

Criteria to select best practices - a proposal from the Commission

John F Ryan
Director
DG SANTE



Why work with best practices? - 1

Conclusion from the reflection process on innovative approaches for chronic diseases (Sept 2013):

"There is a need for sustainable and coordinated approaches which fully explore the potential of prevention and build upon the identification and dissemination of good practice."



Why work with best practices? - 2

- many of the actions co-funded from the Health Programmes identify "good" or "best" practices, but:
 - -not with the same method and
 - -not available in the same place
- work elsewhere: EIP AHA, research programmes



Why work with best practices? - 3

- "best" practices help us to define "how" to best implement a specific policy
- -it is not about
 - "what should be done" (to be defined by Member States)
 or
 - "why do we need to act"



Objectives

- provide a core set of criteria to select "best" practices
- make selected practices systematically available in a "resource centre"
- support the transfer of "best" practices between countries



Steps taken so far

- literature review regarding "best" practices selection
- review of key Health Programme actions selecting "best" practices
- produce a set of criteria and submit it to expert advice, including WHO



Steps ahead

- develop an evaluation methodology
- refine the criteria concerning possible weighing, scoring, thresholds etc.
- provide a full method for criteria application



PROPOSAL FOR A CORE SET OF CRITERIA TO SELECT BEST PRACTICES



What is a "best" practice? - 1

- a relevant policy or intervention implemented in a real life setting and
- which has been assessed in terms of adequacy and equity and
- effectiveness and efficiency related to process and outcomes



What is a "best" practice? - 2

- Other criteria are important for a successful transferability of the practice:
 - a clear definition of the context
 - sustainability
 - intersectorality
 - participation of stakeholders



The criteria set

- divided in 3 sub-sets:
 - inclusion criteria
 - core criteria
 - qualifier criteria



Inclusion criteria

- <u>Relevance</u>: political/strategic context of the practice or intervention, which needs to be clearly explained and considered.
- <u>Intervention characteristics</u>: the existence of a situation analysis, established objectives, a consistent methodology etc.
- Evidence and theory based: scientific excellence or other evidence was used, analysed and disseminated in a conscious, explicit and thoughtful manner.
- <u>Ethics</u>: respectful with ethic values and guarantees the safeguarding of dignity



Core Criteria

- <u>Effectiveness and efficiency</u>: the degree to which the intervention was successful in producing a desired result in an optimal way. It measures the extent to which the objectives of quantity, quality and time have been met under real conditions at the lowest possible cost.
 - outcome and/or process evaluation
- <u>Equity</u>: the practice should take into account the needs of the population (men and women) when allocating the resources and identify and reduce health inequalities.



Qualifier Criteria - 1

- TRANSFERABILITY: to which extent the implementation results are systematized and documented, making it possible to transfer it to other contexts/settings/countries or to scale it up to a broader target population/geographic context.
- SUSTAINABILITY: assesses the practice's ability to be maintained in the long-term with the available resources, adapting to social, economic and environmental requirements of the context in which it is developed.



Qualifier Criteria - 2

- -INTERSECTORAL COORDINATION: assesses the ability of the practice to foster collaboration among the different sectors involved in the domain of interest.
- PARTICIPATION: assesses the inclusion of stakeholders throughout the whole life cycle of the process and the ability of the practice to foster collaboration among the different sectors involved.



RESOURCE CENTRE



The planned resource centre

IT platform with 2 main functions:

- 1. Best practice collection and evaluation
- 2. Digital library



Conclusions

- one way of achieving EU added value is through exchange, implementation and transfer of best practices
- new initiative to better support EU co-funded actions and thus Member States in this work
- common criteria and methodology for selecting "best" practices
- resource centre to host practices and other documents and tools
- Health Programme support for best practice implementation and transfer



THANK YOU VERY MUCH