eggs, sperm or embryos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Member States have divergent approaches to oversight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Full potential of innovative therapies is not reached for patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Patients are vulnerable to interruptions in EU supply of some BTC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The challenge of setting technical rules

Clarity
- Detailed harmonised rules

Agility
- Rules that are responsive to risk

STANDARDS
- Defined in the Regulation
- Generic

TECHNICAL GUIDELINES
- The way to comply with the standards – defined outside the Regulation
- Detailed and including SoHO specific elements
Respective scope of EDQM and ECDC guidance
Implementation of generic standards through technical guidelines
Staying up-to-date with the science in an agile way

Commission Implementing Legislation → “where the Commission deems necessary”

If none:

Technical Guidance on the EU SoHO Platform → Published & updated by ECDC/EDQM

OR:

“Equivalent” Guidance → Demonstrated by MS to achieve the standards in the Regulation

OR:

Other guidelines or methods based on international standards or scientific evidence → Entities shall demonstrate equivalence to inspectors – may do so by demonstrating equivalence to ECDC and EDQM

MS shall demonstrate compliance with standards – may do so by demonstrating equivalence to ECDC and EDQM

Inspectors shall deem the standards to be met
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Prevention of communicable disease transmission through application of substances of human origin

Marieke J. van der Werf
24 June 2024, Brussels
EU regulations relevant for SoHO and ECDC


- Regulation (EU) 2022/2371 of the European Parliament and the Council of 23 November 2022 amending Regulation (EC) of 23 November 2022 on serious cross-border threats to health and repealing Decision No 1082/2013/EU


Framework for ECDC action on microbial safety of SoHO

Prevention of communicable disease transmission through application of substances of human origin

Coordinate SoHO network  
Provide guidance on microbial safety  
Threat detection, assessment, and response
SoHO regulation

Article 56 (4) and 59 (4)

For those standards concerning [SoHO donor protection or elements thereof]/[SoHO recipient and offspring protection] for which no implementing act has been adopted, SoHO entities shall take into account:

(a) the most recent technical guidelines, as indicated on the EU SoHO Platform, as follows:

(i) Published by the ECDC concerning the prevention of communicable disease transmission;

Provide guidance on microbial safety

• Develop and update guidelines as referred to in the SoHO Regulation
  • Guideline development process according to ECDC procedures for developing guidelines
  • Collaboration with the European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines & HealthCare (EDQM) to ensure that technical guidelines published by EDQM and ECDC are aligned

• Develop guidance and recommendations on other topics relevant to the microbial safety of SoHO at the request of the SoHO network, the European Commission or on own initiative
ECDC guidelines – SoHO regulation

**Pathogens**
- Listed in blood and tissues and cells directives
  - First batch: HIV, HBV, HCV, *Treponema pallidum*, West Nile Virus
- With current relevance (e.g., Dengue virus)
  - Second batch: SoHO network consultation

**SoHOs**
As defined in the Regulation (i.e., not including organs)

**Topics**
- Testing strategies and laboratory testing methods
- Deferral strategies (including deferral periods)
ECDC guidelines development process

• Collection of **evidence** and development of **statements** regarding testing methods and strategies and deferral strategies

• Assessment of evidence and statements by **expert panel**
  • Structured meetings
  • Review of minutes
  • Aiming for consensus

• **ECDC to draft the guidelines** using evidence and advice of expert panel
Ad hoc scientific expert panel

- Panel established for each batch
- Call for interest to
  - ECDC networks: SoHO-Net and others
  - National Competent Authorities
  - Relevant professional associations (EBA, EATCB, ESHRE, …)
- Nominations
  - Based on knowledge and experience (taking into account gender and geographical representation)
  - Assessment of conflicts of interest
  - Panel members approved by ECDC Advisory Forum
  - Final nomination by the ECDC Director
SoHO network

Network of Member State services supporting the use of substances of human origin (SoHO-Net). Four sub-networks with National Focal Points and observers:

- Blood
- Tissues and cells
- Organs
- Medically assisted reproduction
Stakeholders

- Stakeholders on list maintained by SANTE
- European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines & HealthCare
- European Medicines Agency
- World Health Organization

1 List of stakeholder organisations interested in participating in ad-hoc meetings with representatives of members of the Competent Authorities on Substances of Human Origin Expert Group
ECDC advisory forum

Advises the ECDC Director on the quality of the scientific work

Members:
- Senior representatives from national public health institutes and agencies
- Public health official from the European Commission
- Observers from European scientific associations and civil society groups
- WHO Europe
ECDC guidelines development process – After review

Revision of draft guidelines

ECDC internal review and clearance

Publication on ECDC website
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The EDQM, an entity within the Council of Europe

COUNCIL OF EUROPE
- Founded in **1949**
- Intergovernmental organisation, Strasbourg
- **46** Member States
- More than **700 Million** Citizens

The European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines & HealthCare (EDQM)
- Founded in 1964
- Work in the framework of a **Partial Agreement, 39 Members & the EU**
- Ensures the availability of and access to good and safe quality medicines, Substances of Human Origin (SoHO) and consumer health products
EDQM’s areas of work

MEDICINAL PRODUCTS

- **Documentary standards** for manufacture and quality control of pharmaceuticals & Reference Substances (RS) ▶European Pharmacopoeia

- Granting **Certificates of Suitability** verifying compliance of pharmaceutical substances with European pharmacopoeia and GMP inspections of manufacturers of active substances ▶Certification for Suitability

- **Control of medicines**: pool expertise and effectively use limited resources *e.g.* Market surveillance, proficiency testing, audits. ▶OMCL network

PHARMACEUTICAL CARE

- Policies & model approaches for the safe use of medicines
- Cooperation to combat falsification of medical products

CONSUMER HEALTH: Cosmetics & Food Contact Material

- Safety standards for cosmetics and food contact materials
- Control of cosmetics *e.g.* Market surveillance, proficiency testing ▶OCCL network

SUBSTANCES OF HUMAN ORIGIN

- Quality & safety standards ▶Blood, Tissues & Cells and Organ guides

- Data collection ▶EU SARE, Newsletter Transplant, Blood report
- Improving quality system & capacity building of Blood and Tissues & Cells Establishments *e.g.* Proficiency testing, audits, trainings

- **B-PTS & B-QM programmes**
EDQM and EU cooperation

- The EDQM, a regulatory and technical partner of the EU
  - 60 years of collaboration in the field of medicinal products
  - 15 years of collaboration in the field of SoHO
Governance of SoHO activities

Department of Biological Standardisation, OMCL Network & HealthCare
SoHO Division

COMMITTEES
- European Committee on Organ Transplantation (CD-P-TO)
- European Committee on blood Transfusion (CD-P-TS)
- 39 Member States (MS) including the 27 EU MS, and observers

PRINCIPLES
- Non-commercialisation of substances of human origin
- Mutual assistance
- Protection of donors & recipients

WORKING GROUPS

ACTIVITIES
- 1. Standard-setting: legal instruments, technical standards, policies
- 2. Monitoring data & practices Annual reports (blood and Transplant)
- 3. Capacity building supporting SoHO establishments in implementing CoE standards & EU legislation
Standard-setting on quality and safety

- Comprehensive guidelines based on best available scientific evidence to provide professionals with a useful overview of the most recent developments in the field.
- Ensure high level of quality and safety.
- Contribute to the harmonisation of standards and practices among European countries.
- Continuous update and maintenance.
- Consensus documents elaborated by working groups (under the aegis of the CD-P-TO, CD-P-TS) composed of experts nominated by Member States and observers (including professional associations).

- INCREASED QUALITY AND SAFETY OF ORGANS, BLOOD, TISSUES & CELLS
- IMPROVED CLINICAL OUTCOMES
Development/revision cycle process

Nomination/selection of members of working group

Revision of previous edition

Allocation of tasks to working groups

Drafting of chapters

Review cycle

Approval of final chapters

Copy-editing

Stakeholder consultation

Revision of comments and implementation of changes

Adoption by CD-P-TO/-TS

Final editing and layout

Publication

2/3-years cycle
Stakeholders' engagement throughout the cycle

**Working group**

- Working group composed of 40 experts nominated by member states and observers (including professional associations).
- Final composition of WG is decided by the Secretariat and the Chairs of the CD-P-TO or CD-P-TS and the chair of the previous edition of the Guide, taking into account:
  - a) technical and scientific expertise in the required fields
  - b) drafting needs
  - c) active participation in the elaboration of previous editions of the Guide
  - d) broad and balanced geographic representation
- Declaration of interest form (DoI) and confidentiality undertaking form.

**Stakeholder consultation**

- Invitations sent to National Health Authorities (via CD-P-TO and CD-P-TS members, participants and observers, and the EC NCA mailing list); relevant scientific/professional associations; and others designated by any of the above.
- Consultation period: 6 weeks.
- Each comment is assessed and decisions on acceptance are justified.
Putting standards into practice

► Monitoring data/practices
  - Annual reports: Newsletter Transplant and Reports on the collection, testing, and use of blood and blood components in Europe;
  - Analysis of biovigilance data in the EU (Blood and Tissues & Cells) (SARE);
  - Harmonisation of data collection on T&C.

► Capacity building activities
  - Best practices:
    - Biovigilance best reporting practices (Blood and Tissues & Cells)
    - Optimal use of plasma and plasma-derived medicinal products (PDMP) and rare disease treatments
  - Quality management programmes
Putting standards into practice – Quality Management Programme

► Trainings

Training courses and conferences on Quality Management

► Audits

► Blood-Proficiency Testing Scheme (B-PTS)

B-PTS studies conducted: **77**
Participating laboratories: **71**
(on average, per study)

**Nucleic Amplification Technique (NAT)**
- HBV, HCV, HIV

**Serology**
- Anti-HCV
- Anti-HIV/p24
- Anti-*Treponema*
- HBsAg/Anti-HBC

**Immunohaematology**
- ABO, Rhesus, Kell, extended phenotyping and irregular antibodies

**Bacterial testing**
A regulatory framework that keeps pace with its environment

- Complementarity of EU legislation and CoE/EDQM standards
- Future-proof regulatory framework

**EDQM and ECDC guidelines**

Purpose, scope, legal frame

Safety & quality requirements that keep pace with latest developments

EDQM operational tools

Operational activities to support its implementation

Periodical revision: 2-3 years basis
A step further to have state-of-the-art standards and enhance dissemination

- Digitalisation of the guides and of the consultation process
- Re-inforcement of the scientific evidence-based approach

Achieve and maintain sustainable supplies of SoHOs

- Support the exchange and implementation of good practices
- Support the development of an action plan

Data collection and reporting by entities/establishments

- Provide guidelines and data sets

Quality Management programme extension

- Extension of audits to tissue establishments
- PTS studies for laboratories testing blood samples from living organ, tissue and cells donors
- Evaluate feasibility of conducting validation studies on post-mortem blood testing
Thank you for your attention

Stay connected with the EDQM

EDQM Newsletter: https://go.edqm.eu/Newsletter
LinkedIn: https://www.linkedin.com/company/edqm/
X: @edqm_news
Facebook: @EDQM_Council_of_Europe
Nick van Gelder
Belgian Federal Agency for Medicines and Health Products (FAMHP)
Ensuring compliance with the Safety and quality standards in SoHO entities – the role of the competent authorities
Overview

- SoHO Competent authority – role and national legislation
- Supervisory activities
  - Registration
  - Authorisation
  - Inspection
- Safety and Quality Standards
  - EDQM/ECDC
  - National Standards
  - Specific standards
- Cooperation
Soho National / Competent Authority

• Important role – national level

• Practical considerations – national legislation
  • Sufficiently empowered to perform supervisory activities
  • Have sufficient resources, (experienced) personnel, etc.
  • Independence and impartiality
    • Political and stakeholders
    • DoI

• One or multiple Competent Authorities
  • One SoHO National Authority
Supervisory activities - Registration

- (National registry)
- SoHO Platform
- Validation/check by SoHO CA
- Essential – allows Member States to identify entities
- If necessary – guide towards authorisation
Supervisory activities - Authorisation

• SoHO Preparation
  • Quality, safety, efficacy
  • Based on available data
    • Risk or insufficient data? Clinical outcome monitoring plan.
• Document based review
  • Inspection “on site” (or virtual) possible
Supervisory activities – authorisation

• SoHO Establishment
  • See def.
  • Standard: on site, exception: virtual or document based
    • 1 on-site inspection every four years
    • Only if all conditions are fulfilled (see infra)

• SoHO Establishment – Import
  • See supra
  • Possibility of inspection at third party providers
Supervisory activities - Inspections

• Triggers:
  • Announced routine
  • Announced or unannounced – possible non-compliance
  • Announced or unannounced – specific activity or topic
  • Follow-up inspections (corrective and preventive actions)

• On-site, exception: virtual or remote document review
  • No risk to quality and safety of SOHO;
  • Does not prejudice effectiveness of inspections;
  • Protection of donors/recipient/offspring is respected
  • Max interval between two on-site inspections = 4 years
Quality and safety standards

Chapters **VI and VII**

- ECDC/EDQM

- National standards
  - Adopted at Member State level
  - Before the inspection
  - Equivalent to ECDC/EDQM

- Specific standards
  - Burden of proof = entity
  - Equivalent safety/quality to ECDC/EDQM
Panel members

Carlos Calhaz-Jorge  European Society for Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE)
Françoise Rossi  International Plasma and Fractionation Association (IPFA)
Marilena Vrana  Plasma Protein Therapeutics Association (PPTA)
Sertac Arslanoglu  European Milk Banks Association (EMBA)
Christian Lodberg Hvas  EurFMT
Martin Börgel  Common Representation of SoHO Associations (CoReSoHO)
The new SoHO regulation in the field of medically assisted reproduction

- Prioritizes transparency and **safety for donors, recipients, and offspring**
- Respects rights and principles of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU (rights of the child, integrity of the person, human dignity...)
- Ensures consent for donation is **freely given** and **informed**.
- Bases donor eligibility criteria on scientific evidence.
- **Prohibits commercial promotion** and misleading information.
- **Guarantees equitable access** to SoHO based on medical needs.

European Fertility Week 2024 – 04-10 Nov 2024

GAMETE DONATION – towards best practice
Christian Lodberg Hvas
EurFMT
Impact statement
Intestinal microbiota
Esteve Trias
European Leitat Foundation
THE FUTURE OF SoHO INNOVATION
Opportunities & Challenges
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What is innovation?

- Transfer an idea (research) into a product (innovation).

- A systematic practice of developing breakthrough products and services for adoption by customers.

- The development of a new process, policy, product or program that increase quality, impact and efficiency.

- ‘New or improved' health policies, practices, systems, products and technologies, services, and delivery methods that result in improved (value) healthcare.
IN THE ERA OF PERSONALISED MEDICINE...

Innovation: Multi-faceted Symbiosis

PEOPLE
Edulate, Enable & Empower
- Individuals
- Employers
- Communities

PROCESS
Introduce, measure, improve & Repeat
- Innovation in org’s production or service operations – to produce a product or to render a service

PRODUCT
Invent and Disrupt at Scale
- Goods, products or services offered to customers or clients – introduced to address user or market needs.

POLICY
Law, Policy, Partnerships
- Regulatory Industry
- Public-Private Collaboration
- Costs of Policy & value of innovation
The Future of SoHO Innovation
WHAT IS THE FUTURE OF SoHO INNOVATION?

Bridging the innovation-regulation gap:

A regulatory framework during which it is possible to develop, validate and test in a controlled environment innovative or adapted regulatory solutions following risk-based approach that facilitate the development and authorization of innovative therapeutic solutions based on SoHO.

- **On one hand, developers need regulatory certainty on the path to follow to secure authorization.**

- **On the other hand, Regulators need to calibrate what’s right in terms of regulatory oversight of emerging, novel clinical products.**
The Challenge of Innovation

Innovation in SoHO is a big challenge and it is clear there is a need to manage Quality, Safety and Efficacy demonstration as well as management of the associated risks.

BTC sector has all the tools to achieve and guarantee that (GTP I, EDQM Guides, GTP II, GAPP)

In essence, the academic-public sector is the main source of clinical needs identification as well as the initial place where the product is developed.
The Challenges....

• Affording Innovation in the public sector, generating value & protecting donation models
• Professionals and Regulators to jointly work for Quality, Safety guarantees and Efficacy demonstration under the basis of a Risk Based Approach
• HTA instruments to show the value & long-term impact on the EU Health Systems
• Network at EU level – Collaboration beyond states borders.
• Create and Open Exchange of Knowledge and Intellectual Property-IP – Knowledge Platforms.
• Solidarity and Altruism for patient benefit.
• Global patient access to Donate and to receive a consolidated or innovative therapy based on SoHO
• Matching the needs with society involvement and commitment: professional management, efficacy and evidence evaluation (HTA)

Trust & Equity as a consequence
The Opportunities.....

- A new regulation to provide **strength to the high degree of innovation** in the SoHO field
- Involving European Experts and Professional Bodies in all valuable innovation pathway: identifying the needs and the opportunities for development
- EU Investment in Health Data Infrastructures eg Registries to support the professional and regulatory sectors
- Complementarity with private sector aligned with global and common objectives and principles:
  1. Investment on Non Profitable Regions – Global Access
  2. Non Profitable age groups – Paediatric Patients
  3. Non Profitable Diseases – Prevalence
- Constructive and Collaborative network with SoHO and other regulatory frameworks like ATMP and M Devices
- Reinforcing Donation Programmes - Access is not only cost, but also availability
- Focus on the Outcomes / Efficacy – Efficiency – Risk benefit
- Better tools to take decisions – Better training and professional opportunities

**Patient Centricity – Social Value**
WHAT IS THE FUTURE OF SoHO INNOVATION?

The most experimental therapeutic solutions, the principles of **quality, safety, and efficacy**, as well as of benefit-risk assessments, continue to guide **regulatory decisions**.
Healthcare innovation cycle

- Clinical and value based health oriented level: Data, patient and professionals.

- Technical level: New platforms to accelerate services or cycle. Examples: Decellularization, Cryopreservation, mRNA, CAR-T, ...

- Economic level: Advanced HTA, reinvestment...

- Regulation level: Risk based approach, efficacy demonstration – Existing tools - GAP
• **High degree of Innovation in the SoHO field** and the need of a framework for overseeing that innovation, from safety to efficacy & clinical evidence

• Innovation with SoHO can change the regulatory status, becoming an ATMP or a MDs and there is a need for **clarification on the regulatory borderlines**

• **SoHO Coordination Board** plus the **Joint Committee** with other regulatory frameworks - **cross sector discussion & collaboration**
CONCLUSIONS II

- We should change some **pre-existing Paradigms** to place Translation Research on the patient bed side - **Innovation**
- R&D+i **Open Platforms** to accelerate developments and regulatory approvals
- Access to technology and **know-how** is one of the greatest challenges of health organizations – **IP**
- Collaboration between SoHO players to build an effective **Network** for Thinking Innovative
- **Transparency** on costs, including the concepts of funds origin as well as “reasonable profit”
- Fostering collaboration, including **public-private cooperation**
- **HTA**, cost/value analysis as a systematic approach for planification and management in the way for **Adoption**
- **Data** access as a global key element
- **Patient Access** must always be the **priority**
NEW SCENARIO, NEW OPPORTUNITIES
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## Shortcomings from the 2019 evaluation – Need for legal clarity and an innovation pathway

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shortcoming</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Patients are not fully protected from avoidable risks because some rules are out of date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Legislation does not mitigate risks for BTC donors and for children born from donated eggs, sperm or embryos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Member States have divergent approaches to oversight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Full potential of innovative therapies is not reached for patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Patients are vulnerable to interruptions in EU supply of some BTC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scope of the SoHO Regulation

Borderline criteria are not set in this Regulation, but in other frameworks – FUTURE PROOFING

SoHO – transfusion, transplantation, assisted reproduction

Medical devices

Medicinal products

+ Breast milk and FMT

FUTURE PROOFING
SoHO Coordination Board will provide legal clarity

- **Members**: 2 per Member State
- **Observers**: Union bodies/institutions/agencies & other invitees
- **Co-chairs**: Commission and MS
- **Secretariat**: Commission

**Advice on whether the SoHO Regulation applies**
- Consult Advisory bodies in other legislative frameworks

**Compendium** on regulatory status, with record of:
- SCB advice (EU)
- National decisions

**Documentation of**
- Best practices for supervision, compensation, ...
- Indicative criteria for critical SoHO (entities)

**Support for joint oversight activities** (inspections, assessments)

**Support coordination during emergencies**

**Support COMM to develop the SoHO Platform**

**Exchanges on good practices with Expert bodies** – ECDC, EDQM, EMA

**Own initiative list of substances/products where an opinion is needed**
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Building coherent views across SoHO and pharma frameworks (COM proposal)

1. National decisions
   a. Consult other-sector NCA

2. If needed, EU-level advice
   b. Request SCB opinion
   c. Consult other-sector advisory bodies

3. If needed, COM decisions
   d. Request/give COM decision

SoHO

Pharma
Giuseppe Feltrin (CNT), Vincenzo De Angelis (CNS)

**Authorisation of SoHO preparation processes**
- based on clinical evidence
GAPP Joint Action 2018-2021

A large consortium of BTC Competent Authorities to define the authorization pathways for tissue and cell preparation processes

- **17 European Countries**
  - 16 EU MS
  - 1 non-EU MS

- **24 partners**
  - 1 coordinator
  - 23 beneficiaries (+ 2 affiliated entities)

- **15 collaborating stakeholders**
  (NHSBT, SALAR, JPAC, Fundatia Renale, ESHRE, EBMT, ECDC, SOHO Consortium, ANSM, EFS, Hellenic National Blood Transfusion Centre, Croatian Institute for Transplantation and Biomedicine, Latvian State Agency of Medicine, EDQM, EHA)
An application whenever a new SoHO or a change indicating novelty

Application for PPA

- Application complete?
  - Yes: Is the information related to the EUBTCID?
    - Yes: Begin the review and evaluation of the PPA
    - No: Return to applicant or forward to appropriate CA & end process
  - No: Return to applicant
The authorisation pathway for SoHO preparations

a) Systematic Benefit/Risk Assessment by the SoHO establishment, in order to determine the available evidence on safety, quality and effectiveness, possibly through EURO GTP tool

b) Submission of an application, including laboratory validation and other safety, quality and effectiveness data and, where relevant, a clinical outcome monitoring plan proportionate to risk

c) Assessment of the application by the competent authority

- Grant authorisation for the SoHO preparation
- Grant an approval of the Clinical Outcome Monitoring plan or request an amended plan
- Refuse authorisation

Consider relevant EDQM monographs

d) Assessment by the competent authority of evidence of safety, quality and effectiveness data gathered in clinical outcome monitoring

- Grant authorisation
- Refuse authorisation
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Standard Risk assessment tool: EUROGTP II

The Euro GTP II Methodologies (1) and Interactive Assessment Tool (IAT) (2) developed to assist professionals to:

- Determine if a BTC or preparation process has any novelty (Step 1)
- Assess the risks associated with the BTC or preparation process (Step 2)
- Determine the extent of any studies and/or follow up required to assure the safety and efficacy of BTC (Step 3)

(1) Details available on the website: https://tool.gootissuepractices.site/
(2) Adopted by EDQM for implementation guidelines: https://soho-guides.edqm.eu/home

---
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### Risk/benefit balance

**BTC defined by quality, safety and efficacy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Negligible (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BTC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficacy</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow up</td>
<td>SARE Reporting (N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SARE Reporting (LMH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CFupP (LMH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CIP (MH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comparison Therapy (H)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Degree of novelty and risk defined by available data on quality, safety and efficacy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree of novelty</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Efficacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Full authorisation**
- Complete set of data
- Benefit risk ratio quantified and acceptable
- Sufficient evidence to ensure quality, safety and efficacy

**Conditional Authorisation**
- Limited set of data
- Benefit risk ratio estimated. Expected benefit justifies expected risk
- Further data sets required for final decision making

**Insufficient data**
- Benefit risk ratio not assessable / Expected benefit does not justify risk / Quality and safety concerns

**Refusal of Authorisation**
- Negligible
- Low
- Moderate
- High
Clinical outcome monitoring in function of level of risks

Level of risk ➔
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GAPP-PRO will pilot and roll-out approach by 2027

14 Main beneficiaries
7 Affiliated entities
from 13 EU countries and 1 extra-EU country

Project start date: 15/02/2024
Project duration: 40 months (14/06/2027)

- Map current status of authorised SoHO preparations and inherent risks
- Pilot GAPP methodology: test, assess and improve
- Test cross-entity/country applications and assessments
- Test cross-sector collaboration for SoHO preparations entailing medical devices
- Refine and update the methodology
Panel members

Pia Ekbom  Danish Patient Safety Authority
Christian Chabannon  Institut Paoli-Calmettes Comprehensive Cancer Centre
Celine Druart  Pharmabiotic Research Institute
Nigel Talboys  Blood Transfusion Association of Medical Device Manufacturers
Steffen Thirstrup  European Medicine Agency
Anne Birgit Hovde
MS patient
Impact statement
Jacques Allegra
International federation of Blood Donors
Impact statement
Volunteer donors involved in SoHO donation

• The SoHO regulation will make it possible to have common rules for SOHO donation in all the countries of the European Union.

• Europe is a benchmark for human rights, and the application of the SoHO Regulation must ensure respect for the health and dignity of donors.

• Ethics based on volunteering, anonymity, voluntariness and unpaid donation through the financial neutrality of donations must be at the heart of all strategies for promoting and appealing for donations.

• Patients, Donors, we are linked by a common objective: to provide access for everyone with the BTC product they need.
IFBDO and Donors of Health Europe commitment
Beatrice Marquez-Garrido
European Commission
SoHO Team
### Shortcomings from the 2019 evaluation – Need to manage supply concerns

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Patients are not fully protected from avoidable risks because some rules are out of date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Legislation does not mitigate risks for BTC donors and for children born from donated eggs, sperm or embryos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Member States have divergent approaches to oversight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Full potential of innovative therapies is not reached for patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Patients are vulnerable to interruptions in EU supply of some BTC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Measures to ensure supply of critical SoHO

‘Critical SoHO’ are SoHO that for which an insufficient supply will result in serious harm or risk of harm to patients or a serious interruption in manufacture of critical products regulated by other legislation.

A ‘critical SoHO entity’ is a SoHO entity that carries out activities contributing to the supply of critical SoHOs and the scale of those activities is such that a failure to carry them out cannot be compensated by activities of other entities or alternative substances or products for recipients.

Supply of critical SoHO is protected by:

- **Obligations on Member States** to ensure a sufficient, adequate and resilient supply
  - Facilitate donation
  - Communication and education
  - Optimal use
- **Activity data collection** and monitoring
- **Supply alerts**
- National SoHO emergency plans
- SoHO Entity emergency plans
- **Derogations** and additional measures in emergency situations
Further measures facilitating supply of SoHO to EU patients

• **Donor protection** measures, including Voluntary Unpaid Donation, reassure general population and support willingness to donate (Chapter VI)

• **Harmonization of technical requirements** (guidelines by EDQM/ECDC expert bodies) allow use of SoHO in healthcare settings across the EU

• Strengthened, aligned and **joint oversight practices** (inspections, assessments) take away barriers at borders

• Stronger **cross-sector coordination**, for SoHO that become starting materials for medicinal products (like plasma and PDMP)
Peter O’Leary
European Blood Alliance
How to achieve EU sufficiency for plasma – the SUPPLY project

Peter O’Leary,
SUPPLY Project Co-ordinator and Executive Director, European Blood Alliance

**As the project outputs are those of the SUPPLY consortium, they cannot be considered to necessarily reflect the views of any individual organisation which forms part of the consortium.**
Why are patients struggling to access life-saving immune globulin?

Immune deficient patients across the world are having issues getting access to the only drug that can keep them alive: immune globulin. Allie Nawrat investigates what is behind this ongoing shortage, whether medicine stockpiling is adding fuel to the fire, and what can be done to prevent a repeat of this situation in the future.

Allie Nawrat | February 24, 2020

PLASMA SHORTAGE IN EUROPE: PROPER INVESTMENT IN PUBLIC BLOOD ESTABLISHMENTS IS THE ANSWER, NOT UNDERMINING ETHICAL PRINCIPLES

Attention, EU. We’ve got a plasma donation problem.

FDA extends immunodeficiency drug's shelf life as pandemic exacerbates shortages

By Fraser Kanstein - Jan 6, 2021 6:20pm

EU looks to reduce dependency on the US for human plasma needs

There is currently an estimated shortfall of over 5 million litres of plasma in the EU.

Tuesday, 7 March 2023
Plasma Value Chain

Donors | Blood and plasma donation | Plasma Processing | Fractionation | Plasma Medicine Supply | Patients

Goals

- **Increase the volume and resilience of unpaid plasma collection in Europe by the public health sector**

- **Ensure safe and adequate access for EU patients to essential Plasma medicines**

This report is part of the project “101056988/SUPPLY” which has received funding from the European Union’s EU4Health Programme (2021-2027). The content of this report represents the views of the author only and is his/her sole responsibility; it can not be considered to reflect the views of the European Commission and/or the European Health and Digital Executive Agency (HaDEA) or any other body of the European Union. The European Commission and the Agency do not accept any responsibility for use that may be made of the information it contains.
What is *Sufficient* Plasma?

“The main safety concern for patients with Primary Immunodeficiency Diseases in the EU is SUPPLY. [We need] **continued and stable access** to Immunoglobulins as prescribed by the treating physician.”

International Patient Organisation for Primary Immunodeficiencies (IPOPI) 22nd EU PID Forum

**True Strategic Independence of plasma and plasma medicines** in the EU will have been reached when:

- an equal or larger volume of plasma is collected in the EU than is required to meet the maximum estimated plasma-related requirements of EU Citizens
- meeting these requirements is legislatively guaranteed to EU Citizens
- *and* the EU has the capacity to act without being dependent on other regions or markets
Important to prioritise both donor and patient health

Focus on retaining donors while building a sustainable donor base

- Invest to increase and improve plasma collection
- Comprehensive plasma-PDMP-patient strategies are required
- EU Member States create action plans!

Create national databases on Plasma Medicine (Ig) usage at patient level

Introduce legal provisions at national level which link collected plasma to the usage of products manufactured from this plasma

Take consideration of the IgG level to determine the value of plasma

This report is part of the project “101056988/SUPPLY” which has received funding from the European Union’s EU4Health Programme (2021-2027). The content of this report represents the views of the author only and is his/her sole responsibility; it cannot be considered to reflect the views of the European Commission and/or the European Health and Digital Executive Agency (HaDEA) or any other body of the European Union.

The European Commission and the Agency do not accept any responsibility for use that may be made of the information it contains.
How to achieve EU sufficiency for plasma?

SoHO Regulation: Recital 65 (see also Article 62)
To increase European self-sufficiency in terms of SoHO, Member States should be urged to increase their collection capacity and donor base for critical SoHO, in particular plasma, by developing non-profit and public plasmapheresis programmes.
How to achieve EU sufficiency for plasma?

SoHO Regulation: Recital 65 (see also Article 62)
To increase European self-sufficiency in terms of SoHO, Member States should be urged to increase their collection capacity and donor base for critical SoHO, in particular plasma, by developing non-profit and public plasmapheresis programmes.

SUPPLY Key Messages:

- Successful Plasma Collection Models have a *high degree of participation of the stakeholders* combined with an underlying *political interest and commitment*.
- Commitment and Control
  
  It is of critical importance that national *commitments to collect* sufficient volumes of plasma are accompanied by *sufficient control* and monitoring over the plasma-medicine-patient chain to ensure that the patient population needs are met.
This report is part of the project “101056988/SUPPLY” which has received funding from the European Union’s EU4Health Programme (2021-2027). The content of this report represents the views of the author only and is his/her sole responsibility; it cannot be considered to reflect the views of the European Commission and/or the European Health and Digital Executive Agency (HaDEA) or any other body of the European Union. The European Commission and the Agency do not accept any responsibility for use that may be made of the information it contains.
This report is part of the project “101056988/SUPPLY” which has received funding from the European Union’s EU4Health Programme (2021-2027). The content of this report represents the views of the author only and is his/her sole responsibility; it cannot be considered to reflect the views of the European Commission and/or the European Health and Digital Executive Agency (HaDEA) or any other body of the European Union. The European Commission and the Agency do not accept any responsibility for use that may be made of the information it contains.

https://supply-project.eu/resources/
SUPPLY Resources

The SUPPLY Project consortium is an excellent example of solidarity: many stakeholders from different Member States working together to improve the lives of EU citizens. As the project outputs are those of the SUPPLY consortium, they cannot be considered to necessarily reflect the views of the European Blood Alliance or any individual organisation which forms part of the consortium. More details on the SUPPLY consortium can be found in the our partners section.

The SUPPLY project had many outputs including reports, tools, and position papers. Once the project has completed, all of these will be accessible and downloadable on this page.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1.1</td>
<td>Crisis Situations - The impact on the plasma-medicine-patient chain: Analysis and Recommendations (Scenario Evaluation Plan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2.1</td>
<td>Plasma Donor recruitment and retention – Current Practice (Analysis Report)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2.2</td>
<td>Plasma Donor recruitment and retention strategies – Efficiency evaluation (Recommendations Report and Transfer Plan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.1</td>
<td>Setting up a Plasma Centre: Practical tools (Plasma Collection Recommendations and Support Tool)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.2</td>
<td>The plasma journey from collection to transport to fractionator – Recommendations for improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.3</td>
<td>Opportunities to increase Plasma volumes: Recovered and via plasmapheresis: Analysis and Recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.4</td>
<td>Real-world experience: Implementation of the recommendations to increase Plasma volumes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.6</td>
<td>Focus on quality: An assessment of plasma donor characteristics, Immunoglobulin, and Total Protein in donated plasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4.4</td>
<td>Member State steps on the European path to Strategic Independence for Plasma Medicines: A Position Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4.6</td>
<td>Building Strategic Independence for Plasma Medicines: Policy Recommendations for the EU and EU Member States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5.1</td>
<td>Protecting Plasma donor health: Current Practice (Analysis Report)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5.2</td>
<td>Protecting Plasma donor health: a Support Tool for standardised Plasma Donor Vigilance data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5.3</td>
<td>Protecting Plasma donor health: Recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6.1</td>
<td>Protecting Patients: ‘A comparative analysis on the current use of immunoglobulins in individual countries: A clinical program’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6.2</td>
<td>Protecting Patients: ‘Final recommendations to achieve appropriate and prioritised use of immunoglobulins in Europe’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report is part of the project “101056988/SUPPLY” which has received funding from the European Union’s EU4Health Programme (2021-2027). The content of this report represents the views of the author only and is his/her sole responsibility; it can not be considered to reflect the views of the European Commission and/or the European Health and Digital Executive Agency (HaDEA) or any other body of the European Union.

The European Commission and the Agency do not accept any responsibility for use that may be made of the information it contains.
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The European Commission and the Agency do not accept any responsibility for use that may be made of the information it contains.
The SUPPLY Project consortium is an excellent example of solidarity: many stakeholders from different Member States working together to improve the lives of EU citizens. As the project outputs are those of the SUPPLY consortium, they cannot be considered to necessarily reflect the views of the European Blood Alliance or any individual organisation which forms part of the consortium. More details on the SUPPLY consortium can be found in the our partners section.

The SUPPLY project had many outputs including reports, tools, and position papers. Once the project has completed, all of these will be accessible and downloadable on this page.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1.1</td>
<td>Crisis Situations - The impact on the plasma-medicine-patient chain: Analysis and Recommendations (Scenario Evaluation Plan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2.1</td>
<td>Plasma Donor recruitment and retention – Current Practice (Analysis Report)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2.2</td>
<td>Plasma Donor recruitment and retention strategies – Efficiency evaluation (Recommendations Report and Transfer Plan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.1</td>
<td>Setting up a Plasma Centre: Practical tools (Plasma Collection Recommendations and Support Tool)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.2</td>
<td>The plasma journey from collection to transport to fractionator – Recommendations for improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.3</td>
<td>Opportunities to increase Plasma volumes: Recovered and via plasmapheresis: Analysis and Recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.4</td>
<td>Real-world experience: Implementation of the recommendations to increase Plasma volumes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.6</td>
<td>Focus on quality: An assessment of plasma donor characteristics, Immunoglobulin, and Total Protein in donated plasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4.4</td>
<td>Member State steps on the European path to Strategic Independence for Plasma Medicines: A Position Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4.6</td>
<td>Building Strategic Independence for Plasma Medicines: Policy Recommendations for the EU and EU Member States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5.1</td>
<td>Protecting Plasma donor health: Current Practice (Analysis Report)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5.2</td>
<td>Protecting Plasma donor health: a Support Tool for standardised Plasma Donor Vigilance data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5.3</td>
<td>Protecting Plasma donor health: Recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6.1</td>
<td>Protecting Patients: ‘A comparative analysis on the current use of immunoglobulins in individual countries: A clinical program’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6.2</td>
<td>Protecting Patients: ‘Final recommendations to achieve appropriate and prioritised use of immunoglobulins in Europe’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

https://supply-project.eu/resources/
Thank You

Questions / Comments/ More Information :

➤ Website:  www.supply-project.eu

➤ E-mail:  info@supply-project.eu
           info@europeanbloodalliance.eu
Major General Tim Hodgetts
Chair of NATO Committee of the Chiefs of Military Medical Services
Managing SoHO in emergency situations
Major General Tim Hodgetts, Chair COMEDS

This could represent up to 10,000 units of Whole Blood Equivalent (WBE) in the first week.

Planning for contingency options prior to full combat operations:
- Dried plasma
- Emergency donor panel (EDP)
- Walking Blood Bank (WBB)
Managing SoHO in emergency situations
How new SoHO Regulations will assist NATO in emergency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obligation for national <strong>emergency</strong> plans (Article 62)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Including a procedure for SoHO entities to request derogations from standards for donor and recipient protection (chapters VI and VII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Taking into account guidance from ECDC and EDQM Blood Supply Contingency and Emergency Plan (B-SCEP) - European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines &amp; HealthCare (edqm.eu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Derogation from obligation to authorise SoHO preparations in <strong>emergency</strong> situations (Article 64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Additional <strong>emergency</strong> measures by MS (Article 65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Critical SoHO entity <strong>emergency</strong> plans (Article 66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Supply <strong>alerts</strong> (Article 63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Exceptional release – individual patient (Article 61)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Limitations:**
EU regulations not applicable to all NATO members & allies. Nations are responsible for the interpretation and application of the regulations.

**Benefits:**
EU regulations can act as a baseline for standardization given that the majority of NATO members are EU members and required to comply with the regulations.

**Summary of recommendations:**
- There are no recommended changes to the EU regulations as written.
- Several considerations are made below for NATO assessments, involvement, and support for members to advance the Blood Far Forward (BFF) objectives.

Note: a number of non-legislative initiatives supporting increasing the EU supply of plasma for PDMP
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Panel members

Mirjam Fechter  World Marrow Donor Association
Gilbert Verbeken  Brussels Military Hospital
Rita Piteira  Banc de Sang i Teixits
Jeroen van Baare  Dutch Health and Youth Care Inspectorate
Linda Larsson  Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare
Alpha-1 Patient Perspective on the SoHO Regulation

• Suffered from shortness of breath for 7 years before correct diagnosis
• Diagnosed with Alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency (AATD) in 2012
• Happy to be on augmentation therapy with AAT produced from human plasma since 2014
• Augmentation therapy is leading to
  - Slower decline in lung function
  - Longer life expectancy
  - Improved quality of life
Alpha-1 Patient Perspective on the SoHO Regulation

• Existing high mental burden on patients using plasma products increased significantly during the pandemic
  – Safety of plasma-derived medicine (free from virus contamination)
  – Security of supply (collapse of imports from USA)

• SoHO Regulation focuses on the first issue, but also influences the second
  – Harmonised EU-wide standards regulating the safety of medicine, donors and recipients strongly welcomed
  – Self-sufficiency in plasma supplies is only guaranteed in countries with financial compensation for donors. The continued ability to pay this is therefore a good thing. However, an even more generous solution would have been preferred, so as to increase the amount of plasma collected in the EU
Silke Mader
European Federation for the Care of Newborn Infants
Impact statement
A baby born too soon, too small and too sick is a nutritional emergency!
Why SoHo regulation on human milk is important for babies born too soon, too small or too sick in Europe

One of the most vulnerable patient groups with special nutritional needs: preterm, sick, and low birthweight infants.

Only 30% of mothers of extremely preterm infants are able to supply 100% of their milk to meet their infants' needs.

Preterm birth, low birthweight, infections, abnormalities, and birth trauma are the main causes of neonatal death. In Europe, ~8.7% of all infants are born preterm.

Safe (Donor) human milk supports survival and reduces the risk for diseases and morbidities (vision and lung disease, metabolic problems, brain damage, NEC, etc.).

Babies born preterm do not only have a tough time during the first days or months of life. Preterm birth may affect us and our families for a lifetime.

Developing and implementing a guideline which ensures safe access to human milk and avoid any further complications for our most vulnerable and tiniest patients!!!
Panel members

Petra Doerr  Director EDQM
Marieke van der Werf  for the Director of ECDC
Beatriz Dominguez-Gil  Director Spanish Transplant Agency (ONT)
Hugues Malonne  Director Belgian SoHO and pharma authority (FAGG)
Sandra Gallina  Director-General DG SANTE, European Commission
Thank you for joining!
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