

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

DIRECTORATE-GENERAL FOR HEALTH AND FOOD SAFETY

Health systems, medical products and innovation Cross-border healthcare and tobacco control

10th Meeting of the Independent Advisory Panel on Characterising Flavours in Tobacco Products (IAP)

WEBINAR / AUDIOCONFERENCE

12 February 2019

MINUTES

1. Introduction and Welcome

The Acting Chair (Vice-Chair of the Panel) welcomed the members of the panel and in particular the new member, Dr. Alberto Del Rio, who introduced himself to the group. There were no apologies.

2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

The agenda was adopted as proposed.

3. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

There were no new interests declared.

4. ADOPTION OF MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

The minutes of the last meeting were adopted and would be published on the website of the IAP subsequent to the meeting.¹

5. DISCUSSION ON THE PROGRESS OF THE TASKS CARRIED OUT BY THE TECHNICAL GROUP OF SENSORY AND CHEMICAL ASSESSORS

- Update on the (chemical + sensory) testing of the products considered for establishment of the reference group (task 1.2);
- Methodology to support the decision whether a tobacco product has a characterising flavour (task 2).

The IAP briefly discussed the documents and information received prior to the meeting and reflected on feedback to be provided to the TG.

https://ec.europa.eu/health/tobacco/products/characterising_flavours/panel_en#fragment0

6. EXCHANGE WITH THE TECHNICAL GROUP OF SENSORY AND CHEMICAL ASSESSORS

The Consortium EUREST-FLAVOURS organizing the work of the Technical Group of Sensory and Chemical Assessors (TG) presented the work carried out since the last exchange, in particular on the following aspects:

- Additional testing carried out to establish the group of reference products (task 1.2), and
- Further development of the procedure including a flow-chart for determining whether a tobacco product has a characterising flavour including decision criteria (task 2).

The IAP discussed the approach presented by the TG and provided feedback.

The IAP provided further feedback on the work carried out. It was pointed out that further work was needed to fully address the request made at and since the last meeting (see minutes of the 10th meeting, agenda item 7). In particular, the IAP noted that the detailed description of the proposed decision process, including the graphical description of the decision tree, was lacking the necessary detail in order to take the decision whether or not a product proposed as a reference product would become part of the final group of reference products. Moreover, the decision criteria whether a test product was to be classified as having a characterizing flavour was still missing.

In line with established practice from earlier meetings, the TG would share presentations and relevant documents including work-plans with the IAP for comments and feedback by the IAP.

7. FUTURE WORK/NEXT STEPS

It was agreed that the TG would further work on the request from the IAP as communicated after the last meeting (see meeting minutes) and that an in-depth discussion would take place at the next meeting on 15 March. This should focus in particular on the following aspects:

- Decision tree to classify products
- Final results of the testing to establish the group of reference products and to define the reference space including the assessment of the impact of outliers.

The TG is expected to provide background documents at the latest one week before the next meeting.

8. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

The Secretariat informed members that, following the completion of the replacement procedure, the process of replacing the chair and vice-chair would be concluded at the next meeting. Members were asked to express their interest.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

Members of the IAP

Alberto Del Rio Garmt Dijksterhuis Jan van Amsterdam Emmanuel Vanzeveren Wouter Visser Efthimios Zervas

IAP secretariat (DG SANTE B2)

Katja Bromen Matus Ferech

Representatives from the EUREST-FLAVOURS consortium